

City of Industry Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 with Independent Auditor's Report





<u>PAGE</u>

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	12 13
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	18
Schedule of Capital Assets	19
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	20
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	25
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix	27 29
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	35
EXIT CONFERENCE	36

FINANCIAL SECTION

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Industry, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Measure M Local Return Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Industry, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Measure M Local Return Fund of the City of Industry, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Measure M Local Return Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 6, 2021 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 6, 2021

	June 30			
-	2021	2020		
ASSETS				
Cash and investment \$	1,677,687 \$	1,484,688		
Due from General Fund	-	5,712		
Due from PCLRF	4,779	-		
Total assets \$	1,682,466 \$	1,490,400		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable \$	925,798 \$	21,764		
Due to General Fund	483,309	-		
Total liabilities	1,409,107	21,764		
Fund balance				
Restricted - Capital Reserve (Note 7)	219,667	-		
Restricted _	53,692	1,468,636		
Total fund balance	273,359	1,468,636		
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,682,466 \$	1,490,400		

		Years ended June 30		
	-	2021	2020	
Revenues				
Proposition A	\$	8,692 \$	8,487	
Investment income		6,616	40,362	
	Total revenues	15,308	48,849	
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	<u>1,210,585</u> 1,210,585	836,688 836,688	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures		(1,195,277)	(787,839)	
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,468,636	2,256,475	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	273,359 \$	1,468,636	

Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	 Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2020 Actual
145	Bus Stop Upgrades for ADA Compliance \$	200,000	\$ 3,706 \$	196,294 \$	23,729
160	Commuter Rail Station Operation	150,000	155,938	(5,938)	107,121
251	Fullerton Grade Separation at Gale Avenue	75,000	120,386	(45,386)	90,358
281	Fairway Grade Separation Project	10,000	 930,555	(920,555)	615,480
	Total expenditures \$	435,000	\$ 1,210,585 \$	(775,585) \$	836,688

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2020	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None		\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
			Total \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-

		June 30			
		2021		2020	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	7,769	\$	4,347	
Due from General Fund		5,920		5,920	
	Total assets \$	13,689	\$	10,267	
LIABILITIES Liabilities	AND FUND BALANCE				
Due to Other Funds	\$	4,779	\$	1,357	
	Total liabilities	4,779		1,357	
Fund balance					
Restricted		8,910	_	8,910	
	Total fund balance	8,910	_	8,910	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	13,689	\$	10,267	

	Years ended June 30		
-	2021	2020	
Revenues			
Proposition C \$	7,210 \$	7,040	
Total revenues	7,210	7,040	
Expenditures Bus Stop Upgrades for ADA Compliance Total expenditures	7,210 7,210	18,706 18,706	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	-	(11,666)	
Fund balance at beginning of year	8,910	20,576	
Fund balance at end of year \$	8,910 \$	8,910	

Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2020 Actual	
205	Bus Stop Upgrades for ADA Compliance \$_ Total expenditures \$_	<u>10,000</u> \$ 10,000\$	7,210 \$ 7,210 \$	2,790 \$ 2,790 \$	18,706 18,706	

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2020	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None		5	6	\$ \$	- \$	-
			Total S		\$ - \$	- \$	-

		June 30		
		2021	2020	
Cash and investments	ASSETS \$ Total assets \$			
LIABILITIES	SAND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	- 9	-	
	Total liabilities	-	-	
Fund balance				
Restricted		-	-	
	Total fund balance	-	-	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$		6	

		Years ended June 30			
		2021	2020		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$	5,415			
	Total revenues	5,415	5,272		
Expenditures Street Repair and Maintenance	Total expenditures	<u>5,415</u> 5,415	5,272 5,272		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-		
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year	\$		\$		

		2021					
Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2020 Actual	
705	Street and Repair Maintenance Total expenditures	\$	\$	<u>5,415</u> 5,415	6 <u>1,585</u> \$_ 6 1,585 \$	<u>5,272</u> 5,272	

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2020		Additions		Deletions	_	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None	ŗ	Fotal	\$ \$	-	- * -	-	\$ \$	-	\$_ \$	-

		Ju	ne 30
		2021	2020
Cook and investments	ASSETS		۴
Cash and investments	\$ Total assets \$	-	\$ <u>-</u> \$
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$-
	Total liabilities	-	-
Fund balance			
Restricted		-	-
	Total fund balance	-	-
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	-	\$

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2021	2020	
Revenues				
Measure M	\$	6,135	\$ 5,934	
	Total revenues	6,135	5,934	
Expenditures Street Repair and Maintenance		<u>6,135</u> 6,135	<u> </u>	
Excess of revenues over expenditu	res	-	-	
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	-		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	-	\$	

				2021					
Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	Actual	_	Variance Positive (Negative)	2020 Actual		
705	Street and Repair Maintenance Total expenditures	\$ \$	8,000 \$ 8,000 \$	6,135 6,135	- '	<u>1,865</u> \$ <u>1,865</u> \$	5,934 5,934		

Date _Acquired_		Description		Balance July 1, 2020	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None		\$	\$	- \$	\$	
			Total \$	\$	<u> </u>	\$_	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and MMLRF are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by Metro and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and MMLRF report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2021 and 2020:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Metro.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's ACFR.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and MMLRF and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and MMLRF cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's ACFR for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 CAPITAL RESERVE AGREEMENTS - PALRF

On September 16, 2020, Metro Board of Directors approved the City of Industry's establishment of a capital reserve funds for the Fairway Grade Separation Project and the Park and Ride Lot Project (Projects).

The Reserve Account is funded with the Proposition A Local Return funds allocated to the City. All interest is accrued in the Reserve Account for use exclusively for the said project. If the project is not completed by June 30, 2025, any unexpended funds shall lapse and be returned to Metro.

Following are the transactions and the balances reported for the capital reserve account as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021:

	airway Grade	Park and Ride Lot		Total	
Capital reserve account set-up Interest income earned	\$ 1,000,000 \$ 70	150,000 152	\$	1,150,000 222	
Expenditures during the year	(930,555)	-		(930,555)	
Capital reserve, June 30, 2021	\$ 69,515 \$	150,152	\$	219,667	

NOTE 8 IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materially affect the June 30, 2021 Funds financial statements.

NOTE 9 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2021 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through December 6, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Industry, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Measure M Local Return Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Industry, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2021.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and Measure M Local Return Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 6, 2021

COMPLIANCE SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Industry, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the City of Industry, California's (the City) compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines and Measure M Local Return Guidelines, (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program and Measure M Local Return Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Industry, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

agner & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 6, 2021

	Compliance Requirements	In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and		
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No N//		Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local						
	Return Funds	 		 			
	1. Uses the State Controller's						
	Uniform System of Accounts and						
	Records or has established a						
	separate Proposition A and						
	Proposition C Local Transit						
	Assistance Account for Local	V					
	Return purposes.	Х					
	2. Revenues received including						
	allocations, project generated						
	revenues and interest income was						
	properly credited to the Proposition						
	A and/or Proposition C Local	V					
	Return Account.	Х					
	3. Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted						
	for property tax.	v					
	4. Timely use of funds.	X X					
		^				There were no	
	5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.					administrative	
	tile 20 % cap.					expenses charged to	
				х		LR funds.	
	6. Expenditures that exceeded 25%			^			
	of approved project budget have						
	approved amended Project						
	Description Form (Form A) or						
	electronic equivalent.	х					
	7. Annual Project Update Report						
	(Form B) or electronic equivalent						
	was submitted on time.	Х					
	8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form			1			
	C) or electronic equivalent was						
	submitted on time.	Х					
	9. Pavement Management System						
	(PMS) is in place and being used						
	for Street Maintenance or						
	Improvement Projects						
	Expenditures.			Х			
	10. Local Return Account is credited						
	for reimbursable expenditures.	Х					
	11. Where Proposition A funds were						
	given, loaned or exchanged by one						
	jurisdiction to another, the						
	receiving jurisdiction has credited						
	its Local Return Account with the						
	funds received.			Х			
	12. Self-Certification was completed						
	and submitted for Intelligent						
	Transportation Systems projects						
	and elements.			Х			

See independent auditor's report on compliance. 29

	Compliance Pequirements	In C	omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds						
	13. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.			x			
	14. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X			
	15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			х			
	16. Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.	x					
	17. All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.	x					
	 Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 	x					
	 Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate. 	x					

	Compliance Requirements	In C	omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	Yes No N/A Costs		Costs	management response.
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund					
	1. Funds were expended for	V				
	transportation purposes.	Х				
	2. Separate Measure R Local Return					
	Account was established.	Х				
	3. Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income was					
	properly credited to the Measure R	v				
	Local Return Account.	Х				
	4. Funds were expended with Metro's	v				
	approval. 5. Funds were not substituted for	Х				
	property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	v				
	6. Timely use of funds.	X X		<u> </u>		
	7. Administrative expenses are within	^		<u> </u>		There were no
	the 20% cap.					administrative
	the 20% cap.					expenses charged to
				х		MRLRF.
	8. Expenditure Plan (Form One or					
	electronic equivalent) was					
	submitted timely.	Х				
	9. Annual Expenditure Report (Form	~				
	Two or electronic equivalent) was					
	submitted timely.	Х				
	10. Where funds expended were					
	reimbursable by other grants or					
	fund sources, the reimbursement					
	was credited to the Local Return					
	Account upon receipt of the					
	reimbursement.			Х		
	11. Where Measure R funds were					
	given, loaned or exchanged by one					
	jurisdiction to another, the					
	receiving jurisdiction has credited					
	its Local Return Account with the					
L	funds received.			Х		
	12. A separate account was					
	established for Capital reserve					
	funds and Capital reserve was					
	approved by Metro.			Х		
	13. Funds were used to augment, not					
	supplant existing local revenues					
	being used for transportation					
	purposes unless there is a fund	v				
	shortfall.	Х	l	<u> </u>		

Compliance Requirements		omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
		No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
B. Measure R Local Return Fund						
 Recreational transit form was submitted on time. 			х			
15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			х			
16. Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	x					

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	-	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
		V					
		X					
•		V					
		X					
		x					
		x					
	not substituted for						
		Х					
6. Timely use of	f funds.	Х					
						There were no	
the 20% cap.						administrative	
						expenses charged to	
				Х		MMLRF.	
submitted tim	nely.	X					
		V					
		X					
				x			
receiving juris	sdiction has credited						
its Local Retu	urn Account with the						
				Х			
				Х			
•	•						
shortfall.	ess mere is a lund	х					
	 Funds were e transportation Separate Me Account was Revenues re allocations, p revenues and properly cred Local Return Funds were e approval. Funds were r property tax a with the Mair Timely use o Timely use o Administrativ the 20% cap. Expenditure electronic eq submitted tim Expenditure or electronic submitted tim Where funds reimbursable fund sources was credited Account upor reimburseme Where Meas given, loaned jurisdiction to receiving juri- its Local Returned funds and Ca approved by Funds were u supplant exis being used for purposes unl 	 transportation purposes. Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account. Funds were expended with Metro's approval. Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort. Timely use of funds. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement. Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro. Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund 	1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes. X 2. Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established. X 3. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account. X 4. Funds were expended with Metro's approval. X 5. Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort. X 6. Timely use of funds. X 7. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap. X 8. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. X 9. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. X 10. Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement. X 11. Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received. I 12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve funds approved by Metro. I 13. Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund I	1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes. X 2. Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established. X 3. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account. X 4. Funds were expended with Metro's approval. X 5. Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort. X 6. Timely use of funds. X 7. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap. X 8. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. X 9. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. X 10. Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received. I 11. Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received. I 12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro. I 13. Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund I	1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes. X 2. Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established. X 3. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account. X 4. Funds were expended with Metro's approval. X 5. Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort. X 6. Timely use of funds. X 7. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap. X 8. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. X 9. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. X 10. Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement. X 11. Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds and Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro. X 13. Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund X	1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes. X 2. Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established. X 3. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account. X 4. Funds were expended with Metro's approval. X 5. Funds were expended with Metro's approval. X 6. Timely use of funds. X 7. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap. X 8. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. X 9. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted timely. X 10. Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement. X 11. Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received. X 12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund X	

Compliance Requirements		omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C. Measure M Local Return Fund					
 Recreational transit form was submitted on time. 			x		
15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			x		
 Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate. 	x				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 6, 2021 with the City of Industry representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager Ihab Fakhreddine – Audit Senior (BCA Watson Rice LLP)

City of Industry representatives: Yamini Pathak – Finance Director Julie Hardt – Accountant I

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Industry representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Yamini Pathak – Finance Director Julie Hardt – Accountant I



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROPRIETARY TO RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROPRIETARY TO RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROPRIETARY TO RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROPRIETARY

655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203-1437 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777