### CITY OF BELLFLOWER ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	16 17 18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Schedule of Capital Assets	20 21 22 23
Notes to Financial Statements	24
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	30

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### (Continued)

#### **COMPLIANCE SECTION**

EXIT CONFERENCE	39
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	38
Compliance Matrix	34
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	32





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Bellflower, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Bellflower, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Bellflower, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Bellflower, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 21, 2020, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 21, 2020

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

#### June 30

		2020	2019
ASSETS	•		
Cash and investments	\$	1,850,610	\$ 2,779,632
Interest receivable		7,696	16,199
Due from Metro		-	 46,138
Total assets	\$	1,858,306	\$ 2,841,969
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	218,741	\$ 58,734
Retention payable		13,642	20,162
Accrued payroll and benefits payable		5,849	 2,693
Total liabilities		238,232	 81,589
Fund Balance			
Restricted		1,620,074	 2,760,380
Total fund balance	•	1,620,074	 2,760,380
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,858,306	\$ 2,841,969

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 1,504,389	\$ 1,538,974
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 8)	-	92,569
Interest income	46,646	60,365
Total revenues	1,551,035	1,691,908
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	2,691,341	1,819,901
Total expenditures	2,691,341	1,819,901
Deficiency of revenues under expenditures	(1,140,306)	(127,993)
Fund balance at beginning of year	2,760,380	2,888,373
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,620,074	\$ 2,760,380

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

#### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

	_				
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	 Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-07	Long Beach Transit Subsidy \$	19,600	\$ 22,194	\$ (2,594) \$	19,546
120-01	Dial-A-Ride/Fixed Route	822,961	597,904	225,057	561,868
150-21	Bus Shelters	386,643	291,063	95,580	14,289
170-08	Transit Facilities Maintenance	7,600	-	7,600	_
190-09	Special Events Vehicle	22,813	5,996	16,817	22,586
290-01	Regional Transit Center and Parking				
	Structure (Transit Plaza - Regional)	1,845,921	973,402	872,519	535,066
290-03	Annual Debt Service for the Regional				
	Transit Center and Parking Structure				
	(Note 9)	750,000	750,000	-	602,245
480-05	Administration	52,731	 50,782	1,949	64,301
	Total expenditures \$	3,908,269	\$ 2,691,341	\$ 1,216,928 \$	1,819,901

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date		Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2019	 Additions		Deletions	 6/30/2020
8/13/08	Starcraft Starlite Paratransit Bus \$	45,781	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 45,781
10/10/11	HID Global Fargo Dial-A-Ride Printer	3,055	-		-	3,055
12/19/14	Transit Center/16337 Bellflower Boulevard	1,438,251	-		-	1,438,251
11/11/16	Bus Shelter Improvements	128,164	-		-	128,164
2/28/18	Local Transfer Station	721,620	-		-	721,620
3/9/20	Card Printer	-	2,646		-	2,646
4/28/20	Regional Transit Center/Parking Structure	-	1,584,347		-	1,584,347
5/26/20	Fortinet Firewall with Installation and					
	HPE Office Connection	-	 7,996	_	-	 7,996
	Total \$	2,336,871	\$ 1,594,989	\$	-	\$ 3,931,860

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

#### June 30

		2020		2019
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	2,104,470	\$	1,916,672
Interest receivable	,	6,965		11,395
Total assets	\$	2,111,435	\$	1,928,067
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	629,451	\$	39,673
Retention payable		34,690		-
Accrued payroll and benefits payable	,	4,283		2,727
Total liabilities		668,424		42,400
Fund Balance				
Restricted	,	1,443,011		1,885,667
Total fund balance	,	1,443,011	. ,	1,885,667
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	2,111,435	\$	1,928,067

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 1,247,894	\$ 1,276,535
Interest income	38,347	37,741
Sale of property (Note 10)	607	
Total revenues	1,286,848	1,314,276
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	1,729,504	1,001,520
Total expenditures	1,729,504	1,001,520
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(442,656)	312,756
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,885,667	1,572,911
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,443,011	\$ 1,885,667

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

#### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
150-20	Bus Stop Shelter Maintenance \$	110,000 \$	107,313	\$ 2,687 \$	44,260
220-12	Transit Officer	472,584	474,085	(1,501)	449,862
270-17	Membership Dues for Gateway Cities				
	COG and Orange Line Development				
	Authority	45,000	42,050	2,950	58,299
290-03	Annual Debt Service for the Regional				
	Transit Center and Parking Structure				
	(Note 9)	99,585	98,940	645	86,091
300-08	Transit Facilities Maintenance	50,000	19,621	30,379	-
350-26	91 Freeway Ramp Access at Bellflower				
	Boulevard	991,000	-	991,000	-
400-01	Traffic Signal Improvements	100,000	-	100,000	-
410-13	Internet Publishing	163,565	185,369	(21,804)	161,007
440-04	Street Improvements	740,606	740,775	(169)	129,088
470-02	Street Improvements	-	-	-	16,817
480-06	Administration	68,256	61,351	6,905	56,096
	Total expenditures \$	2,840,596 \$	1,729,504	\$ 1,111,092 \$	1,001,520

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description	Balance 7/1/2019	 Additions	_	Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2020
06/30/98	20 Pasenger Bus/Handicap \$	45,479	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 45,479
09/24/99	Natural Smooth Benches	6,078	-		_	6,078
12/26/00	9 X 12 Presentation Screen	986	-		-	986
03/23/01	Traffic Signal Modification	7,186	-		-	7,186
03/23/01	Traffic Signal Modification	9,620	-		-	9,620
11/26/01	Lowel Lightning Kit	1,585	-		-	1,585
02/18/03	Wireless Network Device	3,759	-		-	3,759
02/18/03	Wireless Network Device	1,880	-		-	1,880
02/18/03	Wireless Network Device	1,880	-		-	1,880
03/24/03	After Effects 5.5 Pro Mac License	2,595	-		-	2,595
05/27/03	Somerset Boulevard Improvement	208,146	-		-	208,146
06/23/03	Artesia Boulevard Median Improvement	79,974	-		-	79,974
06/26/03	Proxim Tsunami Quickbridge 60 Kit	7,389	-		-	7,389
06/30/03	Somerset Boulevard Improvement	13,919	-		-	13,919
09/22/03	Artesia Boulevard Median Improvement	41,802	-		-	41,802
09/30/03	Somerset Boulevard At Clark Avenue	19,075	-		-	19,075
03/11/04	Bus Benches (7) with Bellflower Name	3,999	-		-	3,999
02/16/05	Concrete Bus Benches (6)	3,902	-		-	3,902
07/27/05	Emitters/Detectors/Selector	42,015	-		-	42,015
04/12/07	Traffic Signal Improvement/Bellflower	177,266	-		-	177,266
04/12/07	Traffic Signal Improvement/Bellflower	204,941	-		-	204,941
06/29/07	Railroad Elimination Project	90,000	-		-	90,000
01/21/08	Gx Disk Publisher	1,617	-		-	1,617
02/04/08	S251221A Apple Mac Pro Cto Computer	6,066	-		-	6,066
02/19/08	Camcorder With Battery and Case/Mod	7,181	-		7,181	-
02/19/08	Libec Tripod System With Dolly	3,751	-		3,751	-
02/19/08	Audio Technical Dual Wireless Com	1,773	-		-	1,773
08/05/08	Hatachi Projector Lamp, Mounts	2,006	-		-	2,006
11/01/08	Towncenter Gateway Plaza/Traffic	85,000	-		-	85,000
12/31/09	West Branch Greenway (Phase I)	466,097	-		-	466,097
07/01/10	West Branch Greenway (Phase I)	79,856	-		-	79,856
07/27/10	Signals at Clark and Artesia	52,448	-		-	52,448
10/24/11	West Branch Greenway Landscape	55,581	 -	_	-	 55,581
	Sub-total \$	1,734,852	\$ -	\$_	10,932	\$ 1,723,920

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

(Continued) June 30, 2020

Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2019	 Additions	_	Deletions	 6/30/2020
02/27/13	Bellflower and Rosecrans Traffic Sign	\$	10,592	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 10,592
06/30/13	Bellflower and Alondra Traffic Sign		40,562	-		-	40,562
07/15/13	Traffic Signal Upgrade		1,252	-		-	1,252
02/28/14	Website Re-Design		10,844	-		-	10,844
06/02/14	Bellflower Boulevard Rehabilitation		131,495	-		-	131,495
07/31/14	Bellflower Boulevard Rehabilitation		35,197	-		-	35,197
09/29/14	ROW/17308 and 17320 Bellflower						
	Boulevard		1,477,876	-		-	1,477,876
7/13/16	Bellflower Boulevard Rehabilitation		450,909	-		-	450,909
1/31/17	Installation of Crosswalks		51,703	-		-	51,703
12/12/17	Trash Receptacles		3,969	-		-	3,969
10/23/18	Pressure Washer		11,087	-		-	11,087
9/9/19	Wireless Connection on the Bridge,						
	Firewall, City Hall, and Simms Park		-	5,562		_	5,562
2/29/20	Bellflower Boulevard/91 Freeway On-						
	Ramp Widening Project	_	-	 126,663	_	-	 126,663
	Total	\$_	3,960,338	\$ 132,225	\$_	10,932	\$ 4,081,631
		_			-		

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2020	_	2019
ASSETS	_		_	
Cash and investments	\$	1,530,425	\$	1,611,955
Interest receivable		4,984		9,805
Accounts receivable (Note 11)		1,490	. <u>–</u>	_
Total assets	\$ _	1,536,899	\$ _	1,621,760
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	50,793	\$	33,478
Retention payable		78,242		46,292
Due to General Fund		-		25,698
Sales/use tax payable		121		-
Accrued payroll and benefits payable	_	3,772	_	2,299
Total liabilities	_	132,928	_	107,767
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,403,971	. <u> </u>	1,513,993
Total fund balance	_	1,403,971	_	1,513,993
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,536,899	\$	1,621,760

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 934,581	\$ 957,677
Interest income	28,960	41,629
COVID-19 stimulus grant (Note 11)	1,490	
Total revenues	965,031	999,306
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	1,075,053	1,345,051
Total expenditures	1,075,053	1,345,051
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	(110,022)	(345,745)
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,513,993	1,859,738
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,403,971	\$ 1,513,993

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

#### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

	_	2020					
				Variance			
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019		
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual		
2.01	Traffic Signal \$	262,000	\$ 199,611	\$ 62,389 \$	139,280		
3.05	Bike Trail Maintenance	194,358	213,945	(19,587)	140,547		
3.20	ADA Improvements	300,000	339,461	(39,461)	720,247		
3.20	Curb/Gutter/Sidewalk	230,000	273,280	(43,280)	335,685		
5.05	West Santa Ana Branch Eco Rapid						
	Station - Design	30,000	1,989	28,011	9,292		
8.10	Fund Administration (20% cap)	48,893	46,767	2,126	-		
	Total expenditures \$	1,065,251	\$ 1,075,053	\$ (9,802) \$	1,345,051		

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2019		Additions		Deletions		Balance 6/30/2020
8/30/2011	Traffic Signal Improvements/Flower	\$	143,550	Φ	_	\$		- \$	143,550
10/24/2011	West Branch Greenway Landscape	φ	4,795	φ	-	φ	_	φ	4,795
3/9/2012	Bellflower/Woodruff Street		28,919		-		-		28,919
4/19/2013	Lakewood and Clark Sidewalk Project		104,460		_		_		104,460
6/10/2013	Clark Avenue Rehabilitation		17,532		_				17,532
6/30/2013	Cold Mill, Street Resurfacing		489,324		_		_		489,324
6/30/2013	Woodruff Avenue Pavement		707,327				_		707,327
0/30/2013	Rehabilitation		3,433		_				3,433
2/12/2014	Tree and Curb Removal - Sidewalk		3,433				_		3,433
2, 12, 201 .	Project Project		17,294		_				17,294
6/10/2014	Virginia Avenue Widening		100,485		_		_		100,485
1/31/2015	Sidewalks, Curbs (13/14-05)		117,904		_		_		117,904
3/31/2015	Flora Vista Dog Park (14/15-06)		6,760		_		_		6,760
8/13/2015	Traffic Signal- California and Artesia		254,502		_		_		254,502
10/5/2015	Flower Street Rehabilitation		116,918		_		_		116,918
7/13/2016	Bellflower Boulevard Rehabilitation		152,651		-		_		152,651
9/2/2016	SR-91 Freeway Ramp Beautification		744,870		-		_		744,870
4/20/2017	Miscellaneous Concrete Improvements		298,726		-		-		298,726
6/8/2017	Curb Removal and Replacement		110,117		-		-		110,117
12/13/2017	Cold Mill Resurfacing		263,032		-		-		263,032
6/30/2018	91 Freeway Ramp		1,965		-		-		1,965
6/30/2018	Bellflower Walnut		90,916		-		-		90,916
7/1/2018	Bellflower Boulevard at Foster Road								
	Traffic Signal		42,749		-		-		42,749
7/1/2018	Woodruff Avenue at Foster Road Traffic								
	Signal		47,478		-		-		47,478
6/30/2019	Citywide ADA Curb Ramp Improvement								
	- Phase I and II		694,250		-		-		694,250
6/30/2019	Citywide Curb, Gutter, Asphalt and								
	Sidewalk - Phase I and II		335,691		-		-		335,691
2/29/2020	Bellflower Boulevard/91 Freeway On-								
	Ramp Widening Project		-		322,056		-		322,056
5/12/2020	Eucalyptus Safe Route to School Project		-		86,349		-		86,349
6/30/2020	FY 19/20 Citywide ADA Curb Ramp								
	Project	_	-		461,069	_	-		461,069
	Total	\$	4,188,321	\$	869,474	\$_	-	\$	5,057,795

#### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

#### BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2020	_	2019
ASSETS	-			
Cash and investments	\$	1,051,872	\$	1,316,367
Interest receivable		3,632		7,276
Total assets	\$	1,055,504	\$	1,323,643
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	4,798	\$	10,239
Retention payable		77,733		11,850
Accrued payroll and benefits payable	-	416		
Total liabilities	=	82,947	<b>=</b>	22,089
Fund Balance				
Restricted		972,557		1,301,554
Total fund balance	-	972,557	-	1,301,554
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,055,504	\$	1,323,643

#### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30

	2020	2019		
REVENUES				
Measure M	\$ 1,052,504	\$ 1,078,107		
Interest income	24,982	22,116		
Total revenues	1,077,486	1,100,223		
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	1,406,483	605,388		
Total expenditures	1,406,483	605,388		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(328,997)	494,835		
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,301,554	806,719		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 972,557	\$ 1,301,554		

# MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

#### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2019 Actual
1.05	Slurry Seal Project 17/18 and 18/19 \$	323,000 \$	· -	\$ 323,000 \$	323,000
1.05	Cold Mill Resurface 18/19	-	-	-	45,388
1.05	Street Improvements	650,000	594,516	55,484	-
1.25	ADA Curb Ramp Project	-	-	-	237,000
1.90	ADA Curb Ramp Project	237,000	237,000	-	-
2.01	Traffic Signal Improvements	550,000	549,901	99	-
8.10	Measure M - Administration	48,893	25,066	23,827	
	Total expenditures \$_	1,808,893 \$	1,406,483	\$ 402,410 \$	605,388

#### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description	_	7/1/2019	 Additions	_	Deletions	 6/30/2020
6/30/2019	Citywide ADA Curb Ramp Improvement						
	- Phase I and II	\$	236,991	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 236,991
5/12/2020	Eucalyptus Safe Route to School Project		-	594,516		-	594,516
6/30/2020	FY 19/20 Citywide ADA Curb Ramp						
	Project	_	-	 154,674		-	 154,674
	Total	\$_	236,991	\$ 749,190	\$_	-	\$ 986,181

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

#### **BALANCE SHEETS**

June 30

		2020	2019		
ASSETS	•				
Cash and investments	\$	4,253	\$ -		
Due from Metro	,	3,424	105,069		
Total assets	\$	7,677	\$ 105,069		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Due to General Fund	\$	-	\$ 104,903		
Retention payable		7,677	1,204		
Accrued payroll and benefits payable		-	246		
Total liabilities	,	7,677	106,353		
Fund Balance					
Unassigned		-	(1,284)		
Total fund balance		-	(1,284)		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	7,677	\$ 105,069		

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019		
REVENUES				
TDA 3	\$ 131,284	\$ 105,069		
Total revenues	131,284	105,069		
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	130,000	89,947		
Total expenditures	130,000	89,947		
Excess of revenues over expenditures	1,284	15,122		
Fund balance at beginning of year	(1,284)	(16,406)		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$ (1,284)		

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

Project Description		Program Year	Alloc	ations	Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Curb, Ramp and Sidewalk						_		
Improvements - Citywide		2019-20	13	31,284	130,000	_	1,284	Ongoing
	Totals		\$ 13	\$1,284	130,000	=	1,284	
Fund balance at beginning	of year						(1,284)	
Fund balance at end of year	r					\$_		

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date		Bal	ance				Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/	2019		Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2020
6/30/2019	Citywide ADA Curb Ramp Improvement						
	- Phase I and II *	\$	-	_\$_	24,368	\$ _	\$ 24,368
	Total	\$	-	\$_	24,368	\$ -	\$ 24,368

<sup>\*</sup> The addition is a capital asset that was not reported in prior fiscal year.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

**Budgets and Budgetary Accounting** 

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

#### **NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

#### Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020:

- Restricted Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by
  providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
  legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
  Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).
- Unassigned The unassigned classification is used only if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceed the amounts restricted to those purposes.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

### NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### **NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

#### NOTE 8 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT - PALRF

The City entered into various Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreements with Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) to receive Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants for participating in the Consolidated National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

Agreement <u>Date</u>	<u>Period</u>	NTD Voluntary <u>Reporting</u>	MOU Amount		moi	unt ved	
					<u>2020</u>		<u>2019</u>
July 31, 2018	7/1/18 - 6/30/22	FY 2015-16	\$ 46,138	\$	-	\$	46,138
July 31, 2017	7/1/17 - 6/30/19	FY 2014-15	\$ 46,431		-		46,431
			Total	\$	-	\$	92,569

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

### NOTE 9 – ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE FOR THE REGIONAL TRANSIT CENTER AND PARKING STRUCTURE PROJECT CODE 290-03 - PALRF AND PCLRF

On June 1, 2008, the City and the Bellflower Financing Authority (Authority) entered into a lease agreement on premises which included the Civic Center property. The agreement was amended on January 1, 2018 whereby the City, as the agent of the Authority, has agreed to cause the construction of a regional transit center and parking structure (Project), and the City has leased the premises from the Authority. In order to finance the Project, the City and the Authority have authorized the sale of the \$10,000,000 City of Bellflower 2018 Certificates of Participation (2018 COPs). The City intends to pay the portion of the lease payments attributable to the 2018 COPs using the PALRF and PCLRF monies approved by Metro.

As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, PALRF allocation revenues were used to pay the 2018 COPs debt service and trustee fees of \$750,000 and \$602,245, respectively, and PCLRF allocation revenues were used to pay the 2018 COPs debt service of \$98,940 and \$86,091, respectively.

#### NOTE 10 - SALE OF PROPERTY - PCLRF

On March 7, 2020, the City sold two pieces of camera equipment for a total amount of \$607. The proceeds were recorded under PCLRF for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

#### **NOTE 11 – COVID-19 STIMULUS GRANT**

In fiscal year ended June 30, 2020, the City received COVID-19 stimulus grant from Department of Justice – Justice Assistance Grant. According to the City, the expenditures incurred for MRLRF's Bike Trail Maintenance Project Code 3.05 were qualified reimbursements from the grant in the amount of \$1,490. The stimulus grant was not received and was recorded as a receivable as of June 30, 2020.

#### NOTE 12 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	2020	2019
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ 	\$ 41,869
FY 2016-17 allocation	-	51,753
FY 2017-18 allocation	38,285	11,447
FY 2018-19 allocation	51,966	-
FY 2019-20 allocation	41,033	-
Total payment requested	\$ 131,284	\$ 105,069

#### NOTE 13 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2020	2019
FY 2017-18 allocation	\$ 	\$ 38,285
FY 2018-19 allocation	-	51,966
FY 2019-20 allocation	14,959	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 14,959	\$ 90,251

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

#### **NOTE 14 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

The City has evaluated subsequent events through October 21, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Bellflower, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Bellflower, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 21, 2020.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 21, 2020





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Bellflower, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Bellflower, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpon & Simpon

October 21, 2020

#### CITY OF BELLFLOWER COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	S				
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X			None	
2.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
3.	Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended project Description Form (Form A).	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X			None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.	X			None	
11.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None	
12.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
13.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	
14.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
15.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

#### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In (	Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		<u> </u>
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

#### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. Me	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenue received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted timely.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		Ompliance Questioned Costs		If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
<b>D.</b> '	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

## CITY OF BELLFLOWER SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2020

No findings were noted.



# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE

June 30, 2020

An exit conference was held on December 14, 2020 with the City of Bellflower. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Patrick Zhang, Auditor

#### City's Representative:

Tae Rhee, Director of Finance/City Treasurer

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Tae Rhee, Director of Finance/City Treasurer

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF BELLFLOWER ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 for the City of Bellflower and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly y	ours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	