

City of Agoura Hills Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

FINANCIAL SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	16 17
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	20 21 22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	30

PAGE

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	32 34
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	38
EXIT CONFERENCE	39

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Agoura Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Agoura Hills, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Agoura Hills, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matter

As discussed in Note 14, the fiscal year 2019 financial statements of Proposition C Local Return Fund have been restated to adjust certain project expenditures, which were funded by other grants. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Agoura Hills, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.



The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 16, 2020 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Fund's financial reporting and compliance.

& Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2020

		June 30				
	_	2020	_	2019		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	845,451	\$	848,225		
Due from other governments		9,573		27,429		
Due from LACMTA		34,230		34,266		
Total assets	\$	889,254	\$	909,920		
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	115,465	\$	107,864		
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		1,164	_	1,370		
Total liabilities	_	116,629		109,234		
Deferred inflow of resources						
Unavailable revenue		38,835		44,420		
Total deferred inflow of resources		38,835		44,420		
Fund balance						
Restricted	_	733,790	_	756,266		
Total fund balance	_	733,790		756,266		
Total liabilities, deferred inflow of resources and fund						
balance	\$	889,254	\$	909,920		

City of Agoura Hills Proposition A Local Return Fund Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

_		
	2020	2019
\$	405,062 \$	421,960
	18,316	18,966
	51,381	41,685
	23,725	28,233
	9,128	17,336
_	507,612	528,180
_	530,088	433,952
	530,088	433,952
	(22,476)	94,228
	756,266	662,038
\$	733,790 \$	756,266
	-	\$ 405,062 \$ 18,316 51,381 23,725 9,128 507,612 507,612 (22,476) 756,266

See notes to Funds financial statements.

				2020			
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget Actual				Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
120-01	Dial-A-Ride	\$	283,000 \$	346,426	\$	(63,426) \$	250,803
120-02	Dial-A-Ride Transition Costs		23,700	23,635		65	25,000
140-03	Recreational Beach Bus		50,000	44,848		5,152	34,236
140-04	Special Event Recreational Transit		38,000	36,055		1,945	33,026
150-03	Bus Pad Installation		36,000	-		36,000	
170-06	Bus Shelter Maintenance		6,000	6,000		-	6,000
290-05	Park-N-Ride Lot Maintenance		7,100	7,114		(14)	8,186
480-02	Administration		90,887	66,010		24,877	76,701
480-07	Assistant to SFV/NCC Representative to						
	LACMTA Board		1,400	-	_	1,400	-
	Total expenditures	\$	536,087 \$	530,088	\$	5,999 \$	433,952

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
2001	Ford Bus \$	75,920 \$	- \$	- \$	75,920
2008	Chevrolet Van	44,557	-	-	44,557
2008	Chevrolet Van	44,557	-	-	44,557
2008	Chevrolet Van	27,542	-	27,542	-
2013	Dodge Van	41,934	-	-	41,934
2014	Dodge Truck Grand Caravan	22,056	-	-	22,056
2014	Dodge Truck Grand Caravan	22,056	-	-	22,056
2017	Ford Starcraft Bus	142,758	-	-	142,758
	Total \$	421,380 \$	- \$	27,542 \$	393,838

	June 30				
			(as restated)		
	2020		2019		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments \$	783,017	\$	194,382		
Due from LACMTA	643,805	Ψ	600,000		
Total assets \$	1,426,822	\$	794,382		
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable \$	417,984	\$	10,641		
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	1,607		177		
Total liabilities	419,591		10,818		
Deferred inflows of resources					
Unavailable revenue	643,805		-		
Total deferred inflows of resources	643,805		-		
Found haden as					
Fund balance	000 400		700 504		
Restricted	363,426		783,564		
Total fund balance	363,426		783,564		
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund					
balance \$	1,426,822	\$ _	794,382		

		_	Years ended June 30				
					(as restated)		
		_	2020		2019		
Revenues							
Proposition C		\$	336,000	\$	350,003		
Interest income			10,073		8,450		
Other revenues		_	1,200,000		1,698,759		
	Total revenues	_	1,546,073		2,057,212		
Expenditures			1 066 244		1 190 546		
Various projects	Total avpanditures	-	1,966,211		1,189,546 1,189,546		
	Total expenditures	•	1,966,211	• •	1,109,540		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over ex	penditures		(420,138)		867,666		
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of y	ear	-	783,564		(84,102)		
Fund balance at end of year		\$	363,426	\$	783,564		

City of Agoura Hills Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

		2020					
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	(as restated) 2019 Actual
400-02	Traffic Signal Sync - Management/Maintenance	\$ 75,000	\$	71,116	\$	3,884 \$	75,657
470-03	Pavement Management Update	80,000		67,490		12,510	-
480-03	Administration - Prop C	30,700		27,605		3,095	15,129
500-07	Chesebro Crossing at 101 (Interchange Improvements)	1,391,595	_	1,800,000	*	(408,405)	1,098,760
	Total expenditures	\$ 1,577,295	\$	1,966,211	\$	(388,916)\$	1,189,546

* The actual variance after considering other funding sources is calculated as follows:

LACMTA Budget			\$	1,391,595
Total expenditures	\$	1,800,000		
Less: Measure R Highway Program grant (Note 11)		(600,000)		
LA County grant (Note 11)	_	(600,000)		
PCLRF expenditures			_	600,000
Actual Variance Positive (Negative)			\$	791,595

Date _Acquired_		Description		Balance July 1, 2019		Additions	D	eletions	Balance June 30, 2020
N/A	None		:	\$.	- \$	-	\$	- \$	-
			Total	\$	- \$	-	\$	- \$	-

		June 30				
		2020		2019		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	51,579	\$	206,598		
	Total assets \$ _	51,579	\$	206,598		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BAL	ANCE					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	3,589	\$	150,976		
Retention payable		13,447		13,447		
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		2,138		-		
	Total liabilities	19,174		164,423		
Fund balance						
Restricted		32,405		42,175		
т	otal fund balance	32,405		42,175		
Total liabilities	and fund balance \$	51,579	\$	206,598		

		Years ended J	une 30
	_	2020	2019
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	251,639 \$	262,578
Interest income		1,675	3,240
	Total revenues	253,314	265,818
Expenditures Various projects		263,084	260,980
	Total expenditures	263,084	260,980
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over e	expenditures	(9,770)	4,838
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	42,175	37,337
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	32,405 \$	42,175

			2020		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
01-001 01-002	Street and Maintenance Repair - Annual Overlay \$ Capacity Enhancements (Chesebro	411,500 \$	- \$	411,500 \$	-
01-003	Bridge) Capacity Enhancements - Agoura Road	850,000	-	850,000	-
	Widening	3,500,000	-	3,500,000	-
01-004 01-005	Street and Maintenance Repair - Annual Overlay Capacity Enhancements - Chesebro	213,000	-	213,000	-
01-006	Bridge Capacity Enhancements - Agoura Road	1,325,000	-	1,325,000	-
01-000	Widening	2,250,000	_	2,250,000	_
01-007	Street Repair and Maintenance	420,560	-	420,560	_
01-008	Street and Maintenance Repair - Annual Overlay	249,000	-	249,000	_
01-009	Street Repair and Maintenance	210,000	-	210,000	-
01-010	Street Repair and Maintenance	210,000	-	210,000	-
01-011	Street Repair and Maintenance - Annual	,		,	
	Overlay	160,000	-	160,000	167,483
01-012	Street Repair and Maintenance - Sidewalk	,			,
	Repairs	30,000	30,000	-	-
01-013	Street Repair and Maintenance - City				
	Beautification Liberty Can	50,000	50,000	-	-
07-001	Other Planning, Engineering (Contract				
	Services)	50,000	-	50,000	50,000
07-002	Other Planning, Engineering (Contract				
	Services)	140,000	139,292	708	-
08-001	Fund Administration (20% cap) Staff				
	Administration (Measure R Projects)	537,879	-	537,879	-
08-002	Fund Administration (20% cap) Staff				
	Administration (Measure R Projects)	626,086	-	626,086	-
08-003	Fund Administration (20% cap) Staff				
	Administration (Measure R Projects)	18,435	-	18,435	-
08-004	Fund Administration (20% cap) Staff	10.000		10.000	
	Administration (Measure R Projects)	19,380	-	19,380	-
08-005	Fund Administration (20% cap) Staff	00.044	10 700	(00.470)	10, 10-
	Administration (Measure R Projects)	20,614	43,792	(23,178)	43,497
	Total expenditures \$	11,291,454 \$	263,084 \$	11,028,370 \$	260,980

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2019	 Additions	 Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2020
N/A	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

	June	30
	2020	2019
ASSETS		
Cash and investments \$	<u>21,716</u> \$	50,488
Total assets \$	21,716 \$	50,488
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued payroll and employee benefits Total liabilities	16,633 \$ 194 16,827	298 519 817
Fund balance		
Restricted	4,889	49,671
Total fund balance	4,889	49,671
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	21,716 \$	50,488

			Years ended	l June 30
		_	2020	2019
Revenues				
Measure M		\$	283,023 \$	295,968
Interest income			984	651
	Total revenues		284,007	296,619
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures		328,789 328,789	250,289 250,289
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over e	xpenditures		(44,782)	46,330
Fund balance at beginning of year			49,671	3,341
Fund balance at end of year		\$	4,889 \$	49,671

Drojoot						
Droiget					Variance	
Project			LACMTA		Positive	2019
Code	Project Name	_	Budget	 Actual	(Negative)	Actual
01-001	Street Repair and Maintenance	\$	47,500	\$ - \$	47,500 \$	-
01-002	Street Repair and Maintenance		60,000	-	60,000	-
01-003	Street Repair and Maintenance		60,000	-	60,000	60,000
01-004	Street Repair and Maintenance		47,500	-	47,500	50,276
01-005	Street Repair and Maintenance - FY 19-20		47,500	56,687	(9,187)	-
01-006	Street Repair and Maintenance - Sidewalk Repairs		110,000	110,000	-	-
02-001	Other - Traffic Marking and Striping		20,000	-	20,000	-
02-002	Other - Traffic Signing		13,000	-	13,000	-
02-003	Other - Traffic Marking and Striping		20,000	-	20,000	20,000
02-004	Other - Traffic Signing		13,000	-	13,000	13,298
02-005	Other - Traffic Marking and Striping		20,000	22,079	(2,079)	-
02-006	Other - Traffic Signing		13,000	10,921	2,079	-
07-001	Other Planning, Engineering - Contract Services		42,500	-	42,500	-
07-002	Other Planning, Engineering - Traffic Engineer		22,500	-	22,500	-
07-003	Other Planning, Engineering - Contract Services		42,500	-	42,500	42,500
07-004	Other Planning, Engineering - Traffic Engineer		22,500	-	22,500	22,500
07-005	Other Planning, Engineering - Contract Services		42,500	51,939	(9,439)	-
07-006	Other Planning, Engineering - Traffic Engineer		22,500	31,935	(9,435)	-
08-001	Fund Administration - Personnel - Public Works		38,532	-	38,532	41,715
08-002	Fund Administration - Personnel - Public Works		38,760	-	38,760	-
08-003	Fund Administration - Personnel - Public Works	_	41,228	 45,228	(4,000)	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	785,020	\$ 328,789 \$	456,231 \$	250,289

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2019	 Additions		Deletions		Balance June 30, 2020
N/A	None		\$	-	\$ - 3	\$	- :	\$	-
			Total \$	-	\$ - 9	\$_	-	\$_	-

	J	une 30
	2020	2019
ASSETS		
	\$	·_\$
Total assets	\$	·_\$
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Total liabilities	\$	\$
Fund balance Restricted		
Total fund balance	-	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	\$

		Years ended June 30			
	_	2020	2019		
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$_ Total revenues	<u> </u>	14,259		
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	15,086 15,086	14,259 14,259		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-		
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	<u> </u>			
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	\$			

City of Agoura Hills Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2020

		_	Totals to Date				
Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	_	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:							
Annual Concrete Repair Project Totals	2020	\$ \$	15,086 15,086	- `		<u> </u>	Completed
Fund balance at beginning of year							
Fund balance at end of year					\$		

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020 and 2019:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's CAFR.

Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

Pursuant to GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position* and GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities,* the City recognizes deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources. A deferred outflow of resources is defined as a consumption of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources is defined as an acquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period.

Deferred inflows of resources reported by the City represent resources that are not available for spending as of June 30, 2020 and 2019.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's CAFR for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS

Due from other governments reported in PALRF as of June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

		2020	2019
County of Los Angeles - Department	·		
of Public Works	\$	9,573 \$	27,429
	\$	9,573 \$	27,429

NOTE 9 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANTS

The City received Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$51,381 and \$41,685 for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, respectively, which represents additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the sub-regional paratransit services program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program Grants were recorded in PALRF.

NOTE 10 REIMBURSEMENTS FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – PALRF

In September 2018, the City entered into a Cooperative Agreement with the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works (the County) for the continuation of the Agoura Hills Paratransit Service for the period from July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2020. The City invoices the County the actual per-ride cost of each ride provided to unincorporated County community residents plus an administrative cost equal to the cost of one service hour per day of service. The per ride cost is calculated based on the City's actual monthly operating cost divided by the total one-way ridership and using a trip length factor. For the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City invoiced the County a total of \$23,725 and \$28,233, respectively.

NOTE 11 OTHER REVENUES – PCLRF

Other revenues under PCLRF for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consist of the following:

	2020	 2019
County of Los Angeles - Department of Public Works grant \$	600,000	\$ 600,000
Measure R Highway Program grant*	600,000	 1,098,759
\$	1,200,000	\$ 1,698,759

* Measure R Highway Program Grant

The City entered into a Funding Agreement (No. MOU.MR311.03) with LACMTA for the Palo Comado Canyon Road Interchange Improvements Project (the Project) on July 6, 2010, which was amended by Amendment No. 1 on November 11, 2011. The total designated amount for the project is \$2,590,000. The funds will be released to the City on a reimbursement basis in accordance with invoices submitted in support of the monthly progress report and the quarterly expenditure report submitted to LACMTA. On August 14, 2017, the Funding Agreement was further amended to increase the total designated amount for the Project to \$4,000,000.

The City recognized \$600,000 and \$1,098,759 of reimbursement for this project during the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, respectively.

NOTE 12 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	2020	2019
FY 2018/19 allocation	\$ -	\$ 14,259
FY 2019/20 allocation	15,086	-
	\$ 15,086	\$ 14,259

NOTE 13 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City did not have TDA Article 3 Funds left on reserve.

NOTE 14 RESTATEMENT OF 2019 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2019 financial statements of Proposition C Local Return Fund were restated to reflect the adjustments on the following accounts:

	Balance,		
	as previously		Balance
	reported	 Adjustment	 as restated
Cash and investments	\$ 168,132	\$ 26,250	\$ 194,382
Fund balance	757,314	26,250	783,564
Expenditures	1,215,796	(26,250)	1,189,546

The restatement of the Proposition C Local Return Fund financial statements is to remove certain expenditures for the Chesebro Crossing at 101 (Interchange Improvements) project, which were funded by other grants in Fiscal Year 2019.

NOTE 15 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2020 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through December 16, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no other subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Agoura Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Agoura Hills, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 2020.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

asynes & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2020

COMPLIANCE SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Agoura Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Agoura Hills, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Agoura Hills, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

reques & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2020

	Compliance Requirements		ompli	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and management response.
			No	N/A	Costs	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
	1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform					
	System of Accounts and Records.	x				
	2. Timely use of funds.	X X				
	3. Funds expended were approved					
	and have not been substituted for					
	property tax.	Х				
	4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of					
	approved project budget have					
	approved amended Project					
	Description Form (Form A)	Х				
	5. Administrative expenses are within					
	the 20% cap of the total annual					
	Local Return Expenditures.	Х				
	6. All on-going and carryover projects					
	were reported in Form B.	Х				
	7. Annual Project Summary Report					
	(Form B) was submitted timely.	Х				
	8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form					
	C) was submitted timely.	Х				
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are					
	maintained.	Х				
	10. Accounting procedures, record					
	keeping and documentation are					
	adequate.	Х				
	11. Pavement Management System					
	(PMS) in place and being used for					
	Street Maintenance or Improvement					
	Projects Expenditures.	Х				
	12. Local Return Account is credited for					
	reimbursable expenditures.			Х		
	13. Self-Certification was completed					
	and submitted for Intelligent					
	Transportation Systems projects or					
	elements.			Х		
	14. Assurances and Understandings					
	form was on file.	Х				
	15. Recreational Transit Form was					
	submitted timely.	Х				

	Compliance Requirements		In C	ompli	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Compliance Requirements		No	N/A	Costs	management response.
B. Measure R Local Return Fund		asure R Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a funding					
		shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.	Separate Measure R Local Return					
		Account was established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure R					
	_	Local Return Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with	X				
	-	LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	1.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was	V				
		submitted timely.	Х				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two)	V				
		was submitted timely.	XX				
		Timely use of funds.	X				
	10.	Administrative expenses are within	x				
	44	the 20% cap.	~				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.					
	10				Х		
	12.	A separate account was established					
		for Capital reserve funds and					
		Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.			х		
	12	Recreational transit form was			^		
	13.	submitted timely.			х		
		submitted timely.			^		

	Compliance Requirements		In C	ompli	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Compliance Requirements		No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C.	Measure M Local Return Fund						
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a fund					
		shortfall.	Х				
	3.	5					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.						
		Account was established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure M					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)					
		was submitted timely.	Х				
	8.						
		was submitted timely.	Х				
	9.	Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10.	Administrative expenses are within					
		the 20% cap.	Х				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by					
		LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	A separate account was established					
		for Capital reserve funds and					
		Capital reserve was approved by					
		LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			Х		

Compliance Requirements		In C	omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Compliance Requirements		No	N/A	Costs	management response.
D.	Transportation Development Act					
	Article 3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	2. Expenditures were incurred for					
	activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	х				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 16, 2020 with the City of Agoura Hills representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Agoura Hills representatives: Louis Celaya – Deputy City Manager Christy Pinuelas – Director of Finance Melinda Brodsly – Finance Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant financial and compliance issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Agoura Hills representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Louis Celaya – Deputy City Manager Christy Pinuelas – Director of Finance Melinda Brodsly – Finance Manager



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP.

655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203-1437 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777