

EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE JUNE 15, 2006

SUBJECT: METRO RED LINE GATING

ACTION: RECEIVE AND FILE

RECOMMENDATION

Receive and file status update on Metro Red Line gating.

<u>ISSUE</u>

At the February 23, 2006 Board meeting, Director Yvonne Burke introduced a motion that requested staff to investigate Metro Red Line gating and fare evasion, identified by four specific tasks:

- 1. Recommendations, including a timeline, on the implementation of a barrier ticketing system for the Red Line;
- 2. The capital costs of such implementation and potential funding sources;
- 3. A financial analysis of cost savings that includes a "payback" amortization period of the barrier system as compared with the present escalating manpower-costs associated with using fare inspectors; and
- 4. A report by staff on the feasibility of MTA sponsored legislation to decriminalize Penal Code Section 640, including recommendations and a financial analysis on the costs of establishing a "transit adjudication bureau" to process fare evasion infractions and the potential for MTA "cost recovery" revenue estimates. (Addressed in separate Board report.)

This Board report addresses Item 1, to provide a recommendation including a timeline on the implementation of a barrier ticketing system for the Metro Red Line. In order to provide such a recommendation, staff has issued a "Request for Information" (RFI) to the equipment manufacturing community seeking their ideas for the Metro Red Line barrier installations. The outline of a proposed Scope of Work needed to complete this process is identified in Attachment A, together with estimated RFI/RFP Implementation timelines, Attachments B & C.

Item 2 and Item 3, to provide capital costs, potential funding sources, and a financial analysis of cost savings including a "pay back" amortization period of the barrier system compared with the present manpower costs will be provided by staff after a "rough order

magnitude" (ROM) can be established through the RFI process in order to compare gating costs with current fare inspection costs.

After responses to the RFI and ROMs are received from the vendor community, staff will provide options for Board consideration and direction. As per the industry standard, the RFI will serve as the basis from which a technical specification and "Request for Proposal" (RFP) can be issued for formal solicitation.

More recently staff has been advised that an APTA Peer Review panel was convened to evaluate Metro Security and further recommended consideration for gating the Metro Red Line to reduce fare evasion.

NEXT STEPS

- Obtain responses to the Request for Information (RFI)
- Present alternatives and options received from the gating vendor community through the RFI process for Board consideration
- Write technical specifications based on options selected
- Issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) for Metro Red Line gates

ATTACHMENT(S)

- A. Metro Red Line Request for Information Scope of Work
- B. Draft Timeline for RFI & RFP Process to Complete
- C. Draft Implementation Timeline
- D. Director Yvonne B. Burke Motion, Amendment to Item 16, February 23, 2006

Prepared by: Jane Matsumoto, UFS – TAP Project Manager Alexander Clifford, General Manager, Gateway Cities Service Sector

John B. Catoe, Jr. Deputy Chief Executive Officer

Roger Snoble Chief Executive Officer

GENERAL STATEMENT OF WORK "REQUEST FOR INFORMATION" (RFI) CONSIDERATIONS FOR INSTALLING BARRIER GATES ON THE METRO RED LINE

DRAFT

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority requests information from the automated fare equipment community to design, build, test and install barrier gates for the Metro Red Line system. The following is a General Statement of Work.

TOPIC	DESCRIPTION										
Capital Cost	The RFI will analyze and estimate the Rough Order Magnitude (ROM) costs for										
Cupitur Cost	planning, designing, procuring, and implementing an automated fare gate system on the										
	Metro Red Line that interfaces with Cubic TriReader smart card enabled Ticket Vending										
	Machines (TVM), Stand Alone Validators (SAV), Hand-held inspection devices for non-										
	gated Light Rail (HHV), sales devices for loading smart cards; Cubic/GFI Odyssey bus										
	fare boxes with Cubic smart card readers (TriReader), and Metro Cubic Central										
	Computer (MCDCS), and Regional/TAP Cubic Central Computer (RCDCS)										
	 Capital costs include: 										
	o Fare gate equipment and estimated quantities										
	 Metro CDCS design changes 										
	 Regional CDCS design changes 										
	o Fare media procurement										
	 Marketing and materials for patron education at "start up" 										
Operational Cost	The RFI will analyze and estimate the ROM costs for operating and maintaining the new										
	fare gate infrastructure including:										
	• Station agent hiring and training versus "un-manned" gates										
	Maintenance personnel hiring and training										
	Spare parts acquisition and storage										
	Customer Service training										
	Finance staff training										
	 New fare media design and inventory (new fare media must be processed at the 										
	fare gate) See also Limited Use Paper Smart cards										
	 Marketing impacts 										
	 Planning associated with adopting a distance-based or zone-based fare structure 										
	 Planning associated with Light, or At-Grade Rail (non-barrier) combined and 										
	interfaced with Heavy, or underground (barrier) systems										
Impact to Station	The RFI will consider the impact that the introductions of fare gates are likely to have on										
Throughput	station throughput. What is currently an open and free flowing station environment, will										
0 1	change to a controlled entry and exit environment. This may introduce queuing and										
	customer service issues.										
	• A considerable number of Metrolink patrons transfer to Metro Red Line. The										
	RFI will consider such accommodations.										
	• The RFI will consider through-put of the non-TAP, cash paying customer										
	• The RFI will consider impacts to disabled patrons, children, and patron-operated										
	devices such as wheelchairs, strollers, walkers, etc										
	• The RFI will also consider through-put impacts to security in the event of a										
	disaster or patron emergencies (Fire-Life Safety, emergency personnel interface										
	to gate, etc.)										
Impact to Fare	The RFI will consider fare evasion due to the controlled nature of the entry/exit to										
Evasion	stations and trains. The RFI will evaluate and estimate the impacts to fare evasion and										
	fare revenue.										

TOPIC	DESCRIPTION										
	• The RFI will propose solutions to the non-TAP cash-paying patron and fare										
	media required to pass through the gates										
	 The RFI will propose solutions to potential fraudulent fare media usage 										
Impact on Fare	The RFI will evaluate and estimate the impact the implementation of fare gates have on										
Inspection	the cost of fare inspection.										
	• The RFI will consider solutions to un-manned versus manned stations and										
	impacts to fare evasion										
	• The RFI will consider fare inspection of Light Rail un-gated Proof of Payment										
Inone at an Enisting	(POP) systems interfacing with fully gated Red Line stations										
Impact on Existing Fare Media	The RFI will evaluate fare media that can be automatically processed by the fare gate.										
	The current Proof of payment (POP) media do not provide for this automatic processing. Cash paying customers must also be accommodated at the gates. A number of different										
	fare media types and technologies could provide Metro with this necessary functionality										
	including:										
	Limited-use smart cards										
	Magnetic stripe tickets										
	• Bar codes										
	The RFI will evaluate and report on the options most applicable to the Metro										
	environment.										
Impact to	The RFI will research and analyze the impact that the implementation of fare gates has										
Maintenance	on Metro's current maintenance organization. The research will evaluate centralized vs.										
	decentralized maintenance of fare gates and the in-house versus outsourced models.										
Impact to Metro UFS – TAP Central	The RFI will research and analyze the impact that the implementation of fare gates has										
Computer	on the Metro CDCS including:										
Computer	 Interfaces and integration of non-Cubic systems with Cubic Central Computer and devices (TVMs, SAVs, HHVs, as described) 										
	 Upgrading and configuring the Central Computer to enable two-tag transaction 										
	acquisition and processing										
	 Upgrade and configure Central Computer for the deployment of new business 										
	rules including new fare structure/tables.										
Impact to Regional	The RFI will research and analyze the impact that the implementation of fare gates has										
UFS – TAP Central	on the Regional TAP Central Computer. TAP is a regional fare medium and will be used										
Computer	by Muni and Metrolink riders. All TAP transactions are captured at the Regional										
	Computer.										
	The RFI will consider Metro/Muni financial clearing impacts from additional										
	gating transactions captured by regional riders, especially Metrolink										
	• Analyze the modifications and reconfiguration of the Regional TAP Computer to										
	accommodate gating transactions, and the interfaces required for another 3 rd										
Impact to TAP	party to integrate their system to Cubic's Nextfare smart card system. The RFI will research and analyze impacts that the implementation of fare gates has on										
Service Center	the TAP Service Center. The contractor will have to accommodate additional volume of										
	services from patrons interacting with a new UFS device										
	• The RFI will analyze impacts to ACS – the TAP Customer Service /Regional										
	Clearinghouse contractor and their systems to support additional devices on										
	smart card										
Impact to	The RFI will evaluate the impact of fare gates on the Metro customer population.										
Customers	• The RFI will analyze and report on the possible impact on customer education										
	and public outreach due to the introduction of several major changes (distance										
	based fares, fare gates, and new fare media) at the same time.										
Schedule	• The impact of fare gates to the ADA population will also be evaluated.										
Scheune	The RFI will base their analysis on industry best practices, develop a projected schedule for planning, designing, procuring, and implementing the new fare gate system										
Procurement	The RFI will provide consideration to:										

TOPIC	DESCRIPTION							
Strategy	 Equipment quantity sizing System integration Multi-sourcing 							

DRAFT Timeline for RFI & RFP Process to Complete

150 days from start
September 1 – October 30, 2006
Staff evaluation of RFI
responses
Clarification from
industry received
Staff analysis presented to Metro Executives
Board briefings and
Board presentation (October Board cycle)
Get Board direction on proposed approach
-

METRO RED LINE GATING

DRAFT Implementation Schedule

	32 months from NTP																		April 2009	Contract Close-out		
d Line Gates	26 months from NTP																October 2009	Final Acceptance				
Design – Build - Test-Install – Pilot – Deploy Metro Red Line Gates	20 months from NTP													April 2009	Deploy for patron	usage system-wide						
ild - Test-Install – Pilo	19 months from NTP										September 2008 – March 2009 -	Install fare gates Construct & retrofit	stations Run Pilot Tests									
Design – Bu	12 months from NTP				August 2008	Gating Contractor Design/Build phase	Integration to Cubic	Systems – Metro and Regional Central	Computer	Integration to ACS TAP Customer Service Center												
	30 days from Award Contract	Start July 2007	Issue Notice to Proceed (NTP) to gating contractor	(Regional TAP comes on line with MUNIs)																		

METRO RED LINE GATING

Amendment to Item No. 16 by Supervisor Yvonne B. Burke February 23, 2006

As we move forward with the implementation of the smart card Universal Fare System, we need also remedy the problems surrounding the present (non-barrier) passenger ticketing system. I believe it is time for this agency to make the capital investment for a barrier, turnstile ticketing system similar to what every other major transit property uses for their heavy rail "Red Line" systems.

Each year the MTA spends approximately \$19 million dollars on security for the Red Line, and a significant portion of that cost is spent on "fare inspectors" who randomly ask passengers to produce their tickets. It has been estimated that the capital costs of installation of a barrier ticketing system would run in the neighborhood of \$30 million dollars. While the former LACTC decision to employ the "honor system" for a fledgling rail service could be characterized as "laudable, it has proved over the years to be unwieldy, inefficient and extremely costly to rely on "fare inspectors" as a means to prevent fare evasion. It would be reasonable to estimate that the capital investment of a barrier system could be amortized over a period of several years and offset by the ongoing savings from the escalating manpower-costs of using fare inspectors. Furthermore, the barrier system efficiencies greatly enhance the application of the smart card technology.

Additionally, indirectly related to this issue of curtailing fare evasion, is the increasing problem that there is an extremely high incidence of fare evasion scofflaws who fail to pay the citation they receive from the MTA fare inspectors. Such failure to pay results in the Superior Court's issuance of a bench warrant; thereby further exacerbating the drain on existing court resources when the scofflaws are arrested and taken into custody. One example of this systemic problem is the Compton Court in the City of Compton. On any given day, the Compton Courthouse receives an average of 40 arrests just from MTA fare evasion-citation bench warrants. The processessing of these warrants and custodies puts a severe strain on the courts, who are otherwise extremely busy processing serious felony cases and criminals. MTA staff are presently engaged in discussions with the Courts examining alternatives to the present system. Many cities have decriminalized the infractions and have established "transit adjudication bureaus" that not only relieve the courts of this burdensome task, but also provide for a greater cost recovery mechanism for the administrative process and security efforts.

I, THEREFORE, MOVE, that this Board instruct the CEO to return to the Board in April at the Executive Management and Operations Committees (respectively) with:

- 1. Recommendations, including a timeline, on the implementation of a barrier ticketing system for the Red Line;
- 2. The capital costs of such implementation and potential funding sources;
- 3. A financial analysis of cost savings that includes a "payback" amortization period of the barrier system as compared with the present escalating manpower-costs associated with using fare inspectors; and
- 4. A report by staff on the feasibility of MTA sponsored legislation to decriminalize Penal Code Section 640, including recommendations and a financial analysis on the costs of establishing a "transit adjudication bureau" to process fare evasion infractions and the potential for MTA "cost recovery" revenue estimates.