

City of Irwindale
Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Measure M Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 with Report of Independent Auditors






	PAGE
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4 5
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	o
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12 13
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	14
Schedule of Capital Assets	15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	18
Schedule of Capital Assets	19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20 21
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	28

City of Irwindale Table of Contents

COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	30 32
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	36
EXIT CONFERENCE	37







www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the vears then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Irwindale, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019 and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Irwindale, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

agnew 4 Company LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 24, 2020 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Glendale, California November 24, 2020

		June 30			
		2020		2019	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	65,648	\$	70,772	
Interest receivable		312		190	
	Total assets \$ _	65,960	\$	70,962	
LIABILITIES Liabilities	AND FUND BALANCE				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$	1,400	
	Total liabilities _	-		1,400	
Fund balance					
Restricted	_	65,960		69,562	
	Total fund balance	65,960		69,562	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$ _	65,960	\$	70,962	

		Years end	ed Jun	e 30
		2020	2	2019
Revenues				
Proposition A		\$ 27,460	5	28,568
Interest income		 1,958		2,094
	Total revenues	29,418		30,662
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	 33,020 33,020		16,800 16,800
	iotai expenditures	 33,020	-	10,000
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over	expenditures	(3,602)		13,862
Fund balance at beginning of year		 69,562		55,700
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 65,960	\$	69,562

City of Irwindale Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

					Variance	
Project			LACMTA		Positive	2019
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	 (Negative)	Actual
170-02	Bus Shelter Maintenance	\$_	78,128 \$	33,020	\$ 45,108 \$	16,800
	Total expenditures	\$	78,128 \$	33,020	\$ 45,108 \$	16,800

City of Irwindale Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
N/A	None		\$_	- (S	\$\$	
			Total \$	- (-	\$\$	-

		June 30		
	_	2020		2019
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	860	\$	24,205
Interest receivable		133		45
Т	otal assets \$	993	\$	24,250
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Tota	\$ al liabilities	-	\$ <u>_</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund balance				
Restricted		993		24,250
Total fu	nd balance	993		24,250
Total liabilities and fu	nd balance \$	993	\$	24,250

			Years ended June 30		
			2020	2019	
Revenues					
Proposition C		\$	22,778 \$	23,697	
Interest income		_	835	492	
	Total revenues		23,613	24,189	
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	_	46,870 46,870	<u>-</u>	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over	expenditures		(23,257)	24,189	
Fund balance at beginning of year		_	24,250	61	
Fund balance at end of year		\$_	993 \$	24,250	

City of Irwindale Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

	2020										
Project Code	Project Name			LACMTA Positi				Positive		Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
450-05	FY 2019/20 Resurfacing Program	\$	23,770	\$	46,870	\$	(23,100) \$	-			
	Total expenditures	\$	23,770	\$	46,870	\$	(23,100) \$	-			

City of Irwindale Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$	19,992 \$	- \$	- \$	19,992
		Total \$	19,992 \$	- \$	- \$	19,992

		Ju	ne 3	0
		2020		2019
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	44,343	\$	60,852
Interest receivable		271		153
	Total assets \$	44,614	\$_	61,005
LIADILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities	AND FOND BALANCE			
Accounts payable	\$	_	\$	_
, ,	Total liabilities		_	_
Fund balance Restricted		44,614		61,005
เงองแบเฮน	Total found halance		_	
	Total fund balance	44,614		61,005
•	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	44,614	\$_	61,005

			Years ended	June 30
			2020	2019
Revenues				
Measure R		\$	17,059 \$	17,778
Interest income		_	1,700	1,685
	Total revenues	_	18,759	19,463
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	_	35,150 35,150	<u>-</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over	er expenditures		(16,391)	19,463
Fund balance at beginning of year		_	61,005	41,542
Fund balance at end of year		\$_	44,614 \$	61,005

City of Irwindale Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

			2020		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
1.05	FY 2019/2020 Resurfacing Program \$	17,830 \$	35,150	(17,320) \$	-
	Total expenditures \$	17,830 \$	35,150	(17,320) \$	-

City of Irwindale Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2019	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$_	14,994	\$ - \$		\$ 14,994
	Total	\$	14,994	\$ - \$	_	\$ 14,994

		Ju	ne 3	80
		2020	_	2019
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments		\$ 719	\$	20,377
Interest receivable		112		36
	Total assets	\$ 831		20,413
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	\$ <u>-</u>	_\$	<u>-</u>
Fund balance				
Restricted		831	_	20,413
	Total fund balance	831	_	20,413
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 831	\$_	20,413

		Years end	dec	June 30
		2020		2019
Revenues				
Measure M	\$	19,215	\$	20,010
Interest income		703	_	393
Total revenu	es _	19,918		20,403
Expenditures Various projects Total expenditure	es _	39,500 39,500	 	<u>-</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(19,582)		20,403
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	20,413		10
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	831	\$	20,413

City of Irwindale Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

	_		2020				
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual		
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	20,200 \$	39,500 \$	(19,300) \$	-		
	Total expenditures \$	20,200 \$	39,500 \$	(19,300) \$	-		

City of Irwindale Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2019	 Additions	_	Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2020
N/A	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$

		Ju	ne 30
		2020	2019
Due from LACMTA	ASSETS \$	5 <u>10,000</u>	\$
	Total assets \$	10,000	\$
LIABILITIES Liabilities Due to other fund	S AND FUND BALANCE		_\$
	Total liabilities	10,000	<u> </u>
Fund balance Restricted			
	Total fund balance	-	-
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	10,000	\$

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2020	2019
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$	40.000 °	
Article 5	→ Total revenues	10,000 \$ 10,000	<u>-</u>
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	10,000 10,000	<u>-</u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	<u> </u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	- \$	

City of Irwindale Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2020

			Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:					
2019-2020 Resurfacing Project Total	2020 I Is	\$ 10,000 \$ \$ 10,000	: :		Complete
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year			\$		

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020 and 2019:

Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are
externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained
due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds'
remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's CAFR.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

2010

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's CAFR for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

2020

	2020	2019
FY 2018/19 allocation	\$ 5,000	\$ -
FY 2019/20 allocation	5,000	-
	\$ 10,000	\$ -


NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has no funds on reserve.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2020 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through November 24, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no other subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.





www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 24, 2020.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Glendale, California November 24, 2020

asgues & Company LLP







OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Irwindale, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Glendale, California November 24, 2020

ragnez 4 Company LLP

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance Questioned Costs			If no, provide details and management response.
	Donastiis A. Donastiis C.	Yes	No	N/A		anagomont response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
	Uses the State Controller's					
	Uniform System of Accounts					
	and Records.					
	Timely use of funds.	X		1		
	3. Funds expended were approved and have not been					
	substituted for property tax.	×				
	Expenditures that exceeded					
	25% of approved project budget					
	have approved amended					
	Project Description Form (Form					
	A)	×				
	5. Administrative expenses are					There were no
	within the 20% cap of the total					administrative
	annual Local Return					expenses charged to
	Expenditures.			X		the LR funds.
	6. All on-going and carryover					the Lividius.
	projects were reported in Form					
	B.	X				
	7. Annual Project Summary					
	Report (Form B) was submitted					
	timely.	X				
	Annual Expenditure Report					
	(Form C) was submitted timely.	X				
	Cash or cash equivalents are	 ^				
	maintained.	X				
	10. Accounting procedures, record					
	keeping and documentation are					
	adequate.	X				
	11. Pavement Management System	 ^				
	(PMS) in place and being used					
	for Street Maintenance or					
	Improvement Projects					
	Expenditures.	X				
	12. Local Return Account is					
	credited for reimbursable					
	expenditures.			X		
	13. Self-Certification was completed	1	 	<u> </u>		
	and submitted for Intelligent					
	Transportation Systems					
	projects or elements.			X		
	14. Assurances and	1	 	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	Understandings form was on					
	file.	X				
	15. Recreational Transit Form was	 ^	 			
	submitted timely.			X		


		Compliance Requirements		Complia	ınce	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
В.	Me	easure R Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment,					
		not supplant, existing local					
		revenues being used for					
		transportation purposes unless					
		there is a funding shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Χ				
	4.	Separate Measure R Local					
		Return Account was					
		established.	Χ				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income					
		was properly credited to the					
		Measure R Local Return					
		Account.	Χ				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	7.	1 (- /					
		was submitted timely.	Χ				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two)					
		was submitted timely.	X				
		Timely use of funds.	X				
	10.	Administrative expenses are					There were no
		within the 20% cap.					administrative
							expenses charged to
					Х		MRLRF.
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved			,,		
	- 10	by LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
	40	approved by LACMTA.			X		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			X		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and	
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
C. Measure M Local Return Fund							
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Χ				
	2.	<i>y</i>					
		not supplant, existing local					
		revenues being used for					
		transportation purposes unless					
		there is a fund shortfall.	Χ				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Χ				
	4.	Separate Measure M Local					
		Return Account was					
		established.	Χ				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income					
		was properly credited to the					
		Measure M Local Return					
		Account.	Χ				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Χ				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)					
		was submitted timely.	Χ				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form					
		M-Two) was submitted timely.	Χ				
	9.	Timely use of funds.	X				
	10.	. Administrative expenses are					There were no
		within the 20% cap.					administrative
		·					expenses charged to
					Х		MMLRF.
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved					
		by LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	. A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			Х		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
D. Transportation Development Act						
	Article 3 Fund					
	 Timely use of funds. 	Χ				
	2. Expenditures were incurred for					
	activities relating to pedestrian					
	and bicycle facilities and					
	amenities.	Χ				



There were no findings noted.



An exit conference was held on November 19, 2020 with the City of Irwindale representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives:

Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager
Ihab Fakhreddine – Senior Auditor (BCA Watson Rice)

City of Irwindale representatives:

Eva Carreon – Director of Finance

Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Irwindale representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Eva Carreon – Director of Finance Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.