

### OPERATIONS COMMITTEE JUNE 19, 2008

**SUBJECT:** 

TRANSIT DATABASE UPGRADE

**ACTION:** 

AWARD CONTRACT PS39602143 TO JESKELL, INC. FOR \$234,347

### **RECOMMENDATION**

Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to award Contract PS39602143 to Jeskell, Inc. for the design and implementation of a Transit Database (TDB) Upgrade, including the provision of required hardware, software and associated labor, for the Advanced Transportation Management System (ATMS) for a firm, fixed price in the amount of \$234,347.

### **RATIONALE**

The TDB is the central repository for the ATMS operational data used for interfacing to the various support systems that require real-time bus information. The TDB provides the data fusion function and enhances network security by isolating the ATMS operational system from the various external systems that require data access.

The TDB provides the daily download of operator information from the Transit Operating and Trends System (TOTS) to ATMS so that system logon can be made using the ATMS/Universal Fare System single logon feature by having the latest manpower schedules available. Our comprehensive M3 maintenance system obtains information from the TDB to resolve real-time fleet maintenance issues (vehicle incidents, breakdowns, etc.).

The current TDB has reached the end of its useful service life for similar equipment used in a real-time 24/7 operational environment. The TDB upgrade will provide for system redundancy, backup capability, and increased storage capacity for faster vehicle polling. Additionally, the TDB upgrade will improve data access to meet the need for simultaneous operational reporting, active fleet management and AVL vehicle tracking.

This turnkey contract will provide the required IBM/Cisco hardware, Oracle/Windows software, and the configuration, integration, testing and system cutover needed to ensure a seamless transition from the current to the new upgraded system.

### **Background**

The ATMS is the core operational system used to manage our 2500+ bus vehicle fleet. The ATMS provides voice/data radio dispatching capabilities as well as automatic passenger counting (APC), automated vehicle annunciation (AVA), video surveillance, real-time automated vehicle location (AVL) information and daily operator schedules. The ATMS development started in 2002 and was operational across the fleet by 2004. The ATMS supports many of our newer operational initiatives as well as our ongoing operational performance objectives.

### **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

Funding for this project is included in the FY08 capital budget under CP 202232 (ATMS Upgrade) and is included in the FY09 capital budget in Cost Center 3960.

Since this is a multi-year project, the Project Manager and Chief Operations Officer are responsible for budgeting costs in future years consistent with the board-adopted total life-of-project budget. This action will not impact the approved life-of-project budget.

#### **ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

An alternative to undertaking the TDB Upgrade is not to proceed with the enhancement. This is not recommended because the TDB is nearing the end of its useful service life. Failure to upgrade the TDB will result in increased operational expenses to support daily revenue service requirements as the ATMS seeks to meet the performance needs of on-going operational development (Rapid Bus Expansion, 511, Bus Signal Priority, Next-Bus arrival information, etc.) that are being developed throughout the agency and rely on accurate and available real-time information. Further, the decision not to proceed with the upgrade will increase the possibility of primary and dependent system failures.

### ATTACHMENT(S):

- A Procurement Summary
- A-1 Procurement History
- A-2 List of Subcontractors

Prepared by: Al Martinez, Supervising Engineer

Aida Asuncion, Deputy Executive Officer, Metro Rail Operations

Michael J. Cannell General Manager, Rail Operations

Roger Snoble Chief Executive Officer

# BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

### Transit Database Upgrade

2. Recommended Vendor: Jeskell, Inc. 3. Cost/Price Analysis Information:  A. Bid/Proposed Price: \$234,347  B. Details of Significant Variances are in Attachment A-1.D  4. Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price  5. Procurement Dates:  A. Issued: 2-14-2008  B. Advertised: 2-15-2008  C. Pre-proposal Conference: 2-21-2008  E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008  F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008  E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008  F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008  6. Small Business Participation:  A. Bid/Proposal Goal: Date Small Business Evaluation Completed: 0% SBE Goal 12-3-2007  Small Business Commitment: N/A  7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:  Notifications Sent: Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names: Bids/Proposal Amount: \$228,047 (rechnically Unacceptable) No Bid Unacceptable) No Bid  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  Telephone Number: 922-3416	1.	Contract Number: PS39602143									
A. Bid/Proposed Price: \$234,347  B. Details of Significant Variances are in Attachment A-1.D  4. Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price  5. Procurement Dates:  A. Issued: 2-14-2008 B. Advertised: 2-15-2008 C. Pre-proposal Conference: 2-21-2008 D. Proposals Due: 3-24-2008, BAFO Due: 4-16-2008 E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008 F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008 6. Small Business Participation: A. Bid/Proposal Goal: 0% SBE Goal 12-3-2007 Small Business Commitment: N/A 7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data: Notifications Sent: 1,123 Notifications Sent: 1,123 Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information: A. Bidders/Proposers Names: Bid/Proposal Amount: \$228,047 (Technically Unacceptable) No Bid  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  10. Project Manager: Telephone Number:	2.	Recommended Vendor: Jeskell, Inc.									
S 234,347   S 234,347   S 234,347	3.	Cost/Price Analysis Information:									
B. Details of Significant Variances are in Attachment A-1.D  4. Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price  5. Procurement Dates: A. Issued: 2-14-2008 B. Advertised: 2-15-2008 C. Pre-proposal Conference: 2-21-2008 D. Proposals Due: 3-24-2008, BAFO Due: 4-16-2008 E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008 F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008  6. Small Business Participation: A. Bid/Proposal Goal: 0% SBE Goal Date Small Business Evaluation Completed: 12-3-2007  Small Business Commitment: N/A  7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data: Notifications Sent: 1,123 Notifications Sent: 1,123 Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information: A. Bidders/Proposers Names: Bid/Proposal A. Bidders/Proposers Names: Bid/Proposal Amount: 5228,047 (Technically Unacceptable) S199,713 (Technically Unacceptable) No Bid  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu Project Manager: Telephone Number:		A. Bid/Proposed Price:		]	Recommend	ed Pri	ce:				
4. Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price  5. Procurement Dates:  A. Issued: 2-14-2008  B. Advertised: 2-15-2008  C. Pre-proposal Conference: 2-21-2008  D. Proposals Due: 3-24-2008, BAFO Due: 4-16-2008  E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008  F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008  6. Small Business Participation:  A. Bid/Proposal Goal:  O% SBE Goal  Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:  Notifications Sent:  1,123  Notifications Sent:  1,123  Bids/Proposals Picked  up:  78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  Bid/Proposal  Amount:  \$228,047 (Technically Unacceptable)  \$199,713 (Technically Unacceptable)  No Bid  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal.  Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator:  Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number:											
5. Procurement Dates: A. Issued: 2-14-2008 B. Advertised: 2-15-2008 C. Pre-proposal Conference: 2-21-2008 D. Proposals Due: 3-24-2008, BAFO Due: 4-16-2008 E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008 F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008 6. Small Business Participation: A. Bid/Proposal Goal: Date Small Business Evaluation Completed: 12-3-2007 Small Business Commitment: N/A 7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data: Notifications Sent: Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78 8. Evaluation Information: A. Bidders/Proposers Names: Bid/Proposal Amount: 5228,047 (Technically Unacceptable) Amount: 1. Jeskell, Inc. 228,047 (Technically Unacceptable) S199,713 (Technically Unacceptable) No Bid  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C 9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu 922-4689 11. Project Manager: Telephone Number:											
A. Issued: 2-14-2008 B. Advertised: 2-15-2008 C. Pre-proposal Conference: 2-21-2008 D. Proposals Due: 3-24-2008, BAFO Due: 4-16-2008 E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008 F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008 6. Small Business Participation: A. Bid/Proposal Goal: 0% SBE Goal Date Small Business Evaluation Completed: 12-3-2007 Small Business Commitment: N/A Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data: Notifications Sent: 1,123 Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78 Bids/Proposal Bids/Proposals Received: 2 2  8. Evaluation Information: A. Bidders/Proposers Names: A. Bidders/Proposers Names: A. Bidders/Proposers Names: Bid/Proposal Amount: 5228,047 (Technically Unacceptable) No Bid No Bid  Best and Final Offer Amount: \$234,347 (Technically Unacceptable) No Bid  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Ontract Administrator: Mark Lu 922-4689  11. Project Manager: Telephone Number:											
B. Advertised: 2-15-2008 C. Pre-proposal Conference: 2-21-2008 D. Proposals Due: 3-24-2008, BAFO Due: 4-16-2008 E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008 F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008 6. Small Business Participation: A. Bid/Proposal Goal:	5.										
C. Pre-proposal Conference: 2-21-2008  D. Proposals Due: 3-24-2008, BAFO Due: 4-16-2008  E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008  F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008  6. Small Business Participation:  A. Bid/Proposal Goal: 0% SBE Goal 12-3-2007  Small Business Commitment: N/A  7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data: Notifications Sent: 1,123  Notifications Sent: 1,123  Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names: Bid/Proposal Amount: 5228,047 (Technically Unacceptable)  Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager: Telephone Number:											
D. Proposals Due: 3-24-2008, BAFO Due: 4-16-2008  E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008  F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008  6. Small Business Participation:  A. Bid/Proposal Goal:  O% SBE Goal  Small Business Commitment: N/A  7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:  Notifications Sent:  1,123  Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  Bid/Proposal Amount:  \$228,047 (Technically Unacceptable)  2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number:											
E. Pre-Qualification Completed: 5-9-2008  F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008  6. Small Business Participation:  A. Bid/Proposal Goal:											
F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: 4-1-2008  6. Small Business Participation:  A. Bid/Proposal Goal: 0% SBE Goal  Telephone Number:  A. Bid/Proposal Goal: 12-3-2007  Small Business Commitment: N/A  7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:  Notifications Sent: 1,123  Bids/Proposal Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  Bid/Proposal Amount:  \$228,047 (Technically Unacceptable) Unacceptable) No Bid  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number: 922-4689  Telephone Number:											
6. Small Business Participation:  A. Bid/Proposal Goal: O% SBE Goal  I2-3-2007  Small Business Commitment: N/A  7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:  Notifications Sent: I,123  Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names: A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  Bid/Proposal Amount: \$228,047 (Technically Unacceptable)  Vinacceptable)  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  C. Oisposition of Protest Date: TBD  C. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number:											
A. Bid/Proposal Goal: 0% SBE Goal  Small Business Commitment: N/A  7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:  Notifications Sent: 1,123  Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  Bid/Proposal Amount: 2. Direct System Support  Bid/Proposal Amount: 1. Jeskell, Inc. 2. Direct System Support  Bid/Proposal Amount: \$228,047 (Technically Unacceptable) \$199,713 (Technically Unacceptable) \$199,713 (Technically Unacceptable) No Bid  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD 10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number: 922-4689  Telephone Number:											
Small Business Commitment: N/A   Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:   Notifications Sent:	6.		n:								
Small Business Commitment: N/A  7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:  Notifications Sent:  1,123  Bids/Proposals Picked up:  78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  1. Jeskell, Inc.  2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically unacceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  C. Ontract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number:  Telephone Number:							valuation Completed:				
7. Invitation for Bid/Request for Proposal Data:  Notifications Sent: 1,123  Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78  8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:											
Notifications Sent:  1,123    Bids/Proposals Picked up: 78    Received: 2   Received:											
8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  Bid/Proposal Amount:  1. Jeskell, Inc.  2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  1. Project Manager:  Telephone Number:  92-4689  Telephone Number:	7.										
8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  1. Jeskell, Inc.  2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Bid/Proposal Amount:  \$234,347 (Technically Acceptable)  No Bid  No Bid  Telephone Number:  1. Jeskell, Inc.  Amount:  \$234,347 (Technically Acceptable)  No Bid  Telephone Number:  9. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  Telephone Number:  922-4689  Telephone Number:			' <del> </del>				-				
8. Evaluation Information:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  1. Jeskell, Inc.  2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically unacceptable)  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number:  11. Project Manager:  Telephone Number:		1,123	up:				2				
A. Bidders/Proposers Names:  1. Jeskell, Inc. 2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Best and Final Offer Amount: \$234,347 (Technically Acceptable) No Bid  Proposal.  Acceptable proposal.  Proposal Acceptable proposal.  Standard Final Offer Amount:  \$234,347 (Technically Acceptable) No Bid  Telephone Number:  10. Telephone Number:  11. Project Manager:  Telephone Number:				/8							
1. Jeskell, Inc. 2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically unacceptable)  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal.  Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number:  Telephone Number:	8.	-									
1. Jeskell, Inc. 2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically unacceptable)  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number:  Telephone Number:  Telephone Number:		A. Bidders/Proposers Nar	nes:								
2. Direct System Support  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number:  Telephone Number:		1 7 - 1-11 7			\$228,047 (Technically		l				
2. Direct System Support  \$199,713 (Technically Unacceptable)  B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal.  Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator:  Mark Lu  922-4689  11. Project Manager:  Telephone Number:		1. Jeskell, Inc.									
B. Evaluation Methodology: Selection of lowest price, technically acceptable proposal. Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager: Telephone Number: Telephone Number:		2 Direct System Support				cally					
Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu 922-4689  11. Project Manager: Telephone Number:		2. Direct System Support		Una	cceptable)						
Details are in Attachment A-1.C  9. Protest Information: A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008 B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu 922-4689  11. Project Manager: Telephone Number:	<b></b>	v accentable proposal									
9. Protest Information:  A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number: 922-4689  Telephone Number:		Details are in Attachment A-1 C									
A. Protest Period End Date: 6-24-2008  B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Telephone Number: 922-4689  11. Project Manager: Telephone Number:	9.										
B. Protest Receipt Date: TBD  C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Mark Lu  Project Manager:  Telephone Number: 922-4689  Telephone Number:											
C. Disposition of Protest Date: TBD  10. Contract Administrator: Telephone Number: 922-4689  11. Project Manager: Telephone Number:											
10.Contract Administrator: Mark LuTelephone Number: 922-468911.Project Manager:Telephone Number:											
Mark Lu 922-4689  11. Project Manager: Telephone Number:	10.										
	11.	Project Manager: Telephone			ephone Num	ne Number:					

## BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A-1 PROCUREMENT HISTORY

### Transit Database Upgrade

### A. <u>Background on Contractor</u>

Established in 1991, Jeskell, Inc. (Jeskell) is located in Sunnyvale, California. The firm is a top-tier IBM Premier Business Partner, and is authorized to provide all IBM server platforms and storage systems, software, and services. Jeskell is an authorized subcontractor for IBM Global Service (IGS), the world's largest business and technology services provider.

Jeskell is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FusionStorm. FusionStorm has been an Oracle Partner since 1995, and its current partner status is that of an Oracle Certified Advantage Partner. Services provided by FusionStorm have covered most of the core products in Oracle's Technology Stack, including Oracle Database Servers and Application Servers.

Jeskell/FusionStorm is also a Microsoft Gold Certified Partner and a Cisco Gold Certified Business Partner. Jeskell has secured current California Multiple Award Schedule (CMAS) and Federal GSA Schedule contracts, which are both competitively awarded, and Jeskell has provided IBM and Cisco equipment to us in the past with satisfactory results.

### B. Procurement Background

Request for Proposal No. PS92402143 was released on February 14, 2008 and advertised on February 15, 2008. A pre-proposal conference was held on February 21, 2008. Three firms attended the pre-proposal conference. The proposal deadline was March 24, 2008 and two firms (Jeskell and Direct System Support (DSS), respectively) submitted a proposal prior to the stated deadline.

The proposals were evaluated based upon a technically acceptable, lowest price methodology. After the technical evaluation by our Evaluation Committee (Committee), the Committee found that both contractors failed to provide a qualified proposal due to their lack of understanding of the project requirements. Accordingly, discussions were arranged with the proposers to review the technical requirements included in the solicitation.

After discussions were completed, we then requested a Best and Final Offer (BAFO) from each proposer. DSS determined that it could not meet the stated technical requirements and, as a result, decided not to provide a BAFO and dropped out of the competition. On April 16, 2008, Jeskell submitted a technically acceptable BAFO. Jeskell's technically acceptable proposal increased by \$6,300 (or 2.73%) over its original proposal amount. Jeskell's final price proposal was for a firm, fixed price of \$234,347.

The Diversity & Economic Opportunity Department (DEOD) did not recommend an SBE goal for this procurement. Based on industry practice, the Prime (Jeskell) is expected to

complete the entire scope with its own workforce. However, pursuant to the Small Business Enterprise (SBE) program, if Jeskell utilizes the services of subcontractors, they are expected to afford maximum opportunities to SBE firms in all subcontracting and supply services areas throughout the life of the contract.

### C. Evaluation of Proposals

The BAFO submitted by Jeskell was evaluated by the Committee, using the criteria set forth in the Request For Proposals. Jeskell's BAFO proposal was determined to be technically acceptable and in full compliance with all of the requirements set forth in the solicitation.

### D. Cost/Price Analysis Explanation of Variances

The recommended proposal was submitted under the presumption of adequate price competition. As such, the recommended price has been determined to be fair and reasonable.

# BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A-2 LIST OF SUBCONTRACTORS

### Transit Database Upgrade

PRIME CONTRACTOR - Jeskell, Inc.

<u>Small Business Commitment</u> <u>Other Subcontractors</u>

N/A N/A

Total Commitment 0 %