### CITY OF LA MIRADA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019





CITY OF LA MIRADA

Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	3 4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	7 8
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	15 16 17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	19 20 21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	26

### CITY OF LA MIRADA TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

### **COMPLIANCE SECTION**

EXIT CONFERENCE	35
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	34
Compliance Matrix	30
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	28





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOLINDING PARTNERS

FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Mirada, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of La Mirada, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of La Mirada, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of La Mirada, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 12, 2020, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California November 12, 2020

Simpson & Simpson

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2020	_	2019
ASSETS			_	
Cash and investments	\$	3,206,294	\$	2,931,922
Interest receivable	_	15,518		14,528
Total assets	\$ =	3,221,812	\$ =	2,946,450
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Account payable	\$	108,882	\$	-
Due to other funds	_	169,090		109,592
Total liabilities	_	277,972		109,592
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	2,943,840		2,836,858
Total fund balance	_	2,943,840		2,836,858
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	3,221,812	\$	2,946,450

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2020	2019
REVENUES	•		
Proposition A	\$	962,416	\$ 992,442
Interest income		73,111	55,928
Total revenues		1,035,527	1,048,370
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects		928,545	643,473
Total expenditures		928,545	643,473
Excess of revenues over expenditures		106,982	404,897
Fund balance at beginning of year		2,836,858	2,431,961
Fund balance at end of year	\$	2,943,840	\$ 2,836,858

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

Dusiant		Matus		Variance	2010
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
120-20	Contract with MV Transportation for				
	Dial-A-Ride Services \$	670,000 \$	699,830	\$ (29,830) \$	637,430
120-21	City of La Mirada's Transit Operations	130,000	57,933	72,067	-
140-01	Recreation Transit Service	10,000	10,363	(363)	2,001
220-01	Transit Security Patrol Presence at				
	Bus Stops	110,000	108,882	1,118	-
270-05	Transportation Planning	71,700	-	71,700	-
300-10	Transit Facility - Ceiling Tile and				
	HVAC System Replacement	150,000	38,658	111,342	-
480-01	Direct Administration	86,200	9,070	77,130	4,042
500-01	Consulting Services	25,000	3,809	21,191	-
	Total expenditures \$_	1,252,900 \$	928,545	\$ 324,355 \$	643,473

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2019	 Additions		Deletions	 6/30/2020
1988	Facility Improvement	\$	18,373	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 18,373
1989	Fuel Distribution System		15,981	-		-	15,981
1991	Photocopier		1,669	-		-	1,669
1991	Heating System		3,386	-		-	3,386
1991	Lube Rack		6,027	-		-	6,027
1991	Wheel Balance		10,949	-		-	10,949
1991	Hydraulic Lift		59,595	-		-	59,595
1992	Computer System		43,902	-		-	43,902
1992	Transit Software Underground Storage		9,750	-		-	9,750
1993	Tank		149,902	-		-	149,902
1997	3 Transit Vehicle		11,202	-		-	11,202
1998	6 Transit Vehicle		59,587	-		-	59,587
1999	5 Handicapped Buses	_	51,476	 -	_	-	 51,476
	Total	\$_	441,799	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 441,799

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

		2020		2019
ASSETS			-	
Cash and investments	\$	1,944,567	\$	2,044,729
Interest receivable	_	9,412		10,387
Total assets	\$ =	1,953,979	\$	2,055,116
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to other funds	\$_	286,150	\$	345
Total liabilities	-	286,150	-	345
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,667,829		2,054,771
Total fund balance	_	1,667,829	-	2,054,771
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,953,979	\$	2,055,116

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020		2019
REVENUES		'	
Proposition C	\$ 798,326	\$	823,203
Interest income	51,845		37,716
Other income (Note 8)	10,732		
Total revenues	860,903		860,919
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	1,247,845		139,835
Total expenditures	1,247,845	•	139,835
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(386,942)		721,084
Fund balance at beginning of year	2,054,771		1,333,687
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,667,829	\$	2,054,771

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

### For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	 Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
450-10	Turn Pocket Right-of-Way and Utility Coordination: LM/Imperial and				
	Imperial/Telegraph \$	725,000	\$ 797,845	\$ (72,845) \$	139,835
450-12	Measure I Residential Street Phase V	450,000	450,000	-	-
450-13	Alondra Boulevard Rehabilitation -				
	Valley View to Mottley	2,241,900	 -	2,241,900	
	Total expenditures \$	3,416,900	\$ 1,247,845	\$ 2,169,055 \$	139,835

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description Balance 7/1/2019 Add				Additions		Deletions		Balance 6/30/2020
1993	Underground Storage 10% Retention								
	Transit Building Reroofing	\$	11,420	\$	-	\$	-	\$	11,420
1997	CC19953		3,523		-		-		3,523
1999	Park-N-Ride Facility		189,250		-		-		189,250
2001	Bus Shelters		109,414		-		-		109,414
2019	Purchase of Land		134,862	_	-	_	-		134,862
	Total	\$_	448,469	\$	-	\$	-	\$	448,469

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2020	2019
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,386,290	\$ 1,469,954
Interest receivable	_	6,710	7,465
Total assets	\$ _	1,393,000	\$ 1,477,419
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to other funds	\$	142,415	\$ 23,645
Total liabilities	_	142,415	23,645
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	1,250,585	1,453,774
Total fund balance	_	1,250,585	1,453,774
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,393,000	\$ 1,477,419

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020		2019
REVENUES		•	_
Measure R	\$ 597,888	\$	617,579
Interest income	38,706		27,159
Total revenues	636,594		644,738
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	839,783		255,023
Total expenditures	839,783		255,023
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(203,189)		389,715
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,453,774	•	1,064,059
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,250,585	\$	1,453,774

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

	_				
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Street Repair - Alondra Boulevard Rehabilitation - Valley View to Motley \$	70,000 \$	27,045	\$ 42,955 \$	-
1.05	Street Repair - Alondra Boulevard Rehabilitation - Stage Road to La				
	Mirada Boulevard	-	-	-	92,800
1.05	Street repair - Imperial Highway Rehabilitation - Marquardt to Wicker	30,000	18,136	11,864	52,120
1.05	Street Repair - Telegraph Road Rehabilitation - Leffingwell to				
	Hutchinson	20,000	165	19,835	21,274
1.90	Traffic Signal - Alondra Boulevard and				
	Phoebe Avenue	50,000	40,947	9,053	18,167
1.90	Traffic Signal - Rosecrans Avenue				
	Corridor	297,000	296,373	627	21,776
1.90	Traffic Signal - La Mirada Boulevard				
	Corridor Intersections at Hutchinson	-	-	-	42,178
1.90	Signage - Street Name Sign				
	Replacement Program - Phase I	625,000	394,117	230,883	6,708
1.90	Traffic Signal - Santa				
	Gertrudes/Silvergrove and				
	Leffingwell/Arroyo	50,000	63,000	(13,000)	
	Total expenditures \$	1,142,000 \$	839,783	\$ 302,217 \$	255,023

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description			Balance 7/1/2019	Additions	Deletions		Balance 6/30/2020
ricquired	Description		-	7/1/2017	 7 Idditions	 Detetions	-	0/30/2020
	None		\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$_	-
		Total	\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

## MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

### June 30

		2020		2019
ASSETS	•		•	_
Cash and investments	\$	1,278,595	\$	1,122,708
Interest receivable	,	6,188		5,702
Total assets	\$	1,284,783	\$	1,128,410
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to other funds	\$	506,097	\$	-
Total liabilities		506,097		
Fund Balance				
Restricted	,	778,686		1,128,410
Total fund balance		778,686	•	1,128,410
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,284,783	\$	1,128,410

### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
Measure M	\$ 672,797	\$ 695,775
Interest income	30,076	19,576
Total revenues	702,873	715,351
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	1,052,597	
Total expenditures	1,052,597	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(349,724)	715,351
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,128,410	413,059
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 778,686	\$ 1,128,410

### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

				2020			
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	_	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	. <u>-</u>	2019 Actual
1.05	La Mirada Boulevard Drainage Improvements: Construction of						
	Drainage \$	150,000	\$	-	\$ 150,000	\$	-
1.05	Slurry Seal Collector Streets: Design and Construction of Slurry	500,000		500,000	-		-
1.25	ADA Access Curb Ramp Installations: New Ramps are Installed	100,000		98,416	1,584		-
1.90	Rosecrans Avenue Corridor Traffic Signal Update	705,000		454,181	 250,819	_	-
	Total expenditures \$	1,455,000	\$_	1,052,597	\$ 402,403	\$_	-

### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date				Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description		_	7/1/2019	_	Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2020
	None		\$_	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 
		Total	\$_	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

### **BALANCE SHEETS**

June 30

	_	2020	2019
ASSETS			
Due from Metro	\$	33,517	\$ 33,517
Total assets	\$	33,517	\$ 33,517
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to other funds	\$	33,517	\$ 33,517
Total liabilities	-	33,517	33,517
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	-	
Total fund balance	-	-	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	33,517	\$ 33,517

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
TDA 3	\$ 33,517	\$ 33,517
Total revenues	33,517	33,517
EXPENDITURES		
Sidewalk Infrastructure Replacement	33,517	33,517
Total expenditures	33,517	33,517
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$ 

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

Project Description		Program Year	 Allocations		Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
<b>Local allocations</b> Sidewalk Infrastructure								
Replacement		2019-2020	\$ 33,517	\$_	33,517	\$		Complete
	Total		\$ 33,517	\$	33,517	\$	-	
Fund balance at beginning	ng of year	r				_		
Fund balance at end of y	rear					\$	_	

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

### Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

### **NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

### NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### **NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

### NOTE 8 – OTHER INCOME - PCLRF

Other income for PCLRF were funds received from the Orange Coast Title Company. In fiscal year 2018-19, the City incurred expenditures to PCLRF's Turn Pocket Right-of-Way and Utility Coordination: LM/Imperial and Imperial/Telegraph Project Code 450-10 which included a purchase of a small piece of land. The escrow related to the purchase was completed in fiscal year 2019-20 and refunded the City in the amount of \$10,732.

### NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	_	2020	2019		
FY 2018-19 allocation	\$	-	\$ 33,517		
FY 2019-20 allocation	_	33,517			
Total payment requested	\$	33,517	\$ 33,517		

### NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has no funds on reserve.

### **NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local government agencies.

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 12, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Mirada, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of La Mirada, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 12, 2020.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpon & Simpon

November 12, 2020





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Mirada, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of La Mirada, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpon & Simpon

November 12, 2020

### CITY OF LA MIRADA COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020

	Compliance Requirement	In (	Compli	iance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	s				
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X			None	
2.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
3.	Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended project Description Form (Form A).	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X			None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.	X			None	
11.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None	
12.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
13.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	
14.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
15.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.	X			None	

### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A		•	
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund						
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None		
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X			None		
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None		
4.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None		
5.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None		
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None		
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None		
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None		
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None		
10.	Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.			X	None		
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None		
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None		
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None		

### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. Mo	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenue received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted timely.			X	None	

### COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement In Cor		ompliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
<b>D.</b> '	D. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

## CITY OF LA MIRADA SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2020

No findings were noted.



# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE

June 30, 2020

An exit conference was held on November 19, 2020 with the City of La Mirada. Those in attendance were:

### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Yung Dang, Senior Auditor

### City's Representative:

Judith Quinonez, Senior Accountant

### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Judith Quinonez, Senior Accountant

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF LA MIRADA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 for the City of La Mirada and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly y	ours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	