

City of Westlake Village Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017

and

Measure M Local Return Fund

As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2018 with Report of Independent Auditors






	<u>PAGE</u>
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	4 5
Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	0
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	8 9
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12 13
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheet Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	18
Schedule of Capital Assets	19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	20 21
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	28

COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	30 32
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	36
EXIT CONFERENCE	38





www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Westlake Village, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Westlake Village, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the accompanying financial statements of the City's Measure M Local Return Fund (the Fund) which comprise the Fund's balance sheet as of June 30, 2018, and the related statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.



An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund as of June 30, 2018 and 2017 and the Measure M Local Return Fund as of June 30, 2018, of the City of Westlake Village, California, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Westlake Village, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Varguer & Company LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 21, 2018 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Glendale, California November 21, 2018

		June 30			
		2018		2017	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	21,158	\$	13,648	
Total asset	s \$ _	21,158	\$	13,648	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	21,158	\$	13,648	
Total liabilitie	s _	21,158	· <u> </u>	13,648	
Fund balance Restricted		-		-	
Total fund balance	е	-		-	
Total liabilities and fund balanc	e \$ _	21,158	\$	13,648	

		Years end	ed June 30
		2018	2017
Revenues		_	
Proposition A	\$	156,389	•
Project generated revenues		41,334	39,862
Interest income		313	144
	Total revenues	198,036	193,703
Expenditures			
Local bus program		198,036	193,703
	Total expenditures	198,036	193,703
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	<u>-</u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$		S

City of Westlake Village Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

		2018							
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget			Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2017 Actual	
110-03	Local Bus Program	\$	155,500	\$	198,036	\$	(42,536) * \$	193,703	
	Total expenditures	\$	155,500	\$	198,036	\$	(42,536) \$	193,703	

^{*} The actual variance after considering other funding sources is calculated as follows:

LACMTA budget	\$	155,500
Total expenditures	\$ 198,036	
Less: Project generated revenues	(41,334)	
PALRF expenditures	 	156,702
Actual Variance Positive (Negative)	\$	(1,202)

City of Westlake Village Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date _Acquired_		Description		Balance July 1, 2017	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2018
	None		\$	- \$	- 9	- 9	-
			Total \$	- \$	- 9	- 9	-

			June 30			
			2018		2017	
Oach and investments	ASSETS	•	22.225	Φ	04.050	
Cash and investments		\$ _	20,225	- \$ _	21,250	
	Total assets \$	\$ ₌	20,225	\$_	21,250	
LIABILITIE Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	\$ _	20,225 20,225	_\$_ 	21,250 21,250	
Fund balance Restricted			_		_	
Nostricted	Total fund balance	_	<u> </u>		-	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	\$ _	20,225	\$	21,250	

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2018	2017
Revenues			
Proposition C	\$	129,227 \$	127,975
Interest income		562	305
Project generated revenues - taxi fares		9,576	10,965
	Total revenues	139,365	139,245
Expenditures			
Various projects		139,365	139,245
	Total expenditures	139,365	139,245
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	<u> </u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>-</u> \$	

City of Westlake Village Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

Project Code	Project Name	 LACMTA Budget	_	Actual	 Variance Positive (Negative)	2017 Actual
110-03	Local Bus Program	\$ 59,700	\$	63,526	\$ (3,826) \$	57,332
240-03	Senior Taxi Program	69,300		75,839	(6,539) *	81,913
	Total expenditures	\$ 129,000	\$	139,365	\$ (10,365) \$	139,245

^{*}The actual variance after considering other funding sources is calculated as follows:

LACMTA budget	\$	69,300
Total expenditures	\$ 75,839	
Less: Project generated revenues - taxi fares	(9,576)	
PCLRF expenditures	 	66,263
Actual Variance Positive (Negative)	\$	3,037

City of Westlake Village Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2017	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2018
	None			\$	\$	\$\$	
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$\$	

			June 3	0
		201	8	2017
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments		\$	- \$	-
	Total assets	\$	\$	
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable		\$	- \$	-
	Total liabilities		<u> </u>	-
Fund balance				
Restricted			-	_
	Total fund balance			
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	\$	

		Years en	ded	June 30
	_	2018		2017
Revenues	^	07.450	φ	05 620
Measure R Interest income	\$	97,159 375	Ф	95,639 -
	Total revenues	97,534	_	95,639
Expenditures				
Street repair and maintenance		97,534		95,639
	Total expenditures _	97,534		95,639
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-		-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_			
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	-	\$_	

City of Westlake Village Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

					2018			
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	_	Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2017 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance	\$_	96,700	· —	97,534	- T -	(834) \$	95,639
	Total expenditure	es \$ _	96,700	\$_	97,534	\$_	<u>(834)</u> \$	95,639

City of Westlake Village Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2017		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2018
	None			\$	- \$	-	\$ - \$	-
			Total	\$	- \$	-	\$ - \$	-

City of Westlake Village Measure M Local Return Fund Balance Sheet June 30, 2018

ASSI	ETS	
Cash and investments	\$	-
	Total assets \$	-
LIABILITIES AND	FUND BALANCE	
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	-
, ,	Total liabilities	-
Fund balance		
Restricted		-
	Total fund balance	-
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	-

City of Westlake Village Measure M Local Return Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Year ended June 30, 2018

Revenues Measure M Interest income	\$	88,049 238
Expenditures Street repair and maintenance	revenues	88,287 88,287
Excess of revenues over expenditures	enditures	88,287
Fund balance at beginning of year		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	-

City of Westlake Village Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	98,800 \$	88,287 \$	10,513
	Total expenditures \$	98,800 \$	88,287 \$	10,513

City of Westlake Village Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2017		Additions	 Deletions	Balance June 30, 2018
	None			\$	- \$	-	\$ - :	\$ -
			Total	\$	- \$	-	\$ - :	\$ -

		Ju	ne 3	0
		2018		2017
ASSETS Due from LACMTA	\$	456	\$	5,595
То	tal assets \$	456	\$	5,595
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Cash overdraft Total	\$ liabilities	456 456	\$ <u></u>	5,595 5,595
Fund balance				
Restricted		-		
	d balance	-		
Total liabilities and fun	d balance \$	456	\$	5,595

		Years end	ed June 30
	_	2018	2017
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$	5,475	5,595
Atticle 3	Ψ Total revenues	5,475 5	5,595
Expenditures Curb and sidewalk repair	Total expenditures	5,475 5,475	5,595 5,595
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_		
Fund balance at end of year	\$		§

City of Westlake Village Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2018

						Totals to Date		
Project Description		Program Year) _	Allocations	_	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations: Curb and Sidewalk Repair	Totals	2018	\$	5,475 5,475	- :	·	-	Completed
Fund balance at beginning of year	r							
Fund balance at end of year						\$		

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2018 and 2017:

Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are
externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained
due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the
Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES

Project generated revenues under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

	2018	2017
Bus fares	\$ 3,635	\$ 2,761
Bus pass sales	37,699	37,101
	\$ 41,334	\$ 39,862

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:


	2018	2017
FY 2016/17 allocation	\$ -	\$ 5,595
FY 2017/18 allocation	 5,475	-
	\$ 5,475	\$ 5,595

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2018 and 2017, the City did not have TDA Article 3 Funds left on reserve.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 21, 2018, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.





www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Westlake Village, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Westlake Village, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.


Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

eg 4 Company LLP

Glendale, California November 21, 2018







www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Westlake Village, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Westlake Village, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Westlake Village, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2018.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements, which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings #2018-001 and #2018-002. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

rg 4 Company LLP

Glendale, California November 21, 2018

Compliance Benedicements		Compli	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
Uses the State Controller's					
Uniform System of Accounts					
and Records.	X				
Timely use of funds.	Х				
Funds expended were					
approved and have not been					
substituted for property tax.	X				
4. Expenditures that exceeded					
25% of approved project budget have approved amended					
Project Description Form (Form					
A)	X				
5. Administrative expenses are	 ^				There were no
within the 20% cap of the total					administrative
annual Local Return					expenses charged to
Expenditures.			Х		the LR funds.
6. All on-going and carryover					
projects were reported in Form					
B.	Х				
7. Annual Project Summary					
Report (Form B) was submitted					
timely.		Х			See Finding #2018-001
8. Annual Expenditure Report					
(Form C) was submitted timely.	X				
9. Cash or cash equivalents are					
maintained.	X				
10. Accounting procedures, record					
keeping and documentation are	X				
adequate. 11. Pavement Management System	_				
(PMS) in place and being used					
for Street Maintenance or					
Improvement Projects					
Expenditures.			X		
12. Local Return Account is			1		
credited for reimbursable					
expenditures.			Х		
13. Self-Certification was completed					
and submitted for Intelligent					
Transportation Systems					
projects or elements.			X		
14. Assurances and					
Understandings form was on					
file.	X				
15. Recreational Transit Form was					
submitted timely.			X		

	Compliance Benedicamente	In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
B.	Measure R Local Return Fund					
	 Funds were expended for 					
	transportation purposes.	Χ				
	Funds were used to augment,					
	not supplant, existing local					
	revenues being used for					
	transportation purposes unless					
	there is a funding shortfall.	Χ				
	Signed Assurances and					
	Understandings on file.	Χ				
	4. Separate Measure R Local					
	Return Account was					
	established.	Χ				
	5. Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income					
	was properly credited to the					
	Measure R Local Return					
	Account.	Χ				
	6. Funds were expended with					
	LACMTA's approval.	Χ				
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)		V			Car Finding #2040 000
	was submitted timely.		Х			See Finding #2018-002
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)	V				
	was submitted timely.	X				
	9. Timely use of funds.					
	10. Administrative expenses are					
	within the 20% cap.			Х		
	Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	12. A separate account was					
	established for Capital reserve					
	funds and Capital reserve was					
	approved by LACMTA.			X		
	13. Recreational transit form was					
	submitted timely.			X		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
	·	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C.	Measure M Local Return Fund					
	 Funds were expended for 					
	transportation purposes.	Χ				
	2. Funds were used to augment,					
	not supplant, existing local					
	revenues being used for					
	transportation purposes unless	V				
	there is a fund shortfall.	Χ				
	Signed Assurances and Independings on file	~				
	Understandings on file. 4. Separate Measure M Local	Χ				
	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was					
	established.	Х				
	Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income					
	was properly credited to the					
	Measure M Local Return					
	Account.	Χ				
	6. Funds were expended with					
	LACMTA's approval.	Χ				
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form					
	M-One) was submitted timely.	Χ				
	Expenditure Report (Form					
	M-Two) was submitted timely.	Χ				
	Timely use of funds.	Χ				
	10. Administrative expenses are					
	within the 20% cap.			X		
	11. Fund exchanges were					
	approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	12. A separate account was					
	established for Capital reserve					
	funds and Capital reserve was					
-	approved by LACMTA. 13. Recreational transit form was			Х		
				Х		
	submitted timely.			_ ^		

		In Compliance			Questione	If no, provide details and
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	d Costs	management response.
D.	Transportation Development Act					
	Article 3 Fund					
	 Timely use of funds. 	X				
	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian					
	and bicycle facilities and amenities.	Х				




PALRF and PCLRF: Finding #2018-001

Compliance Reference	Section I(C) of the Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines states that, "Jurisdictions shall submit on or before August 1 of each fiscal year an Annual Project Update (Form B) to provide current information on all approved on-going and carryover LR projects. LACMTA will review and accept or return the report for changes. Cities shall report the anticipated expenditure cash flow amounts for the covered fiscal year."
Condition	The City submitted its Form B on August 4, 2017, 3 days after the due date of August 1.
Cause	The person responsible for submitting the Form B for FY 2017-18 was administering the Local Return program for the first time, and was unfamiliar with the August 1 st submission deadline.
Effect	The City missed its deadline of August 1 for the submission of Form B.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish and document procedures to ensure that all reporting deadlines are met.
Management's Response	The City concurs with the findings, and has taken steps to ensure that all required forms will be submitted by the relevant deadlines. Specifically, the Finance Director is now responsible for submitting all Local Return program forms and documentation, which was not the case in FY 2017-18.

MRLRF: Finding #2018-002

Compliance Reference	Section B (II) (1) of the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines states that, "To maintain eligibility and meet Measure R LR program compliance requirements, jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan (Form One) annually by August 1st of each year".
Condition	The City submitted its Expenditure Plan (Form One) on August 4, 2017, 3 days after the due date set under the Guidelines.
Cause	The person responsible for submitting the Form One for FY 2017-18 was administering the Local Return program for the first time, and was unfamiliar with the August 1st submission deadline.
Effect	The City missed its deadline of August 1 for the submission of Form One.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish and document procedures to ensure that all reporting deadlines are met.
Management's Response	The City concurs with the findings, and has taken steps to ensure that all required forms will be submitted by the relevant deadlines. Specifically, the Finance Director is now responsible for submitting all Local Return program forms and documentation, which was not the case in FY 2017-18.



An exit conference was held on November 21, 2018 with the City of Westlake Village representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative:

Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Westlake Village representative:

Daniel Jordan – Director of Finance

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed instances of noncompliance with LACMTA Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Westlake Village representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Daniel Jordan - Director of Finance



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSMTM logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.