



**Expo**

Exposition Metro Line  
Construction Authority


707 Wilshire Boulevard  
34th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

213.243.5500  
BuildExpo.org

**6.c**

**DATE: SEPTEMBER 7, 2006**

**TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**FROM: RICHARD D. THORPE**   
**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

**ACTION: AUTHORIZE THE CEO TO ISSUE A NOTICE-TO-PROCEED FOR CONSTRUCTION PACKAGE A1(a) STREET CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS WITH FCI/FLUOR/PARSONS (FFP), A JOINT VENTURE**

---

**RECOMMENDATION**

1. Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to issue a Notice-to-Proceed for Construction Package A1(a) for Street Corridor Improvements in a lump sum amount of \$10,024,626.00; and
2. Authorize a construction contingency of \$493,830.00 or 5% of the direct costs, for a total authorization of \$10,518,456.

**SUMMARY**

The first construction package consists of corridor improvements including sidewalk, street, utilities, and associated elements between Washington and 23<sup>rd</sup> Streets along Flower Street. The work from Washington Street north to 18<sup>th</sup> Street (shared trackage with the Metro Blue Line) will be negotiated and accomplished at a later date in order to minimize impact to the Blue Line. Certain items such as storm sewer and traffic signals and lighting will extend further south along Flower Street.

The Authority and the Contractor have conducted negotiations and reached a mutually satisfactory cost for the work.

## **DISCUSSION**

FFP has organized the project into nineteen (19) separate work packages which will be developed to 100% design and awarded, subject to satisfactory negotiation. The first is Construction Package A1(a), 18<sup>th</sup> to 23<sup>rd</sup> Street Corridor Improvements. This construction package includes, but is not limited to, utilities, sidewalk, curb and gutter, street cross section, sidewalks, trackways, traffic signals and lighting, and palm tree relocations. Certain items such as storm sewer, traffic signals and lighting will extend further south along Flower Street.

The Contractor prepared a detailed cost estimate addressing quantities, unit prices, production rates, and other cost elements. The Authority prepared its own independent estimate. The Authority and the Contractor then met to attempt to resolve scope and cost differences and arrive at a mutually acceptable cost.

The Contractor's initial proposal was \$13,392,545. The Authority's initial fair cost estimate was \$10,433,079. After several negotiating sessions where the scope of work was clarified and differences in the estimates were resolved, it was agreed that a fair cost for the subject construction package was \$10,518,456, including a 5% contingency on direct costs. The Summary of Negotiations is shown in Attachment A.

## **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

Funds for the proposed action are available from the FY07 budget category, Design/Build Contract, including the contingency amount recommendation contained herein.

## **NEXT STEPS**

If this action is approved by the Board, the CEO will issue a Notice-to-Proceed to FFP. FFP will submit various prerequisite documents, plans, and permit applications per contract and Authority requirements. Separate pre-construction meetings will be held for area residents in the evening and businesses during the day. Construction will commence thereafter.

## **ATTACHMENT(S)**

A. Summary of Negotiations

EXPOSITION METRO LINE CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY COST ANALYSIS TO SUPPORT PRE-NEGOTIATION MEMORANDUM SUMMARY OF NEGOTIATIONS			
<b>Contract No.</b>	1-06		
<b>Description:</b>	Construction Package A1		
<b>Prepared by:</b>	B. Gandy		
<b>Cost Analyst Approval:</b>	J. Miller		
<b>I. Reference Documents: (attached as necessary):</b>			
(A)	Independent Cost Estimated	Dated:	8/24/2006
(B)	Contractor's Cost Proposal	Dated:	8/24/2006
(C)	Technical Evaluation	Dated:	8/25/2006
(D)	Request for Revised Cost Data	Dated:	8/24/2006
(E)	Revised Cost Data Received	Dated:	8/29/2006
<b>II. Introduction: I have reviewed the Contractor's Revised Cost Proposal in the amount of \$10,765,371</b>			
The Cost Proposal Covers: Contractor's Scope of Work for Construction Package A1			
<b>III. Conclusion and Recommendations: (check one, as appropriate)</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cost is acceptable as proposed, no cost reductions recommended.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Revised Cost is acceptable as proposed, cost reductions recommended were made.		
<b>Summary Results Of Cost Analysis By Cost Element:</b>			
	<u>Proposed</u>	<u>Recommended</u>	<u>Reduction</u>
Contractor's Original Proposal	\$ 13,392,554	\$ 10,433,079	\$ 2,959,475
			0
			\$ 2,959,475
Contractor's Revised Proposal	\$ 10,024,626	\$ 10,024,626	\$ -
			\$ -
			\$ -
Contractor's Final Revised Proposal	\$ 10,024,626	\$ 10,024,626	\$ -
			\$ -
			\$ -
<b>IV. Record of Negotiations:</b>			
Direct labor hours were reviewed in detail and, a technical evaluation was performed. The Contractor submitted a Final Revised Proposal that reflected the negotiations and it was found to be acceptable.			