Thursday, April 24, 2008

MINUTES

Regular Board Meeting Board of Directors

One Gateway Plaza 3rd Floor Board Room

Called to Order at 9:54 A.M.

Directors Present:

Pam O'Connor, Chair
Antonio Villaraigosa, 1st Vice Chair
Don Knabe, 2nd Vice Chair
Michael Antonovich
Yvonne B. Burke
John Fasana
David Fleming
Richard Katz
Bonnie Lowenthal
Gloria Molina
Ara J. Najarian
Bernard Parks
Zev Yaroslavsky
Doug Failing, non-voting member

Officers

Roger Snoble, Chief Executive Officer Michele Jackson, Board Secretary Karen Gorman, Ethics Officer Karen Gorman, Acting Inspector General County Counsel, General Counsel



B. contract modifications up to \$425,000 to cover the cost of any potentially unforeseen issues that may arise during the preparation of the congestion pricing operating plan and the community participation efforts.

Forwarded to Ad-Hoc Congestion Pricing Committee to discuss outreach.

- 49. APPROVED as **amended** by DIRECTORS ANTONOVICH, BURKE AND KATZ O'CONNOR MOTION that the Metro authorize the CEO to:
 - A. research the requirements for putting a half percent sales tax measure on the November ballot;
 - B. develop a comprehensive package of projects and programs that would include moving up projects' schedules currently in the Constrained Plan of the draft 2008 Long Range Transportation Plan, as well as, including the highest performing projects in the Tier I element of the Strategic Plan and Tier II of the TCIF projects;
 - C. develop a draft ballot measure for the Board's review and consideration;
 - D. initiate any other efforts that will be needed to inform or help the Board in its consideration of placing a measure on the November 2008 ballot; and
 - E. return to the Board in June 2008 for further consideration of asking the County Board of Supervisors to place a measure on the November 2008 Ballot.

Burke Amendment to include operations funding.

Katz Amendment that the ballot measure be less constrained.

Antonovich Motion that the Board direct the CEO to take immediate action to have Metro staff make a detailed presentation to and request comprehensive input from:

- A. each of the Councils of Government in Los Angeles County (e.g. San Gabriel Valley, Gateway Cities, South Bay Cities, Westside Cities, Las Virgenes-Malibu, Arroyo Verdugo)
- B. the North County Transportation Council
- C. the City of Los Angeles

- D. and as many of the unincorporated areas and cities not included in these regional governance structures.
- 50. VILLARAIGOSA MOTION as **amended** by LOWENTHAL and ANTONOVICH that the MTA Board direct the CEO to:
 - A. issue a request for information (RFI) within 30 days requesting concepts and preliminary proposals for innovative public-private partnerships to build one or more of the 18 candidate fixed guideway projects using the attached principles;
 - B. work with interested parties to provide requested data necessary to develop concepts and preliminary proposals; and
 - C. present to the Board information received from the RFI no later than the August 2008 MTA Board meeting, analyze the advantages and disadvantages of each approach, and seek policy direction from the MTA Board on whether to proceed to a formal request for proposals for a public-private partnership.

Lowenthal Amendment that the Interstate 710 Freeway Corridor and Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension Phase II projects are included.

Antonovich Amendment that the Metro CEO:

- A. expand the scope of the request for information to include proposals on any of the fixed guideway systems in the strategic portion of the Metro Long Range Transportation Plan, any new fixed guideway concepts in Los Angeles County regardless of technology or corridor, and highway projects such as the High Desert Corridor and the SR 710 Gap Closure.
- B. extend the period of submittal of proposals by the private sector to June 30, 2008, with the understanding that proposals for the projects requested by the original motion are requested by May 31, 2008 for initial consideration by the board.
- C. the principles enumerated in the original motion shall be recommended, but not required, guidelines for the private sector to consider when making their proposals with the opportunity for the private sector to consider when making their proposals to Metro that do not follow each principle provided for the sake of flexibility and innovation.