

City of Irwindale
Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017

and

Measure M Local Return Fund

As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2018 with Report of Independent Auditors





	PAGE
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4 5
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	8
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	9
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	12
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	13
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheet	16
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	17
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20 21
Supplementary Information:	22
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

City of Irwindale Table of Contents

COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	29 31
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	35
EXIT CONFERENCE	36







www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the accompanying financial statements of the City's Measure M Local Return Fund (the Fund) which comprise the Fund's balance sheet as of June 30, 2018, and the related statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.



An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund as of June 30, 2018 and 2017 and the Measure M Local Return Fund as of June 30, 2018, of the City of Irwindale, California, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Irwindale, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Varguer & Company LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 21, 2018 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Glendale, California November 21, 2018

		June 30		
		2018		2017
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	58,399	\$	47,676
Interest receivable		101		62
	Total assets \$	58,500	\$_	47,738
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	2,800 2,800	_\$_	1,400 1,400
	Total liabilities	2,000		1,400
Fund balance				
Restricted		55,700	_	46,338
	Total fund balance	55,700	_	46,338
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	58,500	\$	47,738

		Years ended June 3			
	_	2018	2017		
Revenues	_				
Proposition A	\$	26,394 \$	26,878		
Interest income		86_	100		
	Total revenues	26,480	26,978		
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	17,118 17,118	16,800 16,800		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		9,362	10,178		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	46,338	36,160		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	55,700 \$	46,338		

City of Irwindale Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

		2018								
				LACMTA Budget Actual			Variance Positive (Negative)	2017 Actual		
Code	Froject Name		Buuget		Actual		(Negative)	Actual		
160-02	Bus Shelter Improvements	\$	40,000	\$	-	\$	40,000 \$	-		
170-02	Bus Shelter Maintenance		16,800	_	17,118	_	(318)	16,800		
	Total expenditures	\$	56,800	\$	17,118	\$	39,682 \$	16,800		

City of Irwindale Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired		Description	Balance July 1, Description 2017 Additions Deletions					
	None		\$	-	\$_	\$		\$
			Total \$	-	- \$	- \$	- :	\$ -

		June 30		
		2018		2017
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	27,336	\$	770
Interest receivable		25		17
	Total assets \$	27,361	\$_	787
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	27,300 27,300	_\$_	<u>-</u> -
Fund balance				
Restricted		61		787
	Total fund balance	61		787
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	27,361	. \$ _	787

		Years ended	June 30
		2018	2017
Revenues Proposition C	\$	21,853 \$	22,334
Interest income	_	21	28
	Total revenues	21,874	22,362
Expenditures Various projects		22,600	41,000
	Total expenditures	22,600	41,000
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures		(726)	(18,638)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	787	19,425
Fund balance at end of year	\$	61_\$_	787

City of Irwindale Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

		2018					
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	_	2017 Actual
400-01	Left Turn Phasing/Intersection of Peck and Longden \$	-	\$	- \$	_	\$	21,000
450-02	Los Angeles Street Reconstruction	-		-	-		20,000
450-03	FY 2017/2018 Resurfacing Program	30,000		22,600	7,400		-
	Total expenditures \$	30,000	\$	22,600 \$	7,400	\$	41,000

City of Irwindale Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2017 Additions Deletions					Deletions	Balance June 30, 2018
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement		\$	19,992	\$	- \$	- \$	19,992
		Total	\$	19,992	\$	- \$	- \$	19,992

		Ju	ne 30)
	_	2018		2017
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	56,464	\$	40,013
Interest receivable		93		67
	Total assets \$ _	56,557	\$	40,080
LIABILITIE:	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Accounts payable	\$	15,015	\$	_
, ,	Total liabilities	15,015	· <u> </u>	-
Fund balance				
Restricted	_	41,542		40,080
	Total fund balance	41,542		40,080
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	56,557	\$	40,080

		Years ended June 30			
		2018	2017		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$	16,398	\$ 16,725		
Interest income		79	108		
	Total revenues	16,477	16,833		
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	15,015 15,015	16,500 16,500		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		1,462	333		
Fund balance at beginning of year		40,080	39,747		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	41,542	\$ 40,080		

City of Irwindale Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

Project Code	Project Name	_	LACMTA Budget	 Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	 2017 Actual
1.05	FY 2017/2018 Resurfacing Program	\$	16,500	\$ 15,015 \$	1,485	\$ -
1.05	Irwindale Ave Resurfacing Project		-	 	-	16,500
	Total expenditures	\$	16,500	\$ 15,015 \$	1,485	\$ 16,500

City of Irwindale Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2017	_	Additions		Deletions	Balance June 30, 2018
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$_	14,994 \$	B _	-	\$_	- \$	14,994
	Total	\$_	14,994 \$	}_ _	-	\$_	- \$	14,994

City of Irwindale Measure M Local Return Fund Balance Sheet June 30, 2018

ASSETS Cash and investments Interest receivable	\$ Total assets \$	15,168 12 15,180
LIABILITIES AND FUND B	ALANCE	
Accounts payable	\$ Total liabilities	15,170 15,170
Fund balance Restricted		10
	Total fund balance	10
Tota	al liabilities and fund balance \$	15,180

City of Irwindale Measure M Local Return Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Year ended June 30, 2018

Revenues Measure M Interest income	\$ Total revenues	14,860 10 14,870
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	14,860 14,860
Excess of revenues over expenditures		10
Fund balance at beginning of year		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	10

City of Irwindale Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018

Project	5	LACMTA	•	Variance Positive
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Negative)
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	16,670 \$	14,860 \$	1,810
	Total expenditures \$	16,670 \$	14,860 \$	1,810

City of Irwindale Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2017		Additions	_	Deletions	_	Balance June 30, 2018
	None			\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-
			Total	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	

		Ju	ıne 30	
		2018		2017
Due from LACMTA	ASSETS Total assets	· 	_\$ \$	15,000 15,000
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Due to other funds		\$ -	\$	15,000
	Total liabilities	-	-	15,000
Fund balance Restricted		-		_
	Total fund balance	-		-
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	\$	15,000

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2018	2017
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$	5,000 \$	15,000
, 44.5.0	Total revenues	5,000	15,000
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	5,000 5,000	15,000 15,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	- \$	-

City of Irwindale Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2018

						Totals to Date	;		
Project Description		Program Year		Allocations	_	Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:									
Irwindale Ave/Gladstone-Arrow Resurfacing Project	Totals	2018	\$ \$	5,000 5,000	- '	5,000 5,000	\$	<u>-</u>	Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of year	ar								
Fund balance at end of year							\$		

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2018 and 2017:

Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are
externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained
due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the
Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

		2018	2017
FY 2014/15 reserve	\$	-	\$ 5,000
FY 2015/16 reserve		-	5,000
FY 2016.17 reserve		-	5,000
FY 2017/18 allocation	_	5,000	 -
	\$	5,000	\$ 15,000

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2018 and 2017, the City has no funds on reserve.

For FY 2017/18, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2013/14 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2018. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2017/18.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 21, 2018, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.





www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.


Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Glendale, California November 21, 2018

Vacques & Company LLP







www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Irwindale, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2018.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

reg 4 Company LLP

Glendale, California November 21, 2018

	Compliance Requirements		Complia		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
	Dramasitian A and Dramasitian C	Yes	No	N/A		- management responses
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
	Uses the State Controller's					
	Uniform System of Accounts					
	and Records.	Χ				
	Timely use of funds.	X				
	Funds expended were	1				
	approved and have not been					
	substituted for property tax.	Х				
	Expenditures that exceeded					
	25% of approved project budget					
	have approved amended					
	Project Description Form (Form					
	A)	Х				
	5. Administrative expenses are					There were no
	within the 20% cap of the total					administrative
	annual Local Return					expenses charged to
	Expenditures.			X		the LR funds.
	All on-going and carryover					
	projects were reported in Form					
	В.	Х				
	7. Annual Project Summary					
	Report (Form B) was submitted					
	timely.	Х				
	8. Annual Expenditure Report	V				
	(Form C) was submitted timely.	X				
	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	Х				
	10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are					
	adequate.	Х				
	11. Pavement Management System					
	(PMS) in place and being used					
	for Street Maintenance or					
	Improvement Projects					
	Expenditures.	Х				
	12. Local Return Account is					
	credited for reimbursable					
	expenditures.			Х		
	13. Self-Certification was completed					
	and submitted for Intelligent					
	Transportation Systems					
	projects or elements.			Х		
	14. Assurances and					
	Understandings form was on					
	file.	Х				
	15. Recreational Transit Form was					
	submitted timely.			X		

		Compliance Requirements	In C	Complia	ınce	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		•	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
B.		easure R Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Χ				
	2.	5 ,					
		not supplant, existing local					
		revenues being used for					
		transportation purposes unless					
		there is a funding shortfall.	X				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	X				
	4.						
		Return Account was					
		established.	X				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income					
		was properly credited to the					
		Measure R Local Return					
		Account.	Χ				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Χ				
	7.						
		was submitted timely.	Χ				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two)					
		was submitted timely.	X				
		Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10.	Administrative expenses are					There were no
		within the 20% cap.					administrative
					\ \ \		expenses charged to
-	44	Found and an analysis of the second s			Х		the MRLRF.
		Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			X		

Compliance Requirements	In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C. Measure M Local Return Fund					
 Funds were expended for 					
transportation purposes.	Х				
Funds were used to augment,					
not supplant, existing local					
revenues being used for					
transportation purposes unless					
there is a fund shortfall.	Χ				
Signed Assurances and					
Understandings on file.	Х				
4. Separate Measure M Local					
Return Account was					
established.	Х				
5. Revenues received including					
allocations, project generated					
revenues and interest income					
was properly credited to the					
Measure M Local Return					
Account.	Х				
6. Funds were expended with					
LACMTA's approval.	Х				
7. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)					
was submitted timely.	Х				
8. Expenditure Report (Form					
M-Two) was submitted timely.	Х				
9. Timely use of funds.	X				
10. Administrative expenses are					There were no
within the 20% cap.					administrative
					expenses charged to
			Х		the MMLRF.
11. Fund exchanges were approved					1
by LACMTA.			X		
12. A separate account was					
established for Capital reserve					
funds and Capital reserve was					
approved by LACMTA.			Х		
13. Recreational transit form was					
submitted timely.			Х		
oublineou enflory.	L	L	^	l	L

Compliance Requirements	In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
D. Transportation Development Act					
Article 3 Fund					
 Timely use of funds. 	X				
Expenditures were incurred for					
activities relating to pedestrian					
and bicycle facilities and					
amenities.	X				



There were no findings noted.



An exit conference was held on November 21, 2018 with the City of Irwindale representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative:

Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Irwindale representatives:

Eva Carreon – Director of Finance

Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Irwindale representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Eva Carreon – Director of Finance Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.