

City of Irwindale Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 with Independent Auditor's Report





## <u>PAGE</u>

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	16 17 18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20 21
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

	<b>PAGE</b>
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix	29 31
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	38
EXIT CONFERENCE	39

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

## Independent Auditor's Report

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Irwindale, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020 and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Irwindale, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 17, 2021 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 17, 2021

		June 30		
		2021		2020
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	37,567	\$	65,648
Interest receivable	_	83	_	312
	Total assets \$ _	37,650	\$	65,960
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	<u> </u>	_\$	<u> </u>
Fund balance				
Restricted	=	37,650		65,960
	Total fund balance	37,650		65,960
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	37,650	\$	65,960

		 Years ended June 30		
		2021	2020	
Revenues				
Proposition A		\$ 30,300 \$	27,460	
Interest income		 131	1,958	
	Total revenues	 30,431	29,418	
Expenditures				
Various projects		 58,741	33,020	
	Total expenditures	 58,741	33,020	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditur	es	(28,310)	(3,602)	
Fund balance at beginning of year		 65,960	69,562	
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 37,650 \$	65,960	

		 2021					
Project Code	Project Name	 Metro Budget	_	Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2020 Actual
170	Bus Shelter Maintenance	\$ 29,820	\$	29,820	\$	- \$	-
170	Bus Shelter Maintenance	29,570		28,921		649	33,020
	Total expenditures	\$ 59,390	\$	58,741	\$	649 \$	33,020

Date _Acquired_		Description		Balance July 1, 2020	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None		 Total	\$ \$	\$ \$	\$ \$	-

	June 30		
	2021	2020	
ASSETS			
Cash and investments \$	8	\$ 860	
Interest receivable	15	133	
Total assets \$	23	\$ 993	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable \$	-	_\$	
Total liabilities	-		
Fund balance			
Restricted	23	993	
Total fund balance	23	993	
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	23	\$ 993	

			Years ended June 30		
		_	2021	2020	
Revenues					
Proposition C		\$	25,134 \$	22,778	
Interest income			26	835	
	Total revenues		25,160	23,613	
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures	_	26,130 26,130	<u>46,870</u> 46,870	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditu	res		(970)	(23,257)	
Fund balance at beginning of year			993	24,250	
Fund balance at end of year		\$	<u>23</u> \$	993	

Project Code	•		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2020 Actual	
715	FY 2020/21 Resurfacing Program \$ Total expenditures \$	<u>26,330</u> \$ <u>26,330</u> \$	26,130 \$ 26,130 \$	<u>200</u> \$ 200 \$	46,870 46,870	

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2020	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$	19,992 \$	- \$	- \$	19,992
		Total \$	19,992 \$	\$	- \$	19,992

		June 30		
		2021		2020
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	43,777	\$	44,343
Interest receivable		65		271
	Total assets \$	43,842	\$	44,614
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$	-
	Total liabilities	-		-
Fund balance				
Restricted		43,842		44,614
	Total fund balance	43,842		44,614
	Total liabilities and fund balance $\begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$	43,842	\$	44,614

		Years ended June 30		
		2021	2020	
Revenues				
Measure R	\$	18,876 \$	17,059	
Interest income		102	1,700	
	Total revenues	18,978	18,759	
Expenditures				
Various projects	_	19,750	35,150	
	Total expenditures	19,750	35,150	
Deficiency of revenues over expend	litures	(772)	(16,391)	
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	44,614	61,005	
Fund halance at and after an	•	10.010 <sup>¢</sup>	44.044	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>43,842</u> \$	44,614	

Project		Metro		Variance Positive	2020
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Negative)	Actual
715	FY 2020/2021 Resurfacing Program \$	19,750 \$	19,750 \$	s <u> </u>	35,150
	Total expenditures \$	19,750 \$	19,750 \$	S\$_	35,150

Date Acquired	Description	 Balance July 1, 2020	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$ 14,994	\$ - \$	- \$	14,994
	Total	\$ 14,994	\$ - \$	\$	14,994

		Ju	D	
		2021		2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	9	\$	719
Interest receivable		12		112
	Total assets \$	21		831
LIABILITIES AND FUND	BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	-
	Total liabilities	-		-
Fund balance				
Restricted		21		831
	Total fund balance	21		831
Total liabilit	ties and fund balance \$	21	\$	831

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2021	2020	
Revenues	¢	04 000 ¢	10 015	
Measure M Interest income	\$	21,388 \$ 22	19,215 703	
	Total revenues	21,410	19,918	
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects		22,220	39,500	
	Total expenditures	22,220	39,500	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures		(810)	(19,582)	
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	831	20,413	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>    21 </u> \$	831	

			2021					
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2020 Actual			
715	Street Repair and Maintenance \$ Total expenditures \$		<u>22,220</u> \$ 22,220\$		39,500 39,500			

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2020	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2021
N/A	None			\$	-	\$ - 3	6	\$-
			Total	\$_	-	\$ - 9	S <u> </u>	\$

			June 30	)
		2021		2020
	ASSETS			
Due from Metro	\$		\$	10,000
	Total assets \$		\$	10,000
<b>LIABILITIE</b> Liabilities Due to other fund	S AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities		\$	<u> </u>
Fund balance Restricted				-
	Total fund balance			-
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$		- \$	10,000

		Years end	led June 30
	_	2021	2020
<b>Revenues</b> Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$ Total revenues		\$ <u>10,000</u> <u>10,000</u>
<b>Expenditures</b> Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	-	<u> </u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_		
Fund balance at end of year	\$		\$

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Fund Accounting**

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent Proposition A and  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

## **Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

## Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by Metro and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

## Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2021 and 2020:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Metro.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's ACFR.

## NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

#### NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average guarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's ACFR for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	2021	2020
FY 2018/19 allocation	\$ -	\$ 5,000
FY 2019/20 allocation	 -	 5,000
	\$ -	\$ 10,000

#### NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the City has funds on reserves as follows:

	_	2021	2020
FY 2020/21 allocation	\$	5,000	\$ -
	\$	5,000	\$ -

For FY 2020/21, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2016/17 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2021. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2020/21.

#### NOTE 10 IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materially affect the June 30, 2021 Funds financial statements.

## NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events subsequent to June 30, 2021 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events were evaluated through November 17, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 17, 2021.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

reques & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 17, 2021

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

## Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### Report on Compliance

We have audited the City of Irwindale, California's (the City) compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Irwindale, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.





#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Carques & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 17, 2021

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and	
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds						
	1. Uses the State Controller's						
	Uniform System of Accounts and						
	Records or has established a						
	separate Proposition A and						
	Proposition C Local Transit						
	Assistance Account for Local	v					
	Return purposes.	Х					
	2. Revenues received including allocations, project generated						
	revenues and interest income was						
	properly credited to the Proposition						
	A and/or Proposition C Local						
	Return Account.	Х					
	3. Funds were expended with Metro's						
	approval and were not substituted						
	for property tax.	X X					
	4. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	5. Administrative expenses are within					There were no	
	the 20% cap.					administrative	
						expenses charged to	
						PALRF and PCLRF	
				Х		during FY 2020/21.	
	6. Expenditures that exceeded 25%						
	of approved project budget have						
	approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or						
	electronic equivalent.			х			
	7. Annual Project Update Report						
	(Form B) or electronic equivalent						
	was submitted on time.	Х					
	8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form						
	C) or electronic equivalent was						
	submitted on time.	Х					
	9. Pavement Management System						
	(PMS) is in place and being used						
	for Street Maintenance or						
	Improvement Projects						
	Expenditures.	Х					
	<ol> <li>Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.</li> </ol>	х					
	11. Where Proposition A funds were						
	given, loaned or exchanged by one						
	jurisdiction to another, the						
	receiving jurisdiction has credited						
	its Local Return Account with the						
	funds received.			Х			

	Compliance Requirements		omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	N/A	Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
	12. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects and elements.			x		
	13. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.			x		
	14. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			x		
	<ol> <li>Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.</li> </ol>			x		
	16. Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.	x				
	17. All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.	x				
	<ol> <li>Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.</li> </ol>	х				
	<ol> <li>Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.</li> </ol>	x				

	Compliance Poquirements		omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
В.						
	1. Funds were expended for					
	transportation purposes.	Х	ļ			
	2. Separate Measure R Local Return					
	Account was established.	Х				
	3. Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income wa					
	properly credited to the Measure					
	Local Return Account.	<u>X</u>				
	4. Funds were expended with Metro	s X				
	approval. 5. Funds were not substituted for	^	ł – – –			
	property tax and are in compliance	~				
	with the Maintenance of Effort.					
	6. Timely use of funds.	X X				
	<ol> <li>Administrative expenses are with</li> </ol>					There were no
	the 20% cap.					administrative
	uie 2070 cap.					expenses charged to
						MRLRF during FY
				Х		2020/21.
	8. Expenditure Plan (Form One or					
	electronic equivalent) was					
	submitted timely.	X				
	9. Annual Expenditure Report (Form	1				
	Two or electronic equivalent) was					
	submitted timely.	Х				
	10. Where funds expended were					
	reimbursable by other grants or					
	fund sources, the reimbursement					
	was credited to the Local Return					
	Account upon receipt of the					
	reimbursement.		ļ	Х		
	11. Where Measure R funds were					
	given, loaned or exchanged by or	ne				
	jurisdiction to another, the					
	receiving jurisdiction has credited					
	its Local Return Account with the funds received.			~		
	12. A separate account was			X		
	established for Capital reserve					
1	funds and Capital reserve was					
	approved by Metro.			Х		
-	13. Funds were used to augment, not	+				
1	supplant existing local revenues					
1	being used for transportation					
1	purposes unless there is a fund					
	shortfall.	Х				

Compliance Requirements		omplia	ince	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		No	N/A		
B. Measure R Local Return Fund					
14. Recreational transit form was					
submitted on time.			Х		
15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or					
gifts) were approved by Metro.			Х		
16. Accounting procedures, record					
keeping, and documentation are					
adequate.	Х				

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No N/A		Costs	management response.
C.	Measure M Local Return Fund					
	1. Funds were expended for	V				
	transportation purposes.	Х				
	2. Separate Measure M Local Return	V				
	Account was established.	Х				
	3. Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was					
	properly credited to the Measure M					
	Local Return Account.	Х				
	4. Funds were expended with Metro's	Λ				
	approval.	Х				
	5. Funds were not substituted for	Λ		ł – –		
	property tax and are in compliance					
	with the Maintenance of Effort.	Х				
	6. Timely use of funds.	X				
	7. Administrative expenses are within					There were no
	the 20% cap.					administrative
	·					expenses charged to
						MMLRF during FY
				Х		2020/21.
	8. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or					
	electronic equivalent) was					
	submitted timely.	Х				
	9. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two					
	or electronic equivalent) was					
	submitted timely.	Х				
	10. Where funds expended were					
	reimbursable by other grants or					
	fund sources, the reimbursement					
	was credited to the Local Return					
	Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			х		
	11. Where Measure M funds were			^		
	given, loaned or exchanged by one					
	jurisdiction to another, the					
	receiving jurisdiction has credited					
	its Local Return Account with the					
1	funds received.			Х		
	12. A separate account was			-		
	established for Capital reserve					
	funds and Capital reserve was					
	approved by Metro.			Х		
	13. Funds were used to augment, not					
	supplant existing local revenues					
	being used for transportation					
	purposes unless there is a fund					
	shortfall.	Х				

Compliance Requirements		omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and management response.
		No	N/A	Costs	
C. Measure M Local Return Fund					
14. Recreational transit form was					
submitted on time.			Х		
15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or					
gifts) were approved by Metro.			Х		
16. Accounting procedures, record					
keeping, and documentation are					
adequate.	Х				

	Compliance Requirements		omplia	ince	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
			No	N/A		
D.	Transportation Development Act Article					
	3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	2. Expenditures were incurred for					There were no TDA
	activities relating to pedestrian and					Article 3 expenditures in
	bicycle facilities and amenities.			Х		FY 2020/21.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on November 17, 2021 with the City of Irwindale representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Irwindale representatives: Eva Carreon – Director of Finance Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Irwindale representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Eva Carreon – Director of Finance Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROPRIETARY TO RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROPRIETARY TO RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROPRIETARY TO RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROPRIETARY

655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203-1437 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777