

City of Santa Monica Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 with Report of Independent Auditors





PAGE

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	16 17 18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	20 21 22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	30

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	32 34
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	38
EXIT CONFERENCE	39

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Santa Monica, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Santa Monica, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Santa Monica, California, as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 30, 2020 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

angues & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 30, 2020

	June 30		
	2020		2019
ASSETS			
Cash and investments \$	2,275,814	\$	2,250,309
Interest receivable	7,013		8,197
Other receivables	19,247		-
Total assets \$	2,302,074	\$	2,258,506
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable \$	15,528	\$	152,304
Total liabilities	15,528		152,304
Fund balance			
Restricted - Rail Reserve	422,889		462,047
Restricted	1,863,657		1,644,155
Total fund balance	2,286,546		2,106,202
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	2,302,074		2,258,506
	2,002,014	=* —	2,200,000

		Years ended June 30		
		2020	2019	
Revenues Proposition A Investment income	\$	1,792,561 \$ 67,271	1,883,821 75,880	
Project generated revenues		18,583	16,985	
Troject generated Tevenade	Total revenues	1,878,415	1,976,686	
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	1,698,071 1,698,071	1,719,804 1,719,804	
Excess of revenues over expenditure	es	180,344	256,882	
Fund balance at beginning of year		2,106,202	1,849,320	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	2,286,546 \$	2,106,202	

City of Santa Monica Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year Ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

			2020		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
110-08	Fixed Route Transit \$	467,642 \$	448,140 \$	19,502 \$	470,955
130-01	Paratransit Service	600,000	622,309	(22,309)	493,204
140-03	Youth Transportation	56,600	48,003	8,597	50,463
140-04	Disabled Transit	25,000	9,250	15,750	18,308
140-05	Senior Transportation	3,000	-	3,000	2,353
140-09	Arts Transportation	19,000	7,573	11,427	14,464
150-22	Bus Pads - Lincoln Blvd	50,000	-	50,000	-
170-01	Bus Stop Shelter Maintenance	60,000	60,000	-	59,740
250-02	Fare Subsidy Program	49,227	29,250	19,977	42,467
250-05	Youth Fare Subsidy	110,000	97,660	12,340	74,195
380-03	Rail Reserve: Exposition Commuter Bike Path Component	50,983	-	50,983	-
380-08	Rail Reserve: Exposition Station Area Planning	45,267	-	45,267	2,336
380-19	Rail Reserve: Colorado Ave Vehicle Barriers	281,000	52,710	228,290	110,504
410-06	Rideshare Program	96,000	96,000	-	96,434
410-08	Bicycle Valet Service - Prop A	40,000	23,412	16,588	34,940
480-02	Direct Administration - Prop A	50,000	4,323	45,677	50,000
500-04	WISE Senior and Disabled Services	199,441	199,441	-	199,441
	Total expenditures \$	2,203,160 \$	1,698,071 \$	505,089 \$	1,719,804

City of Santa Monica Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year Ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2019		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
07/30/2008	Wall Mounted Brochure Holder \$	20,036	\$	- \$; - \$	20,036
07/30/2008	Wall Mounted Brochure Holder	20,036		-	-	20,036
07/30/2008	3 Sided Freestanding Display	13,630		-	-	13,630
06/29/2013	Expo - Maintenance Yard Buffer	1,608,300		-	-	1,608,300
06/29/2014	Bus PADS - Prop A Light Rail	244,503		-	-	244,503
06/29/2014	Bus Shelters	1,193,559		-	-	1,193,559
06/29/2018	Downtown Expo - Colorado Barriers	119,504	_	52,710		172,214
	Total \$	3,219,568	\$	52,710 \$	5 <u> </u>	3,272,278

		June 30		
	_	2020	2019	
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	3,071,514 \$	4,816,254	
Interest receivable		10,453	19,308	
Accounts receivable		2,545	5,946	
	Total assets \$	3,084,512 \$	4,841,508	
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable Contract retainage	S AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	256,744 \$ 197,382 454,126	331,922 108,593 440,515	
Fund balance Restricted - Capital Res Restricted	serve	54,685 2,575,701	827,188 3,573,805	
	Total fund balance	2,630,386	4,400,993	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	3,084,512 \$	4,841,508	
	—			

		Years ended June 30		
		2020	2019	
Revenues Proposition C	\$	1,486,934 \$	1,562,576	
Investment income		106,288	175,650	
Rent income		21,718	37,857	
	Total revenues	1,614,940	1,776,083	
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	3,385,547 3,385,547	2,388,902 2,388,902	
Deficiency of revenues over expendi	tures	(1,770,607)	(612,819)	
Fund balance at beginning of year		4,400,993	5,013,812	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	2,630,386 \$	4,400,993	

City of Santa Monica Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year Ended June 30, 2020 (With Comparative Actuals for 2019)

			2020		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
370-01	Wayfinding - Call for Projects \$	316,509	\$ 316,509	\$-	\$ 2,047
370-02	Expo and Localized Travel Planning Assistance	93,864	-	93,864	-
380-10	Montana Avenue Signal Synchronization	785,833	785,833	-	754,167
400-09	Traffic Signal Update	10,549	10,549	-	63,470
400-11	Montana Avenue Signal Synchronization - Non Capital Reserve	-	-	-	29,700
410-04	No Net New Trips Rideshare Toolkit	43,667	-	43,667	-
410-10	17th St/SMC/Expo Pedestrian Improvements	175,980	147,987	27,993	158,484
430-15	Bike Network Linkages	23,406	4,394	19,012	1,318,137
430-20	Pier and Beach Bike Path Connector	34,400	-	34,400	-
430-21	26th Street/Bergamot Station Connectivity Improvements Project	109,438	103,505	5,933	52,792
430-22	17th St/SMC/Expo Bike Path Connection	600,000	459,048	140,952	-
440-21	Annual Street Repair and Resurfacing	150,000	150,000	-	-
440-26	Annual Paving and Sidewalk Repair Project	600,000	600,000	-	-
440-27	Annual Paving and Sidewalk Repair Program	1,123,300	802,307	320,993	-
440-28	Annual Paving and Sidewalk Repair Program - FY2019-20	1,245,000	-	1,245,000	-
470-01	Pavement Management System	-	-	-	3,180
470-02	Pavement Management System	95,000	-	95,000	-
480-01	Direct Administration Prop C	10,492	5,415	5,077	6,925
	Total expenditures \$	5,417,438	\$ 3,385,547	\$ 2,031,891	\$ 2,388,902

City of Santa Monica Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year Ended June 30, 2020

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
02/15/2011	Transit Mall Kiosk	6 46,662 \$	- \$	- \$	46,662
06/12/2011	Train Operations and Existing Traffic Circulation	78,021	-	-	78,021
06/24/2011	Train Operations - Colorado Esplanade	97,850	-	-	97,850
06/28/2011	Citywide Bikeway Network	100,000	-	-	100,000
08/02/2011	Bike Center	633,532	-	-	633,532
06/29/2013	20th and Cloverfield Improvement Project	999,318	-	-	999,318
06/29/2013	Street Resurfacing-Ocean Park Blvd	539,339	-	-	539,339
06/29/2014	ATMS Phase 4	233,250	-	-	233,250
06/29/2015	Colorado Esplanade	1,175,227	-	-	1,175,227
06/29/2016	Downtown Temp Use TOD site	1,180,000	-	-	1,180,000
06/29/2016	Expo Bike Path Blue Phones	149,700	-	-	149,700
06/29/2019	17th St/SMC/Expo Pedestrian Improvements	158,484	147,987	-	306,471
06/29/2019	Montana Avenue Signal Synchronization	783,867	785,833	-	1,569,700
	Total S	6,175,250 \$	933,820 \$	- \$	7,109,070

		June 30		
		2020	2019	
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	2,914,255 \$	1,887,321	
Interest receivable		8,316	4,527	
	Total assets \$	2,922,571 \$	1,891,848	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BAL	ANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	700 \$	-	
Contract retainage		4,321	700	
-	Total liabilities	5,021	700	
Fund balance				
Restricted		2,917,550	1,891,148	
•	Total fund balance	2,917,550	1,891,148	
Total liabilities	and fund balance \$	2,922,571 \$	1,891,848	

		Years ended June 30		
		2020	2019	
Revenues				
Measure R	\$	1,113,605 \$	1,172,269	
Investment income		78,084	38,141	
	Total revenues	1,191,689	1,210,410	
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	165,287 165,287	<u>32,121</u> 32,121	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		1,026,402	1,178,289	
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	1,891,148	712,859	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	2,917,550 \$	1,891,148	

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	268,245 \$	87,347 \$	180,898 \$	58
3.05	Bikeways/Pedestrian Construction	10,811	6	10,805	-
3.05	Pedestrian Action Plan Implementation	151,975	-	151,975	32,063
3.20	Pedestrian Improvements at Four Schools	569,742	-	569,742	-
3.05	Bike Pedestrian Facilities	10,000	-	10,000	-
3.90	Bike Safety Education	77,934	77,934	-	-
	Total expenditures \$	1,088,707 \$	165,287 \$	923,420 \$	32,121

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2019		Additions	 Deletions	Balance June 30, 2020
N/A	None			\$	<u></u> \$_	-	\$ \$	
			Total	\$	\$	-	\$ \$	

		June 30				
		2020	2019			
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	3,675,788 \$	2,335,409			
Interest receivable		10,193	5,100			
Te	otal assets \$	3,685,981 \$	2,340,509			
Liabilities Accounts payable Tota	\$ al liabilities	<u> </u>				
Fund balance Restricted		3,685,981	2,340,509			
Total fu	nd balance	3,685,981	2,340,509			
Total liabilities and fur	nd halance \$	3,685,981 \$	2,340,509			

		Years ended	I June 30
	-	2020	2019
Revenues Measure M	\$	1,253,906 \$	1,319,909
Investment income	 Total revenues	<u>97,034</u> 1,350,940	37,418 1,357,327
		1,000,040	1,007,027
Expenditures			
Various projects	_	5,468	-
	Total expenditures _	5,468	-
Excess of revenues over expenditures		1,345,472	1,357,327
Fund balance at beginning of year		2,340,509	983,182
5 5 7	-		, ,
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	<u>3,685,981</u> \$	2,340,509

			2020				
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2019 Actual		
1.15	Pier and Beach Bike Path Connection \$	105,000 \$	- \$	105,000 \$	-		
3.20	Pedestrian Improvements at 4 Schools	90,790	-	90,790	-		
3.90	Active Again Pilot Program - Safe Routes for Seniors	100,000	5,468	94,532	-		
3.05	17th Street Expo Bike Connectivity Improvement	3,388,670	-	3,388,670	-		
	Total expenditures \$	3,684,460 \$	5,468 \$	3,678,992 \$	-		

Date Acquired	Description		July 1, 2019		Additions	Deletions	June 30, 2020
N/A Non	le	\$_ Total \$	-	-~-	- \$	•¢	<u> </u>

	Ju	ne 30
	2020	2019
ASSETS Due from LACMTA \$ Total assets \$	60,083 60,083	
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT)		
Liabilities		
Cash overdraft \$,	
Accounts payable	3,633	5,296
Total liabilities	60,083	78,160
Deferred inflow of resources		
Unavailable revenue	3,333	4,996
Total deferred inflow of resources	3,333	4,996
Fund balance (deficit)		
Restricted	(3,333)	(4,996)
Total fund balance (deficit)	(3,333)	(4,996)
Total liabilities, deferred inflow of resources and		
fund balance (deficit) \$	60,083	\$78,160

	Years ended J	lune 30
	2020	2019
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations:		
Article 3 \$	<u>56,750</u> \$	73,164
Total revenues	56,750	73,164
Expenditures Expo/Bergamot Pedestrian and Bike Enhancements Total expenditures	55,087 55,087	78,160 78,160
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	1,663	(4,996)
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of year	(4,996)	-
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year \$	(3,333) \$	(4,996)

City of Santa Monica Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year Ended June 30, 2020

Project Description	Program Year) 	Allocations	_	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:							
Expo/Bergamont Pedestrian and							
Bike Enhancements	2020 Stals	\$	56,750	- 1			Ongoing
	Jiais	\$	56,750	-Φ -	55,087	1,663	
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning	of year					(4,996)	
Fund balance (deficit) at end of yea	ır				Ş	6 (3,333)	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2020 and 2019:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's CAFR.

Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

Pursuant to GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position* and GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, the City recognizes deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources. A deferred outflow of resources is defined as a consumption of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources is defined as an acquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period.

Deferred inflows of resources reported by the City represent resources that are not available for spending as of June 30, 2020 and 2019.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2020 and 2019, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's CAFR for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES

Project generated revenues under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 amounting to \$18,583 and \$16,985, respectively, pertains to revenues generated from paratransit services.

Project generated revenue under PCLRF for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 amounting to \$21,718 and \$37,857, respectively, pertains to concessionaire's rent for the City's bike transit centers.

0040

NOTE 9 RAIL RESERVE – PALRF

On March 13, 1985, the City and LACMTA entered into a Memorandum of Understanding to establish rail reserve fund (Fund) and allocated \$504,916 of PALRF fiscal year 1984/85 distribution for rail development. In subsequent years, the City may annually allocate additional PALRF monies to the rail reserve fund in accordance with the Proposition A Local Return Rail Reserve Guidelines.

Per agreement, all interest accrued and placed in the Fund shall be used exclusively for the projects identified under the Rail Reserve Fund.

The Fund shall continue until such time as agreed upon by both parties and under the conditions set forth in the Proposition A Local Return Rail Reserve Guidelines.

For the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, the Rail Reserve Fund transactions were as follows:

~~~~

|                                    | <br>2020      | <br>2019      |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Beginning balance at July 1        | \$<br>462,047 | \$<br>556,498 |
| Add: Interest income               | 13,552        | 18,389        |
| Less: Expenditures during the year | (52,710)      | (112,840)     |
| Ending balance at June 30          | \$<br>422,889 | \$<br>462,047 |

# NOTE 10 CAPITAL RESERVE – PCLRF

On June 28, 2019, the LACMTA and the City entered into an agreement to establish a capital reserve account (Account) for the Montana Avenue Signal Synchronization Project in the initial amount of \$1,540,000. In accordance with the contract between the City and LACMTA, the funding of the Account will continue through Proposition C allocations until June 30, 2023.

Per agreement all interest is accrued and placed in the capital reserve account for use exclusively for the Montana Avenue Signal Synchronization Project.

For the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019, the capital reserve fund activity for PCLRF were as follows:

|                                    | 2020          | 2019            |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Beginning balance at July 1        | \$<br>827,188 | \$<br>1,540,000 |
| Add: Interest income               | 13,330        | 41,355          |
| Less: Expenditures during the year | (785,833)     | (754,167)       |
| Ending balance at June 30          | \$<br>54,685  | \$<br>827,188   |

#### NOTE 11 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2020 and 2019 consisted of the following:

|                       | <br>2020     | <br>2019     |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| FY 2014/15 allocation | \$<br>-      | \$<br>60,081 |
| FY 2015/16 allocation | 45,655       | 13,083       |
| FY 2016/17 allocation | 11,095       | -            |
|                       | \$<br>56,750 | \$<br>73,164 |

# NOTE 12 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2020 and 2019, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

|                       | 2020          |    | 2019    |
|-----------------------|---------------|----|---------|
| FY 2015/16 allocation | \$<br>-       | \$ | 45,655  |
| FY 2016/17 allocation | 50,711        |    | 61,806  |
| FY 2017/18 allocation | 60,981        |    | 60,981  |
| FY 2018/19 allocation | 63,607        |    | 63,607  |
| FY 2019/20 allocation | 66,715        |    | -       |
| Total reserve         | \$<br>242,014 | \$ | 232,049 |

For FY 2019/20, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2015/16 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2020. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2019/20.

# NOTE 13 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. Actions taken around the world to help mitigate the spread of the coronavirus include restrictions on travel, and quarantines in certain areas, and forced closures for certain types of public places and businesses. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries. To date, it is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities.

# NOTE 13 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (CONTINUED)

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2020 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through November 30, 2020, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no other subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

# Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Santa Monica, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Santa Monica, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2020.

# Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for determining audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





# **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

en & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 30, 2020

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

# **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Santa Monica, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Santa Monica, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Fund Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Santa Monica, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2020.

An independently owned member RSM US Alliance RSM



#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

eg & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 30, 2020

|  | Compliance Requirements                               |    | Complia | nce | Questioned<br>Costs | If no, provide details and management response. |
|--|-------------------------------------------------------|----|---------|-----|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|  |                                                       |    | No      | N/A |                     |                                                 |
|  | Proposition A and Proposition C<br>Local Return Funds |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | <ol> <li>Uses the State Controller's</li> </ol>       |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | Uniform System of Accounts                            |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | and Records.                                          | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 2. Timely use of funds.                               | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | <ol><li>Funds expended were</li></ol>                 |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | approved and have not been                            | ., |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | substituted for property tax.                         | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 4. Expenditures that exceeded                         |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 25% of approved project budget                        |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | have approved amended                                 |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | Project Description Form (Form<br>A)                  | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 5. Administrative expenses are                        | ^  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | within the 20% cap of the total                       |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | annual Local Return                                   |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | Expenditures.                                         | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 6. All on-going and carryover                         |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | projects were reported in Form                        |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | В.                                                    | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 7. Annual Project Summary                             |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | Report (Form B) was submitted                         |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | timely.                                               | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 8. Annual Expenditure Report                          |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | (Form C) was submitted timely.                        | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | <ol><li>Cash or cash equivalents are</li></ol>        |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | maintained.                                           | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 10. Accounting procedures, record                     |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | keeping and documentation are                         | ., |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | adequate.                                             | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 11. Pavement Management System                        |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | (PMS) in place and being used                         |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | for Street Maintenance or                             |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | Improvement Projects<br>Expenditures.                 | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 12. Local Return Account is                           | ~  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | credited for reimbursable                             |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | expenditures.                                         |    |         | х   |                     |                                                 |
|  | 13. Self-Certification was completed                  |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | and submitted for Intelligent                         |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | Transportation Systems                                |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | projects or elements.                                 |    |         | Х   |                     |                                                 |
|  | 14. Assurances and                                    |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | Understandings form was on                            |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | file.                                                 | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | 15. Recreational Transit Form was                     |    |         |     |                     |                                                 |
|  | submitted timely.                                     | Х  |         |     |                     |                                                 |

| Compliance Requirements |                         | In Compliance                   |        |  | Questioned | If no, provide details and |                      |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--|------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
|                         | Compliance Requirements |                                 | Yes No |  | N/A        | Costs                      | management response. |
| В.                      |                         | asure R Local Return Fund       |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 1.                      | Funds were expended for         |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | transportation purposes.        | Х      |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 2.                      | Funds were used to augment,     |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | not supplant, existing local    |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | revenues being used for         |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | transportation purposes unless  |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | there is a funding shortfall.   | Х      |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 3.                      | Signed Assurances and           |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | Understandings on file.         | Х      |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 4.                      | Separate Measure R Local        |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | Return Account was              |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | established.                    | Х      |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 5.                      | Revenues received including     |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | allocations, project generated  |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | revenues and interest income    |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | was properly credited to the    |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | Measure R Local Return          |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | Account.                        | Х      |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 6.                      | Funds were expended with        |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | LACMTA's approval.              | Х      |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 7.                      | Expenditure Plan (Form One)     |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | was submitted timely.           | Х      |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 8.                      | Expenditure Report (Form Two)   |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | was submitted timely.           | X<br>X |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | Timely use of funds.            | Х      |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         | 10.                     | Administrative expenses are     |        |  |            |                            | There were no        |
|                         |                         | within the 20% cap.             |        |  |            |                            | administrative       |
|                         |                         |                                 |        |  |            |                            | expenses charged to  |
|                         |                         |                                 |        |  | Х          |                            | MRLRF.               |
|                         | 11.                     | Fund exchanges were approved    |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | by LACMTA.                      |        |  | Х          |                            |                      |
|                         | 12.                     | A separate account was          |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | established for Capital reserve |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | funds and Capital reserve was   |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | approved by LACMTA.             |        |  | Х          |                            |                      |
|                         | 13.                     | Recreational transit form was   |        |  |            |                            |                      |
|                         |                         | submitted timely.               |        |  | Х          |                            |                      |

|    | Compliance Requirements |                                 | In Compliance |  |     | Questioned | If no, provide details and |
|----|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--|-----|------------|----------------------------|
|    |                         |                                 | Yes No        |  | N/A | Costs      | management response.       |
| C. | Ме                      | asure M Local Return Fund       |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 1.                      | Funds were expended for         |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | transportation purposes.        | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 2.                      | Funds were used to augment,     |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | not supplant, existing local    |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | revenues being used for         |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | transportation purposes unless  |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | there is a fund shortfall.      | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 3.                      | Signed Assurances and           |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Understandings on file.         | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 4.                      | Separate Measure M Local        |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Return Account was              |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | established.                    | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 5.                      | Revenues received including     |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | allocations, project generated  |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | revenues and interest income    |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | was properly credited to the    |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Measure M Local Return          |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Account.                        | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 6.                      | Funds were expended with        |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | LACMTA's approval.              | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 7.                      | Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)   |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | was submitted timely.           | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 8.                      | Expenditure Report (Form        |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | M-Two) was submitted timely.    | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | Timely use of funds.            | Х             |  |     |            |                            |
|    | 10.                     | . Administrative expenses are   |               |  |     |            | There were no              |
|    |                         | within the 20% cap.             |               |  |     |            | administrative             |
|    |                         |                                 |               |  |     |            | expenses charged to        |
|    |                         |                                 |               |  | Х   |            | MMLRF.                     |
|    | 11.                     | . Fund exchanges were approved  |               |  |     |            |                            |
| L  |                         | by LACMTA.                      |               |  | Х   |            |                            |
|    | 12.                     | A separate account was          |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | established for Capital reserve |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | funds and Capital reserve was   |               |  |     |            |                            |
| L  |                         | approved by LACMTA.             |               |  | Х   |            |                            |
|    | 13.                     | . Recreational transit form was |               |  |     |            |                            |
|    |                         | submitted timely.               |               |  | Х   |            |                            |

| Compliance Requirements                                                                                                 |   | complia | nce | Questioned<br>Costs | If no, provide details and management response. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---------|-----|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                         |   | No      | N/A |                     |                                                 |
| D. Transportation Development Act<br>Article 3 Fund                                                                     |   |         |     |                     |                                                 |
| 1. Timely use of funds.                                                                                                 | Х |         |     |                     |                                                 |
| <ol> <li>Expenditures were incurred for<br/>activities relating to pedestrian<br/>and bicycle facilities and</li> </ol> |   |         |     |                     |                                                 |
| amenities.                                                                                                              | Х |         |     |                     |                                                 |

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on November 30, 2020 with the City of Santa Monica representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Santa Monica representatives: Jason Duvall – Accountant II Robert Garcia – Supervising Accountant Amelia Dawson – Senior Grants Analyst

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Santa Monica representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Stephanie Manglaras – Accounting Manager Imelda De Leon – Senior Grants Analyst Jason Duvall – Accountant II



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP.

655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203-1437 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-17777