

City of Cudahy Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 with Report of Independent Auditors





PAGE

Report of Independent Auditors
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
Notes to Funds Financial Statements
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>

FINANCIAL SECTION

	PAGE
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	29 31
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	35
EXIT CONFERENCE	36

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cudahy, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Cudahy, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Cudahy, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Cudahy, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 16, 2020 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

agnes & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 16, 2020

		June 30			
		2020	2019		
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	625,050 \$	719,849		
Due from LACMTA		-	26,146		
Due from General Fund		13,147	-		
Interest receivable		1,999	2,932		
	Total assets \$ _	640,196 \$	748,927		
Liabilities	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Accounts payable	\$	<u> </u>	10,167		
	Total liabilities	5,744	10,167		
Fund balance Restricted		634,452	738,760		
	 Total fund balance	634,452	738,760		
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	<u>640,196</u> \$	748,927		
		$\nabla \tau v_1 v \nabla \psi$	110,021		

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended June 30			
	_	2020	2019		
Revenues Proposition A Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant Investment income	\$	472,449 \$ - 10,010	490,078 26,146 13,240		
Total revenues		482,459	529,464		
Expenditures Various projects Total expenditures	_	586,767 586,767	608,515 608,515		
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures		(104,308)	(79,051)		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	738,760	817,811		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	634,452 \$	738,760		

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Cudahy Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

		2020				
	-				Variance	
Project		LACMTA			Positive	2019
Code	Project Name	Budget		Actual	(Negative)	Actual
110-01	Cudahy ADA Improvements along Fixed Route					
	(per Cudahy ADA Transition Plan) \$	150,000	\$	- \$	150,000 \$	- 6
130-02	Dial-A-Ride	85,000		99,433	(14,433)	101,216
140-05	Recreation Excursions	10,000		2,107	7,893	8,752
150-01	Cudahy Citywide Bus Stop Improvement Project	100,000		-	100,000	-
270-01	Orange Line Rail Transit Study	9,000		8,674	326	10,174
280-01	Cudahy Transit Marketing Program	30,000		-	30,000	3,553
405-01	Prop A Funds Trade	449,000		449,000	-	449,000
480-01	Administration Prop A	45,000		27,553	17,447	35,820
	Total expenditures \$	878,000	\$	586,767 \$	291,233	608,515

Date	Balance July 1, 2010 Additions Deletions						Balance June 30,	
Acquired	Description		2019		Additions		Deletions	2020
06/14/2010	1 Aero Elite Motor Bus Vehicle	\$_	60,314	_\$_	-	\$	\$_	60,314
		Total \$	60,314	\$	-	\$	\$	60,314

See report of independent auditors.

	June 30			
	2020	2019		
ASSETS				
Cash and investments \$	547,329	\$ 461,113		
Due from General Fund	139	-		
Interest receivable	1,781	1,903		
Total assets \$	549,249	\$ 463,016		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Total liabilities	<u>43,624</u> 43,624	\$ <u>25,793</u> 25,793		
Fund balance				
Restricted	505,625	437,223		
Total fund balance	505,625	437,223		
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	549,249	\$ 463,016		

			Years ended June 30				
		_	2020	2019			
Revenues Proposition C Bus pass sales		\$	391,898 \$ 15,101	406,506 26,598			
Investment income			8,073	7,956			
	Total revenues		415,072	441,060			
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	_	346,670 346,670	<u>328,189</u> 328,189			
Excess of revenues over expenditures			68,402	112,871			
Fund balance at beginning of year			437,223	324,352			
Fund balance at end of year		\$	505,625 \$	437,223			

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Cudahy Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

			2020		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	 Actual	 Variance Positive (Negative)	 2019 Actual
110-02	Fixed Route \$	178,000	\$ 176,363	\$ 1,637	\$ 176,099
140-05	Recreation Excursions	5,000	4,756	244	5,392
240-02	Bus Fare Subsidy	55,000	28,046	26,954	53,654
270-03	I-710 Arterials Study	10,000	10,000	-	10,000
270-05	Council of Governments Membership	16,300	16,300	-	14,700
270-06	Atlantic Avenue/Boulevard Corridor Study	15,500	15,465	35	-
280-01	Cudahy Transit Marketing Program	30,000	-	30,000	3,564
440-01	Atlantic Avenue Street Improvement Project - New Phase II	250,000	13,350	236,650	-
440-02	Resurfacing of a Parking Lot at Lugo Park for Fixed				
	Route Fixed Route Transit Stop	300,000	13,204	286,796	-
470-03	Pavement Management System Upgrade 2019	20,000	-	20,000	-
480-04	Administration Prop C	65,000	 69,186	(4,186)	 64,780
	Total expenditures \$	944,800	\$ 346,670	\$ 598,130	\$ 328,189

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2019	Additions	 Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
N/A	None			\$ - \$	_	\$ - \$	-
			Total	\$ \$	-	\$ - \$	-

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30				
	_	2020		2019		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	1,601,492	\$	1,381,636		
Due from General Fund	Ť	28,513		-		
Interest receivable		5,250		5,704		
	Total assets \$ _	1,635,255	\$	1,387,340		
LIABILITIES AND FUNI	DBALANCE					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	14,301	\$	40,404		
Due to other fund		45,609		-		
	Total liabilities	59,910	_	40,404		
Fund balance						
Restricted		1,575,345		1,346,936		
		1,575,345		1,346,936		
Total liabi	lities and fund balance \$ $_$	1,635,255	\$	1,387,340		

		Years ended June 30					
	_	2020	2019				
Revenues Measure R Investment income	\$	293,503 24,927	\$				
	Total revenues	318,430	328,881				
Expenditures Various projects	 Total expenditures	90,021 90,021	<u> </u>				
Excess of revenues over expenditures		228,409	275,670				
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,346,936	1,071,266				
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	1,575,345 *	\$1,346,936_				

* \$46,833 of the fund balance at end of year has lapsed. On September 16, 2020, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, the LACMTA Board of Directors granted a one-time, one-year extension for the use of the lapsed funds.

City of Cudahy Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

			2020				
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	 Actual	- .	Variance Positive (Negative)		2019 Actual
01-001	Cecelia Street Improvement \$	225,000	\$ 13,204	\$	211,796 \$;	19,508
01-002	Ardine Street Improvement Project	140,000	13,204		126,796		19,508
02-001	Matching Funds for Cudahy 2013 HSIP-6	10.000	45.000		(5,000)		
	Approved Grant Traffic Project	40,000	45,609		(5,609)		-
02-002	Matching Funds for 2015 Call for Projects Approved Grants	88,249	-		88,249		-
02-003	Matching Funds for HSIP-7 Approved						
	Grant - Safety Enhancement	36,320	-		36,320		-
02-004	Matching Funds for SSARP Project						
	Approved Grant	15,000	-		15,000		-
02-005	Matching Funds for ATP-2 Project Approved						
	Grant - Wilcox SR	27,000	-		27,000		-
06-001	Other Transportation Marketing	30,000	-		30,000		3,553
08-001	Fund Administration	40,000	18,004		21,996		10,642
	Total expenditures \$	641,569	\$ 90,021	\$	551,548 \$;	53,211

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2019	 Additions	Deletions	<u>. </u>	Balance June 30, 2020
N/A N	None		Total	\$	\$ 	¢	\$_ \$	<u> </u>

See report of independent auditors.

			June 30			
		_	2020		2019	
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments		\$	909,636	\$	609,898	
Interest receivable			2,984		2,511	
	Total assets	\$	912,620	\$	612,409	
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	\$	<u>11,312</u> 11,312	\$	<u> </u>	
Fund balance						
Restricted			901,308		612,409	
	Total fund balance		901,308		612,409	
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	912,620	\$	612,409	

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2020	2019	
Revenues				
Measure M	\$	330,153	\$ 343,702	
Investment income		13,201	8,811	
	Total revenues	343,354	352,513	
Expenditures Various projects	 Total expenditures	54,455 54,455		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		288,899	352,513	
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	612,409	259,896	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	901,308	\$ 612,409	

City of Cudahy Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

				2020		_	
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	_	Actual	Variance Positive <u>(Negative)</u>		2019 Actual
01-001	Cudahy Citywide Traffic Striping and						
	Signage Project \$	120,000	\$	-	\$ 120,000	\$	-
02-001	Traffic Signal - Repairs and Maintenance	75,000		44,977	30,023		-
04-001	Contracted Transportation Services						
	from within LA County	15,000		9,478	5,522		-
08-001	Fund Administration (20% cap)	20,000		-	20,000		-
	Total expenditures \$	230,000	\$	54,455	\$ 175,545	\$	-

Date _Acquired_		Description		Balance July 1, 2019		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
N/A	None		Ś	\$	- \$	- 9	; _ :	\$-
			Total S	\$	\$	- 9	-	\$

See report of independent auditors.

		June	30
		2020	2019
Cash and investments	ASSETS \$	- \$	-
-	Total assets \$	- \$	-
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE	- \$	
Accounts payable	ې Total liabilities	\$	-
Fund balance Restricted	Total fund balance Total liabilities and fund balance \$		- - -

		Years end	Years ended June 30 2020 2019		
	_	2020	2019		
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$ Total revenues		\$		
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	 Total expenditures	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-		
Fund balance at beginning of year	-				
Fund balance at end of year	\$_		\$		

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Basic Financial Statements for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020 and 2019:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Basic Financial Statements.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average guarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Basic Financial Statements for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE

As permitted by the Local Return Guidelines and as approved by LACMTA, the City entered into agreements with various jurisdictions to exchange the City's general fund monies with other jurisdictions' uncommitted Proposition A monies. The amounts received were recorded under PALRF.

Those exchanges are listed below:

- In August 2019, the City entered into an agreement with the Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority (PVPTA) to exchange Proposition A fund monies amounting to \$449,000 for \$336,750 or \$0.75 general fund per \$1 of PALRF monies.
- In October 2018, the City entered into an agreement with the Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority (PVPTA) to exchange Proposition A fund monies amounting to \$449,000 for \$336,750 or \$0.75 general fund per \$1 of PALRF monies.

NOTE 9 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANT

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant for the year ended June 30, 2019 of \$26,146 represents additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the Voluntary NTD Program. No grant was received for the year ended June 30, 2020.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2020	2019
FY 2016/17 reserve	\$ 16,092	\$ 16,092
FY 2017/18 reserve	16,033	16,033
FY 2018/19 reserve	16,559	16,559
FY 2019/20 allocation	17,594	-
	\$ 66,278	\$ 48,684

For FY 2019/20, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2015/16 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2020. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2019/20.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 16, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cudahy, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Cudahy, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 16, 2020.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

asynes & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 16, 2020

COMPLIANCE SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cudahy, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Cudahy, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Cudahy, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

ingnes & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 16, 2020

	Compliance Requirements		Complia		Questioned	If no, provide details and		
		Yes No		N/A	Costs	management response.		
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds							
	1. Uses the State Controller's							
	Uniform System of Accounts							
	and Records.	Х						
	2. Timely use of funds.	Х						
	3. Funds expended were							
	approved and have not been							
	substituted for property tax.	Х						
	4. Expenditures that exceeded							
	25% of approved project budget							
	have approved amended Project Description Form (Form							
	A)	Х						
	5. Administrative expenses are	^						
	within the 20% cap of the total							
	annual Local Return							
	Expenditures.	х						
	6. All on-going and carryover	~						
	projects were reported in Form							
	В.	Х						
	7. Annual Project Summary							
	Report (Form B) was submitted							
	timely.	Х						
	8. Annual Expenditure Report							
	(Form C) was submitted timely.	Х						
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are							
	maintained.	Х						
	10. Accounting procedures, record							
	keeping and documentation are							
	adequate.	Х						
	11. Pavement Management System							
	(PMS) in place and being used							
	for Street Maintenance or							
	Improvement Projects	Х						
	Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is	^						
	credited for reimbursable							
	expenditures.			Х				
	13. Self-Certification was completed							
	and submitted for Intelligent							
	Transportation Systems							
	projects or elements.			X				
	14. Assurances and							
	Understandings form was on							
	file.	Х						
	15. Recreational Transit Form was							
	submitted timely.	Х						

	Compliance Requirements		In C	Complia	nce	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
		Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.		
В.		asure R Local Return Fund							
	1.	Funds were expended for							
		transportation purposes.	Х						
	2.	Funds were used to augment,							
		not supplant, existing local							
		revenues being used for							
		transportation purposes unless							
		there is a funding shortfall.	Х			-			
	3.	Signed Assurances and							
		Understandings on file.	Х						
	4.	Separate Measure R Local							
		Return Account was							
		established.	Х						
	5.	Revenues received including							
		allocations, project generated							
		revenues and interest income							
		was properly credited to the							
		Measure R Local Return	V						
	~	Account.	Х						
	6.	Funds were expended with	V						
	7	LACMTA's approval.	Х						
	1.	Expenditure Plan (Form One)	х						
	0	was submitted timely.	^						
	ö.	Expenditure Report (Form Two)	v						
	0	was submitted timely.	X X						
		Timely use of funds.	^						
	10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	х						
	11	•	^		-				
	11.	. Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.			х				
	12	A separate account was			^				
	12.	established for Capital reserve							
		funds and Capital reserve was							
		approved by LACMTA.			х				
	13	Recreational transit form was							
	13.	submitted timely.			х				
		Submitted unlery.			~				

		Compliance Deminements	In C	omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
		Compliance Requirements		No	N/A	Costs	management response.		
C.		asure M Local Return Fund							
	1.	Funds were expended for							
		transportation purposes.	Х						
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not							
		supplant, existing local revenues							
		being used for transportation							
		purposes unless there is a fund							
		shortfall.	Х						
	3.	Signed Assurances and							
		Understandings on file.	Х						
	4.	Separate Measure M Local Return							
		Account was established.	Х						
	5.	Revenues received including							
		allocations, project generated							
		revenues and interest income was							
		properly credited to the Measure M							
		Local Return Account.	Х						
	6.	Funds were expended with							
		LACMTA's approval.	Х						
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form							
		M-One) was submitted timely.	Х						
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form							
		M-Two) was submitted timely.	X X						
		Timely use of funds.	Х						
	10.	Administrative expenses are within					There were no		
		the 20% cap.					administrative		
					X		expenditures in FY		
<u> </u>	44	Final and an end of the			Х		2019/20.		
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by			v				
<u> </u>	40	LACMTA.			Х				
	12.	A separate account was established							
		for Capital reserve funds and							
		Capital reserve was approved by			v				
	12	LACMTA. Recreational transit form was			Х				
	13.				х				
		submitted timely.			^				

	Compliance Requirements		omplia	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
			No	N/A		
D.	Transportation Development Act					
	Article 3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	2. Expenditures were incurred for					There were no
	activities relating to pedestrian and					expenditures in FY
	bicycle facilities and amenities.			Х		2019/20.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There are no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on November 9, 2020 with the City of Cudahy representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Cristy Canieda – Partner Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager Erica Ong – Senior Auditor

City of Cudahy representative: Steven Dobrenen – Finance Director

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Cudahy representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Steven Dobrenen – Finance Director



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.