

6.f

DATE:

January 11, 2007

TO:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM:

RICHARD D. THORPE

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ACTION:

AUTHORIZE THE CEO TO ISSUE A NOTICE-TO-PROCEED FOR

LONG LEAD TIME ELEMENTS OF CONTRACT PACKAGE A(2),

SANITARY SEWER LINE

RECOMMENDATION

Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to issue a Notice-to-Proceed for long lead time elements of Contract Package A(2), Sanitary Sewer Line to Flatiron/Fluor/Parsons (FFP), a joint venture, in an amount not-to-exceed \$800,000.

SUMMARY

Contract Package A(2) consists of Corridor Improvements between 23rd Street and Vermont Avenue. A key element of this construction package is the replacement of an existing 44 inch brick sanitary sewer that conflicts with the rail line.

The Authority and the Contractor continue to conduct negotiations. It is anticipated that a mutually satisfactory cost for the work will be reached upon conclusion of these negotiations. The action requested herein provides for the procurement of new sanitary sewer pipe up to the Authority's fair cost estimate. This action is time sensitive due to the lead time associated with procurement of the pipe, and the need to subsequently perform this work during the off season to accommodate the needs of the community.

DISCUSSION

FFP has organized the project into eighteen (18) separate work packages which will be developed to 100% design and awarded subject to satisfactory negotiation. Package A2, 23rd Street to Vermont Avenue Corridor Improvements has a number of elements such as curb, gutter and street improvements, trackway, stations, and landscaping. Most of these elements remain under design development. One significant element of Package A2 is the replacement of roughly 2100 feet of existing 44" brick sanitary sewer and 700 feet of 21" sanitary sewer pipe that run under the ROW of the project. Relocation of this utility is a predecessor activity to all other improvements and is therefore a time sensitive activity.

The proposed replacement includes 1530 feet of new 48" pipe (44" is not a standard size) and 690 feet of 60" pipe.

The contractor prepared a detailed cost estimate addressing quantities, unit prices, production rates, and other cost elements. The Authority prepared its own independent estimate. The Authority and the Contractor are meeting to attempt to resolve scope and cost differences and arrive at a mutually acceptable cost.

The Contractor's initial proposal was \$799,848. The Authority's initial fair cost estimate was \$800,014. Negotiation sessions are continuing with the contractor to finalize quantities and it is fully expected that a mutually agreeable price will be developed within the Board authorized amount. The Cost Analysis is shown in Attachment A.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Funds for the proposed action are available from the FY 07 budget category <u>Design/Build Contract</u>. The potential for cost recovery will be explored with the City of Los Angeles under the terms of the Master Cooperative Agreement which stipulates that changes to the contract drawings after award of the Design Build contract (Design Freeze) requested by the City shall be paid for by the City.

NEXT STEPS

If this action is approved by the Board, the CEO will issue a limited Notice to Proceed to FFP. FFP will submit various prerequisite documents, plans, and permit applications per contract and Agency requirements.

ATTACHMENT(S)

A. Cost Analysis

		EXPOSITION METRO LINE CONS YSIS TO SUPPORT LIMITED NO					VI .	
	Contract No.	1-06	-			XXXXX		
	Description:	Procurement of 60" a	Procurement of 60" and 48" Sanitary Sewer Piping for Construction Package A2					
	Prepared by:	B. Gandy						
	Cost Analyst Approval:	V. Asfour						
l.	Reference Documents: (atta (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)	ached as necessary): Independent Cost Estimated Contractor's Cost Proposal Technical Evaluation Request for Revised Cost Data Revised Cost Data Received	Date Date Date Date	d: d: d:		1/2/2007 1/3/2007 1/3/2007 N/A N/A		
II.	The Cost Proposal Covers: I	ed the Contractor's Revised Cos Limited Notice to Proceed for proct Package A2	uremer					ction
111.	Conclusion and Recommen	dations: (check one, as appropr	riate)					
	Cost is a	cceptable as proposed, no cost	reduct	tions recom	mend	led.		
	Revised	Cost is acceptable as proposed.	cost r	eductions r	ecom	mended we	re made	
	X Revised	Cost is acceptable as proposed,	cost r	eductions r	ecom	mended we	re made	
	X Revised 6 Summary Results Of Cost A	• • • •					re made	
		• • • •	Co	ntractor's	Auth	ority's Fair		
		• • • •	Co		Auth <u>Cos</u>		(Reduct	
	Summary Results Of Cost A	• • • •	Co P	ntractor's 'roposal	Auth <u>Cos</u>	ority's Fair t Estimate	(Reduct	tion)/Add 166
	Summary Results Of Cost A	• • • •	Co P	ntractor's 'roposal	Auth Cos \$	ority's Fair t Estimate	(Reduct \$ \$ \$ \$	t <u>ion)/Add</u> 166 0
	Summary Results Of Cost A Original Proposal	• • • •	Co P \$	ntractor's <u>Proposal</u> 799,848	Auth Cos \$	ority's Fair t Estimate 800,014	(Reduct	t <u>ion)/Add</u> 166 0
	Summary Results Of Cost A Original Proposal	• • • •	Co P \$	ntractor's <u>Proposal</u> 799,848	Auth Cos \$	ority's Fair t Estimate 800,014	(Reduct \$ \$ \$ \$	t <u>ion)/Add</u> 166 0
	Summary Results Of Cost A Original Proposal Revised Proposal	• • • •	Co P \$	ntractor's Proposal 799,848 800,000	Auth Cos \$	800,000	(Reduct \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	t <u>ion)/Add</u> 166 0