

CHAPTER 8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....I-1

I-1 THE PURPOSE OF THE REVISED FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTI-1

I-2 CEQA REQUIREMENTS FOR THE REVISED FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTI-2

REVISED EXECUTIVE SUMMARY RS-1

RS-1 REVISED FINAL EIR ALTERNATIVES..... RS-1

RS-1.1 No Build Alternative..... RS-2

RS-1.2 TSM Alternative RS-2

RS-1.3 Bus Rapid Transit Alternative (BRT)..... RS-3

RS-1.4 Rapid Bus Alternatives RS-6

RS-2 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES RS-11

RS-3 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON OF THE ALTERNATIVES..... RS-43

RS-4 COMMENTS AND COORDINATION..... RS-47

RS-5 PREVIOUSLY STATED AREAS OF CONTROVERSY RS-47

RS-6 RESOLUTION OF ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED RS-49

RS-7 USES OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT RS-50

CHAPTER 8-1: PURPOSE AND NEED8-1-1

8-1.1 NEED FOR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS8-1-1

8-1.1.1 Description of the Study Corridor8-1-1

8-1.1.2 Existing Transportation Facilities and Services in the Corridor Freeway System8-1-8

8-1.1.3 Transportation Planning Responsibilities8-1-11

8-1.1.4 Transportation Problems in the Corridor8-1-14

8-1.2 PLANNING CONTEXT/PROJECT HISTORY8-1-15

CHAPTER 8-2: ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED8-2-1

8-2.1 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED IN THE FINAL EIR8-2-1

8-2.2 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED IN THE REVISED EIR8-2-2

8-2.3 SCREENING AND SELECTION PROCESS8-2-13

8-2.4 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES8-2-14

CHAPTER 8-3: TRANSPORTATION SETTING, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION8-3-1

8-3.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS.....8-3-1

8-3.1.1 Highway System.....8-3-1

8-3.1.2 Existing Transit Services8-3-5

8-3.1.3 Major Intermodal Hubs8-3-6

8-3.1.4 Bicycle and Pedestrian Access8-3-6

8-3.2 FUTURE CONDITIONS AND AREA WIDE MOBILITY IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT8-3-6

8-3.2.1 Impacts of Future Growth.....8-3-6

8-3.2.2 Countywide Impacts8-3-11



8-3.2.3 Valleywide Impacts8-3-12

8-3.3 STUDY AREA TRAFFIC IMPACTS.....8-3-14

8-3.3.1 General Discussion of Areas of Impact8-3-14

8-3.3.2 Intersection Traffic Impacts.....8-3-16

8-3.3.3 Mitigation Measures8-3-26

8-3.4 PARKING.....8-3-26

8-3.4.1 Rapid Bus Alternatives’ Parking Impacts8-3-26

8-3.4.2 Parking Mitigation Measures8-3-28

CHAPTER 8-4: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES8-4-1-1

8-4.1 LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT8-4-1-1

8-4.1.1 Setting8-4-1-1

8-4.1.2 Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria8-4-1-55

8-4.1.3 Impacts8-4-1-68

8-4.1.4 Mitigation Measures8-4-1-77

8-4.2 ACQUISITIONS AND DISPLACEMENTS8-4-2-1

8-4.2.1 Setting.....8-4-2-1

8-4.2.2 Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria8-4-2-2

8-4.2.3 Impacts8-4-2-2

8-4.2.4 Mitigation8-4-2-3

8-4.3 DEMOGRAPHICS AND NEIGHBORHOODS8-4-3-1

8-4.3.1 Setting.....8-4-3-1

8-4.3.2 Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria8-4-3-20

8-4.3.3 Affected Neighborhoods.....8-4-3-21

8-4.3.4 Impacts8-4-3-22

8-4.3.5 Mitigation Measures.....8-4-3-24

8-4.4 COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES.....8-4-4-1

8-4.4.1 Existing Facilities and Services.....8-4-4-1

8-4.4.2 Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria8-4-4-13

8-4.4.3 Impacts on Community Facilities and Services.....8-4-4-14

8-4.4.4 Mitigation Measures.....8-4-4-18

8-4.5 FISCAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS8-4-5-1

8-4.5.1 Setting.....8-4-5-1

8-4.5.2 Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria8-4-5-2

8-4.5.3 Impacts on Fiscal & Economic Conditions8-4-5-2

8-4.5.4 Mitigation8-4-5-5

8-4.6 VISUAL AND AESTHETIC CONDITIONS8-4-6-1

8-4.6.1 Setting.....8-4-6-1

8-4.6.2 Impacts8-4-6-56

8-4.6.3 Mitigation Measures.....8-4-6-61

8-4.7 AIR QUALITY8-4-7-1

8-4.7.1 Setting.....8-4-7-1

8-4.7.2 Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria8-4-7-3

8-4.7.3 Impacts8-4-7-5

8-4.7.4 Conformity Analysis.....8-4-7-12

8-4.7.5 Mitigation Measures.....8-4-7-13

8-4.8 ENERGY8-4-8-1

8-4.8.1 Setting.....8-4-8-1



8-4.8.2	Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria	8-4.8-1
8-4.8.3	Impacts	8-4.8-1
8-4.8.4	Mitigation Measures	8-4.8-6
8-4.9	NOISE AND VIBRATION	8-4.9-1
8-4.9.1	Setting	8-4.9-1
8-4.9.2	Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria	8-4.9-5
8-4.9.3	Impacts	8-4.9-6
8-4.9.4	Mitigation Measures	8-4.9-17
8-4.9.5	Vibration	8-4.9-17
8-4.10	GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS	8-4.10-1
8-4.10.1	Setting	8-4.10-1
8-4.10.2	Impact Analysis Methodology and Criteria	8-4.10-3
8-4.10.3	Geotechnical Impacts	8-4.10-4
8-4.10.4	Mitigation Measures	8-4.10-5
8-4.11	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	8-4.11-1
8-4.11.1	Regulatory Framework	8-4.11-1
8-4.11.2	Existing Conditions	8-4.11-2
8-4.11.3	Impact Analysis Methodology and Impact Evaluation	8-4.11-6
8-4.11.4	Impacts to Biological Resources	8-4.11-6
8-4.11.5	Mitigation Measures	8-4.11-8
8-4.12	WATER RESOURCES	8-4.12-1
8-4.12.1	Setting	8-4.12-1
8-4.12.2	Impact Assessment Methodology and Evaluation Criteria	8-4.12-3
8-4.12.3	Impacts on Water Resources	8-4.12-3
8-4.12.4	Mitigation Measures	8-4.12-7
8-4.13	SAFETY AND SECURITY	8-4.13-1
8-4.13.1	Setting	8-4.13-1
8-4.13.2	Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria	8-4.13-1
8-4.13.3	Impacts	8-4.13-2
8-4.13.4	Mitigation Measures	8-4.13-5
8-4.14	CULTURAL RESOURCES	8-4.14-1
8-4.14.1	Setting	8-4.14-1
8-4.14.2	Impact Analysis Methodology and Evaluation Criteria	8-4.14-1
8-4.14.3	Impacts	8-4.14-1
8-4.14.4	Mitigation Measures	8-4.14-4
8-4.15	SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION	8-4.15-1
8-4.16	OTHER IMPACT CONSIDERATIONS	8-4.16-1
8-4.16.1	Indirect/Secondary Impacts	8-4.16-1
8-4.16.2	Cumulative Impacts	8-4.16-5
8-4.16.3	Unavoidable Adverse Impacts After Mitigation	8-4.16-10
8-4.16.4	Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Maintenance of Long-Term Productivity	8-4.16-11
8-4.16.5	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources	8-4.16-11
8-4.16.6	Growth Inducement	8-4.16-11
8-4.16.7	Environmentally Superior Alternative	8-4.16-12
CHAPTER 8-5: CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS		8-5-1
8-5.1	THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS	8-5-1
8-5.2	TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING	8-5-1



8-5.3 ACQUISITIONS AND DISPLACEMENTS8-5-1

8-5.4 DEMOGRAPHICS AND NEIGHBORHOODS8-5-2

8-5.5 COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES.....8-5-2

8-5.6 FISCAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS8-5-2

8-5.7 VISUAL AND AESTHETIC CONDITIONS8-5-3

8-5.8 AIR QUALITY8-5-3

8-5.9 NOISE AND VIBRATION8-5-3

8-5.10 GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS8-5-3

8-5.11 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES8-5-4

8-5.12 WATER RESOURCES8-5-4

8-5.13 SAFETY AND SECURITY8-5-4

8-5.14 CULTURAL RESOURCES8-5-5

CHAPTER 8-6: PURPOSE AND NEED AND FINANCIAL COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES.....8-6-1

8-6.1 PURPOSE AND NEED COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES.....8-6-1

 8-6.1.1 Mobility in the San Fernando Valley.....8-6-1

 8-6.1.2 Support Land Use and Development Goals.....8-6-2

 8-6.1.3 Community Input.....8-6-2

 8-6.1.4 Compatibility with the Physical Environment.....8-6-3

 8-6.1.5 Impacts on the Community.....8-6-3

 8-6.1.6 Cost Effectiveness and Fiscal Capacity to Operate8-6-3

8-6.2 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS8-6-4

 8-6.2.1 Capital Cost Estimates.....8-6-4

 8-6.2.2 Operating Cost Estimates8-6-5

 8-6.2.3 Financial Capability to Build and Operate8-6-6

8-6.3 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES8-6-7

 8-6.3.1 Effectiveness in Improving Mobility.....8-6-8

 8-6.3.2 Cost-Effectiveness8-6-12

 8-6.3.3 Operating Efficiencies8-6-13

 8-6.3.4 Environmental Benefits8-6-14

 8-6.3.5 Equity8-6-16

 8-6.3.6 Community Involvement Response.....8-6-16

 8-6.3.7 Significant Trade-Offs.....8-6-17

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 8-A: RAPID BUS STOP ENGINEERING PLANS

APPENDIX 8-B: TRANSPORTATION STUDY

APPENDIX 8-C: AIR EMISSIONS MODELING

APPENDIX 8-D: NOISE MODELING

APPENDIX 8-E: LIST OF PREPARERS



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure RS-1:	Map of the RB-3 Alternative Including Routes and Stops	RS-8
Figure RS-2:	Map of the RB-5 Alternative Including Routes and Stops	RS-9
Figure RS-3:	Map of the RB-Network Alternative Including Routes and Stops	RS-10
Figure 8-1-1:	San Fernando Valley Regional Context	8-1-2
Figure 8-1-2:	Location of Study Corridor.....	8-1-3
Figure 8-1-3:	Changing Demographics in the San Fernando Valley and Los Angeles County	8-1-4
Figure 8-1-4:	Existing Daily Travel Patterns To and From the San Fernando Valley	8-1-7
Figure 8-1-5:	Comparison of Traffic Demand and Capacity, 1998 and 2020	8-1-16
Figure 8-2-1:	Map of the RB-3 Alternative Including Routes and Stops	8-2-3
Figure 8-2-2:	Map of the RB-5 Alternative Including Routes and Stops	8-2-6
Figure 8-2-3:	Map of the RB-Network Alternative Including Routes and Stops	8-2-9
Figure 8-2-4:	Existing Rapid Bus Stop.....	8-2-15
Figure 8-2-5:	Renderings of Typical Metro Rapid Bus Station Design	8-2-27
Figure 8-2-6:	Location of Potential Rapid Bus Stops at Roscoe Boulevard and Sepulveda Boulevard.....	8-2-28
Figure 8-2-7:	Location of Potential Rapid Bus Stops at Devonshire Street and Sepulveda Boulevard.....	8-2-29
Figure 8-2-8:	Location of Potential Rapid Bus Stops at Victory Boulevard and Van Nuys Boulevard	8-2-30
Figure 8-3-1:	Morning Peak Hour North-South Street Volumes.....	8-3-3
Figure 8-3-2:	Afternoon Peak Hour North-South Street Volumes	8-3-4
Figure 8-3-3:	AM Peak Hour V/C Ratios Existing 1998 Base Conditions	8-3-8
Figure 8-3-4:	AM Peak Hour V/C Ratios Existing 2020 Base Conditions	8-3-9
Figure 8-3-5:	Areas of Peak Hour Speed Degradation 1998 to 2020	8-3-10
Figure 8-4.1-1:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-3 Alternative.....	8-4.1-3
Figure 8-4.1-2:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-3 Alternative.....	8-4.1-4
Figure 8-4.1-3:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-3 Alternative.....	8-4.1-5
Figure 8-4.1-4:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-5 Alternative.....	8-4.1-11
Figure 8-4.1-5:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-5 Alternative.....	8-4.1-12
Figure 8-4.1-6:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-5 Alternative.....	8-4.1-13
Figure 8-4.1-7:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-20
Figure 8-4.1-8:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-21
Figure 8-4.1-9:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-22
Figure 8-4.1-10:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-23
Figure 8-4.1-11:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-24
Figure 8-4.1-12:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-25
Figure 8-4.1-13:	Generalized Existing Land Use Proposed RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-26
Figure 8-4.1-14:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-27
Figure 8-4.1-15:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-28
Figure 8-4.1-16:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-29
Figure 8-4.1-17:	Generalized Existing Land Use RB-Network Alternative.....	8-4.1-30
Figure 8-4.1-18:	Community and Specific Planning Areas – RB-3	8-4.1-46
Figure 8-4.1-19:	Community and Specific Planning Areas – RB-3	8-4.1-47
Figure 8-4.1-20:	Community and Specific Planning Areas – RB-3	8-4.1-48
Figure 8-4.3-1:	San Fernando Valley Community Areas	8-4-3-3
Figure 8-4.6-1:	Existing Character of the Lankershim Boulevard.....	8-4-6-4



Figure 8-4.6-2: Existing Character of the Victory Boulevard-East Valley.....8-4.6-6

Figure 8-4.6-3: Existing Character of the Victory Boulevard-West Valley8-4.6-8

Figure 8-4.6-4: Existing Character of the Vanowen Street-East Valley.....8-4.6-11

Figure 8-4.6-5: Existing Character of the Vanowen Street-West Valley8-4.6-14

Figure 8-4.6-6: Existing Character of the Sherman Way-East Valley.....8-4.6-16

Figure 8-4.6-7: Existing Character of the Sherman Way-West Valley8-4.6-18

Figure 8-4.6-8: Existing Character of the Topanga Canyon Boulevard8-4.6-19

Figure 8-4.6-9: Existing Character of the Chandler Boulevard.....8-4.6-21

Figure 8-4.6-10: Existing Character of the Burbank Boulevard-East Valley8-4.6-24

Figure 8-4.6-11: Existing Character of the Burbank Boulevard-West Valley.....8-4.6-25

Figure 8-4.6-12: Existing Character of the Oxnard Street.....8-4.6-27

Figure 8-4.6-13: Existing Character of the Roscoe Boulevard – East Valley8-4.6-37

Figure 8-4.6-14: Existing Character of the Roscoe Boulevard – West Valley8-4.6-40

Figure 8-4.6-15: Existing Character of the Devonshire Street – East Valley8-4.6-41

Figure 8-4.6-16: Existing Character of the Devonshire Street – West Valley.....8-4.6-43

Figure 8-4.6-17: Existing Character of the San Fernando Road.....8-4.6-45

Figure 8-4.6-18: Existing Character of the Laurel Canyon Boulevard.....8-4.6-48

Figure 8-4.6-19: Existing Character of the Van Nuys Boulevard8-4.6-49

Figure 8-4.6-20: Existing Character of the Sepulveda Boulevard.....8-4.6-51

Figure 8-4.6-21: Existing Character of the Reseda Boulevard.....8-4.6-53

Figure 8-4.6-22: Existing Character of the Topanga Canyon Boulevard8-4.6-55

Figure 8-4.12-1: Three East-West Rapid Bus Routes Alternative.....8-4.12-4

Figure 8-4.12-2: Five East-West Rapid Bus Routes Alternative8-4.12-5

Figure 8-4.12-3: Rapid Bus Network Alternative.....8-4.12-6



LIST OF TABLES

Table RS-1:	Summary of Transportation Impacts – San Fernando Valley East-West Transit Corridor	RS-12
Table RS-2:	Summary of Operational Impacts	RS-15
Table RS-3:	Summary of Construction Impacts	RS-36
Table RS-4:	Comparison of Alternatives in the Draft EIS/EIR	RS-43
Table RS-4a:	Refinements to the Locally Preferred Alternative (BRT and the Weekend Service Option)	RS-44
Table RS-4b:	Comparison of Rapid Bus Alternatives in the Revised FEIR	RS-45
Table 8-1-1:	Population and Employment: 26-Year Change from 1994 to 2020.....	8-1-5
Table 8-1-2:	Current Valley Related Daily Trip End Distribution	8-1-8
Table 8-1-3:	Traffic Volumes on Valley Freeways	8-1-9
Table 8-1-4:	Characteristics of Major East-West Valley Arterials.....	8-1-9
Table 8-1-5:	Goals and Objectives of the San Fernando Valley East-West Transit Corridor	8-1-13
Table 8-1-6:	Existing and Projected Travel in the San Fernando Valley	8-1-14
Table 8-1-7:	AM Peak-Hour Demand vs. Capacity, 1998 and 2020.....	8-1-15
Table 8-2-1:	Metro Rapid Features.....	8-2-14
Table 8-2-2:	RB Stop Locations for the RB-3 Alternative.....	8-2-18
Table 8-2-3:	RB Stop Locations for the RB-5 Alternative.....	8-2-20
Table 8-2-4:	RB Stop Locations for the RB-Network Alternative	8-2-23
Table 8-2-5:	Service Frequencies for Rapid Bus Routes.....	8-2-31
Table 8-2-6:	Vehicle Requirements for Rapid Bus Alternatives	8-2-32
Table 8-3-1:	Existing Characteristics of East-West Arterials in the San Fernando Valley	8-3-2
Table 8-3-2:	LOS E/F Intersections – Existing Conditions	8-3-5
Table 8-3-3:	San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor Comparison of Countywide Transportation Indicators	8-3-7
Table 8-3-4:	Statistics for San Fernando Valley (RSAs 12 and 13).....	8-3-13
Table 8-3-5:	BRT and Rapid Bus Alternatives Auto Mode of Access Description	8-3-16
Table 8-3-6:	Growth Percentages for Base Traffic Volumes	8-3-17
Table 8-3-7:	LOS Criteria for Signalized Intersections.....	8-3-19
Table 8-3-8:	LOS E/F Intersections - No Build Alternative – Year 2020	8-3-20
Table 8-3-9:	Locations for Intersection LOS Analysis – RB-3 Alternative	8-3-21
Table 8-3-10:	LOS E/F and Affected Intersections – RB-3	8-3-21
Table 8-3-11:	Locations for Intersection LOS Analysis – RB-5 Alternative	8-3-23
Table 8-3-12:	LOS E/F and Affected intersections – RB-5.....	8-3-23
Table 8-3-13:	Locations for Intersection LOS Analysis – RB-Network Alternative	8-3-25
Table 8-3-14:	LOS E/F and Affected intersections – RB-Network.....	8-3-26
Table 8-4.1-1:	City of Los Angeles Community and Specific Plan Policies.....	8-4.1-49
Table 8-4.1-2:	Sensitive Land Uses Adjacent to the Three Rapid Bus Alternatives and the BRT Alternative	8-4.1-56
Table 8-4.1-3:	Comparison of East Valley, West Valley and Total Sensitive Land Uses for each of the Three Rapid Bus Alternatives and the BRT Alternative	8-4.1-70
Table 8-4.1-4:	Consistency of the Three Rapid Bus Alternatives with SCAG Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide.....	8-4.1-72
Table 8-4.1-5:	City of Los Angeles Community and Specific Plan Policy Impact Analysis	8-4.1-73
Table 8-4.3-1:	Population, Housing, and Employment Characteristics.....	8-4.3-12
Table 8-4.3-2:	Population and Employment Projections, 1990-2000.....	8-4.3-12



Table 8-4.3-3: Transit Dependency Characteristics for City of Los Angeles and San Fernando Valley.....8-4.3-13

Table 8-4.3-4: Transit Dependency Characteristics for RB Stop Influence Areas of RB-3 Alternative.....8-4.3-14

Table 8-4.3-5: Transit Dependency Characteristics for RB Stop Influence Areas of RB-5 Alternative.....8-4.3-15

Table 8-4.3-6: Transit Dependency Characteristics for RB Stop Influence Areas of RB-Network Alternative.....8-4.3-17

Table 8-4.4-1a: Community Facilities Located Within Study Area for the RB-3 Alternative.....8-4.4-2

Table 8-4.4-1b: Community Facilities Located Within Study Area for the RB-5 Alternative.....8-4.4-5

Table 8-4.4-1c: Community Facilities Located Within Study Area for the RB-Network Alternative8-4.4-8

Table 8-4.4-2: LAUSD K-12 Enrollment, Fiscal Year 1999/2000.....8-4.4-12

Table 8-4.5-1: Full-Time Employment Generated by Annual Operations and Maintenance Expenditure.....8-4.5-3

Table 8-4.7-1: Intersections Impacted by One or More of the RB Alternatives.....8-4.7-2

Table 8-4.7-2: Existing Carbon Monoxide (CO) Concentrations (parts per million).....8-4.7-2

Table 8-4.7-3: Sensitive Receptors Exposed to CO Concentrations that Exceed the State Standards.....8-4.7-4

Table 8-4.7-4: 2020 Carbon Monoxide (CO) Concentrations (parts per million).....8-4.7-4

Table 8-4.7-5: Criteria Pollutant Emission for RB-3 Alternative (Year 2020)8-4.7-5

Table 8-4.7-6: Criteria Pollutant Emissions for RB-5 Alternative (Year 2020).....8-4.7-7

Table 8-4.7-7: Criteria Pollutant Emissions for RB-Network Alternative (Year 2020).....8-4.7-8

Table 8-4.7-8: 2020 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations – RB-3 Alternative.....8-4.7-10

Table 8-4.7-9: 2020 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations – RB-5 Alternative.....8-4.7-10

Table 8-4.7-10: 2020 Carbon Monoxide Concentrations – RB-Network Alternative.....8-4.7-11

Table 8-4.8-1: Annual Direct Energy Consumption – Year 20208-4.8-2

Table 8-4.8-2: Change in VMT of Each Alternative Compared to No Build Alternative.....8-4.8-2

Table 8-4.8-3: Change in BTU of Each Alignment Compared to No Build Alternative8-4.8-3

Table 8-4.8-4: Change in VMT of Each Alternative Compared to Full BRT8-4.8-3

Table 8-4.8-5: Change in BTU of Each Alignment Compared to Full BRT8-4.8-4

Table 8-4.8-6: Annual Energy Savings8-4.8-4

Table 8-4.9-1: Rapid Bus Service.....8-4.9-5

Table 8-4.9-2: Noise Impact Assessment for RB-3 Alternative8-4.9-6

Table 8-4.9-3: Noise Impact Assessment for RB-5 Alternative8-4.9-10

Table 8-4.9-4: Noise Impact Assessment for RB-Network Alternative8-4.9-12

Table 8-4.12-1: FEMA 100-Year Flood Hazard Areas Not Contained Within Flood Control Channels8-4.12-2

Table 8-4.13-1: Los Angeles Police Department Valley Bureau 1999 MTA Reported Crimes8-4.13-4

Table 8-4.13-2: Los Angeles Police Department Valley Bureau 1997 Community-Wide Crime Data.....8-4.13-4

Table 8-4.13-3: Community (1997) vs. MTA (1999) Crime Statistics8-4.13-4

Table 8-4.14-1: Historic-Cultural Monuments8-4.14-3

Table 8-4.16-1: Related Projects8-4.16-6

Table 8-6-1: Summary of Capital Costs (2001 Dollars, in millions).....8-6-5

Table 8-6-2: Capital Cost Comparison (2001 Dollars, in millions).....8-6-5

Table 8-6-3: Incremental Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs (2001 dollars, in millions).....8-6-6

Table 8-6-4: Summary of Capital and Operating Costs for Financial Capacity Analysis (2001 dollars, in millions).....8-6-7



Table 8-6-5: Ridership8-6-9
Table 8-6-6: Year 2020 Transit Travel Times on Valley Arterials (in minutes)8-6-10
Table 8-6-7: Value of Travel Time Savings8-6-11
Table 8-6-8: Cost-Effectiveness Calculation: Incremental Values over No Build8-6-12
Table 8-6-9: Cost-Effectiveness Calculation: Incremental Values over TSM8-6-12
Table 8-6-10: Cost-Effectiveness of Alternatives – Annualized Cost per New Daily
Transit Trip (in 2001 Dollars).....8-6-13
Table 8-6-11: Operating Efficiency of Alternatives (in 2001 Dollars).....8-6-13

