CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ESTATES ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	15 16 17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	19 20 21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29
Compliance Matrix	31
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	35
EXIT CONFERENCE	36







BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rolling Hills Estates, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Rolling Hill Estates, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Rolling Hills Estates, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Rolling Hills Estates, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 10, 2020, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California November 10, 2020

Simpson & Simpson

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

		2020			2019
ASSETS					
Cash and investm	nents	\$	42,408	\$	37,844
Interest receivable	e		85		75
Tot	al assets	\$	42,493	\$	37,919
LIABILITIES A	ND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable		\$	338	\$	199
Tot	al liabilities		338		199
Fund Balance					
Restricted			42,155		37,720
Tot	al fund balance	_	42,155	_	37,720
Tot	al liabilities and fund balance	\$	42,493	\$	37,919

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2020		2019
REVENUES	'-			
Proposition A	\$	157,418	\$	161,793
Interest income		756		271
Total revenues		158,174		162,064
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects		153,739		160,267
Total expenditures		153,739		160,267
Excess of revenues over expenditures		4,435		1,797
Fund balance at beginning of year		37,720		35,923
	Φ.	10.155	Φ.	25.520
Fund balance at end of year	\$	42,155	\$	37,720

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

Project			Metro			Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	_	Budget	_	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-11	Palos Verdes Transit/Dial-A-Ride	\$	143,000	\$	147,243	\$ (4,243)	\$ 142,955
480-18	Administration		22,923	_	6,496	16,427	17,312
	Total expenditures	\$_	165,923	\$	153,739	\$ 12,184	\$ 160,267

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2020

Date				В	alance			Balance
Acquired		Description		7/	/1/2019	Additions	Deletions	 6/30/2020
	None		<u> </u>	5	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total \$	S	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

				2019		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments		\$	61,598	\$	64,577	
Interest receivable			139		168	
Due from other agency (Note	10)		-		65,000	
Total assets		\$	61,737	\$	129,745	
LIABILITIES AND FUND E	BALANCE					
Accounts payable		\$	5,609	\$	_	
Total liabilities		· <u></u>	5,609	·		
Fund Balance						
Restricted			56,128		129,745	
Total fund baland	ce		56,128	_	129,745	
Total liabilities ar	nd fund balance	\$	61,737	\$	129,745	

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020			2019
REVENUES				
Proposition C	\$	130,579	\$	134,203
Interest income		1,413		528
Total revenues		131,992		134,731
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects		205,609		100,000
Total expenditures		205,609		100,000
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(73,617)		34,731
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				
Transfer-in from City of Rolling Hills (Note 10)		-		65,000
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures				
and other financing sources		(73,617)		99,731
Fund balance at beginning of year		129,745		30,014
Fund balance at end of year	\$	56,128	\$	129,745

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

				2020						
				Variance						
Project				Metro				Favorable		2019
Code	Project	Name		Budget	_	Actual	(1	<u>Unfavorable</u>)	_	Actual
440-01	Street Maintenance	9	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	200,000	\$	100,000
440-02	Street Resurfacing			200,000	_	205,609		(5,609)	_	
		Total expenditures S	\$_	400,000	\$	205,609	\$	194,391	\$	100,000

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date				Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/2019	 Additions	_	Deletions	 6/30/2020
	None		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ _
			Total \$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

		 2019		
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	40,329	\$ 42,016	
Accounts receivables		89	 106	
Total assets	\$	40,418	\$ 42,122	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$ 	
Total liabilities		_	 -	
Fund Balance				
Restricted		40,418	 42,122	
Total fund balance		40,418	 42,122	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	40,418	\$ 42,122	

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020			2019
REVENUES				
Measure R	\$	97,794	\$	100,681
Interest income		502		428
Total revenues		98,296		101,109
EXPENDITURES				
Street Repair and Maintenance		100,000		100,000
Total expenditures	,	100,000	_	100,000
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(1,704)		1,109
Fund balance at beginning of year		42,122	_	41,013
Fund balance at end of year	\$	40,418	\$	42,122

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

			2020		
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	100,000 \$	100,000	\$ - \$	100,000
	Total expenditures \$	100,000 \$	100,000	\$ - \$	100,000

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2020

Date					Balance						Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2019	_	Additions	_	Deletions	_	6/30/2020
	None			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
			Total	\$_	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	

CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ESTATES MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	 2020	 2019
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ -	\$ -
Interest receivables	 -	
Total assets	\$ -	\$ -
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Due to General Fund	\$ 20,873	\$ 35,180
Total liabilities	 20,873	35,180
Fund Balance		
Unassigned	 (20,873)	(35,180)
Total fund balance	(20,873)	(35,180)
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	\$ -

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2020	2019
REVENUES	·		
Measure M	\$	110,134 \$	113,342
Interest income		41	442
Total revenues		110,175	113,784
EXPENDITURES			
Street Repair and Maintenance		95,868	233,644
Total expenditures		95,868	233,644
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		14,307	(119,860)
Fund balance at beginning of year		(35,180)	84,680
Fund balance at end of year	\$	(20,873) \$	(35,180)

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

			2020		
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	100,000 \$	95,868	\$ 4,132 \$	233,644
	Total expenditures \$	100,000 \$	95,868	\$ 4,132 \$	233,644

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2020

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/2019	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2020
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ _	\$ _

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	2	2020	2019
ASSETS			_
Due from Metro	\$	- \$	-
Total assets	\$	- \$	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities	Φ.		
Accounts payable	\$	\$	
Total liabilities		<u> </u>	
Fund Balance			
Restricted		-	-
Total fund balance			
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	- \$	-

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2	020	2019
REVENUES			
TDA 3	\$	- \$	-
Total revenues			-
EXPENDITURES Various projects Total expenditures		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		<u> </u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	- \$	_

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

	Program				Unexpended	Project
Project Description	Year	Allocations	Expenditures	S	Allocations	Status
Local Allocations						
None		\$ 	\$ i	\$	-	
	Total	\$ 	\$ -			
Fund balance at beginning of year						
Fund balance at end of year				\$		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally presents increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2019 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No.54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classifications as of June 30, 2020:

- Restricted Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).
- Unassigned The unassigned classification is used only if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceed the amounts restricted to those purposes.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

NOTE 3 - PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	2020			2019
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$	-	\$	-
FY 2015-16 allocation		-		-
Total payment requested	\$	-	\$	-

NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with Transportation Development Act Article 3 (SB 821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2020, and 2019, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2020	2019
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ 5,230	\$ 5,230
FY 2016-17 allocation	5,463	5,463
FY 2017-18 allocation	5,243	5,243
FY 2018-19 allocation	5,477	5,477
FY 2019-20 allocation	5,871	
Available reserve balance	\$ 27,284	\$ 21,413

NOTE 10 – PROPOSITION C FUND TRANSFER

On May 13, 2019, the City Council of Rolling Hills authorized the gifting of its uncommitted Proposition C Local Return funds to the City of Rolling Hills Estates. During the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City of Rolling Hills transferred \$0 and \$65,000 respectively of Proposition C Local Return funds to the City to assist with street maintenance on shared roadways.

NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (Continued)

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 10, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.





Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rolling Hills Estates, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Rolling Hills Estates, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 10, 2020.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 10, 2020







U.S. BANK TOWER
633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS
ANGELES, CA 90071
(213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE
(213) 736-6692 FAX
www.simpsonandsimpsoncoas.com

Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rolling Hills Estates, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Rolling Hills Estates, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding No. 2020-001. Our opinion on each local return and Transportation Development Act Article 3 program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 10, 2020

Simpson & Simpson

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020

Compliance Requirement		In (Compli	iance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	S				
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X			None	
2.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
3.	Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A).			X	None	There were no expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget.
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X			None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	
11.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None	
12.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
13.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	There were no Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements in FY19/20.
14.	Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
15.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY19/20.

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
			No	N/A		
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.			X	None	There were no administrative expenditures in FY19/20.
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY19/20.
12.	A separate account was established for capital reserve funds and capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	There was no capital reserve in FY19/20.
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY19/20.

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. Me	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenue received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	There were no administrative expenditures in FY19/20.
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY19/20.
12.	A separate account was established for capital reserve funds and capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	There was no capital reserve in FY19/20.
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted timely.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY19/20.

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		omplia	nce	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
D.	D. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.		X		None	See Finding #2020-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY19/20.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2020

TDAA3F: Finding #2020-001

Compliance Requirement	According to LACMTA's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the TDA Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (TDA Article 3 Guidelines, section: Funding, Lapsing, and Accounting, page 4), "TDA Article 3 local funds may be placed on reserve for up to three years (i.e. no longer than the fourth June 30 after they were available by Metro Board action). Agencies may accumulate three years worth of reserved TDA Article 3 local funds before being required to obligate them or return them to the TDA Article 3 fund. Any funds left on reserve by the local agency longer than three years are subject to lapse and future reallocation."
Condition	At June 30, 2020, the City had lapsed funds of \$5,230 from the June 30, 2016 allocation.
Cause	Per City management, "The TDA 3 reserve amount in FY19/20 was not enough to carry out the planned repavement project with ADA ramps. This year's (FY 20/21) public works project will expend all remaining funds."
Effect	Untimely review of the funding status from the prior year allocation could result in the loss of funding.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City implement internal control procedures to track the TDA Article 3 reserve balance to ensure that all TDA Article 3 funds are claimed and expended within the required timeline per the TDA Article 3 Guidelines.
Management's Response	The Public Works Director will review the funding status of TDA Article 3 funds while preparing the annual budget and at each fiscal year end to ensure the funds are claimed and expended within the required timeline.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	On November 5, 2020, LACMTA subsequently approved an extension on the usage of lapsed funds until June 30, 2021.



PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUNDS AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE

June 30, 2020

An exit conference was held on November 10, 2020 with the City of Rolling Hills Estates. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Austine Cho, Audit Manager

City's Representative:

Mike Whitehead, Administrative Services Director and City Treasurer David Wahba, Director of Community Development & Public Works

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and one (1) non-compliance issue with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Mike Whitehead, Administrative Services Director and City Treasurer

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ESTATES ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 for the City of Rolling Hills Estates and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very tru	ly yours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	