

City of Inglewood Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

FINANCIAL	SECTION
-----------	---------

1
4 5 6 7
1
8 9
10 11
12 13
14 15
16 17
18 19
20 21
22
28

<u>PAGE</u>

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	30 32
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	36
	37

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Inglewood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Inglewood, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Inglewood, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Inglewood, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 16, 2020 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

agnes & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2020

			June 30			
			2020		2019	
		_				
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments		\$_	3,318,519	\$	5,436,761	
	Total assets	\$	3,318,519	\$	5,436,761	
		-		_		
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable		\$	787,562	\$	681,025	
Retention payable			70,676		40,253	
Accrued wages payable			119,898		99,631	
	Total liabilities	_	978,136		820,909	
Fund balance						
Restricted		_	2,340,383	_	4,615,852	
	Total fund balance	_	2,340,383		4,615,852	
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	3,318,519	\$	5,436,761	

			Years end	ded	June 30
		_	2020		2019
Revenues Proposition A Investment income	Total revenues	\$ _ _	2,203,702 75,394 2,279,096	\$	2,306,744 128,692 2,435,436
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	-	4,554,565 4,554,565	. <u> </u>	4,010,113 4,010,113
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	3		(2,275,469)		(1,574,677)
Fund balance at beginning of year		_	4,615,852	. <u> </u>	6,190,529
Fund balance at end of year		\$_	2,340,383	\$_	4,615,852

				2020		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	_	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
150-08	Transit Stop Improvements \$	1	\$	- 5	§ 1\$	202,458
150-22	Van Ness Avenue Bus Stop Improvement Project	350,000		349,299	701	-
150-23	N La Brea Ave Bus Stop Improvement Project	17,000		16,237	763	669,837
150-24	Imperial Highway Bus Stop Improvement Project	1		-	1	45,183
150-25	Century Blvd Bus Stop and Mobility Improvement Project	58,805		58,805	-	-
200-10	Paratransit Vehicles and Radios	80,858		-	80,858	-
200-11	Paratransit Vehicles and Radios	89,812		82,153	7,659	-
220-01	Transit Security	1,220,000		1,117,518	102,482	717,231
270-01	City Last Mile Transit Connector	2,363,144		2,208,875	154,269	2,375,404
270-02	Inglewood Transit Facility - Construction	597,873		622,782	(24,909)	-
270-03	Inglewood Transit Connector	79,118		98,896	(19,778)	-
	Total expenditures \$	4,856,612	\$	4,554,565	\$ 302,047 \$	4,010,113

City of Inglewood Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2019	 Additions / Adjustments	_	Deletions / Adjustments	 Balance June 30, 2020
2019	Transit Stop Improvement \$	689,428	\$ -	\$	39,659	\$ 649,769
2019	N La Brea Avenue Improvement	555,230	130,844		-	686,074
2019	City Last Mile Transit Connector	1,854,169	2,090,734		-	3,944,903
2020	Van Ness Avenue Improvement	-	349,299		-	349,299
2020	Imperial Highway Improvement Project	-	45,183		-	45,183
2020	Century Blvd Mobility Improvement Project	-	58,805		-	58,805
2020	Inglewood Transit Facility	-	550,637		-	550,637
2020	Inglewood Transit Connector	-	73,724		-	73,724
	Total \$	3,098,827	\$ 3,299,226	\$	39,659	\$ 6,358,394

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30			
		2020		2019	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	791,638	\$	1,029,418	
Due from LACMTA		99,709		73,546	
Due from other agencies		116,623		120,940	
Tot	al assets \$	1,007,970	\$	1,223,904	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	289,795	\$	516,078	
Retention payable		7,336		147,076	
Accrued wages payable		37,177		30,632	
Total	liabilities	334,308	· _	693,786	
Fund balance					
		670 660		520 110	
Restricted Total func		673,662	· —	530,118	
Total fund		673,662	·	530,118	
Total liabilities and fund	balance \$	1,007,970	\$	1,223,904	

See notes to Funds financial statements.

	_	Years ende	ed June 30	
		2020	2019	
Revenues				
Proposition C	\$	1,827,976 \$	\$ 1,913,37	9
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant		177,270	191,894	4
Investment income		8,651	60,253	3
Project generated revenues	_	235,865	300,424	4
Total revenues		2,249,762	2,465,950	0
Expenditures Various projects Total expenditures	-	2,106,218 2,106,218	6,490,74 6,490,74	_
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		143,544	(4,024,79	1)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	530,118	4,554,909	9
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	673,662 \$	\$530,118	8

City of Inglewood Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

		_		2020		
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	 Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
110-05	Market Street Trolley	\$	100,000	\$ 84,081	\$ 15,919 \$	86,947
130-04	Senior Citizen Paratransit		825,000	756,726	68,274	782,522
240-10	Subsidized Taxi Services		100,000	89,603	10,397	147,420
250-07	Reduced Fare Bus Passes		70,000	48,718	21,282	72,309
270-01	City Last Mile Transit Connector		150,687	137,771	12,916	1,618,628
400-03	Intelligent Transportation System - ITS - Phase 4B		171,677	152,865	18,812	4,650
400-10	Intelligent Transportation System - ITS - Phase 5		134,733	29,885	104,848	
450-02	Street Geometry Improvement		9,092	9,092	-	233,810
450-13	Crenshaw Blvd/84th Street HSIP		1	-	1	155
450-15	La Tijera Elementary School SR2S Project		1	-	1	85,819
450-16	N La Brea Ave Project		633,200	636,877	(3,677)	778,663
450-17	Centinela Avenue Improvement Project		85,552	105,701	(20,149)	23,188
450-20	Streets and Alleys Rehabilitation		2,340	2,340	-	2,336,114
450-21	Imperial Highway Improvement Project		1	-	1	2,325
450-22	Pavement Resurfacing & Handicapped					
	Ramps - Crenshaw and Century		1	-	1	-
450-23	Manchester Blvd Project		8,585	8,585	-	-
450-24	Street Signs & Marking Rehabilitation		38,671	43,974	(5,303)	
480-01	Transportation Management and Grants		1	-	1	318,191
	Total expenditures	\$	2,329,542	\$ 2,106,218	\$ 223,324 \$	6,490,741

City of Inglewood Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2019	_	Additions / Adjustments	Deletions Adjustmen			Balance June 30, 2020
2010	Intersection and Street Reconstruction	\$	172,171	\$	- \$	5 15,65	1 \$	5	156,520
2010	La Brea - Manchester to Century		2,025,851		-	184,16	8		1,841,683
2010	Arbor Vitae Project East		47,045		-	4,27	7		42,768
2011	Crenshaw/Century Installation of Signals		15,721		-	1,31	0		14,411
2012	Annual Street Improvements		196,770		-	15,13	6		181,634
2013	Resurfacing of Local Streets		436,389		-	36,05	9		400,330
2014	La Brea Ave Improvement Project Phase II		392,639		-	26,17	6		366,463
2014	Pavement Management System		47,898		-	3,41	6		44,482
2015	Traffic Sign Replacement Project		13,656		-	85	3		12,803
2015	La Brea Ave Improvement Project Phase I		9,000		-	65	8		8,342
2015	Street Improvements at La Cienega		136,005		-	8,50	0		127,505
2015	La Brea - Intersection Realignment		195,327		-	12,20	8		183,119
2016	Century Blvd Corridor Design Project		2,162,920		-	134,94	6		2,027,974
2019	Florence Ave Regional Transp		1,342,933		-	83,88	4		1,259,049
2019	La Brea TLSP - PRO 1B		524,300		-	27,71	6		496,584
2019	Crenshaw Blvd and 84th Street HSIP		61,485		-	3,25	0		58,235
2019	La Tijera Elementary School Project		441,590		1,639		-		443,229
2019	Highway Improvement Project		69,237		-		-		69,237
2019	Centinela Avenue Improvement		113,073		18,037		-		131,110
2019	N La Brea Avenue Improvement		594,259		886,539		-		1,480,798
2019	Street Geometry Improvement Project		624,016		7,292	32,55	1		598,757
2019	Intelligent Transp System ITS Phase 4b		35,843		109,379		-		145,222
2019	Street Rehabilitation Project		2,334,212		450	123,96	8		2,210,694
2019	City Last Mile Transit Connector		2,292,015		422,141		-		2,714,156
2019	Stadium Project Planning and Improvement		122,382		-		-		122,382
2020	Intelligent Transp System ITS Phase V		-		25,935		-		25,935
2020	Street Signs and Marking Rehabilitation		-		8,619		-		8,619
2020	Manchester Blvd Project - SBCCOG	_	-	_	8,585		-		8,585
	Total	\$	14,406,737	\$	1,488,616 \$	5 714,72	7 \$;	15,180,626

		Ju	ne 3	30
		2020		2019
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	3,190,553	\$	4,569,404
	Total assets \$	3,190,553	\$	4,569,404
LIABILITIE	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	185,800	\$	530,138
Retention payable		86,427		61,517
	Total liabilities	272,227		591,655
Fund balance				
Restricted		2,918,326		3,977,749
	Total fund balance	2,918,326		3,977,749
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	3,190,553	\$	4,569,404
	—			

			Years ended June 30		
			2020	2019	
Revenues					
Measure R		\$	1,369,021 \$	1,435,447	
Investment income		_	59,582	89,759	
	Total revenues		1,428,603	1,525,206	
Expenditures Various projects	- / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	2,488,026	2,276,640	
	Total expenditures	_	2,488,026	2,276,640	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditure	es		(1,059,423)	(751,434)	
Fund balance at beginning of year			3,977,749	4,729,183	
5 5 5		-	, ,	. ,	
Fund balance at end of year		\$	2,918,326 \$	3,977,749	

City of Inglewood Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

			_				
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)		2019 Actual
1.05	Resurfacing of Local Streets \$	-	\$	-	\$-	\$	6,569
1.05	Street Lighting and Roadway Safety Improvements	2,700		23,287	(20,587)		49,348
1.05	N La Brea Avenue Improvements	438,432		429,132	9,300		523,978
1.05	South Prairie Improvement Project	27,421		69,941	(42,520)		217,260
1.05	Imperial Highway Improvement Project	-		-	-		(1,080)
1.05	Streets Rehabilitation Project	3,720		3,000	720		776,137
1.05	Sidewalk and Ramp Rehabilitation Project	3,600		3,600	-		431,349
1.05	Van Ness Ave Improvement Project	542,332		480,947	61,385		-
1.05	Century Blvd Mobility Improvement Project	1,429,332		1,294,960	134,372		19,855
2.09	ITS Master Plan	-		-	-		62,557
2.29	Annual Traffic Signal Improvement Program	131,308	_	183,159	(51,851)	_	190,667
	Total expenditures \$	2,578,845	\$	2,488,026	\$ 90,819	\$	2,276,640

City of Inglewood Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2019	 Additions / Adjustments	 Deletions / Adjustments	 Balance June 30, 2020
2018	Traffic Signal Improvement Program \$	975,901	\$ 14,890	\$ 81,889	\$ 908,902
2018	Imperial Highway Project	3,480	-	3,480	-
2018	ITS Master Plan	131,594	-	131,594	-
2018	South Prairie Improvement Project	94,802	-	94,802	-
2019	Century Blvd Mobility Improvement Project	8,100	1,286,378	-	1,294,478
2019	ITS Phase IV	753	-	-	753
2019	Van Ness Avenue Improvement	7,200	473,747	-	480,947
2019	Imperial Highway Improvement Project	3,480	-	-	3,480
2019	N La Brea Ave Improvement	166,712	864,307	6,535	1,024,484
2019	Annual Traffic Signal Improvement	896,228	159,281	415,953	639,556
2019	Street Lighting and Roadway Safety Improvement	983,312	49,836	503,474	529,674
2019	ITS Master Plan	203,230	-	-	203,230
2019	South Prairie Improvement Project	303,211	42,080	-	345,291
2019	Resurfacing of Local Streets	3,434,401	-	983,279	2,451,122
2019	Sidewalk and Ramp Rehabilitation	756,594	3,483	209,843	550,234
2019	Streets Rehabilitation Project	767,686	15,155	42,546	740,295
	Total \$	8,736,684	\$ 2,909,157	\$ 2,473,395	\$ 9,172,446

			Ju	ine 3	0
		_	2020		2019
	ASSETS	_			
Cash and investments		\$_	4,142,299	\$	2,888,285
	Total assets	\$_	4,142,299	\$	2,888,285
LIABILITIE Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE	\$	895,890	\$	-
Retention payable		_	62,500		-
	Total liabilities	-	958,390		
Fund balance					
Restricted			3,183,909		2,888,285
	Total fund balance	_	3,183,909		2,888,285
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$_	4,142,299	\$	2,888,285

		Years ended June 30		
			2020	2019
Revenues Measure M		¢	4 529 94C ¢	1,618,916
Investment income		\$	1,538,816 \$	38,291
			59,772	
	Total revenues		1,598,588	1,657,207
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures		1,302,964 1,302,964	<u> </u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures			295,624	1,657,207
Fund balance at beginning of year			2,888,285	1,231,078
Fund balance at end of year		\$	3,183,909 \$	2,888,285

			2020		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
1.05	South Prairie Improvement Project	 \$ 586,333 \$	1,302,964 \$	(716,631) \$	-
	Total expenditures	\$ 586,333 \$	1,302,964 \$	5 (716,631) \$	-

Date Acquired	Description	J	alance luly 1, 2019	 Additions	 Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
2020	South Praire Improvement Project	\$	-	\$ 407,073	\$ - \$	407,073
	Total	\$	-	\$ 407,073	\$ - \$	407,073

			June 3	0
		202	20	2019
Cash	ASSETS Total assets	\$ \$	\$ \$	
LIABILIT Liabilities Accounts payable	IES AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	\$	<u> </u>	-
Fund balance Restricted	Total fund balance Total liabilities and fund balance		<u>-</u> \$	- - -

		Years ende	d June 30
	_	2020	2019
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3 Total rev	\$ venues	<u> </u>	<u>56,915</u> 56,915
Expenditures Sidewalk Replacement Project Total expen	ditures	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	56,915
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of year			(56,915)
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020 and 2019:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's CAFR.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average guarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the CAFR for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 DUE FROM OTHER AGENCIES – PCLRF

Due from other agencies as of June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	2020	2019
Hawthorne Paratransit	\$ 87,924	\$ 89,882
Lennox Paratransit	28,699	31,058
	\$ 116,623	\$ 120,940

NOTE 9 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANTS

The City received Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant amounting to \$177,270 and \$191,894 for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, respectively, which represents additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the subregional paratransit services program. The City used this grant to operate a demandresponse paratransit Dial-A-Ride program available to eligible disabled residents.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded in PCLRF.

NOTE 10 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES

Project generated revenues under PCLRF for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	2020	2019
Hawthorne Paratransit	\$ 141,477	\$ 175,575
Lennox Paratransit	44,349	61,613
Subsidized Taxi Vouchers	22,895	25,785
Reduced Fare Bus Passes	23,486	32,210
Fare Donation	3,658	3,920
Other	-	1,321
	\$ 235,865	\$ 300,424

NOTE 11 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

	2020	2019
FY 2018/19 allocation	\$ -	\$ 56,915
	\$ -	\$ 56,915

NOTE 12 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2020	2019	
FY 2018/19 allocation	\$ 20,968	\$ 20,968	
FY 2019/20 allocation	82,013	-	
Total reserve	\$ 102,981	\$ 20,968	

For FY 2019/20, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2015/16 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2020. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2019/20.

NOTE 13 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2020 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through December 16, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no other subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Inglewood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Inglewood, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 2020.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2020

COMPLIANCE SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Inglewood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Inglewood, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Inglewood, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

reques & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2020

Compliance Pequirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Compliance Requirements		Yes No N/A		Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local					
	Return Funds					
	1. Uses the State Controller's					
	Uniform System of Accounts and	v				
	Records.	X X				
	2. Timely use of funds.	X				
	3. Funds expended were approved					
	and have not been substituted for	v				
	property tax.	Х				
	4. Expenditures that exceeded 25%					
	of approved project budget have					
	approved amended Project	v				
	Description Form (Form A)	Х				
	5. Administrative expenses are within					
	the 20% cap of the total annual	v				
	Local Return Expenditures.	Х				
	6. All on-going and carryover projects	v				
	were reported in Form B. 7. Annual Project Summary Report	Х				
	(Form B) was submitted timely.	Х				
		^				
	 Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 	Х				
-	9. Cash or cash equivalents are	^				
	maintained.	Х				
	10. Accounting procedures, record	^				
	keeping and documentation are					
	adequate.	Х				
	11. Pavement Management System	~				
	(PMS) in place and being used for					
	Street Maintenance or					
	Improvement Projects					
	Expenditures.	Х				
	12. Local Return Account is credited	~~~~				
	for reimbursable expenditures.	Х				
	13. Self-Certification was completed					
	and submitted for Intelligent					
	Transportation Systems projects or					
	elements.			х		
	14. Assurances and Understandings					
	form was on file.	Х				
	15. Recreational Transit Form was	-				
	submitted timely.			Х		

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes No N/A		N/A	Costs	management response.
В.	Me	asure R Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a funding					
		shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.	1					
		Account was established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	v				
	6		Х				
	0.	Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	х				
	7	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was	^				
	1.	submitted timely.	х				
	8	Expenditure Report (Form Two)	~				
	0.	was submitted timely.	х				
	q	Timely use of funds.	X				
		Administrative expenses are within					
	10.	the 20% cap.	Х				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by	~				
		LACMTA.			х		
<u> </u>	12.	A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			Х		

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C.	Measure M Local Return Fund						
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a fund					
		shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.	•					
		Account was established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure M					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)					
		was submitted timely.	Х				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two)					
		was submitted timely.	Х				
	9.	Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10.	Administrative expenses are within					
		the 20% cap.	Х				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by					
		LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			Х		

	Compliance Requirements		omplia	nce	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
			No	N/A		
D.	Transportation Development Act Article					
	3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	2. Expenditures were incurred for					There were no
	activities relating to pedestrian and					expenditures in FY
	bicycle facilities and amenities.			Х		2019/20.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 16, 2020 with the City of Inglewood representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Inglewood representatives: Luisana Gomez – Accounting Manager Aleathia Scott – Senior Accountant

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Inglewood representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Sharon Koike – Assistant Finance Director Luisana Gomez – Accounting Manager Aleathia Scott – Senior Accountant



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP.

655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203-1437 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777