CITY OF LA VERNE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	7 8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	11 12
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	15 16
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	19 20
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

CITY OF LA VERNE TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

EXIT CONFERENCE	36
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	35
Compliance Matrix	31
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Verne, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of La Verne, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of La Verne, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of La Verne, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 13, 2020, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 13, 2020

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2020	2019
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 577,964	\$ 538,395
Interest receivable	1,726	2,359
Due from other agency	-	1,903
Other receivable		928
Total assets	\$ 579,690	\$ 543,585
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 915	\$ 14,495
Accrued salaries and benefits	1,265	432
Total liabilities	2,180	14,927
Fund Balance		
Restricted	577,510	528,658
Total fund balance	577,510	528,658
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 579,690	\$ 543,585

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 644,142	\$ 666,004
Interest income	11,890	10,481
Unrealized gain on investments	3,341	8,803
Total revenues	659,373	685,288
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	610,521	620,521
Total expenditures	610,521	620,521
Excess of revenues over expenditures	48,852	64,767
Fund balance at beginning of year	528,658	463,891
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 577,510	\$ 528,658

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

	_				
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
120-10	Get About Pass Subsidy \$	2,800 \$	2,120	\$ 680 \$	5,205
130-02	Get About Paratransit	220,220	196,800	23,420	245,516
140-13	Recreational Excursions	16,000	14,707	1,293	25,140
250-09	Senior Bus Pass Subsidy	400	317	83	526
250-11	Metrolink Pass Subsidy	25,000	20,083	4,917	26,905
250-12	Bus Pass Subsidy (FHT)	4,500	4,057	443	6,200
290-12	SGV Council of Government	5,300	5,300	-	5,300
405-20	Proposition A Fund Exchange (Note 8)	325,000	325,000	-	275,000
480-01	Administration	40,000	42,137	(2,137)	30,729
	Total expenditures \$_	639,220 \$	610,521	\$ 28,699 \$	620,521

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date				Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2019	Additions	_	Deletions	 6/30/2020
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

		2020	_	2019
ASSETS	_		·	
Cash and investments	\$	767,849	\$	1,073,923
Interest receivable	_	2,361		4,958
Total assets	\$ _	770,210	\$	1,078,881
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	11,021	\$	30,299
Accrued salaries and benefits	_	3,771		2,305
Total liabilities	_	14,792		32,604
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	755,418		1,046,277
Total fund balance	_	755,418	. <u>-</u>	1,046,277
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	770,210	\$	1,078,881

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	_	2020	2019
REVENUES	·		
Proposition C	\$	534,317	\$ 552,432
Interest income		14,310	21,522
Unrealized gain on investments	_	6,993	18,320
Total revenues	_	555,620	592,274
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	_	846,479	389,047
Total expenditures	_	846,479	389,047
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(290,859)	203,227
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	1,046,277	843,050
Fund balance at end of year	\$ =	755,418	\$ 1,046,277

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

			2020		
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
130-02	Get About Paratransit \$	220,000 \$	196,800	\$ 23,200 \$	171,933
140-06	Community Education	4,000	3,857	143	19,463
150-02	Bus Stop Improvements	1	-	1	2,175
160-14	Bus Stop Maintenance	8,500	10,008	(1,508)	12,560
200-03	Get About Capital Outlay	36,000	36,400	(400)	19,700
270-02	Infrastructure Financing District - Future				
	Gold Line Station	8,500	8,672	(172)	21,623
270-03	Foothill Goldline JPA	1	-	1	3,000
270-04	Gold Line Related Design Contract	25,000	29,112	(4,112)	-
280-15	Community Newsletter	3,000	2,750	250	6,000
310-07	Transit Store Annex	71,442	73,234	(1,792)	82,827
370-01	Gold Line Plaza Acquisition	1	-	1	13,745
410-08	Transportation Demand Management	500	598	(98)	383
430-01	Street Resurfacing	450,000	450,000	-	-
480-04	Administration	35,000	35,048	(48)	35,638
	Total expenditures \$	861,945 \$	846,479	\$ 15,466 \$	389,047

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2019		Additions	Deletions		Balance 6/30/2020
Jun 94	Land Downtown Transportation	_		_			_	
3011 7 1		\$	79,736	\$	-	\$ _	\$	79,736
Sept 95	Bench 6' Long		12,490		-	-		12,490
Mar 01	01 Ford E-350 SD Prisoner Transport							
	Van		32,913		-	-		32,913
Nov 04	Bus Shelter- Foothill and Bradford		16,350		-	-		16,350
Dec 13	City Hall Parking Lot Rehabilitation		50,000		-	-		50,000
Jan 15	Bus Stop Shelter-Moreno and Foothill	_	32,396		-	 -		32,396
	Total	\$_	223,885	\$	-	\$ -	\$_	223,885

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2020	2019
ASSETS	•		
Cash and investments	\$	1,077,958	\$ 694,999
Interest receivable		3,330	3,079
Total assets	\$	1,081,288	\$ 698,078
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$
Total liabilities	-	-	
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	1,081,288	698,078
Total fund balance		1,081,288	698,078
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,081,288	\$ 698,078

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2020	2019
REVENUES	,		
Measure R	\$	400,164	\$ 414,443
Interest income		20,152	12,668
Unrealized gain on investments	,	4,356	11,420
Total revenues	•	424,672	438,531
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	,	41,462	_
Total expenditures		41,462	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		383,210	438,531
Fund balance at beginning of year	,	698,078	259,547
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,081,288	\$ 698,078

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

			2020		
	_			Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	 Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Baseline - Foothill to Rodeo Drive and				
	Fruit Foothill - Baseline \$	39,000	\$ 38,123	\$ 877 \$	-
3.05	Bicycle Gap Closure Project - City				
	Wide	280,000	-	280,000	-
8.10	Preparation, Coordination of Project,				
	Correspondence, Record	3,804	 3,339	465	_
	Total expenditures \$	322,804	\$ 41,462	\$ 281,342 \$	-

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date			Balance		Balance						
Acquired	Description			_	7/1/2019		Additions		Deletions		6/30/2020
	None			\$_	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
			Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2020	_	2019
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ 43,999	\$	1,399
Interest receivable	71		-
Due from other fund	3,854		-
Total assets	\$ 47,924	\$	1,399
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 10,880	\$	-
Total liabilities	10,880		
Fund Balance			
Restricted	37,044		1,399
Total fund balance	37,044		1,399
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 47,924	\$	1,399

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30

		2020	_	2019
REVENUES			_	
Measure M	\$	450,451	\$	466,768
Interest income		177		631
Other income (Note 9)	_	7,709	-	24,640
Total revenues	_	458,337		492,039
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects		422,692	-	838,633
Total expenditures	_	422,692	-	838,633
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		35,645		(346,594)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	1,399		347,993
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	37,044	\$	1,399

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

			2020			
					Variance	
Project		Metro			Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	 Budget	Actual	J)	Jnfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Baseline - Foothill to Rodeo Drive and					
	Fruit Foothill - Baseline	\$ 300,000	\$ 299,952	\$	48 \$	-
1.05	Street Sweeping	130,000	119,401		10,599	-
1.05	E Street Rehabilitation	-	-		-	391,000
1.05	Fifth Street et al Rehabilitation between					
	Wheeler Avenue	-	-		-	426,811
8.10	Administration	3,804	3,339		465	-
8.10	Preparation, Application,					
	Correspondence, Coordination of					
	Projects	_	 -	_		20,822
	Total expenditures \$	\$ 433,804	\$ 422,692	\$	11,112 \$	838,633

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date Acquired		Description		Balance 7/1/2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance 6/30/2020
	None	r		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$ _	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2020	_	2019
ASSETS				
Due from Metro	\$ _	110,561	\$_	
Total assets	\$ _	110,561	\$ _	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to General Fund	\$ _	110,561	\$	-
Total liabilities	_	110,561	_	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	-	_	_
Total fund balance	_		_	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	110,561	\$	-

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
TDA 3	\$ 110,561	\$
Total revenues	110,561	
EXPENDITURES		
Construction/Maintenance	110,561	
Total expenditures	110,561	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ -	\$

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	 Expenditures	_	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Golden Hill Road and Esperanza Drive Sidewalk Extension Project - Installation of Sections of Sidewalk to Complete a Travel Path for Pedestrians Total	2019-20	\$_ \$_	110,561 110,561	 110,561 110,561	_\$_	<u>-</u>	Completed
Fund balance at beginning of the year	ar				_		
Fund balance at end of year					\$_		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 8 - PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE - PALRE

In fiscal year 2020, the City entered into an agreement on October 22, 2019 with the City of Hawaiian Gardens to exchange \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds for every \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund. Accordingly, the City received \$243,750 of the City of Hawaiian Garden's General Funds on January 8, 2020 and assigned \$325,000 of its Proposition A Local Return Funds to the City of Hawaiian Gardens on December 3, 2019.

In fiscal year 2019, the City entered into an agreement on October 25, 2018 with the City of Industry to exchange \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds for every \$.74 (or 74 cents) of General Fund. Accordingly, the City received \$203,500 of the City of Industry's General Funds on December 13, 2018 and assigned \$275,000 of its Proposition A Local Return Funds to the City of Industry on December 5, 2018.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

NOTE 9 - OTHER INCOME - MMLRF

Other income for MMLRF in FY 2020 were funds received from the State of California (State) through the Department of Transportation in the amount of \$7,709. The State reimbursed the City for the cost of routine maintenance work performed on State highway routes in accordance with the provisions of Section 27 of the Streets and Highways Code.

Other income for MMLRF in FY 2019 were funds received from the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works in the amount of \$24,640. The County reimbursed the City for the cost of maintenance within their area of jurisdiction on a joint project for slurry and seal application in various locations.

NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	2020	2019
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ 20,546	\$ -
FY 2016-17 allocation	21,903	-
FY 2017-18 allocation	21,631	-
FY 2018-19 allocation	22,498	-
FY 2019-20 allocation	23,983	-
Total payment requested	\$ 110,561	\$ -

NOTE 11 - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2020	2019
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ -	\$ 20,546
FY 2016-17 allocation	-	21,903
FY 2017-18 allocation	-	21,631
FY 2018-19 allocation	-	22,498
FY 2019-20 allocation	5,171	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 5,171	\$ 86,578

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

NOTE 12 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

The City has evaluated subsequent events through October 13, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Verne, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of La Verne, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 13, 2020.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Singson

October 13, 2020





SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Verne, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of La Verne, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 13, 2020

CITY OF LA VERNE COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020

Compliance Requirement			C ompl i	iance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A			
A. Pı	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	S					
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X			None		
2.	Timely use of funds.	X			None		
3.	Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.	X			None		
4.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended project Description Form (Form A).	X			None		
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X			None		
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X			None		
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X			None		
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X			None		
9.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None		
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.	X			None		
11.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None		
12.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.			X	None		
13.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None		
14.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None		
15.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.	X			None		

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		responser
B. M	B. Measure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. M	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenue received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted timely.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		omplia	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
D. '	Fransportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

CITY OF LA VERNE SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2020

No findings were noted.



PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE

June 30, 2020

An exit conference was held on November 9, 2020 with the City of La Verne. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Elizabeth Mendoza, Senior Auditor

City's Representatives:

Christy Lopez, Senior Management Analyst

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Christy Lopez, Senior Management Analyst

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF LA VERNE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, for the City of La Verne and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very trul	y yours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	