TABLE OF CONTENTS

S-0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
S-1 Final EIS/EIR Purpose and Intended Uses 1
S-2 Environmental Review Process 2
S-3 History, Purpose, and Need for the Project 4
S-4 What is Light Rail 9
S-5 The Locally Preferred Alternative 12
S-6 Design Options 30
S-7 Ridership Forecast 38
S-8 Financial Analysis 39
S-9 Resolution of Project Issues 41
S-10 Summary of Station Areas 56

1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED 1-1
1.1 Overview of Purpose and Need 1-1
1.1.1 Regional Transit Context 1-1
1.1.2 Mid-City/Westside Study Area 1-3
1.1.3 Mid-City/Wilshire and Mid-City/Exposition Transit Corridors 1-3
1.2 The Mobility Problem 1-5
1.2.1 Regional Performance Indicators 1-5
1.2.2 Major Themes Supporting Transit Need in the Study Area 1-6

2.0 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED 2-1
2.1 Background and Planning Context 2.1-1
2.1.1 Original Metro Red Line Studies (1983-1988) 2.1-1
2.1.2 Early Systems Planning Studies (1989-1990) 2.1-1
2.1.3 Metro Red Line Mid-City Extension Studies (1990-1998) 2.1-4
2.1.4 Early Planning Studies for the Exposition Right-of-Way (1992-1994) . . . 2.1-6
2.1.5 Metro Rapid and Other Bus Service Improvement Studies (1998-2002) . 2.1-6
2.2 Re-Evaluation Studies for Mid-City/Westside Transit Improvements (1998-2000) 2.2-1
2.2.1 Metro Restructuring Plan 2.2-1
2.2.2 SCAG West Los Angeles Transit Corridor Technical Report (August 1998) 2.2-1
2.2.3 Regional Transit Alternatives Analysis (November 1998) 2.2-3
2.2.4 Proposition A Ballot Initiative (Subway Funding Prohibition) 2.2-3
2.2.5 Mid-City/Westside Re-Evaluation/Major Investment Study (1999-2000) 2.2-3
2.2.5.1 MIS Alternatives 2.2-4
2.2.5.2 Metro Board Action on MIS Study (February-March, 2000) 2.2-4
2.2.6 Adoption of the Mid-City/Westside Transit Corridors into Regional Plans 2.2-6
2.2.6.1 Regional Transportation Plan (2004) 2.2-6
2.2.6.2 Metro Long Range Transportation Plan (2001) 2.2-6
2.3 Los Angeles Mid-City/Westside Transit Corridor Draft EIS/EIR (2000-2001) . . . 2.3-1
2.3.1 Draft EIS/EIR Alternatives 2.3-1
2.3.2 Selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) 2.3-3
2.4 Description of LRT Build Alternative Considered in this Final EIS/EIR 2.4-1
2.4.1 Initial Screening 2.4-1
2.4.1.1 Screening Methodology 2.4-2
2.4.1.2 Screening Studies 2.4-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

2.4.1.3 MTA Board Actions (February 2000, June 2001) 2.4-4
2.4.2 Locally Preferred Alternative 2.4-4
2.4.2.1 Components of the Locally Preferred Alternative 2.4-10
2.4.2.1.1 Exposition Transit Parkway 2.4-10
2.4.2.1.1a Route Alignment and Guideways 2.4-12
2.4.2.1.1b Stations 2.4-27
2.4.2.1.1c Parking Facilities 2.4-44
2.4.2.1.1d Bikeway and Bikeway Facilities 2.4-45
2.4.2.1.1e Landscaping, Public Art and Other Improvements . . 2.4-53
2.4.3 Operations and Maintenance Facility 2.4-67
2.4.3.1 The Existing Division 11 Operations and Maintenance Facility 2.4-67
2.4.3.2 Selected Site for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Layover and Maintenance 2.4-69
2.4.3.3 Mid-Day Layover Site 2.4-69
2.4.4 Construction Scenario 2.4-72
2.4.4.1 No Operation Construction Option 2.4-72
2.4.4.2 Partial Operation Construction Option 2.4-72
2.5 Other Alternatives Evaluated That May Reduce Impacts 2.5-1
2.6 Uses of this Final EIS/EIR Document - Selection of Locally Preferred Alternative for Implementation 2.6-1
2.7 Locally Preferred Alternative as Identified by the Metro Staff 2.7-1
2.8 Role of the Exposition Metro Line Construction Authority 2.8-1

3.0 TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS AND MITIGATION 3-1
3.1 Transit 3.1-1
3.1.1 Affected Environment 3.1-1
3.1.2 Transit Operations Concept 3.1-1
3.1.3 Impact Assessment 3.1-6
3.1.4 Mitigation Measures 3.1-9
3.1.5 CEQA Determination 3.1-9
3.2 Traffic 3.2-1
3.2.1 Regional Transportation 3.2-1
3.2.1.1 Overview 3.2-1
3.2.1.2 Freeway Network 3.2-3
3.2.1.3 Arterial Network 3.2-6
3.2.1.4 Impact on Regional Travel Patterns 3.2-7
3.2.1.5 Impact on Freeways 3.2-10
3.2.1.6 Mitigation Measures 3.2-12
3.2.2 Downtown Connection Subareas 3.2-13
3.2.2.1 Affected Environment 3.2-13
3.2.2.1.1 Study Intersections and Baseline Levels of Service 3.2-13
3.2.2.2 Impact Assessment 3.2-17
3.2.2.3 Mitigation Measures 3.2-24
3.2.3 Mid-Corridor Subarea 3.2-25
3.2.3.1 Affected Environment 3.2-25
3.2.3.1.1 Study Intersections and Baseline Levels of Service 3.2-13
3.2.3.2 Impact Assessment 3.2-29
3.2.3.3 Mitigation Measures 3.2-34
3.2.4 West End Subarea 3.2-35

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

3.2.4.1 Affected Environment 3.2-35
3.2.4.2 Impact Assessment 3.2-39
3.2.4.3 Mitigation Measures 3.2-60
3.2.5 Other Traffic and Rail Operations Considerations 3.2-64
3.2.5.1 Rail Service Reliability 3.2-64
3.2.5.2 Neighborhood Traffic Circulation and Operational Impacts . . . 3.2-66
3.2.5.3 Impacts of Special Events Street Closures on Mid-City/ Exposition LRT Operations 3.2-68
3.2.5.4 Mitigation Measures 3.2-69
3.2.6 CEQA Determination 3.2-70
3.3 Parking 3.3-1
3.3.1 Affected Environment 3.3-1
3.3.1.1 Off-Street Parking 3.3-1
3.3.1.2 On-Street Parking 3.3-2
3.3.2 Impact Assessment 3.3-6
3.3.2.1 Loss of Off-Street Parking 3.3-6
3.3.2.2 Loss of On-Street Parking 3.3-6
3.3.2.3 Addition of Station Area Parking 3.3-9
3.3.3 Mitigation Measures 3.3-11
3.3.4 CEQA Determination 3.3-12

4.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 4-1
4.1 Land Use/Neighborhoods 4.1-1
4.1.1 Affected Environment 4.1-1
4.1.1.1 Existing Land Use 4.1-3
4.1.1.2 Regional Plans 4.1-5
4.1.1.3 Plans and Policies Applicable to the Locally Preferred Alternative 4.1-5
4.1.1.4 Plans and Polices Applicable to the Proposed Projects Segment 4.1-8
4.1.2 Impact Assessment 4.1-11
4.1.2.1 Regional Land Use 4.1-12
4.1.2.2 Local Land Use 4.1-15
4.1.3 Mitigation Measures 4.1-19
4.1.4 CEQA Determination 4.1-19
4.2 Land Acquisition, Displacement and Relocation 4.2-1
4.2.1 Affected Environment 4.2-1
4.2.2 Impact Assessment 4.2-2
4.2.2.1 Acquisition of Property 4.2-2
4.2.2.2 ROW Leases 4.2-30
4.2.2.3 ROW Licenses 4.2-31
4.2.3 Mitigation Measures 4.2-32
4.2.4 CEQA Determination 4.2-32
4.3 Equity and Environmental Justice Considerations 4.3-1
4.3.1 Affected Environment 4.3-1
4.3.1.1 Los Angeles County 4.3-1
4.3.1.2 Project Study Area 4.3-1
4.3.1.3 Station Areas 4.3-2
4.3.2 Impact Assessment 4.3-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

4.3.2.1 Effects on Local Businesses 4.3-6
4.3.2.2 Effects on Minority and Low-Income Populations 4.3-7
4.3.3 Mitigation Measures 4.3-9
4.3.4 CEQA Determination 4.3-9
4.4 Visual Quality 4.4-1
4.4.1 Affected Environment 4.4-1
4.4.1.1 Visual Context 4.4-1
4.4.2 Impact Assessment 4.4-18
4.4.3 Mitigation Measures 4.4-42
4.4.4 CEQA Determination 4.4-49
4.5 Air Quality 4.5-1
4.5.1 Affected Environment 4.5-1
4.5.1.1 Pollutants and Effects 4.5-1
4.5.1.2 Regulatory Setting 4.5-2
4.5.1.3 Background Carbon Monoxide Concentrations 4.5-10
4.5.1.4 Future Baseline Air Quality 4.5-11
4.5.2 Impact Assessment 4.5-11
4.5.2.1 Regional Emissions 4.5-12
4.5.2.2 CO Hotspot Analysis 4.5-14
4.5.2.3 Conformity Analysis 4.5-16
4.5.3 Mitigation Measures 4.5-19
4.5.4 CEQA Determination 4.5-19
4.6 Noise and Vibration 4.6-1
4.6.1 Affected Environment 4.6-1
4.6.2 Impact Assessment 4.6-5
4.6.2.1 Air-Borne Noise from Light Rail Vehicles 4.6-14
4.6.2.2 Audible Warning Signal Noise 4.6-17
4.6.2.3 Special Trackwork Noise Impacts 4.6-21
4.6.2.4 Ground-Borne Vibrations and Noise from Train Operation . . . 4.6-26
4.6.2.5 Special Trackwork Vibration Impacts 4.6-29
4.6.2.6 Ancillary Equipment 4.6-31
4.6.2.7 Maintenance Yards 4.6-32
4.6.3 Mitigation Measures 4.6-32
4.6.3.1 Air-Borne Noise from Light Rail Vehicles 4.6-32
4.6.3.2 Grade Crossing Audible Warning Devices 4.6-33
4.6.3.3 Special Trackwork Noise 4.6.33
4.6.3.4 Ground-borne Vibration Mitigation Measures 4.6-34
4.6.3.5 Special Trackwork Vibration 4.6-34
4.6.4 CEQA Determination 4.6-34
4.7 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity 4.7-1
4.7.1 Affected Environment 4.7-1
4.7.1.1 Regulatory Framework 4.7-1
4.7.1.2 Active and Potentially Active Faults 4.7-1
4.7.1.3 Alquist-Priolo Fault Hazard Zones 4.7-2
4.7.1.4 Liquefaction Potential and Other Soil Considerations 4.7-2
4.7.1.5 Other Subsurface Conditions 4.7-6
4.7.2 Impact Assessment 4.7-6
4.7.2.1 Active of Potentially Active Faults 4.7-6
4.7.2.2 Liquefaction and Expansive Soils 4.7-8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

4.7.2.3 Other Subsurface Conditions 4.7-9
4.7.3 Mitigation Measures 4.7-9
4.7.4 CEQA Determination 4.7-10
4.8 Exposure to Hazardous Substances 4.8-1
4.8.1 Affected Environment 4.8-1
4.8.1.1 Soil and Water Contaminants 4.8-1
4.8.1.2 Electro-Magnetic Radiation 4.8-2
4.8.2 Impact Assessment 4.8-2
4.8.2.1 Construction 4.8-3
4.8.2.2 Operation 4.8-4
4.8.3 Mitigation Measures 4.8-5
4.8.4 CEQA Determination 4.8-6
4.9 Water Resources 4.9-1
4.9.1 Affected Environment 4.9-1
4.9.1.1 Executive Order Pertaining to Flood Plans 4.9-1
4.9.1.2 Municipal Water Study 4.9-1
4.9.1.3 Flooding 4.9-1
4.9.1.4 Local Surface Water Bodies 4.9-1
4.9.1.5 Groundwater 4.9-2
4.9.1.6 Local Drainage Patterns 4.9-2
4.9.1.7 Water Quality 4.9-3
4.9.1.8 Federal Regulatory Framework 4.9-3
4.9.1.9 Regional Regulatory Framework 4.9-4
4.9.2 Impact Assessment 4.9-4
4.9.2.1 Water Quality 4.9-4
4.9.2.2 Sewer Systems 4.9-5
4.9.2.3 Storm Water Runoff 4.9-5
4.9.2.4 Flooding 4.9-6
4.9.2.5 Groundwater Resources 4.9-8
4.9.3 Mitigation Measures 4.9-9
4.9.4 CEQA Determination 4.9-9
4.10 Biological Resources 4.10-1
4.10.1 Affected Environment 4.10-1
4.10.2 Impact Assessment 4.10-3
4.10.2.1 Wetlands 4.10-4
4.10.2.2 Sensitive Species and Habitat 4.10-4
4.10.3 Mitigation Measures 4.10-5
4.10.4 CEQA Determination 4.10-7
4.11 Energy Resources 4.11-1
4.11.1 Affected Environment 4.11-1
4.11.2 Impact Assessment 4.11-1
4.11.2.1 Changes in Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled 4.11-2
4.11.2.2 Energy Consumption 4.11-3
4.11.3 Mitigation Measures 4.11-5
4.11.4 CEQA Determination 4.11-5
4.12 Safety and Security 4.12-1
4.12.1 Affected Environment 4.12-1
4.12.1.1 Police Service Areas 4.12-1
4.12.1.2 Metro Transit Policing Through Los Angeles County

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Sheriff's Department 4.12-3
4.12.2 Impact Assessment 4.12-4
4.12.2.1 Pedestrian Safety 4.12-4
4.12.2.2 Motorist Safety 4.12-12
4.12.2.3 Security 4.12-18
4.12.3 Mitigation Measures 4.12-19
4.12.4 CEQA Determination 4.12-19
4.12.4.1 Safety 4.12-20
4.12.4.2 Security 4.12-20
4.13 Historic, Archaeological & Paleontological Resources 4.13-1
4.13.1 Affected Environment 4.13-1
4.13.1.1 Paleontological Resources 4.13-1
4.13.1.2 Archeological Resources 4.13-1
4.13.1.3 Historic Resources 4.13-2
4.13.2 Impact Assessment 4.13-8
4.13.2.1 Paleotological Resources 4.13-8
4.13.2.2 Archeological Resources 4.13-9
4.13.2.3 Historic Resources 4.13-10
4.13.2.4 SHPO Coordination and Memorandum of Agreement 4.13-17
4.13.3 Mitigation Measures 4.13-18
4.13.3.1 Paleontological Resources 4.13-18
4.13.3.2 Archeological and Historic Resources 4.13-18
4.13.4 CEQA Determination 4.13-19
4.14 Parklands and Community Facilities 4.14-1
4.14.1 Affected Environment 4.14-1
4.14.2 Impact Assessment 4.14-5
4.14.2.1 Parkland 4.14-5
4.14.2.2 Access to Community Facilities 4.14-6
4.14.2.3 Parking for Community Facilities 4.14-9
4.14.3 Mitigation Measures 4.14-10
4.14.4 CEQA Determination 4.14-10
4.14.4.1 Parkland 4.14-11
4.14.4.2 Community Facilities 4.14-11
4.15 Construction Impacts 4.15-1
4.15.1 Affected Environment 4.15-1
4.15.1.1 Construction Methods 4.15-1
4.15.1.2 Phased Implementation 4.15-2
4.15.1.3 Construction Elements 4.15-4
4.15.1.3.1 Demolition of Existing Roadway and Buildings 4.15-4
4.15.1.3.2 Utility Relocation 4.15-4
4.15.1.3.3 Rail Guideway 4.15-4
4.15.1.3.4 Street Widening and Street Reconstruction 4.15-5
4.15.1.3.5 Operating System and Installation 4.15-5
4.15.1.3.6 Bridge Construction 4.15-5
4.15.1.3.7 Other Street Improvements 4.15-5
4.15.1.3.8 Stations 4.15-6
4.15.1.3.9 Parking Facilities 4.15-6
4.15.1.3.10 Bikeway and Bikeway Facilities 4.15-6
4.15.1.3.11 Landscaping and Art for Transit 4.15-6

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

4.15.1.3.12 Systems Facilities 4.15-7
4.15.1.3.13 Air Quality Assessment for Local Schools 4.15-7
4.15.2 Impact Assessment 4.15-8
4.15.3 Mitigation Measures 4.15-9
4.15.3.1 Traffic 4.15-9
4.15.3.2 Parking 4.15-10
4.15.3.3 Equity & Environmental Justice Considerations 4.15-10
4.15.3.4 Land Use/Neighborhoods 4.15-11
4.15.3.5 Land Acquisition, Displacement and Relocation 4.15-11
4.15.3.6 Visual Quality 4.15-11
4.15.3.7 Air Quality 4.15-11
4.15.3.8 Noise and Vibration 4.15-12
4.15.3.9 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity 4.15-14
4.15.3.10 Exposure to Hazardous Substances 4.15-14
4.15.3.11 Water Resources 4.15-14
4.15.3.12 Biological Resources 4.15-14
4.15.3.13 Energy Resources 4.15-14
4.15.3.14 Safety and Security 4.15-15
4.15.3.15 Historic, Archeological and Paleontological Resources 4.15-16
4.15.3.16 Parklands and Community Facilities 4.15-16
4.15.4 CEQA Determination 4.15-16
4.15.4.1 Traffic 4.15-17
4.15.4.2 Parking 4.15-18
4.15.4.3 Land Use/Neighborhoods 4.15-19
4.15.4.4 Land Acquisition, Displacement and Relocation 4.15-20
4.15.4.5 Equity and Environmental Justice Considerations 4.15-21
4.15.4.6 Visual Quality 4.15-22
4.15.4.7 Air Quality 4.15-23
4.15.4.8 Noise and Vibration 4.15-24
4.15.4.9 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity 4.15-26
4.15.4.10 Exposure to Hazardous Substances 4.15-27
4.15.4.11 Water Resources 4.15-28
4.15.4.12 Biological Resources 4.15-29
4.15.4.13 Energy Resources 4.15-30
4.15.4.14 Safety and Security 4.15-31
4.15.4.15 Historic, Archeological and Paleontological Resources 4.15-32
4.15.4.16 Parklands and Community Facilities 4.15-33
4.16 Division #11 Operations and Maintenance Facility Expansion 4.16-1
4.16.1 Affected Environment 4.16-1
4.16.2 Impact Assessment 4.16-1
4.16.2.1 Traffic 4.16-1
4.16.2.2 Parking 4.16-1
4.16.2.3 Equity and Environmental Justice Considerations 4.16-2
4.16.2.4 Land Use/Neighborhoods 4.16-2
4.16.2.5 Land Acquisition, Displacement and Relocation 4.16-3
4.16.2.6 Visual Quality 4.16-3
4.16.2.7 Air Quality 4.16-3
4.16.2.8 Noise and Vibration 4.16-4
4.16.2.9 Geology, Soils and Seismicity 4.16-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

4.16.2.10 Exposure to Hazardous Substances 4.16-5
4.16.2.11 Water Resources 4.16-6
4.16.2.12 Biological Resources 4.16-6
4.16.2.13 Energy Resources 4.16-7
4.16.2.14 Safety and Security 4.16-7
4.16.2.15 Historic, Archeological, and Paleontological Resources 4.16-8
4.16.2.16 Parklands and Community Facilities 4.16-8
4.16.2.17 Construction Impacts 4.16-9
4.16.3 Mitigation Measures 4.16-9
4.16.4 CEQA Determination 4.16-10
4.17 Other CEQA Determinations 4.17-1
4.17.1 Impact Assessment 4.17-1
4.17.1.1 Cumulative Impacts 4.17-2
4.17.1.2 Growth Inducement 4.17-6
4.17.1.3 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 4.17-6
4.17.1.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative 4.17-6

5.0 COST AND PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS 5.0-1
5.1 Financial Analysis 5.1-1
5.1.1 Capital Costs 5.1-1
5.1.2 Operations and Maintenance O&M Costs 5.1-7
5.1.2.1 Rail O&M Costs 5.1-7
5.1.2.2 Bus O&M Costs 5.1-8
5.1.3 Financial Capability to Build and Operate 5.1-10
5.2 Comparative Analysis of Alternatives 5.2-1
5.2.1 Ridership 5.2-1
5.2.2 Travel Time Comparison 5.2-3
5.2.3 Equity 5.2-4
5.2.4 Tradeoffs Among Alternatives 5.2-6

6.0 COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION 6-1
6.1 Introduction 6-1
6.2 Scoping & Stakeholder Outreach 6-1
6.3 Public Review of the Draft Environmental Document 6-6
6.4 Metro Board Adoption of Locally Preferred Alternative 6-7
6.5 Outreach in Support of Final EIS/EIR 6-8
6.6 Release and Certification of Final EIS/EIR 6-13

7.0 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS ON DRAFT EIS/EIR 7.1
7.1 Aesthetics/Visual Quality 7-106
7.2 Air Quality 7-107
7.3 Alternatives 7-108
7.4 Bicycles and Bicycle Facilities 7-115
7.5 Biological Resources 7-117
7.6 CEQA Process and EIR Document 7-118
7.7 Community Facilities/Community Impacts 7-119
7.8 Construction 7-121
7.9 Corrections 7-122
7.10 Costs and Finding 7-122

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

7.11 Cultural Resources 7-123
7.12 Cumulative Impacts 7-125
7.13 Flood Hazards 7-125
7.14 General Statement of Opinion 7-126
7.15 Geology 7-126
7.16 Grade Separation 7-127
7.17 Hazardous Materials 7-129
7.18 Land Use 7-129
7.19 Light Rail Trench 7-136
7.20 Mitigation and Mitigation Costs 7-136
7.21 Metro Travel Model and Ridership 7-136
7.22 Need 7-138
7.23 Noise and Vibration 7-139
7.24 Parking 7-141
7.25 Previous Project 7-142
7.26 Project Description/Project Design 7-143
7.27 Public Participation 7-144
7.28 Safety 7-144
7.29 Support/Oppose Project 7-146
7.30 System 7-146
7.31 Traffic 7-146
7.32 Transit 7-153
7.33 Transportation Policy 7-153
7.34 USC/Exposition Park Undercrossing 7-153
7.35 Utilities 7-155
7.36 Non-Revenue Connector and Storage Facility 7-155
7.37 Property Values 7-155
7.38 Environmental Justice 7-156
7.39 Receipt of Comments 7-156

APPENDICES

Appendix A Preliminary Engineering Drawings
Appendix B Notice of Preparation and Notice of Intent Distribution List
Appendix C Distribution List
Appendix D References
Appendix E MTA Grade Crossing Policy for Light Rail Transit and Evaluation of Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project Using the MTA Grade Crossing Policy
Appendix F List of Preparers
Appendix G SHPO Historic Resources Evaluation
Appendix H Exposition Transit Parkway Definitions
Appendix I Supporting Traffic Diagrams
Appendix J Noise Receptors and Vibration Impact Figures

VOLUME II - Public Comments on Draft EIS/EIR

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.2-1 Mid-City/Westside RTP Performance Indicators 1-5
Table 1.2-2 Summary of Mode Choice Along Exposition Route 1-10
Table 1.2-3 Population and Employment Forecast 1-11
Table 1.2-4 Traffic Volume Trends on Santa Monica Freeway 1-14
Table 2.4-1 Locally Preferred Alternative LRT Grade Crossings 2.4-17
Table 2.4-2 LPA Station Locations 2.4-30
Table 2.4-3 Transit Center Summary 2.4-32
Table 2.4-4 Downtown Los Angeles Connection Design Options - Station Locations 2.4-41
Table 2.4-5 Bicycle Facilities Summary 2.4-52
Table 2.4-6 Summary of Enhanced Crosswalk Locations 2.4-61
Table 3.1-1 BRT and Metro Rapid Routes Represented in 2020 No Build 3.1-2
Table 3.1-2 No Build Transit Concept - Changes to Existing Service (As of 2001) 3.1-3
Table 3.1-3 TSM Transit Concept-Changes to No Build 3.1-3
Table 3.1-4 Mid-City/Exposition LRT: Changes to TSM 3.1-4
Table 3.1-5 Mid-City/Exposition LRT Phased Implementation Transit Concept: Changes to TSM 3.1-5
Table 3.1-6 Summary Transit Statistics 3.1-5
Table 3.1-7 Comparison of Transit Performance Measures (2020) 3.1-6
Table 3.1-8 Daily Fixed Guideway Boardings Based on Year 2025 Forecast 3.1-7
Table 3.1-9 2020 AM/PM Peak Hours Bus Traffic 3.1-7
Table 3.2-1 Performance Measures for Project Alternatives Compared to 2020 Baseline . . 3.2-10
Table 3.2-2 Existing Conditions Study Area Intersections Level of Service and Delay 3.2-17
Table 3.2-3 Year 2020 Conditions With and Without LRT (LPA) 3.2-19
Table 3.2-4 Baseline Conditions Study Area Intersections Level of Service and Delay 3.2-29
Table 3.2-5 Year 2020 With and Without LRT (LPA) Conditions Study Area Intersections . 3.2-30
Table 3.2-6 2005 Existing Intersection Level of Service 3.2-39
Table 3.2-7 2020 Intersection Level of Service No Project 3.2-43
Table 3.2-8 2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Locally Preferred Alternative 3.2-45
Table 3.2-9 2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: La Cienega Station Parking Option 3.2-49
Table 3.2-10 2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Jefferson Boulevard Design Option 3.2-51
Table 3.2-11 2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Venice/Robertson ROW and North of ROW Design Options 3.2-53
Table 3.2-12 2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Venice/Robertson Aerial Station Design Option 3.2-57
Table 3.2-13 2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Phased Implementation Option: La Cienega Segment - Southeast Corner Parking 3.2-58
Table 3.2-14 2020 Intersection LOS With and Without Mitigation: Phased Implementation Option: La Cienega Segment Southwest Corner Parking 3.2-61
Table 3.2-15 Run Time Summary 3.2-64
Table 3.2-16 Trip Distribution 3.2-67
Table 3.3-1 Loss of Off-Street Parking Within Metro Owned Right-of-Way 3.3-1
Table 3.3-2 Summary of On-Street Parking Loss 3.3-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Table 3.3-3 Existing On-Street Parking and Parking Loss Mitigation Plan 3.3-3
Table 3.3-4 LPA Parking Supply and Demand, 2010 and 2020 . . . . . 3.3-10
Table 4.1-1 Comparison of the Proposed Project to SCAG Regional Policies 4.1-13
Table 4.1-2 Summary of Impacts to Land Use 4.1-20
Table 4.2-1 Exposition ROW Lease Summary 4.2-1
Table 4.2-2 Properties to be Acquired Along LPA Alignment 4.2-3
Table 4.2-3 Properties to be Acquired Outside the LPA Alignment 4.2-29
Table 4.3-1 Mid-City/Exposition Corridor Demographic Data 4.3-2
Table 4.3-2 Station Area Demographics 4.3-3
Table 4.3-3 2003 HHS Poverty Guidelines for Los Angeles County 4.3-6
Table 4.4-1 Visual Impacts 4.4-26
Table 4.5-1 State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards 4.5-7
Table 4.5-2 Air Quality Summary for Study Area Monitoring Stations, 2000-2002 . . . . . .4.5-8
Table 4.5-3 Existing Carbon Monoxide Concentrations 4.5-11
Table 4.5-4 CFR 40 Part 51 - Federal Thresholds 4.5-12
Table 4.5-5 Estimated Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions-
Baseline vs LPA 4.5-13
Table 4.5-6 Estimated Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions-
Baseline vs Phased Implementation 4.5-14
Table 4.5-7 2020 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations 4.5-14
Table 4.5-8 2020 CO Concentration from Parking Facilities 4.5-15
Table 4.5-9 SCAQMD Operational Emissions Thresholds 4.5-19
Table 4.6-1 Day-Night Noise Survey Results 4.6-4
Table 4.6-2 Short-Term (30-60 Min) Noise Survey Results 4.6-4
Table 4.6-3 FTA Noise Impact Criteria 4.6-9
Table 4.6-4 Cumulative Noise Level Increase Allowed by FTA Criteria 4.6-10
Table 4.6-5 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria by Land Use Category . . . 4.6-13
Table 4.6-6 Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings 4.6-13
Table 4.6-7 Summary of Residential Noise Impact Assessment for the Mid-City/
Exposition LRT 4.6-15
Table 4.6-8 Summary of Non-Residential Noise Impact from Light Rail Vehicle 4.6-16
Table 4.6-9 Summary of Noise Impacts From Grade Crossing Audible Warnings 4.6-18
Table 4.6-10 Summary of Noise Mitigation Using Sound Walls 4.6-19
Table 4.6-11 Summary of Noise Mitigation at Grade Crossings 4.6-20
Table 4.6-12 Summary of Noise Impact from Proposed Crossover Locations 4.6-23
Table 4.6-13 Summary of Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Assessment 4.6-27
Table 4.6-14 Summary of Vibration Mitigation 4.6-28
Table 4.6-15 Summary of Vibration Impacts from Proposed Crossover Locations 4.6-30
Table 4.8-1 OSHA Thresholds for EMF Exposure Per International Commission on
Non-Iodizing Radiation Protection 4.8-3
Table 4.8-2 OSHA Thresholds for EMF Exposure Per American Conference of
Governmental Industrial Hygienists 4.8-3
Table 4.10-1 CNDDB Species Listing for the Project Study Area 4.10-2
Table 4.11-1 Annual Energy Usage in Los Angeles County 4.11-1
Table 4.11-2 Energy Consumption Factors 4.11-2
Table 4.11-3 Change in Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled with Mid-City/Exposition LRT -
Year 2020 . . . . . 4.11-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Table 4.11-4 Change in Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled with Phased Implementation - Year 2020 . . . . . 4.11-3
Table 4.11-5 Annual 2020 Operational Energy Consumption 4.11-4
Table 4.11-6 Annual 2020 Operational Energy Consumption - Phased Implementation 4.11-5
Table 4.12-1 Part I Crime Rate 4.12-4
Table 4.12-2 Mid-City/Exposition LRT Safety Analysis 4.12-13
Table 4.14-1 Parklands and Recreational Sites 4.14-2
Table 4.14-2 Mid-City/Exposition LRT Community Facilities 4.14-4
Table 4.14-3 Mid-City/Exposition LRT Impact to Community Facilities 4.14-6
Table 4.15-1 Potential Disposal Sites 4.15-3
Table 4.15-2 Schools Within 700 Feet of the LPA Alignment 4.15-7
Table 4.16-1 Summary of Environmental Impacts 4.16-10
Table 5.1-1 Length of Trackwork for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project (Miles) 5.1-1
Table 5.1-2 Capital Cost Estimate for Mid-City/Exposition LRT (In Year Expenditure Millions of Dollars) 5.1-2
Table 5.1-3 Phased Implementation Segments 5.1-4
Table 5.1-4 LRT Vehicle Requirements for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project 5.1-4
Table 5.1-5 Rail Operating & Maintenance Cost for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project 5.1-8
Table 5.1-6 Operating Cost Per Vehicle Hour for Municipal Operators 5.1-9
Table 5.1-7 Annual Bus O&M Cost (In 2005 & Millions) 5.1-10
Table 5.1-8 Proposed Funding Plan for Mid-City/Exposition LRT Project 5.1-10
Table 5.2-1 Ridership in Year 2020 . . . . . 5.2-2
Table 5.2-2 Daily Fixed Guideway Boardings for Year 2025 . . . . . 5.2-2
Table 5.2-3 Comparison of Travel Time Characteristics 5.2-3
Table 5.2-4 Mid-City/Exposition LRT Design Options-Travel Times (In Minutes) YR 2020 . 5.2-4
Table 6-1 Mid-City/Westside Corridor EIR Scoping Meetings 6-4
Table 6-2 Station Area Workshops 6-9
Table 6-3 Working Group Meetings 6-9
Table 6-4 Focused Stakeholder Meetings 6-9
Table 6-5 Outreach Meetings Subsequent to Public Hearings/LPA 6-10
Table 7-1 List of All Comentors 7-7
Table 7-2 Comments Sorted by Categorical Responses 7-24
Table 7-3 Comments Sorted by Response Category 7-71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1-1 Los Angeles County Fixed Guideway and Transit and Metro Rapid Route System . 1-2
Figure 1.1-2 Mid-City/Westside Study Area with Approved Transit Corridors 1-4
Figure 1.2-1 Los Angeles Centers Concept 1-7
Figure 1.2-2 Transit Supporting Land Use 1-9
Figure 1.2-3 Transit Dependent Population 1-12
Figure 1.2-4 Low Income Households 1-13
Figure 1.2-5 Spider Network of Travel Demand 1-15
Figure 2.1-1 Metro Original Locally Preferred Alternative- 1983 . . . . . 2.1-2
Figure 2.1-2 SCAG Metro Red Line Extension System Planning Study (1989) 2.1-3
Figure 2.1-3 Metro Red Line Extension Suspended Segments (1998) 2.1-5
Figure 2.1-4 Metro Rapid Program Approved System Map (2002) 2.1-8
Figure 2.2-1 SCAG West Los Angeles Restructuring Alternatives (1998) 2.2-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Figure 2.2-2 Mid-City/Westside MIS Alternatives (2000) 2.2-5
Figure 2.3-1 Mid-City/Westside Transit Corridor Draft EIS/EIR Build Alternatives (2001) . . 2.3-2
Figure 2.4-1 Generalized Mid-City/Exposition LRT Route 2.4-5
Figure 2.4-2 Geographic Subareas 2.4-6
Figure 2.4-3 Index to Typical Cross Sections 2.4-73
Figure 2.4-4 Cross Sections A & B 2.4-74
Figure 2.4-5 Cross Sections C & C2 . . . . . 2.4-75
Figure 2.4-6 Cross-Sections D & E 2.4-76
Figure 2.4-7 Cross-Sections F & G 2.4-77
Figure 2.4-8 Cross-Sections H & I 2.4-78
Figure 2.4-9 Cross-Sections J & K 2.4-79
Figure 2.4-10 Cross-Sections L & M 2.4-80
Figure 2.4-11 Cross-Sections N & O 2.4-81
Figure 2.4-12 Cross-Sections P & Q 2.4-82
Figure 2.4-13 Cross-Sections R & S 2.4-83
Figure 2.4-14 Cross-Section T 2.4-84
Figure 2.4-15 Bus Feeder Network 2.4-8
Figure 2.4-16 Proposed Right-of-Way Changes for National Boulevard 2.4-16
Figure 2.4-17 Generalized Pedestrian Activity 2.4-19
Figure 2.4-18 Downtown Los Angeles Connection Design Options 2.4-21
Figure 2.4-19 Southwest Corner Option 2.4-24
Figure 2.4-20 Jefferson Boulevard Grade Separation and Design Options 2.4-25
Figure 2.4-21 Venice/Robertson Aerial Station Design Option and Grade Separation 2.4-28
Figure 2.4-22 Typical LRT Station 2.4-29
Figure 2.4-23 21st /Hill Station Area 2.4-34
Figure 2.4-24 USC/Exposition Park Station Area 2.4-36
Figure 2.4-25 Vermont Station Area 2.4-37
Figure 2.4-26 Western Station Area 2.4-38
Figure 2.4-27 Crenshaw Station Area 2.4-39
Figure 2.4-28 La Brea Station Area 2.4-40
Figure 2.4-29 La Cienega Station Area 2.4-42
Figure 2.4-30 Venice/Robertson Station Area 2.4-43
Figure 2.4-31 Bikeway Route Alignment 2.4-46
Figure 2.4-32 Exposition Boulevard at Rodeo Road Bike Lane Transition 2.4-48
Figure 2.4-33 Exposition Boulevard to W. Jefferson Boulevard Bike Lane Transition 2.4-49
Figure 2.4-34 Jefferson Boulevard at National Boulevard Bike Lane/Bike Path Transition . . . 2.4-50
Figure 2.4-35 Washington Boulevard at National Boulevard Bike Path Transition 2.4-51
Figure 2.4-36 Pedestrian Crossing Warning Signs 2.4-58
Figure 2.4-37 Pedestrian Crossing Gates 2.4-60
Figure 2.4-38 Motorist Violations at LRT Crossing 2.4-64
Figure 2.4-39 Motorists Violation Deterrents at LRT Crossing 2.4-65
Figure 2.4-40 General Location of Traction Power Substations 2.4-68
Figure 2.4-41 Metro Division 11 Maintenance Facility - Existing and Proposed Sites 2.4-70
Figure 2.4-42 Mid-Day Storage Area 2.4-71
Figure 3.2-1 Study Area with Geographic Subareas 3.2-2
Figure 3.2-2 Freeway Level of Service (AM Peak Period 1998) 3.2-4
Figure 3.2-3 Freeway Level of Service (PM Peak Period 1998) 3.2-5
Figure 3.2-4 Baseline AM Peak Hour Arterial Segments with LOS E or F 3.2-8
Figure 3.2-5 Baseline PM Peak Hour Arterial Segments with LOS E or F 3.2-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Figure 3.2-6 Study Intersections-Downtown Connections Subarea 3.2-14
Figure 3.2-7 Base Year AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Downtown Connection Subarea . . 3.2-15
Figure 3.2-8 Base Year PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Downtown Connection Subarea . . 3.2-16
Figure 3.2-9 Intersection Recommended Mitigation-Downtown Connection Subarea 3.2-20
Figure 3.2-10 Cumulative 2020 LRT AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Downtown Connection 3.2-22
Figure 3.2-11 Cumulative 2020 LRT AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Downtown Connection 3.2-23
Figure 3.2-12 Study Intersections-Mid Corridor Subarea 3.2-26
Figure 3.2-13 Base Year AM Peak Hour Traffic Volume-Mid-Corridor Subarea 3.2-27
Figure 3.2-14 Base Year PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes- Mid-Corridor Subarea 3.2-28
Figure 3.2-15 Intersection Recommended Mitigation-Mid-Corridor Subarea 3.2-31
Figure 3.2-16 Cumulative 2020 LRT AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Mid-Corridor Subarea 3.2-32
Figure 3.2-17 Cumulative 2020 LRT PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-Mid-Corridor Subarea . 3.2-33
Figure 3.2-18 Study Intersections-West End Subarea 3.2-36
Figure 3.2-19 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea 3.2-38
Figure 3.2-20 2020 No Project Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea 3.2-42
Figure 3.2-21 LPA, Jefferson Blvd Design Option, Venice/Robertson ROW Design Option Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea 3.2-46
Figure 3.2-22 La Cienega Station Parking Option Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea 3.2-50
Figure 3.2-23 Venice/Robertson ROW Design Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea 3.2-54
Figure 3.2-24 Venice/Robertson North of ROW Design Option Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea 3.2-55
Figure 3.2-25 Phased Implementation Option: La Cienega Segment-Southeast Corner Parking Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea 3.2-59
Figure 3.2-26 Phased Implementation Option: La Cienega Segment-Southwest Corner Parking Peak Hour Traffic Volumes-West End Subarea 3.2-62
Figure 4.1-1 Existing Land Use 4.1-2
Figure 4.2-1 Washington Boulevard & National Boulevard 4.2-10
Figure 4.2-2A Washington & National Boulevards- North of ROW Design Option A 4.2-11
Figure 4.2-2B Washington & National Boulevards- North of ROW Design Option B 4.2-12
Figure 4.2-2C Washington & National Boulevards- North of ROW Design Option C 4.2-13
Figure 4.2-3 Wesley Street & National Boulevard 4.2-14
Figure 4.2-4 3410 S. La Cienega Boulevard 4.2-15
Figure 4.2-5 Jefferson & La Cienega Boulevards- North Widening Option 4.2-16
Figure 4.2-6 3401 S. La Cienega Boulevard 4.2-17
Figure 4.2-7 3433 Farmdale Avenue 4.2-18
Figure 4.2-8 2827 Exposition Place 4.2-19
Figure 4.2-9 3rd Avenue & Exposition Boulevard 4.2-20
Figure 4.2-10 3790 2nd Avenue, 3788 Arlington Avenue & 3798 Arlington Avenue 4.2-21
Figure 4.2-11 3763 Normandie Avenue 4.2-22
Figure 4.2-12 1077 W. 38th Street 4.2-23
Figure 4.2-13 3775 Vermont Avenue & 3667 McClintock Avenue (At Vermont Avenue) 4.2-24
Figure 4.2-14 Figueroa and Flower Streets 4.2-25
Figure 4.2-15 419 Washington Boulevard 4.2-26
Figure 4.2-16 Flower Street & 18th Street 4.2-27
Figure 4.2-17 I-10 Freeway, Flower Street & Venice Boulevard 4.2-28
Figure 4.3-1 Station Area Census Tracts Sensitive to Environmental Justice Concerns 4.3-4
Figure 4.4-1 Hill Street Visual Character 4.4-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

Figure 4.4-2 Metro Right-of-Way At Grand Avenue 4.4-3
Figure 4.4-3 Figueroa Street to Vermont Avenue Visual Character 4.4-4
Figure 4.4-4 Hill Street Downtown Vista 4.4-6
Figure 4.4-5 Exposition Park Views 4.4-7
Figure 4.4-6 Vermont Avenue to Gramercy Place Visual Character 4.4-8
Figure 4.4-7 Second Avenue to Degnan Avenue Visual Character 4.4-9
Figure 4.4-8 Degnan Avenue to Crenshaw Boulevard Visual Character 4.4-10
Figure 4.4-9 Crenshaw Boulevard to Farmdale Avenue Visual Character 4.4-12
Figure 4.4-10 Farmdale Avenue to La Brea Avenue Visual Character 4.4-13
Figure 4.4-11 Baldwin Vista Neighborhood Visual Character 4.4-14
Figure 4.4-12 Hauser Avenue to La Cienega Boulevard Visual Character 4.4-15
Figure 4.4-13 La Brea Avenue Vistas 4.4-16
Figure 4.4-14 La Cienega Boulevard to Ballona Creek Visual Character 4.4-17
Figure 4.4-15 National Boulevard East of Hayden Visual Character 4.4-19
Figure 4.4-16 Eric Moss Designed Buildings Adjacent National Boulevard 4.4-20
Figure 4.4-17 Hayden Avenue to Wesley Avenue Visual Character 4.4-21
Figure 4.4-18 National Boulevard West of Hayden Avenue Visual Character 4.4-22
Figure 4.4-19 Washington Boulevard to Venice Boulevard Visual Character 4.4-23
Figure 4.4-20 Venice Boulevard to Bagley Avenue Visual Character 4.4-24
Figure 4.4-21 La Cienega Boulevard and Ballona Creek Vistas 4.4-25
Figure 4.4-22 Flower Street Design Options Along Exposition Boulevard 4.4-37
Figure 4.4-23 Perspective View of Exposition ROW West of Vermont 4.4-39
Figure 4.4-24 Perspective View West of Crenshaw Boulevard 4.4-43
Figure 4.4-25 Perspective View West of La Brea Avenue 4.4-44
Figure 4.4-26 Perspective View West of Hayden Avenue 4.4-45
Figure 4.4-27 Perspective View Exposition Park and USC Campus 4.4-46
Figure 4.5-1 South Coast Air Basin 4.5-4
Figure 4.5-2 Carbon Monoxide, Ozone, and Particulate Matter Levels 4.5-5
Figure 4.5-3 Air Monitoring Areas 4.5-9
Figure 4.6-1 Noise Monitoring Sites 4.6-2
Figure 4.6-2 Vibration Propagation Test Sites and Regions 4.6-6
Figure 4.6-3 Turnout/Switch and Frog 4.6-22
Figure 4.6-4 Crossover Mitigation 4.6-24
Figure 4.7-1 Active Fault Zones 4.7-3
Figure 4.7-2 Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Hazard Zones 4.7-4
Figure 4.7-3 Potential Liquefaction Areas 4.7-5
Figure 4.7-4 Methane Hazard Zone 4.7-7
Figure 4.9-1 100-Year Flood Plains 4.9-7
Figure 4.10-1 Ballona Creek 4.10-6
Figure 4.12-1 Police Service Areas 4.12-2
Figure 4.13-1 Photographs of Santa Monica Air Line 4.13-3
Figure 4.13-2 Photographs of Santa Monica Air Line 4.13-4
Figure 4.13-3 Setting of Ballona Creek SP/PE Bridge 1 . . . . . 4.13-14
Figure 4.13-4 Setting of Ballona Creek SP/PE Bridge 2 . . . . . 4.13-15
Figure 4.13-5 Setting of Ballona Creek SP/PE Bridge 3 . . . . . 4.13-16
Figure 4.14-1 Community Facilities 4.14-3
Figure 5.1-1 Phase Implementation Segments 5.1-3
Figure 7-1 Distribution of Comments 7-5
Figure 7-2 Pacific Electric System Map and Santa Monica Air Line 7-124