

City of Cudahy Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 With Independent Auditor's Report





<u>PAGE</u>

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4 5
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	0
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	12 13
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20 21
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	
	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	28

FINANCIAL SECTION

	PAGE
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix	30 32
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	39
EXIT CONFERENCE	40

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cudahy, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Cudahy, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Cudahy, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Cudahy, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 14, 2021 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

angues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 14, 2021

		June 30			
		2021		2020	
ASS	SETS				
Cash and investments	\$	577,004	\$	625,050	
Due from General Fund		33,457		13,147	
Interest receivable	_	359		1,999	
	Total assets \$ _	610,820	\$	640,196	
LIABILITIES AND Liabilities Accounts payable	D FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	<u>5,163</u> 5,163	_\$	<u>5,744</u> 5,744	
Fund balance					
Restricted	_	605,657		634,452	
	Total fund balance	605,657		634,452	
Τοτ	tal liabilities and fund balance	610,820	\$	640,196	

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2021	2020
Revenues Proposition A	\$	488,194 \$	472,449
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant (Note 9)	Ŧ	17,841	-
Investment income		3,196	10,010
Total revenues	; _	509,231	482,459
Expenditures Expenditures funded by Proposition A		538,026	586,767
Expenditures funded by General Fund		20,449	500,707
Total expenditures	; _	558,475	586,767
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures		(49,244)	(104,308)
Other funding source Transfer in from General Fund		20,449	-
Total other funding source		20,449	-
Change in fund balance		(28,795)	(104,308)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	634,452	738,760
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	605,657 \$	634,452

		2021						
							Variance	
Project			Metro				Positive	2020
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual		(Negative)	 Actual
107	Dial-A-Ride	\$	100,000	\$	52,947	\$	47,053	\$ 99,433
145	Cudahy ADA Improvements along Fixed Route							
	(per Cudahy ADA Transition Plan)		150,000		-		150,000	-
155	Recreation Excursions		10,000		-		10,000	2,107
180	Orange Line Rail Transit Study		9,000		8,674		326	8,674
205	Cudahy Citywide Bus Stop Improvement Project		100,000		-		100,000	-
410	Prop A Funds Trade (Note 8)		449,000		461,000		(12,000)	449,000
610	Administration Prop A		60,000		15,405	_	44,595	 27,553
	Total expenditures	\$	878,000	\$	538,026	\$	339,974	\$ 586,767

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2020	_	Additions	_	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
06/14/2010	1 Aero Elite Motor Bus Vehicle	\$_ Total \$	60,314 60,314	- ` -	-	\$ \$	\$\$	60,314 60,314

		June 30			
		2021	_	2020	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	137,543	\$	547,329	
Due from General Fund		-		139	
Interest receivable		67	_	1,781	
	Total assets \$	137,610	\$	549,249	
Liabilities	AND FUND BALANCE	50 404	¢	40.004	
Accounts payable	\$	53,104	\$	43,624	
Due to General Fund	T (() () () () () () () () ()	13,008		-	
	Total liabilities	66,112		43,624	
Fund balance					
Restricted	_	71,498		505,625	
	Total fund balance	71,498		505,625	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	137,610	\$	549,249	

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2021	2020
Revenues	¢	404.040 ¢	004 000
Proposition C	\$	404,940 \$	391,898
Investment income		1,456	8,073
Bus pass sales		-	15,101
Total reven	ues	406,396	415,072
Expenditures Various projects	_	840,523	346,670
Total expenditu	ires _	840,523	346,670
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(434,127)	68,402
Fund balance at beginning of year		505,625	437,223
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	71,498_\$	505,625

City of Cudahy Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actuals for 2020)

				2021			
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget		Actual	_	Variance Positive (Negative)	 2020 Actual
135	Bus Fare Subsidy \$	55,000	\$	20	\$	54,980	\$ 28,046
155	Recreation Excursions	5,000		-		5,000	4,756
180	Fixed Route	180,000		176,204		3,796	176,363
180	Atlantic Avenue/Boulevard Corridor Study	15,500		840		14,660	
470	Council of Governments Membership	16,300		16,200		100	16,300
620	Administration Prop C	75,000		48,784		26,216	69,186
705	Atlantic Avenue Street Improvement	250,000		240,265		9,735	15,465
705	Atlantic Avenue Street Improvement Project - New Phase II	-		-		-	13,350
705	Resurfacing of a Parking Lot at Lugo Park for Fixed						
	Route Fixed Route Transit Stop	-		-		-	13,204
705	Patata Street Improvement Project	300,000		333,710		(33,710)	-
705	Pavement Management System Upgrade 2019	20,000		14,500		5,500	-
780	I-710 Arterials Study	10,000	_	10,000	_		 10,000
	Total expenditures \$	926,800	\$	840,523	\$	86,277	\$ 346,670

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2021		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None		:	\$ <u> </u>	_\$_	\$	\$	-
			Total	\$	_\$_	\$	\$	-

		June 30				
	_	2021		2020		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	1,548,417	\$	1,601,492		
Interest receivable		981		5,250		
Due from General Fund		-		28,513		
	Total assets \$	1,549,398	\$	1,635,255		
LIABILITIES AND FUND	BALANCE					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	5,060	\$	14,301		
Due to other fund		-		45,609		
	Total liabilities	5,060		59,910		
Fund balance						
		4 544 220		4 575 945		
Restricted		1,544,338		1,575,345		
	Total fund balance	1,544,338		1,575,345		
Total liabilit	ies and fund balance <code>\$ _</code>	1,549,398	\$	1,635,255		

	_	Years end	ed June 30
	_	2021	2020
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	304,126	\$ 293,503
Investment income		7,627	24,927
	Total revenues	311,753	318,430
Expenditures Various projects	Total avnandituraa	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	Total expenditures _	342,700	90,021
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over ex	penditures	(31,007)	228,409
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,575,345	1,346,936
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	1,544,338 **	\$ 1,575,345 *

* \$46,833 of the fund balance at end of year has lapsed. On September 16, 2020, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Metro Board of Directors granted a one-time, one-year extension for the use of the lapsed funds.

** \$285,646 of the fund balance at end of year has lapsed. On June 17, 2021, the Metro Project Manager granted a one-time, one-year extension for the use of the lapsed funds.

		2021						
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	- 	2020 Actual
302	Matching Funds for Cudahy 2013 HSIP-6 Approved Grant Traffic Project \$	40,000	\$	-	\$	40,000	\$	45,609
302	Matching Funds for 2015 Call for Projects Approved Grants	88,249		-		88,249		-
630	Fund Administration	60,000		46,769		13,231		18,004
705	Matching Funds for HSIP-7 Approved Grant - Safety Enhancement	36,320				36,320		-
705	Matching Funds for SSARP Project Approved Grant	15,000		-		15,000		-
705	Matching Funds for ATP-2 Project Approved Grant - Wilcox SR	27,000		-		27,000		-
705	Cecelia Street Improvement	225,000		163,680		61,320		13,204
705	Ardine Street Improvement Project	140,000		132,311		7,689		13,204
	Total expenditures \$	631,569	\$	342,760	\$	288,809	\$	90,021

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2020		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None			\$_	-	_\$	\$	\$	
			Total	\$_	-	_\$	\$	\$	

			June 30			
		_	2021		2020	
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments		\$	1,141,472	\$	909,636	
Interest receivable			645		2,984	
	Total assets	\$	1,142,117	\$	912,620	
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	\$	4,547 4,547	\$	<u>11,312</u> 11,312	
Fund balance Restricted			1,137,570		901,308	
	Total fund balance	<u> </u>	1,137,570	·	901,308	
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$_	1,142,117	\$	912,620	

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2021	2020	
Revenues Measure M	\$	344,586	\$ 330,153	3
Investment income	¥	4,939	13,201	
	Total revenues	349,525	343,354	
Expenditures		442.000		-
Various projects	Total expenditures	<u>113,263</u> 113,263	_ <u>54,455</u> 54,455	
		110,200	01,100	<u></u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures		236,262	288,899)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	901,308	612,409	<u>}</u>
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,137,570	\$901,308	3

Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2020 Actual	
115	Contracted Transportation Services					
	from within LA County \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	9,478	
304	Traffic Signal - Repairs and Maintenance	100,000	104,268	(4,268)	44,977	
640	Fund Administration (20% cap)	20,000	8,995	11,005	-	
705	Cudahy Citywide Traffic Striping and					
	Signage Project	120,000	-	120,000	-	
	Total expenditures \$	240,000 \$	113,263 \$	126,737 \$	54,455	

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2020		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None			\$_	-	_\$	\$	\$	
			Total	\$_	-	_\$	\$	\$	

		June	30
		2021	2020
	ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$	<u> </u>	-
	Total assets \$	- \$	-
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	\$ 	<u> </u>
Fund balance Restricted	Total fund balance Total liabilities and fund balance \$	\$	- - -

		Years en	ded June 30
		2021	2020
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$ Total revenues	6	_\$
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year			
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$ <u> </u>	_\$

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by Metro and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Audited Annual Financial Statements (AFS) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2021 and 2020:

 Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by Metro.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's AFS.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average guarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's AFS for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE

As permitted by the Local Return Guidelines and as approved by Metro, the City entered into the following fund exchange agreements:

- In April 2021, with the City of Pasadena to exchange the Proposition A fund monies amounting to \$147,700 for \$110,775 or \$1 of PALRF monies per \$0.75 of general fund monies.
- In September 2020, with the Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority (PVPTA) to exchange the Proposition A fund monies amounting to \$313,300 for \$234,975 or \$1 of PALRF monies per \$0.75 of general fund monies.
- In August 2019, with the Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority (PVPTA) to exchange the Proposition A fund monies amounting to \$449,000 for \$336,750 or \$1 of PALRF monies per \$0.75 of general fund monies.

NOTE 9 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANT

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant represents additional funds received from Metro for participating in the Voluntary NTD Program. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

Agreement	Program	MOU		Amount Received					
Date	Year	Amount		2021		2020			
07/31/2018	FY2015/16	\$ 17,841	\$	17,841	\$		-		
			\$	17,841	\$		-		

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2021	2020
FY 2016/17 allocation	\$ 16,092	\$ 16,092
FY 2017/18 allocation	16,033	16,033
FY 2018/19 allocation	16,559	16,559
FY 2019/20 allocation	17,594	17,594
FY 2019/20 allocation	13,539	-
	\$ 79,817	\$ 66,278

For FY 2020/21, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2016/17 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2021. In FY 2020/21, the FY 2016/17 reserve amounting to \$16,092 lapsed. See Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

NOTE 11 IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materially affect the June 30, 2021 funds financial statements.

NOTE 12 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2021 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through December 14, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cudahy, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Cudahy, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 14, 2021.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

angues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 14, 2021

COMPLIANCE SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cudahy, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the City of Cudahy, California's (the City) compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Cudahy, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding #2021-001. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

neg & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 14, 2021

Compliance Descriptions	In C	omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
Compliance Requirements	Yes No N/A		Costs	management response.	
Return Funds					
	V				
	X				
	x				
	х				
4. Timely use of funds.	Х				
5. Administrative expenses are within					
the 20% cap.	Х				
			N/		
			X		
	v				
	^				
	х				
for Street Maintenance or					
Improvement Projects					
Expenditures.	Х				
for reimbursable expenditures.	Х				
			v		
			^		
			x		
	 Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account. Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax. Timely use of funds. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent. Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time. Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. Local Return Account is credited 	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fundsres1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.X2. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account.X3. Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.X4. Timely use of funds.X5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.X6. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.X7. Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.X8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.X9. Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.X10. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.X11. Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.12. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projectsX	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return FundsYesNo1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.X2. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account.X3. Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.X4. Timely use of funds.X5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.X6. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.X7. Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.X8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.X9. Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.X10. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.X11. Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.12. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projectsX	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return FundsNoN/A1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.Image: Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.Image: Controller's Uniform System of Accounts2. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account.X3. Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.XImage: Controller's Uniform X4. Timely use of funds.XImage: Controller's Uniform XXImage: Controller's Uniform X5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.XImage: Controller's Uniform XX6. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.X7. Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.X8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.X9. Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.X10. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.X11. Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one<	Toposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds Tes NO N/A Costs 1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes. X Image: Cost of

	Compliance Requirements		omplia	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
			Yes No	N/A		
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
	13. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.			x		
	14. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			x		
	 Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro. 	x				
	16. Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.	x				
	17. All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.	x				
	18. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	x				
	 Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate. 	x				

	Compliance Poquiromente		In C	omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	/A Costs	management response.
В.		asure R Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	1	N/				
		Account was established.	Х				
	3.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure R					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	4.	Funds were expended with Metro's					
		approval.	Х				
	5.	Funds were not substituted for					
		property tax and are in compliance					
		with the Maintenance of Effort.	Х				
	6.	Timely use of funds.	Х				
	7.						
		the 20% cap.	Х				
	8.						
		electronic equivalent) was	V				
	0	submitted timely.	Х				
	9.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form Two or electronic equivalent) was					
		submitted timely.	х				
	10	Where funds expended were			ł – –		
	10.	reimbursable by other grants or					
		fund sources, the reimbursement					
		was credited to the Local Return					
		Account upon receipt of the					
		reimbursement.			Х		
	11.	Where Measure R funds were					
		given, loaned or exchanged by one					
		jurisdiction to another, the					
		receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the					
		funds received.			x		
	12	A separate account was					
	. 2.	established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by Metro.			Х		
	13.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a fund	, <i>.</i>				
		shortfall.	Х				

Compliance Requirements		omplia	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		No	N/A		
B. Measure R Local Return Fund					
14. Recreational transit form was					
submitted on time.			Х		
15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or					
gifts) were approved by Metro.			Х		
16. Accounting procedures, record					
keeping, and documentation are					
adequate.	Х				

	Compliance Requirements			omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C.		asure M Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.						
		Account was established.	Х				
	3.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure M					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	4	Funds were expended with Metro's	~				
		approval.	Х				
	5.						
	-	property tax and are in compliance					
		with the Maintenance of Effort.	Х				
	6.	Timely use of funds.	Х				
	7.	Administrative expenses are within					
		the 20% cap.	Х				
	8.						
		electronic equivalent) was					
		submitted timely.	Х				
	9.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two					
		or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely.	х				
	10	Where funds expended were	^				
	10.	reimbursable by other grants or					
		fund sources, the reimbursement					
		was credited to the Local Return					
		Account upon receipt of the					
		reimbursement.			Х		
	11.	Where Measure M funds were					
		given, loaned or exchanged by one					
		jurisdiction to another, the					
		receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the					
		funds received.			x		
<u> </u>	12	A separate account was			^		
	12.	established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by Metro.			Х		
	13.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a fund					
		shortfall.	Х				

Compliance Requirements		omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C. Measure M Local Return Fund					
14. Recreational transit form was					
submitted on time.			Х		
15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or					
gifts) were approved by Metro.			Х		
16. Accounting procedures, record					
keeping, and documentation are					
adequate.	Х				

Compliance Requirements		omplia	ince	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		No	N/A		
D. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1. Timely use of funds.		Х			See Finding #2021-001
 Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities. 			х		There were no expenditures charged to TDAA3F during FY2020/21.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

TDAA3F: Finding #2021-001

Compliance Reference	TDA Article 3 Guidelines states that "TDA Article 3 local funds may be placed on reserve for up to three years. Agencies may accumulate three years worth of reserved TDA Article 3 local funds before being required to obligate them or return them to the TDA Article 3 fund. Any funds left on reserve by the local agency longer than three years are subject to lapse and future reallocation. For FY 2020/21, any TDA Article3 funds left on reserve for FY 2016/17 are subject to lapse if not claimed by the agency by June 30, 2021.
Condition	The City has remaining fund left on reserve amounting to \$16,092 from FY 2016/17 allocations that lapsed in FY2020/21.
Cause	The City was not aware of the lapsing fund amount.
Effect	The City was not in compliance with the TDA Article 3 Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend the City to establish procedures and internal controls to ensure that TDA3 funds allocation left on reserve be drawn and spent on eligible projects in a timely manner.
Management's Response	The City has requested Metro to extend the use of the FY 2016/17 allocations on reserve through June 30, 2022, since the City has an existing approved TDA Article 3 projects in FY 2021/22.
Findings Resolved During the Audit	On November 12, 2021, Metro Program Manager granted an extension for the use of the FY 2016/17 allocation on reserve through June 30, 2022. No follow up is required.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on October 26, 2021 with the City of Cudahy representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Cristy Canieda – Partner Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager Erica Ong – Senior Auditor

City of Cudahy representatives: Charles Ortiz – Accounting Manager Aaron Hernandez-Torres – Assistant City Engineer

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Cudahy representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Charles Ortiz – Accounting Manager Aaron Hernandez-Torres – Assistant City Engineer



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM[™] logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.