

# PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE NOVEMBER 14, 2007

SUBJECT:

PROPOSITION 1B TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYNCHRONIZATION PROGRAM

**ACTION:** 

APPROVE RECOMMENDATIONS

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- A. Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the City of Los Angeles (City) to exchange \$18 million in City Signal Synchronization projects previously approved through the Call for Projects (Attachment A, projects 1-8) to fund eligible replacement signal projects to be submitted by the City (Attachment A, projects 9-18); and
- B. Contingent upon the City receiving its full \$150 million from Proposition 1B, deobligate \$25.5 million in Call funding being returned by the City to cover the \$5 million advanced from the 2009 Call for Projects as well as for use in future Calls for Projects.

## **ISSUE**

In November 2006, voters approved the State Infrastructure Bond program. The Bond contained several categories of funding including the \$250 million Highway Safety, Rehabilitation and Preservation Account Traffic Signal Synchronization program. Through SB 88, the program's implementing legislation, \$150 million of Signal Synchronization funds were targeted for the City of Los Angeles. Caltrans is currently developing the program guidelines which will emphasize funding for projects which are ready for construction. Since many of the City's Signal projects funded through the 2001 and earlier Calls for Projects are construction ready, the City has requested that Metro allow it to use Proposition 1B funds to construct eight existing Call projects and that it be allowed to submit ten replacement projects (Attachment A).

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The recommended action is a departure from the Call for Projects process where grant funds are not normally transferable to other projects. The recommended action is consistent, however, with good financial practice to maximize the amount and use of outside sources of funding for Metro's transportation program.

To address the concern as to whether the "replacement" projects would be eligible for the Call, the City has agreed to submit project descriptions for Metro's consideration. Based on these descriptions, Metro will determine whether the projects are eligible for Call for Projects dollars.

#### **OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

The Metro Board could elect to: (1) not to allow the City to shift the Call funds to "replacement" projects; (2) approve the exchange of funds for a lesser amount; (3) require that the City not compete for signal projects in the 2009 or 2011 Calls for Projects, as appropriate, or (4) change the conditions under which Metro would approve the exchange of funds. None of these options are recommended. Based on Los Angeles County's state formula allocation, the County as a whole could reasonably have expected to receive \$70 million in bond funds through this program. The City of Los Angeles' targeted dollars surpass this expectation resulting in more State funds coming into Los Angeles County for transportation purposes. Additionally, the deobligated dollars can be used to cover the \$5 million advanced from the 2009 Call and programmed through the 2007 Call for Projects to fund the City of South Gate's I-710 Early Action Program-Intersection Improvement project as well as add funds to the 2009 Call for Projects funding mark.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is a net positive financial impact of this exchange for Metro and Los Angeles County as a whole. By securing the \$150 million in bond funds, the City of Los Angeles has ensured that more State funds come into Los Angeles County. Additionally, Metro advanced funds from the 2009 Call for Projects to fund the City of South Gate's I-710 Early Action program project with the expectation that the cash flow requirements could be met through first priority for funding from deobligated or delayed projects. The funds will now be made available through the \$25.5 million being deobligated by the City which will allow Metro to program the dollars towards eligible modal categories in future Calls for Projects.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The City has requested that for previously approved signal mode Call projects, Metro allow it to exchange construction funding to bond proceeds and to submit replacement projects for these dollars (Attachment A). The City has also agreed to submit project descriptions to ensure that the "replacements" are eligible for Call funding.

Metro has considered the City's request and feels that by allowing this trade, it will create a "win-win" for Los Angeles County's local jurisdiction as it will bring more transportation dollars into the County; strengthen the City's chances of obtaining the full \$150 million in bond funds; provide additional deobligated dollars for future Calls for Projects. Additionally, the City's signal system provides the backbone for the City's transit priority program. The Transit Priority System (TPS) provides state-of-the art bus signal priority that enhances transit travel times and allows for the expansion of the Metro Rapid program and other high volume municipal or regional transit services.

The City could keep Metro's Call money on the existing projects and wait to request its bond funds for placement on later projects. Because of the overall State budget situation and the uncertainty of future bond allocations, however, this could jeopardize the City's ability to receive the full \$150 million.

## **NEXT STEPS**

Upon Metro Board approval, a Memorandum of Understanding will be developed and executed with the City. Metro will review the submitted replacement projects' descriptions to ensure eligibility for Call for Projects funding.

## **ATTACHMENT**

A. List of City of Los Angeles Existing and Proposed Signal Projects

Prepared by: Renee Berlin, Executive Officer, Countywide Planning and Development David Yale, Deputy Executive Officer, Countywide Planning and Development

Carol Inge
Chief Planning Officer

Roger Snoble
Chief Executive Officer

Project Const Prop 1B	13,540,410 11,219,940 12,863,880 8,022,510 8,928,900 16,208,100 5,634,900 4,922,100 81,340,740	5,602,500 7,276,720 7,320,720 3,850,000 5,275,600 6,058,800 4,541,680 747,234 27,986,006
Surplus Metro <u>Funding</u>	8,836,902 5,503,405 8,320,062 5,142,510 2,791,044 5,642,139 4,640,867 2,635,078 43,512,008	ı
Proposed Metro <u>Funding</u>	1,038,098 897,595 971,938 570,490 733,956 1,321,861 467,133 348,922 6,349,992	498,000 793,824 798,624 420,000 575,520 660,960 495,456 6,774,213 3,908,000 3,053,019 17,977,616
Proposed Local <u>Match</u>	466,392 349,065 457,382 320,900 258,144 479,039 158,967 197,978 2,687,868	124,500 198,456 199,656 105,000 143,880 165,240 1693,553 977,000 763,255 4,494,404
Approved Metro <u>Funding</u>	9,875,000 6,401,000 9,292,000 5,713,000 3,525,000 6,964,000 5,108,000 2,984,000	
Project <b>Construction</b> <u>Cost</u>	13,540,410 11,219,940 12,863,880 8,022,510 8,928,900 16,208,100 5,634,900 4,922,100 81,340,740	5,602,500 7,276,720 7,320,720 3,850,000 5,275,600 6,058,800 4,541,680 8,109,200 4,298,800 27,986,006 80,320,026
Project <b>Design</b> <u>Cost</u>	1,504,490 1,246,660 1,429,320 891,390 992,100 1,800,900 626,100 546,900	622,500 992,280 998,280 525,000 719,400 826,200 619,320 1,105,800 586,200 3,816,274
Local <u>Match</u> %	31.00% 28.00% 32.00% 36.00% 26.02% 25.39% 36.20%	20.00% 20.00% 20.00% 20.00% 20.00% 20.00% 20.00% 20.00%
Total Project <u>Cost</u>	15,044,900 12,466,600 14,293,200 8,913,900 9,921,000 18,009,000 6,261,000 5,469,000	6,225,000 8,269,000 8,319,000 4,375,000 5,995,000 6,885,000 5,161,000 9,215,000 4,885,000 31,802,280
ATSAC Project	1) ATSAC - Reseda 2) ATSAC - Wilmington 3) ATSAC - Canoga Park 4) ATSAC - San Pedro 5) ATSAC - Harbor - Gateway 2 6) ATSAC - Pacific Palisades/Canyons 7) ATSAC - Platt Ranch Subtotal	Replacement Projects 9) ATSAC - Foothill 10) ATCS - Santa Monica Fwy Corridor I 11) ATCS - Santa Monica Fwy Corridor I 12) ATCS - Westwood / West Los Angel 13) ATCS - Wilshire East 14) ATCS - Echo Park / Silver Lake 15) ATCS - West Adams 16) ATCS - Central Business District 17) ATCS - Central City East 18) ATCS - Los Angeles Subtotal

	1
\$ 25,534,392	Surplus Metro Funding =
\$ 24,327,608	Proposed Metro Funding =
\$ 49,862,000	Prevously Approved Metro Funding =

- \$ 19,849,114 \$161,660,766 \$ 49,862,000 \$ 7,182,272 \$24,327,608 \$ 43,512,008 \$150,000,000

\$ 181,509,880 \$

**Grand Total**