



 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:

“If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:


” ” “If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:


“If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:


“If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:


” ” “If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:


” ” “If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:

“If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:

” ” “If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:

“If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”


Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode

 <https://archive.kpcc.org/blogs/environment/2013/02/01/12335/ladwp-launches-feed-tariff-progra...>

 Southern California Public Radio

 2 min read

LADWP launches solar buyback program (finally!)

The time for studies, public hearings, pilot projects, public hearings, workshops, and more public hearings is over. [The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power begins taking applications](#) for its “feed-in tariff” program today.

“Feed-in tariff” is a completely unhelpful translation of a German phrase, as I reported in an [early explainer of the program](#). The program allows property owners who can develop a moderately-sized renewable project in the city’s territory to sell the energy from such a project back to the utility. Technically, that includes solar, wind, or geothermal energy; practically, we’re looking at solar panels on big box stores and over parking lots.

A Luskin Center for Public Policy/UCLA study found that feed-in tariffs [could lower the overall cost of switching LA from coal to renewables](#). As I wrote almost three years ago:

“If it focuses only on residential solar that’s going to be very very expensive. if it focuses only on municipally installed in-basin solar, that’s going to be the second most expensive,” [J.R.] DeShazo says. “The cheapest is going to be allow large scale commercial solar installations.”

Getting to this day has been a rocky process. In the years since then, it moved along very slowly, [hampered at times by the lack of a ratepayer advocate](#). More recently, [the approval given by the Board of Water and Power Commissioners](#) drew skepticism from the current ratepayer advocate, Fred Pickel...his skepticism [raised questions for the LA city council, which after a brief debate let it go forward](#).

It’s likely the solar feed-in tariff would expand under the next mayor. All of the candidates are generally supportive of solar energy development. Council members Jan Perry and [Eric Garcetti](#) [have both come out in favor of 600 MW feed-in tariff programs](#).

Generated with Reader Mode