#### CITY OF TEMPLE CITY ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues Expanditures and Changes in Fund Release	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	7 8
Supplementary Information:  Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	15 16 17
Schedule of Capital Assets  Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	18
Financial Statements:  Balance Sheets  Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	19 20
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

## CITY OF TEMPLE CITY TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

#### **COMPLIANCE SECTION**

EXIT CONFERENCE	39
Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	32 36
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Temple City, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Temple City, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Temple City, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 12, 2020, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 12, 2020

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

#### June 30

	,	2020	2019
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,433,938	\$ 1,238,184
Prepaid expenditures		10,838	-
Other receivable	,	7,227	7,227
Total assets	\$	1,452,003	\$ 1,245,411
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	775,495	\$ 64,046
Total liabilities	•	775,495	64,046
Fund Balance			
Restricted	,	676,508	1,181,365
Total fund balance	,	676,508	1,181,365
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,452,003	\$ 1,245,411

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2020	2019
REVENUES	-		
Proposition A	\$	703,703	\$ 730,549
Project generated revenue (Note 8)		27,365	43,916
Interest income	-	17,770	14,103
Total revenues	-	748,838	788,568
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	-	1,253,695	497,638
Total expenditures	-	1,253,695	497,638
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(504,857)	290,930
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,181,365	890,435
Fund balance at end of year	\$	676,508	\$ 1,181,365

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

#### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

		Variance					
Project		Metro				Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget		Actual	(U	Infavorable)	Actual
130-01	Special Service Paratransit \$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	266,631
130-05	Dial-A-Ride	525,000		349,542		175,458	-
140-02	Recreational Transit	55,000		29,879		25,121	61,873
170-04	Bus Shelter Maintenance	14,000		9,000		5,000	12,525
250-03	User-Side Subsidy	61,480		36,621		24,859	61,974
270-02	San Gabriel Valley Council of						
	Governments Membership	11,000		11,000		-	10,880
410-00	Proposition A Fund Exchange with						
	Foothill Transit (Note 9)	750,000		750,000		-	-
480-05	Direct Administration	73,255	_	67,653	_	5,602	83,755
	Total expenditures \$	1,489,735	\$	1,253,695	\$	236,040 \$	497,638

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date					Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2019	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2020
	None			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

#### June 30

	_	2020		2019
ASSETS	_			
Cash and investments	\$ _	1,933,412	\$	1,759,584
Total assets	\$ _	1,933,412	\$	1,759,584
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$_	-
Total liabilities	_	-	_	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,933,412	-	1,759,584
Total fund balance	_	1,933,412	_	1,759,584
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,933,412	\$	1,759,584

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 583,723	\$ 605,970
Interest income	27,667	26,046
Total revenues	611,390	632,016
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	437,562	260,000
Total expenditures	437,562	260,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures	173,828	372,016
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,759,584	1,387,568
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,933,412	\$ 1,759,584

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

#### IL AND MIETKO AFFROVED FROJECT DOD

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

	_						
			Variance				
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019		
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual		
130-05 440-01	Dial-A-Ride \$ Las Tunas Drive Resurfacing	- \$ 1,200,000	- 437,562	\$ - \$ 762,438	260,000		
110 01	Total expenditures \$	<del></del>	437,562	- <del></del> -	260,000		

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2020

Date Acquired		Description		Balance 7/1/2019	Additions	Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2020
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total S	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ _

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

		2020		2019
ASSETS	_		_	
Cash and investments	\$ _	1,485,561	\$_	1,043,109
Total assets	\$ _	1,485,561	\$ _	1,043,109
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$_	
Total liabilities	_	-	_	-
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,485,561	_	1,043,109
Total fund balance	_	1,485,561	_	1,043,109
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,485,561	\$	1,043,109

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 437,166	\$ 454,608
Interest income	17,515	12,531
Total revenues	454,681	467,139
EXPENDITURES		
Pavement Management: Street Resurfacing	12,229	
Total expenditures	12,229	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	442,452	467,139
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,043,109	575,970
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,485,561	\$ 1,043,109

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

#### E II E IX E IX E IX CO COC

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Pavement Management: Street				
	Resurfacing \$	750,000 \$	12,229	\$ 737,771 \$	-
	Total expenditures \$	750,000 \$	12,229	\$ 737,771 \$	-

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date					Balance						Balance	
Acquired	Description			_	7/1/2019		Additions		Deletions		6/30/2020	
	None			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		

## MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

#### June 30

		2020	2019
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,093,879	\$ 673,046
Due from Metro		-	 41,376
Total assets	\$ _	1,093,879	\$ 714,422
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ _	30,075	\$ 274
Total liabilities	_	30,075	 274
Fund Balance			
Restricted		1,063,804	 714,148
Total fund balance	_	1,063,804	 714,148
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,093,879	\$ 714,422

#### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2020	2019
REVENUES		
Measure M	\$ 491,944	\$ 512,161
Interest income	12,890	7,381
Total revenues	504,834	519,542
EXPENDITURES		
Pavement Management: Street Resurfacing	155,178	3,605
Total expenditures	155,178	3,605
Excess of revenues over expenditures	349,656	515,937
Fund balance at beginning of year	714,148	198,211
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,063,804	\$ 714,148

# MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019)

	_					
					Variance	
Project		Metro			Favorable	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual		(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Pavement Management: Street					
	Resurfacing \$	400,000	\$ 155,17	8 \$	244,822 \$	3,605
1.05	Las Tunas Drive Resurfacing	400,000			400,000	-
	Total expenditures \$	800,000	\$ 155,17	8 \$	644,822 \$	3,605

#### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2020

Date				Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/2019	 Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2020
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234) BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

		2020	_	2019
ASSETS			_	
Due from Metro	\$ _	55,023	\$	
Total assets	\$ _	55,023	\$ _	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to General Fund	\$ _	55,023	\$	
Total liabilities		55,023	_	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	-	_	
Total fund balance	_	-	_	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	55,023	\$	

#### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2020	2019
REVENUES	•		
TDA 3	\$	55,023	\$ -
Interest income		-	1,006
Total revenues	,	55,023	1,006
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects		55,023	
Total expenditures		55,023	
OTHER FINANCING USE			
Funds returned to Metro	,	-	(63,357)
Total other financing use		-	(63,357)
Deficiency of revenues under expenditures			
and other financing use		-	(62,351)
Fund balance at beginning of year		-	62,351
Fund balance at end of year	\$	-	\$ 

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020

Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations						
Sidewalks and Curb Ramps ADA						
Improvements	2019-20	\$ 32,023	\$ 32,023	\$	-	Completed
Las Tunas Drive Resurfacing						
Project	2019-20	23,000	 23,000		-	On-going
Total		\$ 55,023	\$ 55,023	\$	-	
Interest income					-	
Fund balance at beginning of year				_		
Fund balance at end of year				\$		

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

**Budgets and Budgetary Accounting** 

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

#### Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020:

• Restricted— Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### **NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

### NOTE 3 - PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### **NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

#### NOTE 8 - PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenue for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

		2020	2019
Bus Pass Sales		\$ 27,365	\$ 43,916
	Total	\$ 27,365	\$ 43,916

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

#### NOTE 9 – PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE WITH FOOTHILL TRANSIT - PALRF

In fiscal year 2020, the City entered into an agreement on May 19, 2020 with Foothill Transit to exchange \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds for every \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund. Accordingly, the City received \$562,500 of Foothill Transit's General Funds on July 8, 2020 and assigned \$750,000 of its Proposition A Local Return Funds to Foothill Transit on July 7, 2020.

#### NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	2020	2019
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ 23,034	\$ -
FY 2016-17 allocation	24,044	-
FY 2017-18 allocation	7,945	
Total payment requested	\$ 55,023	\$ -

#### NOTE 11 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2020	2019
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ -	\$ 23,034
FY 2016-17 allocation	-	24,044
FY 2017-18 allocation	15,857	23,802
FY 2018-19 allocation	24,676	24,676
FY 2019-20 allocation	26,199	
Available reserve balance	\$ 66,732	\$ 95,556

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 (Continued)

#### **NOTE 12 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

The City has evaluated subsequent events through October 12, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



## SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Temple City, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 12, 2020.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 12, 2020





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Temple City, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, except as noted in the Compliance Matrix and the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





#### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding Nos. 2020-001, 2020-002, 2020-003. Our opinion on each local return program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Guidelines on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we did identify deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding Nos. 2020-002 and 2020-003 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

The City's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.



The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 12, 2020

#### CITY OF TEMPLE CITY COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020

Compliance Requirement		In	In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fu	nds				
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X			None	
2.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
3.	Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.		X		None	See Finding No. 2020- 001 on Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
4.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended project Description Form (Form A).	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X			None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.	X			None	
11.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None	
12.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
13.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	
14.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
15.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.	X			None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In	Compl	iance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		response.
<b>B.</b> N	leasure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.		X		None	See Finding No. 2020- 002 on Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In (	n Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. M	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenue received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) was submitted on time.		X		None	See Finding No. 2020- 003 on Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
8.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted timely.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
<b>D.</b> 7	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Year Ended June 30, 2020

#### PALRF: Finding No. 2020-001

Compliance	According to Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Section I (C),
Reference	Project Description Form (Form A): Jurisdictions shall submit for approval a Project Description Form prior to the expenditure of funds."
Condition	The City incurred expenditures prior to receiving approval from LACMTA for PALRF's Project Code 410-00, Proposition A Fund Exchange with Foothill Transit, in the amount of \$750,000. However, the project was subsequently approved on September 29, 2020.
Cause	Due to miscommunication amongst the staff, the City mistakenly did not submit a request for budget approval from LACMTA for PALRF's Proposition A Fund Exchange with Foothill Transit.
Effect	The City did not comply with the Guidelines when expenditures for PALRF project are incurred prior to LACMTA's approval.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that it obtains approval from LACMTA prior to implementing any Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return projects.
Management's Response	Moving forward, the City will ensure that the necessary forms are submitted and official approvals from LACMTA are acquired before expending PALRF funds on any projects.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	LACMTA Program Manager granted retroactive approval of the said expenditures on September 29, 2020. No follow-up is required.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

#### MRLRF: Finding No. 2020-002

Compliance Reference	According to Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Section B (II.1), Expenditure Plan (Form One): "Jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan, annually, on or before August 1st of each fiscal year."
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2019 deadline for submission of Form One. However, the City submitted the Form One on August 16, 2019.  This is a repeat finding from the prior fiscal year.
Cause	The former Director of Parks and Recreation who was responsible for the submission of the reports has since retired from the City. As a result, the submission of the form was overlooked.
Effect	Because the City's Form One was not submitted timely, the City did not comply with the Measure R Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form One is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure R Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the Guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend the City to retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.
Management's Response	The new Director of Parks and Recreation has now taken charge to ensure the necessary forms are submitted by the reporting deadlines.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted the Form One on August 16, 2019. No follow-up is required.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Year Ended June 30, 2020 (Continued)

#### MMLRF: Finding No. 2020-003

Compliance Reference	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Reporting Requirements Section XXV, Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), "To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR Program compliance requirements, Jurisdictions shall submit to Metro an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1 of each year."
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2019 deadline for submission of Form M-One. However, the City submitted the Form M-One on August 16, 2019.  This is a repeat finding from the prior fiscal year.
Cause	The former Director of Parks and Recreation who was responsible for the submission of the reports has since retired from the City. As a result, the submission of the form was overlooked.
Effect	Because the City's Form M-One was not submitted timely, the City did not comply with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form M-One is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure M Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the Guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend the City to retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.
Management's Response	The new Director of Parks and Recreation has now taken charge to ensure the necessary forms are submitted by the reporting deadlines.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted the Form M-One on August 16, 2019. No follow-up is required.



# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE

June 30, 2020

An exit conference was held on November 24, 2020, with the City of Temple City. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

John Longoria, Auditor

#### City's Representative:

Lee Ma, Accountant

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed two (2) significant deficiencies and three (3) non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Lee Ma, Accountant

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF TEMPLE CITY ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2020 AND 2019

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 for the City of Temple City and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly	yours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	