

City of Pico Rivera Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 with Report of Independent Auditors





## <u>PAGE</u>

## **FINANCIAL SECTION**

| Report of Independent Auditors  | 1                |
|---|------------------|
| Proposition A Local Return Fund:<br>Basic Financial Statements:<br>Balance Sheets<br>Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance<br>Supplementary Information:<br>Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget<br>Schedule of Capital Assets | 4<br>5<br>6<br>7 |
| Proposition C Local Return Fund:  | 1                |
| Basic Financial Statements:<br>Balance Sheets<br>Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance<br>Supplementary Information:   | 8<br>9           |
| Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget<br>Schedule of Capital Assets  | 10<br>11         |
| Measure R Local Return Fund:<br>Basic Financial Statements:   |                  |
| Balance Sheets<br>Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance<br>Supplementary Information:  | 12<br>13         |
| Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget<br>Schedule of Capital Assets  | 14<br>15         |
| Measure M Local Return Fund:<br>Basic Financial Statements:   |                  |
| Balance Sheets<br>Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance  | 16<br>17         |
| Supplementary Information:<br>Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget<br>Schedule of Capital Assets  | 18<br>19         |
| Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:<br>Basic Financial Statements:   |                  |
| Balance Sheets<br>Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance  | 20<br>21         |
| Supplementary Information:<br>Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects   | 22               |
| Notes to Funds Financial Statements   | 23               |
| Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on<br>Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements<br>Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>   | 31               |

## **COMPLIANCE SECTION**

| Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance<br>Compliance Matrix | 33<br>35 |  |  |
|---|----------|--|--|
| SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS                         | 39       |  |  |
| EXIT CONFERENCE   | 41       |  |  |

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

## **Report of Independent Auditors**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Pico Rivera, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Pico Rivera, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the Funds' financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Pico Rivera, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019 and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Pico Rivera, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

## Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2020 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

angues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 21, 2020

|                                       | June 30                |     |                 |  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----------------|--|
|                                       | <br>2020               |     | 2019            |  |
| ASSETS                                |                        |     |                 |  |
| Cash and investments                  | \$<br>2,851,889        | \$  | 2,675,317       |  |
| Interest receivable                   | <br>4,830              |     | 8,236           |  |
| Total assets                          | \$<br>2,856,719        | \$_ | 2,683,553       |  |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | \$<br>113,556<br>4,085 | \$  | 94,225<br>5,923 |  |
| Total liabilities                     | <br>117,641            |     | 100,148         |  |
| Fund balance                          |                        |     |                 |  |
| Restricted                            | <br>2,739,078          |     | 2,583,405       |  |
| Total fund balance                    | <br>2,739,078          |     | 2,583,405       |  |
| Total liabilities and fund balance    | \$<br>2,856,719        | _\$ | 2,683,553       |  |

|   |                    | Years ended June 30           |              |  |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--|
|   |                    | 2020                          | 2019         |  |
| Revenues                                |                    |                               |              |  |
| Proposition A                           | \$                 | 1,246,180                     |              |  |
| Investment income                       |                    | 62,697                        | 58,170       |  |
| Bus pass sales                          |                    | 22,029                        | 6,768        |  |
|   | Total revenues     | 1,330,906                     | 1,350,732    |  |
| <b>Expenditures</b><br>Various projects | Total expenditures | <u>1,175,233</u><br>1,175,233 | <u> </u>     |  |
| Excess of revenues over expenditures    |                    | 155,673                       | 194,090      |  |
| Fund balance at beginning of year       |                    | 2,583,405                     | 2,389,315    |  |
| Fund balance at end of year             | \$                 | 2,739,078                     | \$ 2,583,405 |  |

|                 |                                  |                  | 2020      |                                    |                |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Project<br>Code | Project Name                     | LACMTA<br>Budget | Actual    | Variance<br>Positive<br>(Negative) | 2019<br>Actual |
| 130-02          | Special Service of U & H \$      | 650,000 \$       | 501,463   | \$ 148,537 \$                      | 535,899        |
| 140-01          | Recreational Transit             | 85,600           | 33,878    | 51,722                             | 62,364         |
| 150-02          | Bus Landing at Rosemead Blvd and |                  |           |                                    |                |
|                 | Coffman and Pico Road            | 50,000           | 57,598    | (7,598)                            | 12,583         |
| 170-01          | Bus Shelter Maintenance          | 180,000          | 191,170   | (11,170)                           | 185,764        |
| 220-01          | Transit Security                 | 100,000          | 135,399 * | (35,399)                           | 120,074        |
| 250-06          | MTA Bus Pass Buydown             | 20,000           | 13,055    | 6,945                              | 20,554         |
| 270-01          | Transit Feasibility Study        | 30,000           | 6,297     | 23,703                             | 32,844         |
| 300-01          | Transit Facility Enhancement     | 40,000           | 66,322 *  | (26,322)                           | -              |
| 480-05          | Administration                   | 185,358          | 170,051   | 15,307                             | 186,560        |
|                 | Total expenditures \$            | 1,340,958 \$     | 1,175,233 | \$ 165,725 \$                      | 1,156,642      |

\* See Compliance Matrix and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

See report of independent auditors.

| Date<br>Acquired | Description                                   | -          | Balance<br>July 1,<br>2019 | <br>Additions | Deletions | <br>Balance<br>June 30,<br>2020 |
|------------------|---|------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| 2010             | Bus for Dial-A-Ride Program \$                | \$         | 56,727                     | \$<br>- \$    | -         | \$<br>56,727                    |
| 2010             | Bus for Dial-A-Ride Program                   |            | 56,727                     | -             | -         | 56,727                          |
| 2012             | Pico Park Transit Hub - Parking Lot Expansion |            | 700,000                    | -             | -         | 700,000                         |
| 2014             | Bus Shelter Replacement                       |            | 203,655                    | -             | -         | 203,655                         |
| 2015             | Gold Line Extension Project                   |            | 60,000                     | -             | -         | 60,000                          |
|                  | Total \$                                      | \$ <u></u> | 1,077,109                  | \$<br>- \$    | -         | \$<br>1,077,109                 |

See report of independent auditors.

|                                       |                      | June 30   |     |           |  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----|-----------|--|
|                                       |                      | 2020      |     | 2019      |  |
|                                       |                      |           |     |           |  |
| ASSETS                                |                      |           |     |           |  |
| Cash and investments                  | \$                   | 2,233,784 | \$  | 1,631,193 |  |
| Interest receivable                   |                      | 3,712     |     | 4,600     |  |
|                                       | Total assets \$      | 2,237,496 | \$_ | 1,635,793 |  |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BA               | LANCE                |           |     |           |  |
| Liabilities                           |                      |           |     |           |  |
| Accounts payable                      | \$                   | 78,305    | \$  | 83,622    |  |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | _                    | 5,425     |     | 5,664     |  |
|                                       | Total liabilities    | 83,730    |     | 89,286    |  |
| Fund balance                          |                      |           |     |           |  |
| Restricted                            | _                    | 2,153,766 |     | 1,546,507 |  |
| т                                     | otal fund balance    | 2,153,766 |     | 1,546,507 |  |
| Total liabilities                     | and fund balance $\$ | 2,237,496 | \$  | 1,635,793 |  |

|  |     | Years ended June 30        |                     |  |
|--|-----|----------------------------|---------------------|--|
|  | _   | 2020                       | 2019                |  |
| Revenues<br>Proposition C<br>Investment income           | \$  | 1,033,710 \$<br>43,500     | 1,066,530<br>31,763 |  |
| Other revenues - Rio Hondo Rehabilitation Total revenues | . – | <u>14,171</u><br>1,091,381 | - 1,098,293         |  |
|  | • _ | 1,091,301                  | 1,090,295           |  |
| Expenditures   |     |                            |                     |  |
| Various projects - Funded by PCLRF                       |     | 482,055                    | 1,126,693           |  |
| Various projects - Funded by State Grant                 |     | 2,067                      | -                   |  |
| Total expenditures                                       | • _ | 484,122                    | 1,126,693           |  |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures        |     | 607,259                    | (28,400)            |  |
| Fund balance at beginning of year                        |     | 1,546,507                  | 1,574,907           |  |
| Fund balance at end of year                              | \$  | 2,153,766 \$               | 1,546,507           |  |

|                 |  |     |                  | 2020       |                                    |                |
|-----------------|--|-----|------------------|------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Project<br>Code | Project Name                                 |     | LACMTA<br>Budget | Actual     | Variance<br>Positive<br>(Negative) | 2019<br>Actual |
| 210-09          | HSIP Cycle 7 - Traffic Signal Upgrades       |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Citywide                                     | \$  | 250,000 \$       | 52,931 \$  | 197,069 \$                         | 52,342         |
| 210-10          | HSIP Cycle 8 - Traffic Signal Upgrades Along |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Five Intersections of Major Arterials        |     | 250,000          | 12,318     | 237,682                            | 5,446          |
| 210-11          | Beverly Boulevard TSSP                       |     | 250,000          | 2,087      | 247,913                            | 575            |
| 210-12          | 50018 - Washington Boulevard - TSSP          |     | 50,000           | 501        | 49,499                             | -              |
| 210-45          | HSIP Cycle 6, Traffic Safety and Sidewalk    |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Improvements                                 |     | 20,000           | 1,069      | 18,931                             | 159,544        |
| 220-03          | Graffiti Removal Transfer                    |     | 75,000           | 85,316     | (10,316)                           | 75,000         |
| 270-22          | Gateway Cities COG SR-91 /I 605 Needs        |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Assessment Study                             |     | 20,000           | 20,000     | -                                  | 20,000         |
| 270-41          | Telegraph Road over Rio Hondo River          |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Bridge Rehabilitation Project                |     | 200,000          | 23,684     | 176,316                            | 21,556         |
| 270-42          | Washington Blvd over Rio Hondo River         |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Bridge Rehabilitation Project                |     | 50,000           | 5,894      | 44,106                             | 10,768         |
| 270-43          | ADA Transition Plan for Public Right of Way  |     | 20,000           | -          | 20,000                             | -              |
| 450-32          | Durfee Avenue Underpass Project              |     | 60,000           | 34,321     | 25,679                             | 25,493         |
| 450-36          | Rosemead Blvd/Telegraph Road                 |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Intersection Improvements                    |     | -                | -          | -                                  | 589,379        |
| 450-37          | Paramount Blvd Raised Median Project         |     | 20,000           | 486        | 19,514                             | 77,850         |
| 450-47          | Bridge Preventative Maintenance Program      |     | 30,000           | 83         | 29,917                             | 211            |
| 450-48          | Telegraph Road Traffic Throughout and        |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Safety Enhancement Project-Phase II          |     | 50,000           | 8,942      | 41,058                             | 2,878          |
| 450-50          | Whittier Boulevard Street Overlay            |     | 600,000          | 10,094     | 589,906                            | 10,551         |
| 450-51          | Passons Boulevard Underpass Project -        |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Emergency Repairs                            |     | 50,000           | 8,309      | 41,691                             | 31,378         |
| 450-52          | 50019 - Slauson Avenue Bridge - Seismic      |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Retrofit Project                             |     | 150,000          | 121,467    | 28,533                             | -              |
| 450-53          | Telegraph Road over Rio Hondo River          |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | Bridge Damage Repair Cost                    |     | 20,000           | -          | 20,000                             | -              |
| 470-01          | CIP 29265 - Pavement Management System       |     |                  |            |                                    |                |
|                 | (PMS) Update                                 |     | 90,000           | -          | 90,000                             | -              |
| 480-01          | Administration                               | . – | 175,000          | 94,553     | 80,447                             | 43,722         |
|                 | Total expenditures                           | \$_ | 2,430,000 \$     | 482,055 \$ | 1,947,945 \$                       | 1,126,693      |

## City of Pico Rivera Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2020

| Date<br>Acquired | Description  | <br>Balance<br>July 1,<br>2019 | Additions | Deletions | Balance<br>June 30,<br>2020 |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 2004             | Bus Shelter Replacement  | \$<br>178,422 \$               | - \$      | - \$      | 178,422                     |
| 2005             | W. Whittier Blvd Street  | 179,844                        | -         | -         | 179,844                     |
| 2006             | SG River Pkway/Beverly   | 144,853                        | -         | -         | 144,853                     |
| 2012             | Pico Rivera Transit Hub - Parking Lot  |                                |           |           |                             |
|                  | Expansion  | 211,224                        | -         | -         | 211,224                     |
| 2012             | Beverly Blvd Rehabilitation  | 268,211                        | -         | -         | 268,211                     |
| 2014             | Pavement Management System Update  | 45,068                         | -         | -         | 45,068                      |
| 2014             | Beverly Blvd Median Island Improvement   |                                |           |           |                             |
|                  | Rosemead Blvd. Rehabilitation  | 994,685                        | -         | -         | 994,685                     |
| 2014             | Washington   | 420,370                        | -         | -         | 420,370                     |
| 2014             | Rosemead/Whittier Improvements   | 221,795                        | -         | -         | 221,795                     |
| 2014             | Construction In Progress - Various<br>Projects Sidewalk Improvements and<br>Bus Stop | 2,415,796                      | -         | -         | 2,415,796                   |
| 2015             | Improvements Rosemead Blvd/Mines Ave   |                                |           |           |                             |
|                  | Intersection   | 50,000                         | -         | -         | 50,000                      |
| 2015             | Improvements   | <br>785,383                    | -         | -         | 785,383                     |
|                  | Total  | \$<br>5,915,651 \$             | - \$      | - \$      | 5,915,651                   |

|  | June 30                       |              |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
|  | 2020                          | 2019         |  |  |
|  |                               |              |  |  |
| ASSETS   |                               |              |  |  |
| Cash and investments \$  | 711,562                       | \$ 1,551,116 |  |  |
| Interest receivable  | 955                           | 6,299        |  |  |
| Due from LACMTA  | 3,013,178                     | 1,129,962    |  |  |
| Total assets \$  | 3,725,695                     | \$ 2,687,377 |  |  |
| LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND<br>FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT)                     |                               |              |  |  |
| Liabilities  |                               |              |  |  |
| Accounts payable \$  | 1,139,201                     | \$ 554,486   |  |  |
| Retention payable  | 150,541                       | -            |  |  |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits  | 7,894                         | 3,372        |  |  |
| Total liabilities  | 1,297,636                     | 557,858      |  |  |
| Deferred inflows of resources<br>Unavailable revenues<br>Total deferred inflows of resources | <u>2,981,577</u><br>2,981,577 | <u> </u>     |  |  |
| Fund balance (deficit)<br>Restricted   | (553,518)                     | 999,557      |  |  |
| Total fund balance (deficit)   | (553,518)                     | 999,557      |  |  |
| Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and   |                               |              |  |  |
| fund balance (deficit) \$  | 3,725,695                     | \$2,687,377  |  |  |

|   | Years ended June 30            |                           |  |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
|   | 2020                           | 2019                      |  |
| Revenues         Measure R       \$         Investment income   | 24,336                         | 800,127<br>45,158         |  |
| Measure R Fund Highway Grants   | 2,578,721                      | 849,807                   |  |
| LACMTA TOD Planning Grant   | 31,601                         | -                         |  |
| Total revenues  | 3,408,831                      | 1,695,092                 |  |
| <b>Expenditures</b><br>Expenditures funded by MRLRF<br>Expenditures funded by Measure R Fund Highway Grants<br>Expenditures funded by LACMTA TOD Planning Grant | 499,968<br>4,428,673<br>33,265 | 829,778<br>1,329,140<br>- |  |
| Total expenditures  | 4,961,906                      | 2,158,918                 |  |
| Deficiency of revenues over expenditures  | (1,553,075)                    | (463,826)                 |  |
| Fund balance at beginning of year   | 999,557                        | 1,463,383                 |  |
| Fund balance (deficit) at end of year \$  |                                | 999,557                   |  |

|         |  | 2020 |           |    |         |            |    |         |
|---------|--|------|-----------|----|---------|------------|----|---------|
|         |  |      |           |    |         | Variance   | -  |         |
| Project |  | L    |           |    |         | Positive   |    | 2019    |
| Code    | Project Name                                     |      | Budget    |    | Actual  | (Negative) |    | Actual  |
| 01-001  | Beverly Blvd Rehabilitation and Landscape        |      |           |    |         |            |    |         |
|         | Median Improvement                               | \$   | 344,369   | \$ | - 9     | 344,369    | \$ | -       |
| 01-002  | Residential Road Resurfacing Project - Phase D   |      | 150,000   |    | -       | 150,000    |    | -       |
| 01-003  | Residential Road Resurfacing Project - Phase E   |      | 845,000   |    | -       | 845,000    |    | -       |
| 01-004  | Entrance Monument Replacement Project            |      | 260,000   |    | -       | 260,000    |    | -       |
| 01-005  | Annual Sidewalk Improvement Project              |      | 200,000   |    | -       | 200,000    |    | -       |
| 01-006  | Slauson Avenue Improvements                      |      | 55,000    |    | -       | 55,000     |    | -       |
| 01-007  | Residential Resurfacing Project - Phase F        |      | 600,000   |    | -       | 600,000    |    | -       |
| 01-008  | Roadway Safety Improvements - Signage and        |      | ,         |    |         | ,          |    |         |
|         | Striping   |      | 150,000   |    | -       | 150,000    |    | -       |
| 01-009  | Safe Routes to School - Safety Improvements      |      | ,         |    |         | ,          |    |         |
|         | Along Passons Boulevard                          |      | 80,000    |    | -       | 80,000     |    | -       |
| 01-010  | Whittier Boulevard Rehabilitation Project        |      | 120,000   |    | -       | 120,000    |    | -       |
| 01-011  | Signing and Striping                             |      | 80,000    |    | -       | 80,000     |    | 63,005  |
| 01-012  | Annual Sidewalk Improvement Project              |      | 180,000   |    | -       | 180,000    |    | -       |
| 01-013  | Asphalt Overlay Along San Gabriel Parkway and    |      |           |    |         |            |    |         |
|         | Construction of Curb                             |      | 140,000   |    | -       | 140,000    |    | -       |
| 01-014  | Residential Resurfacing Project - Phase G        |      | 500,000   |    | -       | 500,000    |    | -       |
| 01-015  | Whittier Boulevard Overlay Project               |      | 15,000    |    | -       | 15,000     |    | 286     |
| 01-016  | Annual Sidewalk Improvement Project              |      | 100,000   |    | -       | 100,000    |    | -       |
| 01-017  | Residential Resurfacing Project - Phase H        |      | 1,000,000 |    | 65,997  | 934,003    |    | 753,632 |
| 01-018  | CDBG Sidewalk Improvements Project CIP 21364     |      | 30,000    |    | -       | 30,000     |    | -       |
| 01-019  | Annual Sidewalk Improvement Project              |      | 330,000   |    | 84,358  | 245,642    |    | 1,003   |
| 01-020  | Annual Signing and Striping                      |      | 125,000   |    | 75,885  | 49,115     |    | 1,969   |
| 01-021  | Annual Signing and Striping 19-20                |      | 100,000   |    | 2,501   | 97,499     |    | -       |
| 02-001  | Left Turn Phasing Installation to Update         |      |           |    |         |            |    |         |
|         | Antiguated Traffic Signal                        |      | 120,000   |    | -       | 120,000    |    | -       |
| 02-002  | Left Turn Phasing/Other Signal Improvements      |      | 202,000   |    | -       | 202,000    |    | -       |
| 02-007  | Traffic Signal Improvement at Loch Lomond and    |      |           |    |         |            |    |         |
|         | Paramount Boulevard                              |      | 25,000    |    | -       | 25,000     |    | -       |
| 03-001  | Transportation Enhancements to Pedestrian Bridge |      | 250,000   |    | -       | 250,000    |    | -       |
| 03-002  | Traffic Calming and Pedestrian and Bike Safety   |      |           |    |         |            |    |         |
|         | Signage  |      | 45,000    |    | -       | 45,000     |    | -       |
| 05-001  | Installation of Transit Hub at Rio Vista Park    |      | 125,000   |    | -       | 125,000    |    | -       |
| 05-002  | Installation of Transit Hub at Smith Park        |      | 100,000   |    | -       | 100,000    |    | -       |
| 07-001  | Corridor Study for the Development of            |      |           |    |         |            |    |         |
|         | Lakewood and Rosemead Boulevard                  |      | 65,000    |    | 162     | 64,838     |    | 67      |
| 07-002  | SSARP (Safety Analysis) Study                    |      | 50,000    |    | 21,383  | 28,617     |    | 9,816   |
| 07-003  | Engineering Studies Citywide                     |      | 150,000   |    | 16,923  | 133,077    |    | -       |
| 07-004  | Washington Blvd Coalition Project                |      | 250,000   |    | 2,797   | 247,203    |    | -       |
| 07-005  | ADA Transition Plan for ROW                      |      | 80,000    |    | 67,778  | 12,222     |    | -       |
| 07-006  | Fiber Optic Master Plan                          |      | 80,000    |    | 62,191  | 17,809     |    | -       |
| 08-001  | SRTS Non Infrastructure Project - Federal        |      | 5,000     |    | -       | 5,000      |    | -       |
| 08-002  | Funding Administration/Engineering Support       |      | 50,000    |    | 99,993  | (49,993)   |    | -       |
|         | Total expenditures S                             | \$   | 7,001,369 | \$ | 499,968 | 6,501,401  | \$ | 829,778 |
|         | •  | -    |           |    |         |            |    |         |

| Date<br>Acquired | Description                       |    | Balance<br>July 1,<br>2019 |    | Additions |    | Deletions | Balance<br>June 30,<br>2020 |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----|----------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 2013             | Residential Resurfacing Project - | ¢  | 440.405                    | ¢  |           | •  | ¢         | 440.405                     |
| 0045             | Phase D                           | \$ | 148,125                    | \$ | -         | \$ | - \$      | 148,125                     |
| 2015             | Construction in Progress -        |    |                            |    |           |    |           |                             |
|                  | Various Projects                  |    | 701,696                    |    | -         |    | -         | 701,696                     |
| 2015             | Rio Vista Park                    |    | 125,000                    |    | -         |    | -         | 125,000                     |
| 2015             | Residential Resurfacing Project   |    | 843,349                    |    | -         |    | -         | 843,349                     |
| 2015             | Entrance Monument Replacement     |    | 221,914                    |    | -         |    | -         | 221,914                     |
| 2015             | Slauson Ave Street Improvement    |    | 53,709                     |    | -         |    | -         | 53,709                      |
| 2015             | Annual Sidewalk Improvement       |    | 100,000                    |    | -         |    | -         | 100,000                     |
|                  | Total                             | \$ | 2,193,793                  | \$ | -         | \$ | - \$      | 2,193,793                   |

|  |                                     | Ju                        | ne 30      |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
|  |                                     | 2020                      | 2019       |
| AS   | SSETS                               |                           |            |
| Cash and investments   | \$                                  | 639,689                   | \$ 903,073 |
| Interest receivable  |                                     | 968                       | 3,584      |
|  | Total assets \$                     | 640,657                   | \$ 906,657 |
| LIABILITIES AN   | ND FUND BALANCE                     |                           |            |
| Liabilities<br>Accounts payable<br>Accrued payroll and employe | ee benefits                         | 5 177,220<br>2,894        | \$ -<br>-  |
|  | Total liabilities                   | 180,114                   | -          |
| Fund balance<br>Restricted                                     | Total fund balance                  | <u>460,543</u><br>460,543 | <u> </u>   |
| Το   | tal liabilities and fund balance \$ |                           |            |
|  |                                     | · · ·                     | <u> </u>   |

See notes to Funds financial statements.

|   |             | Years ended J          | lune 30                   |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|   |             | 2020                   | 2019                      |
| Revenues  |             |                        |                           |
| Measure M                                       | \$          | 871,222 \$             | 901,383                   |
| Investment income                               |             | 22,515                 | 22,995                    |
| Tota  | al revenues | 893,737                | 924,378                   |
| Expenditures<br>Various projects<br>Total ex    | penditures  | 1,339,851<br>1,339,851 | <u>696,423</u><br>696,423 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditur | es          | (446,114)              | 227,955                   |
| Fund balance at beginning of year               | _           | 906,657                | 678,702                   |
| Fund balance at end of year                     | \$          | 460,543 \$             | 906,657                   |

|                 |   | 2020 |                  |    |           |    |                                    |                    |
|-----------------|---|------|------------------|----|-----------|----|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Project<br>Code | Project Name  |      | LACMTA<br>Budget |    | Actual    |    | Variance<br>Positive<br>(Negative) | <br>2019<br>Actual |
| 1.05            | Street and Road Project Bond Remittance                             | \$   | 691,846          | \$ | 2,500     | \$ | 689,346                            | \$<br>694,346      |
| 1.05            | Street and Road Project Bond Remittance                             |      | 832,969          |    | 832,969   |    | -                                  | -                  |
| 3.05            | Pico Rivera Regional Bikeway Project CIP 21280                      |      | 500,000          |    | 122,766   |    | 377,234                            | 2,077              |
| 3.05            | Coordination and Consultant Services for Grant<br>Writing Assistant |      | 40,000           |    | -         |    | 40,000                             | -                  |
| 7.90            | Storm Drain Master Plan - CIP 50023                                 |      | 350,000          |    | 316,012   |    | 33,988                             | -                  |
| 7.90            | GIS Master Plan - CIP 50024   |      | 62,690           |    | 48,116    |    | 14,574                             | -                  |
| 8.10            | Funding Administration/Engineering Support                          |      | 50,000           |    | 17,488    |    | 32,512                             | -                  |
|                 | Total expenditures  | \$   | 2,527,505        | \$ | 1,339,851 | \$ | 1,187,654                          | \$<br>696,423      |

| Date<br>Acquired |      | Description |       | <br>Balance<br>July 1,<br>2019 |     | Additions | Deletions | Balance<br>June 30,<br>2020 |
|------------------|------|-------------|-------|--------------------------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| N/A              | None |             |       | \$<br>-                        | \$  | \$        | \$        | <u> </u>                    |
|                  |      |             | Total | \$<br>-                        | \$_ | \$        | \$        | -                           |

See report of independent auditors.

|  | Ju      | ne 30 | )        |
|--|---------|-------|----------|
|  | 2020    |       | 2019     |
| ASSETS   |         |       |          |
| Due from LACMTA \$   | 162,545 | \$    | 128,953  |
| Total assets \$  | 162,545 | \$    | 128,953  |
| LIABILITIES, DEFFERED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES<br>AND FUND DEFICIT |         |       |          |
| Liabilities  |         |       |          |
| Due to other funds \$  | 85,809  | \$    | 23,173   |
| Accounts payable   | 3,144   |       | 32       |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits                          | 222     |       | 1,428    |
| Total liabilities  | 89,175  |       | 24,633   |
| Deferred inflows of resources                                  |         |       |          |
| Unavailable revenues   | 80,878  |       | 116,159  |
| Total deferred inflows of resources                            | 80,878  |       | 116,159  |
| Fund deficit   |         |       |          |
| Restricted   | (7,508) |       | (11,839) |
| Total fund deficit   | (7,508) |       | (11,839) |
| Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and           |         |       |          |
| fund deficit \$  | 162,545 | _\$   | 128,953  |

|  | Years en         | ded June 30             |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|
|  | 2020             | 2019                    |
| Revenues<br>Intergovernmental Allocations:             |                  |                         |
| Article 3  | 81,667           | \$ 12,794               |
| Total revenues   | 81,667           | 12,794                  |
| Expenditures<br>Various projects<br>Total expenditures | 77,336<br>77,336 | <u>21,419</u><br>21,419 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures      | 4,331            | (8,625)                 |
| Fund deficit at beginning of year                      | (11,839)         | (3,214)                 |
| Fund deficit at end of year                            | 6(7,508)         | \$(11,839)              |

## City of Pico Rivera Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2020

| Project Description                            | Program<br>Year | Allocations | Expenditures                         | Unexpended<br>Allocations | Project<br>Status |
|--|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Local Allocations:                             |                 |             |                                      |                           |                   |
| Pico Rivera Regional Bikeway Project<br>Totals |                 | - /         | \$ <u>77,336</u><br>\$ <u>77,336</u> | 6 <u>4,331</u><br>4,331   | Ongoing           |
| Fund deficit at beginning of year              |                 |             |                                      | (11,839)                  |                   |
| Fund deficit at end of year                    |                 |             | 9                                    | 6 (7,508)                 |                   |

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Fund Accounting**

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

## **Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

## **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Fair Value Measurement**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

## Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020 and 2019:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's CAFR.

## **Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources**

Pursuant to GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position* and GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, the City recognizes deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources. A deferred outflow of resources is defined as a consumption of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources is defined as an acquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period.

Deferred inflows of resources reported by the City represent resources that are not available for spending as of June 30, 2020 and 2019.

#### NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's CAFR for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 8 MEASURE R TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT (TOD) PLANNING GRANT PROGRAM

In June 2018, LACMTA Board approved to fund "Washington and Rosemead Boulevards Gold Line Eastside Extension TOD Plan - LACMTA Transit Oriented Development (TOD) Planning Grant Program. Under the agreement, LACMTA shall make a one-time grant of Measure R 2% System Improvements and/or Measure R 3% Metrolink based on project eligibility in the amount of \$390,000. The funds will be released to the City on a reimbursement basis in accordance with invoices submitted in support of the monthly progress report and the quarterly expenditure report submitted to LACMTA a few days after the close of each quarter. The City recognized \$31,601 and \$33,265 of revenue and expenses, respectively, from these projects during the year ended June 30, 2020.

#### NOTE 9 MEASURE R HIGHWAY GRANTS

In March 2014, LACMTA Board approved to fund Project Approval and Environmental Documentation (PAED), Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PSE), Right of Way (ROW), and Construction phases of the following projects:

|   |                 | Agreement  | Year ended J | une 30, 2020 |
|---|-----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Project Description   | MOU No.         | No.        | Revenues     | Expenditures |
| Rosemead Boulevard/Beverly  |                 |            |              |              |
| Boulevard Intersection Improvements<br>Rosemead Whittier Intersection | MOU.MR315.05    | 14-1490 \$ | 1,134,686 \$ | 5 766,836    |
| Improvements  | MOU.MR315.09    | 14-1491    | 1,198,541    | 749,031      |
| Rosemead Boulevard/Washington<br>Boulevard Intersection Improvements  | MOU.MR315.21    | 14-1492    | 26,696       | 739,258      |
|   |                 | -          | -,           |              |
| Rosemead Boulevard/Slauson Avenue<br>Intersection Improvements        | MOU.MR315.19    | 14-1493    | 218,798      | 2,173,548    |
| ·   |                 | \$         | 2,578,721 \$ | 4,428,673    |
|   |                 |            |              |              |
|   |                 | Agreement  | Year ended J | une 30, 2019 |
| Project Description   | MOU No.         | No.        | Revenues     | Expenditures |
| Rosemead Boulevard/Beverly  |                 |            |              |              |
| Boulevard Intersection Improvements                                   | MOU.MR315.05    | 14-1490 \$ | 777,407 \$   | 5 1,121,313  |
| Rosemead/Whittier Intersection  |                 |            | 07.050       | 04.007       |
| Improvements<br>Rosemead Boulevard/Washington                         | MOU.MR315.09    | 14-1491    | 27,953       | 61,897       |
| Boulevard Intersection Improvements                                   | MOU.MR315.21    | 14-1492    | 745          | 197          |
| Rosemead Boulevard/Slauson Avenue                                     | 1000.1111010.21 | 14 1402    | 140          | 107          |
| Intersection Improvements   | MOU.MR315.19    | 14-1493    | 43,702       | 145,733      |
|   |                 | \$         | 849,807 \$   | 1,329,140    |

Under the agreements, to the extent the Measure R funds are available, LACMTA shall make to the City a grant of the Measure R funds for these Projects. The funds will be released to the City on a reimbursement basis in accordance with invoices submitted in support of the monthly progress report and the quarterly expenditure report submitted to LACMTA a few days after the close of each quarter. The City recognized \$2,578,721 and \$849,807 of revenue from these projects during the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, respectively.

# NOTE 10 LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SALES TAX REVENUE CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION, SERIES 2018 – PCLRF, MRLRF and MMRLF

In August 2018, the City issued \$14,695,000, Local Transportation Sales Tax Revenue Certificates of Participation, Series 2018. The proceeds will be used to finance the design, acquisition, and construction of certain local roadway and street improvement projects within the jurisdiction, purchase a debt service reserve policy from the reserve fund for the Certificates and pay costs incurred in connection with the execution, sale and delivery of the Certificates.

# NOTE 10 LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SALES TAX REVENUE CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION, SERIES 2018 – PCLRF, MRLRF and MMRLF (CONTINUED)

PCLRF, MRLRF and MMLRF receipts have been pledged for the payment of the interest and principal on the bonds. Interest on the bonds is payable semi-annually each June 1 and December 1, beginning December 1, 2018. Principal matures each June 1 beginning 2019 and maturing in 2048. Interest rates on the bonds vary between 3.375% and 5.00%. Proceeds from the issuance was recorded under a separate Bond Fund.

The table below shows the movement in the bond outstanding balances and interest payable for the years ended June 30,2020 and 2019:

|   | <br>Principal    |    | Interest   |    | Total      |
|---|------------------|----|------------|----|------------|
| Total principal and interest            | \$<br>14,695,000 | \$ | 10,176,265 | \$ | 24,871,265 |
| Principal and interest payment          | <br>(205,000)    | _  | (486,846)  | _  | (691,846)  |
| Outstanding balance as of June 30, 2019 | 14,490,000       |    | 9,689,419  |    | 24,179,419 |
| Principal and interest payment          | <br>(255,000)    | _  | (577,969)  | _  | (832,969)  |
| Outstanding balance as of June 30, 2020 | \$<br>14,235,000 | \$ | 9,111,450  | \$ | 23,346,450 |

For the year ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, principal and interest payments were made under the MMLRF.

The following is the movement in the bond's cash balance for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 under the separate Bond Fund.

|   |     | Measure R<br>Bonds |
|---|-----|--------------------|
| Cash balance as of June 30, 2018        | \$  | -                  |
| Proceeds from issuance of bonds         |     | 15,398,345         |
| Net change in accounts payable          |     | 9,532              |
| Net change in due to (from) other funds |     | 598,325            |
| Interest income earned                  |     | 251,245            |
| Expenditures during the year            | _   | (1,014,494)        |
| Cash balance as of June 30, 2019        |     | 15,242,953         |
| Net change in accounts payable          |     | (7,032)            |
| Net change in due to (from) other funds |     | 69,570             |
| Interest income earned                  |     | 189,448            |
| Expenditures during the year            | _   | (60,038)           |
| Cash balance as of June 30, 2020        | \$_ | 15,434,901         |

## NOTE 10 LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SALES TAX REVENUE CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION, SERIES 2018 – PCLRF, MRLRF and MMRLF (CONTINUED)

Details of expenditures paid under the separate Bond Fund for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019:

|  | <br>2020        | 2019      |
|--|-----------------|-----------|
| CIP, Reconstruction of Residential     |                 |           |
| Streets, Phase H                       | \$<br>12,090 \$ | 500,000   |
| PMP, Landscape Medians Concepts        |                 |           |
| Citywide                               | -               | 35,929    |
| PMP, Landscape Median Design           |                 |           |
| Services - Whittier Blvd.              | 1,009           | 33,497    |
| PMP, Shenandoah Neighborhood           |                 |           |
| Streets Rehabilitation                 | 7,054           | 19,206    |
| Paving Along San Gabriel River Parkway | 39,885          | -         |
| Bond issuance cost                     | -               | 398,345   |
| Fees related to bond issuance          | <br>-           | 27,517    |
|  | \$<br>60,038 \$ | 1,014,494 |

# NOTE 11 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 is as follows:

|                       | 2020         | 2019         |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| FY 2015/16 allocation | \$<br>-      | \$<br>1,144  |
| FY 2016/17 allocation | 30,880       | 11,650       |
| FY 2017/18 allocation | 41,861       | -            |
| FY 2018/19 allocation | 8,926        | -            |
|                       | \$<br>81,667 | \$<br>12,794 |

#### NOTE 12 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

|                       | 2020         | 2019          |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| FY 2016/17 allocation | \$<br>-      | \$<br>30,880  |
| FY 2017/18 allocation | -            | 41,861        |
| FY 2018/19 allocation | 34,494       | 43,420        |
| FY 2019/20 allocation | 46,384       | -             |
| Total reserve         | \$<br>80,878 | \$<br>116,161 |

For FY 2019/20, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2015/16 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2020. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2019/20.

## NOTE 13 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2020 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through December 21, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no other subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

## Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Pico Rivera, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Pico Rivera, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2020.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

ez & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 21, 2020

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

## **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Pico Rivera, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Pico Rivera, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Pico Rivera, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.





### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding #2020-001. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

reg & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 21, 2020

|    | Compliance Requirements |  | In Compliance |    |     | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|----|-------------------------|--|---------------|----|-----|------------|----------------------------|
|    |                         | Compliance Requirements                | Yes           | No | N/A | Costs      | management response.       |
| Α. |                         | pposition A and Proposition C Local    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | turn Funds Uses the State Controller's |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 1.                      | Uniform System of Accounts and         |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Records.                               | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 2                       | Timely use of funds.                   | X             |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Funds expended were approved           | ~             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 5.                      | and have not been substituted for      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | property tax.                          | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 4.                      | Expenditures that exceeded 25%         | ~             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | ч.                      | of approved project budget have        |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | approved amended Project               |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Description Form (Form A)              |               | х  |     |            | See Finding #2020-001      |
|    | 5.                      | Administrative expenses are within     |               |    |     |            | 0001 mang #2020 001        |
|    | 0.                      | the 20% cap of the total annual        |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Local Return Expenditures.             | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 6.                      | All on-going and carryover projects    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    | •                       | were reported in Form B.               | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 7.                      | Annual Project Summary Report          |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | (Form B) was submitted timely.         | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 8.                      | Annual Expenditure Report (Form        |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | C) was submitted timely.               | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 9.                      | Cash or cash equivalents are           |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | maintained.                            | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 10.                     | Accounting procedures, record          |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | keeping and documentation are          |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | adequate.                              | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 11.                     | Pavement Management System             |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | (PMS) in place and being used for      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Street Maintenance or                  |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Improvement Projects                   |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Expenditures.                          | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
| -  | 12.                     |  |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | for reimbursable expenditures.         | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 13.                     | Self-Certification was completed       |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | and submitted for Intelligent          |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Transportation Systems projects or     |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | elements.                              |               |    | Х   |            |                            |
|    | 14.                     | 5                                      | Ň             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 4 -                     | form was on file.                      | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 15.                     | Recreational Transit Form was          | v             |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | submitted timely.                      | Х             |    |     |            |                            |

|    | Compliance Requirements |                                    | In Compliance |    |     | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|----|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----|-----|------------|----------------------------|
|    |                         |                                    | Yes           | No | N/A | Costs      | management response.       |
| В. | Me                      | easure R Local Return Fund         |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 1.                      | Funds were expended for            |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | transportation purposes.           | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 2.                      | Funds were used to augment, not    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | supplant, existing local revenues  |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | being used for transportation      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | purposes unless there is a funding |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | shortfall.                         | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 3.                      | 5                                  |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Understandings on file.            | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 4.                      | Separate Measure R Local Return    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Account was established.           | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 5.                      | Revenues received including        |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | allocations, project generated     |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | revenues and interest income was   |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | properly credited to the Measure R |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Local Return Account.              | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 6.                      | Funds were expended with           |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | LACMTA's approval.                 | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 7.                      | Expenditure Plan (Form One) was    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | submitted timely.                  | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 8.                      | Expenditure Report (Form Two)      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | was submitted timely.              | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 9.                      | Timely use of funds.               | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 10.                     | Administrative expenses are within |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | the 20% cap.                       | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 11.                     | Fund exchanges were approved by    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | LACMTA.                            |               |    | Х   |            |                            |
|    | 12.                     | A separate account was             |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | established for Capital reserve    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | funds and Capital reserve was      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | approved by LACMTA.                |               |    | Х   |            |                            |
|    | 13.                     | Recreational transit form was      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | submitted timely.                  |               |    | Х   |            |                            |

|    | Compliance Requirements |                                    | In Compliance |    |     | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|----|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----|-----|------------|----------------------------|
|    |                         |                                    | Yes           | No | N/A | Costs      | management response.       |
| С. | Mea                     | asure M Local Return Fund          |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 1.                      | Funds were expended for            |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | transportation purposes.           | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 2.                      | Funds were used to augment, not    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | supplant, existing local revenues  |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | being used for transportation      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | purposes unless there is a fund    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | shortfall.                         | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 3.                      | Signed Assurances and              |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Understandings on file.            | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 4.                      | Separate Measure M Local Return    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Account was established.           | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 5.                      | Revenues received including        |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | allocations, project generated     |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | revenues and interest income was   |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | properly credited to the Measure M |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Local Return Account.              | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 6.                      | Funds were expended with           |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | LACMTA's approval.                 | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 7.                      | Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | was submitted timely.              | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 8.                      | Expenditure Report (Form M-Two)    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | was submitted timely.              | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 9.                      | Timely use of funds.               | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 10.                     | Administrative expenses are within |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | the 20% cap.                       | Х             |    |     |            |                            |
|    | 11.                     | Fund exchanges were approved by    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | LACMTA.                            |               |    | Х   |            |                            |
|    | 12.                     | A separate account was             |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | established for Capital reserve    |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | funds and Capital reserve was      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | approved by LACMTA.                |               |    | Х   |            |                            |
|    | 13.                     | Recreational transit form was      |               |    |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | submitted timely.                  |               |    | Х   |            |                            |

|    | Compliance Requirements   |   | omplia | ince | Questioned<br>Costs | If no, provide details and management response. |
|----|---|---|--------|------|---------------------|---|
|    |   |   | No     | N/A  |                     |   |
| D. | Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund   |   |        |      |                     |   |
|    | 1. Timely use of funds.   | Х |        |      |                     |   |
|    | 2. Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities. | x |        |      |                     |   |

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

# PALRF: Finding #2020-001

| Compliance Reference | Section I(C) Project Description Form (Form A) of the<br>Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines states that, "<br>Jurisdiction shall submit for approval a Project Description<br>Form (Form A) prior to the expenditure of funds for : 1) a new<br>project; 2) a new route; 3) a 25 percent change (increase or<br>decrease) in route or revenue vehicle miles for an<br>established LR funded transit service; 4) a 0.75 miles or<br>greater service change that duplicates/overlays an existing<br>transit service; or 5) a 25 percent or greater change in an<br>approved LR project budget or scope on all operating or<br>capital LR projects." |
|----------------------|--|
| Condition            | The City exceeded LACMTA's approved budget by more<br>than 25% without obtaining approval through a revised Form<br>A for the following projects.  |
|                      | <ul> <li>a. PALRF's Project code 220-01, Transit Security Project.<br/>Amount in excess of 25 percent of the approved budget<br/>was \$10,399; and</li> <li>b. PALRF's Project code 300-01, Transit Facility<br/>Enhancement. Amount in excess of 25 percent of the<br/>approved budget was \$16,322.</li> </ul>   |
|                      | Projects with greater than 25 percent change from the approved project budget should be amended by submitting a Project Description Form (Form A).   |
|                      | The City submitted amended Form A's to the LACMTA Program Manager and obtained a retroactive approval of the projects on October 1, 2020.  |
| Cause                | The staff that is actively working on the projects charge their<br>time directly as they are working on them. Delays in project<br>cost reviews were experienced due to the current work<br>schedules caused by the mandated shutdown, and staff was<br>unable to adjust costs greater than 25 percent to the<br>employee's home department.   |
| Effect               | The City's PALRF project expenditures exceeded 25 percent<br>of LACMTA's approved project budget without LACMTA's<br>approval and the City did not comply with the Guidelines.   |

# PALRF: Finding #2020-001 (Continued)

| Recommendation                     | We recommend the City submit amended Form A's to obtain LACMTA's approval for the change in project budgets and implement internal controls to ensure compliance with this requirement at all times.   |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Management's Response              | Staff was of the understanding that the direction given by<br>LACMTA staff per LACMTA Senior Transportation Planner,<br>Chelsea Meister's email dated September 24th stated<br>reports typically due on August 1 needed to be completed by<br>October 1st.   |
| Auditor Rejoinder                  | Although the City has submitted a revised Form A and the increase in the project budgets were retroactively approved by LACMTA, the City is required to submit the revised Form A anytime during the fiscal year and not after the fiscal year. There was a misunderstanding on the deadline for submission of the revised budget. |
|                                    | Based on the Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines,<br>a Project Description Form (Form A) has to be submitted any<br>time during the fiscal year for projects with a change of 25%<br>or more from the approved project budget.   |
| Finding Corrected During the Audit | LACMTA Program Manager granted retroactive approval of said projects on October 1, 2020. No additional follow up is required.  |

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 15, 2020 with the City of Pico Rivera representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager Ihab Fahreddine – Audit Senior (BCA Watson Rice LLP)

City of Pico Rivera representatives: Angelina Garcia – Director of Finance Hazel Vitancol-De Guzman – Senior Accountant

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an issue of noncompliance with the Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Pico Rivera representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Angelina Garcia – Director of Finance Hazel Vitancol-De Guzman – Senior Accountant



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM<sup>™</sup> logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.