

One Gateway Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952 Phillip A. Washington Chief Executive Officer 213.922.7555 Tel 213.922.7447 Fax washingtonp@metro.net

July 20, 2020

Clerk Sue Parker State Capitol Room 3196 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Clerk Parker:

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) is in the process of amending the Measure R sales tax ordinance, which is the voter-approved measure that created a 0.5% sales tax in the county to be used for transportation purposes. State law requires that Metro notify the State legislators that represent Los Angeles County of the proposed amendment.

Attached is a resolution and related Board report adopted by the Metro Board on June 25, 2020 that describes the proposed amendment and references the relevant State Public Utility Code requirements, including a 365 day legislative notice period. The amendment would allow funding initially designated for highway purposes in the South Bay area of the county to be transferred for transit purposes.

Upon the conclusion of the legislative notice period, as well as other required notices and reviews, the Metro Board intends to consider adoption of the amendment at its July 2021 or subsequent Board meeting.

If you or your representatives have any questions or comments regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Phillip A. Washington Chief Executive Officer

Attachment: Notice of Proposed Amendments to Measure R Expenditure Plan – June 2020 CC: LA Metro Board of Directors

ATTACHMENT C

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY PROVIDING NOTICE OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE MEASURE R SALES TAX ORDINANCE (#08-01) EXPENDITURE PLAN

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) Board of Directors adopted Ordinance #08-01 on July 24, 2008 that imposes a 0.5 percent transaction and use tax applicable in the county, pursuant to California Public Utilities Code 130350.5; and,

WHEREAS, Ordinance #08-01 includes an expenditure plan identifying the projects and programs to be funded by Measure R sales tax revenues and the schedule during which Metro anticipates such revenues will be available for each project and program; and,

WHEREAS, Public Utilities Code section 130350.5(k) specifies that no later than 365 days prior to the adoption of an amendment to the Measure R expenditure plan the Board shall notify the Members of the Legislature representing the County of Los Angeles of all of the following:

- (1) A description of the proposed amendments to the expenditure plan that would do any of the following:
 - (A) Affect the amount of Measure R net revenues that is proposed to be expended on a capital project or projects identified in the expenditure plan.
 - (B) Delay the schedule for the availability of funds proposed to be expended on a capital project or projects identified in the expenditure plan.
 - (C) Delay the schedule for the estimated or expected completion date of a capital project or projects identified in the expenditure plan.
- (2) The reason for the proposed amendment.
- (3) The estimated impact the proposed amendment will have on the schedule, cost, scope, or timely availability of funding for the capital project or projects contained in the expenditure plan.

WHEREAS, section 130350.5(l) specifies that the notification required pursuant to subdivision (k) shall be achieved by resolution adopted by the Metro Board; and,

WHEREAS, this Resolution provides notice to the Members of the Legislature representing the County of Los Angeles of the proposed amendments to the Measure R expenditure plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF METRO DOES RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. At a meeting on June 25, 2020, the Metro Board considered proposed language that amends the Measure R expenditure plan and affects the amount of net revenues to be expended by reducing the amount on an existing capital project listed on the expenditure plan and increasing funding for a newly created capital project.

SECTION 2. No sooner than 365 days after providing the statutorily required notice to Members of the Legislature, the Metro Board intends to adopt the proposed amendments to the Measure R expenditure plan described in the Metro Board report #2020-0334, attached hereto as Attachment A.

SECTION 2. The information provided to Members of the Legislature pursuant to section 130350.5(k) is included in Attachment A.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be mailed to each of the Members of the Legislature representing the County of Los Angeles.

I certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by a majority vote of all members of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Board of Directors, at its meeting held on the 25th day of June, 2020.

MICHELE JACKSON Metro Board Secretary

ATTACHMENT A

METRO BOARD REPORT #2020-0334

JUNE 25, 2020

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority One Gateway Plaza 3rd Floor Board Room Los Angeles, CA



Board Report

File #: 2020-0334, File Type: Plan

Agenda Number: 7.

PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE JUNE 17, 2020 EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE JUNE 18, 2020

SUBJECT: MEASURE R AMENDMENT LANGUAGE

ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATION

CONSIDER:

A. APPROVING the Measure R Ordinance Proposed Amendment Language (Attachment A); and,

B. ADOPTING the Resolution Notifying the State Legislature of the Amendment (Attachment C).

<u>ISSUE</u>

This Board item presents proposed amendments and changes to the Measure R Ordinance (the Ordinance) to allow transfers between the highway and transit subfunds, and adds a project requested by a subregion. Board approval of this item will allow the amendment language to be presented at a public hearing, noticed to the required governing bodies, and reviewed by the Measure R Oversight Committee, which are steps required under the Ordinance prior to Board adoption of the amendment. The Public Utilities Code also requires that Metro adopt a resolution notifying the state legislature of the amendment.

BACKGROUND

The Ordinance identifies the allowable uses for the 0.5% countywide sales tax that funds Metro capital projects and transit operations. The Ordinance created both transit and highway capital subfunds that receive a percentage of the Measure R sales tax revenue and fund the capital projects listed on the Expenditure Plan (Attachment A of the Ordinance).

The Measure R Ordinance can be amended upon two-thirds vote of the Board. However, any amendment to provide for a transfer of moneys between the highway and transit subfunds can only occur every ten years, beginning 2020.

File #: 2020-0334, File Type: Plan

In anticipation of the first allowable transfer amendment, staff notified the Board in November 2019 and began a process to inform and reach out to stakeholders including Metro staff, Board staff, subregional councils, Policy Advisory Council, and the public at-large.

Staff distributed an information letter to all known interested parties in February 2020 that described when a transfer might be considered and included draft amendment language, and through April 2020 has responded to all questions received and to requests to attend subregional council meetings.

DISCUSSION

The South Bay subregion has submitted the only actionable requests for the amendment. South Bay has asked that the remaining Measure R funding allocated to the South Bay Highway Program is reduced and transferred for a new transit program, and that the Ordinance allow for future transfers through 2030 without the need of a subsequent amendment. No other requested amendments or changes were offered.

The amount of the transfer differs from the amount initially requested by South Bay. The subregion's governing body, the South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCOG) originally approved a request of \$560,000,000 in November 2019 to transfer from highways to transit. SBCCOG staff subsequently requested this amount be reduced to \$400,000,000 to provide for additional highway projects, and account for amounts already expended, programmed by the Metro Board, or contractually committed.

The following proposed changes to the Ordinance are therefore included. It would add a new Section 18 to the Ordinance. In addition, a mark-up of the affected sections of the Expenditure Plan is included as Attachment A.

Section 18.0 TRANSFERRING NET REVENUES BETWEEN SUBFUNDS

a. Net Revenues not to exceed \$400,000,000 shall be transferred from the Highway Capital Subfund to the Transit Capital Subfund no later than January 2030 for use on eligible Transit Capital Projects within the South Bay subregion. The amount of Net Revenues for the "Interstate 405, I-110, I-105, and SR-91 Ramp and Interchange Improvements (South Bay)" project on line 33 in Attachment A is reduced from \$906,000,000 to \$506,000,000. The "South Bay Transit Investments" project is added to the Transit Capital Projects as shown in Amended Attachment A.

b. Any surplus Net Revenues under Section 7(*d*)(4) may be transferred from the Transit Capital Subfund to the Highway Capital Subfund no later than January 2030 for one or more Highway Projects within the same subregion as the completed Transit Project.

c. Any surplus Net Revenues under Section 7(e)(4) may be transferred from the Highway Capital Subfund to the Transit Capital Subfund no later than January 2030 for one or more Transit Projects within the same subregion as the completed Highway Project.

Impact to South Bay Highway Program

The South Bay Highway Program has existed since the passage of Measure R in 2008, and the Metro Board has programmed \$238,207,000 to the South Bay subregion through January 2020 for eligible highway projects. The SBCCOG approved an additional request in March 2020 for \$230,835,278 of expenditures. Much of the previously programmed, expended, and newly requested funds are for planning and design, and do not include construction. The table included as Attachment B lists those South Bay Highway Program projects that will require future construction funding. Total construction costs for these projects are estimated at \$412,700,000. A 15% contingency would add another \$61,905,000. The transfer of \$400,000,000 from the South Bay Highway Program to a new transit program will eliminate construction funding for the previously-approved highway projects that have or will have completed pre-construction work. If the construction of these highway projects is ultimately pursued when funding is obtained, it may require that environmental and or design work is redone given the time lapsed.

The South Bay COG's position regarding the Measure R Transfer impact on the South Bay Highway Program is predicated on the fact that when Measure R SBHP was first created, it funded early phases (such as environmental and design phases) of Caltrans projects to strategically position them for outside funding for right-of-way and construction. The COG's position on the Measure R Transfer does not preclude Caltrans from seeking SBHP/MSP funding for those later phases but does not guarantee any funding support past PSE. The SBCCOG will work alongside Caltrans to secure those additional funds and help lobby Sacramento legislators.

The South Bay subregion also receives funding from the Measure M "Highway Operational Improvements" multi-year subregional program and this could potentially be used to pay for the Measure R unfunded construction projects. This multi-year subregional program will provide about \$13,000,000 of new funding for FY 2024. Funding in FY 2025 for the multi-year subregional program is expected to decline as the growth rate is tied to Metro's financial forecast, which will be lowered due to the current decrease in sales tax revenue caused by the global pandemic. In comparison, the construction need is \$412,700.000 (excluding contingency) for the Measure R South Bay Highway Program and an additional \$120,000,000 for new highway projects added to the multi-year subregional program by SBCCOG.

Potential Future Amendments

Other potential amendments were considered, including those for the transfer of highway and transit Contingency to address future debt service, and for the use of surplus on Measure R projects that have yet to complete construction. Staff recommends that these potential transfers are deferred until after 2030 when the sales tax is nearer to its sunset and after projects are fully closed-out.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

This is an informational item and does not have a direct financial impact.

File #: 2020-0334, File Type: Plan

Impact to Budget

There is no direct impact to the FY20 budget.

Multi-Year Impact

This item may result in a more rapid expenditure of Measure R funds. The balance of Measure R South Bay Highway Program funds that are subject to the transfer did not have identified uses; however, the subregion has identified transit uses for much of the amount and this may result in more Measure R debt financing.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

This item helps ensure fiscal responsibility in how funding determinations are made and transparency in the agency's investment decisions (Goal #5).

NEXT STEPS

Should the Board approve the transfer amendment language, staff will initiate public and local government notice, schedule a public meeting and review by the Proposition R Independent Taxpayers Oversight Committee of Metro in September 2020.

Metro staff will develop guidelines for the use of the newly-created Measure R transit program that include eligibility criteria consistent with the Ordinance and existing Board policy, and determination of funding amounts.

The proposed amendment language would change the amount of funding for projects on the Expenditure Plan. Per Public Utilities Code Section 130350.5(k), this requires notification to the state legislature, no later than 365 days prior to the adoption of the amendment. Pursuant to the Code, the notification shall be in the form of a resolution adopted by the Metro Board. The resolution is included as Attachment C.

Upon completion of the 365-day notice period, Metro staff will schedule a formal amendment of the Ordinance for Board adoption, expected in July 2021. The amendment will require 2/3 Board approval.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - Expenditure Plan Mark-Up Attachment B - South Bay Highway Program Unfunded Construction Projects Attachment C - Resolution Notifying the State Legislature of the Amendment

Prepared by: Craig Hoshijima, DEO, Countywide Planning & Development, (213) 418-3384 Laurie Lombardi, SEO, Countywide Planning & Development, (213) 418-3251

Reviewed by: James de la Loza, Chief Planning Officer, (213) 922-2920

File #: 2020-0334, File Type: Plan

Agenda Number: 7.

Phillip A. Washington Chief Executive Officer

Proposed One-Half Cent Sales Tax for Transportation: Expenditure Plan

30 Years, Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 - 2039

As Adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Board of Directors July 24, 2008 and Amended _____, 2021 (\$ in millions)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			I	New Sales 1	Гах	(Assembl	yВ	ill 2321)			Ot	her Fun	ds			
priority order Subfund	niniane	Potential Project in Alphabetical Order by Category (project definition depends on final environmental process)		Cost Estimate		Minimum		Additional		Total		Federal State Funding Funding		Local Funding (Rail is 3% except as noted)		Funds Available Beginning	Expected Completion	
		Transit Projects:New Rail and/or Bus Rapid Transit	t Ca	pital Proje	cts.0	Could inclue	de r	ail improv	/em	ents or ex	clus	ive bu	s ra	pid tran	sit	improven	nents in desig	nated corrid
			Es	scalated \$														
		Eastside Light Rail Access (Gold Line)	\$	30	\$	30	\$	-	\$	30	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	FY 2010	FY 2013
		Exposition Boulevard Light Rail Transit	\$	1,632 ^a	\$	925	\$	-	\$	925	\$	-	\$	353	\$	354	FY 2010-12	FY 2013-1
		Metro and Municipal Regional Clean Fuel Bus Capital Facilities and Rolling Stock (Metro's share to be used for clean fuel buses)	\$	150	\$	150	\$	-	\$	150	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	FY 2010	FY 2039
		Regional Connector (links local rail lines)	\$	1,320	\$	160	\$	-	\$	160	\$	708	\$	186	\$	266 ^b	FY 2014-16	FY 2023-2
				Current 2008 \$							·							
t S	riojecis	Crenshaw Transit Corridor - project acceleration	\$	1,470	\$	235.5	\$	971.5	\$	1,207					\$	263 ^c	FY 2010-12	FY 2016-1
Proje		Gold Line Eastside Extension	\$	1,310	\$	-	\$	1,271	\$	1,271					\$	39	FY 2022-24	FY 2033-3
Canital	apılaı	Gold Line Foothill Light Rail Transit Extension	\$	758	\$	735	\$	-	\$	735					\$	23	FY 2010-12	FY 2015-
Transit C	USIL C	Green Line Extension to Los Angeles International Airport	\$	200	\$	-	\$	200	\$	200	To be determined					TBD ^d	FY 2010-12	FY 2015-2
Ц	Ira	South Bay Corridor	\$	280	\$	-	\$	272	\$	272						8	FY 2028-30	FY 2033-3
		San Fernando Valley I-405 Corridor Connection (match to total project cost)		TBD	\$	-	\$	1,000	\$	1,000						31	FY 2030-32	FY 2038-
		San Fernando Valley North-South Rapidways (Canoga Corridor) - project acceleration	\$	188	\$	32 ^e	\$	150	\$	182					\$	6	FY 2010-12	FY 2014-
		San Fernando Valley East North-South Rapidways - project acceleration	\$	70	\$	68.5 ^e	\$	-	\$	68.5					\$	2	FY 2013-15	FY 2016-
		West Santa Ana Branch Corridor (match to total project cost)		TBD	\$	-	\$	240	\$	240				\$	7	FY 2015-17*	FY 2025-2	
		Westside Subway Extension - to be opened in segments	\$	4,200 f	\$	900	\$	3,174	\$	4,074						126	FY 2013-15	FY 2034-3
<u>.</u>		South Bay Transit Investments	\$ \$	<u> </u>	\$	-	\$	_	\$ \$	500 400	\$ - \$ -		_	\$		As funds be	come availal	
		Capital Project Contingency (Transit)-Escalation Allowance for lines 8-17 to be based on year of construction	\$	7,331	\$	173	\$	3,103	\$	3,276	\$	2,200	\$	1,015	\$	840 g	FY 2010	FY 2039
Tot	tal	New Rail and/or Bus Rapid Transit Capital Projects	\$	18,939 ^h 19,439 19,339	\$	3,408.5	\$	10,381.5	\$	13,790 14,290 14,190	\$	2,908	\$	1,554	\$	1,965	FY 2010	FY 2039

Proposed One-Half Cent Sales Tax for Transportation: Expenditure Plan

30 Years, Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 - 2039

As Adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Board of Directors July 24, 2008 and Amended _____, 2021 (\$ in millions)

	,			N	lew Sales	Гах	(Assemb	ly B	ill 2321)			Oth	er Fu	nds		As funds be FY 2010	
Subfund	Potential Project in Alphabetical Order by Category (project definition depends on final environmental process)		Cost Estimate	N	linimum	Ad	lditional		Total		ederal Inding	Funding (Rail is 39		Funding Rail is 3% except as	Available	Expected Completior	
	Highway Projects: Capital Projects - Carpool Lan	es, H	lighways, C	Good	ls Moveme	nt, G	Grade Sep	oara	tions, and	Soι	ındwall	s					
		Es	scalated \$			1		1		1				-			
	Alameda Corridor East Grade Separations Phase II	\$	1,123	\$	200	\$	200	\$	400	\$	200	\$	336	\$	187 ⁱ	As funds be	come availab
	BNSF Grade Separations in Gateway Cities	\$	35	\$	-	\$	35	\$	35	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	As funds be	come availab
	Countywide Soundwall Construction (Metro regional list and Monterey Park/SR-60)	\$	250	\$	250	\$	-	\$	250	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	FY 2010	FY 2039
	High Desert Corridor (environmental)	\$	33	\$	-	\$	33	\$	33	\$	-	\$		\$	-	As funds be	come availab
	Interstate 5 / St. Route 14 Capacity Enhancement	\$	161	\$	90.8	\$	-		90.8	\$	15	\$	41	\$	₁₄ j	FY 2010	FY 2013-1
	Interstate 5 Capacity Enhancement from I-605 to Orange County Line	\$	1,240	\$	264.8	\$	-	\$	264.8	\$	78	\$	834	\$	₆₃ j	FY 2010	FY 2016-1
cts	I-5 Capacity Enhancement from SR-134 to SR-170	\$	610	\$	271.5	\$	-	\$	271.5	\$	50	\$	264	\$	24 j	FY 2010	FY 2013
Projects	I-5 Carmenita Road Interchange Improvement	\$	389	\$	138	\$	-	\$	138	\$	97	\$	154	\$	j	FY 2010	FY 2015
pital			Current 2008 \$														
vay Ca	Highway Operational Improvements in Arroyo Verdugo subregion	\$	170	\$	-	\$	170	\$	170								
Highway	Highway Operational Improvements in Las Virgenes/Malibu subregion	\$	175	\$	-	\$	175	\$	175	1							
	Interstate 405, I-110, I-105, and SR-91 Ramp and Interchange Improvements (South Bay)	\$	906	\$	-	\$ \$ \$	906 406 506	\$ \$ \$	906 406 506	To be determined							
	Interstate 5 North Capacity Enhancements from SR- 14 to Kern County Line (Truck Lanes)	\$	2,800	\$	-	\$	410	-	410								
	Interstate 605 Corridor "Hot Spot" Interchanges	\$	2,410	\$	-	\$	590	\$	590		IC	bbe	deter	nine	a	As funds be	come avallat
	Interstate 710 North Gap Closure (tunnel)	\$	3,730	\$	-	\$	780	\$	780								
	Interstate 710 South and/or Early Action Projects	\$	5,460	\$	-	\$	590	\$	590								
	State Route 138 Capacity Enhancements	\$	270	\$	-	\$	200	\$	200								
	Capital Project Contingency (Highway)-Escalation Allowance for lines 31-38 to be based on year of construction	\$	2,575	\$	-	\$	2,575.9										
High	al Capital Projects Highway: Carpool Lanes, hways, Goods Movements, Grade Separations, and ndwalls	\$	22,337	\$	1,215.1	\$	6,664.9 6,164.9 6,264.9	\$	— 7,880 — 7,380 7,480		TBD		TBD	\$	288	FY 2010	FY 2039

Proposed One-Half Cent Sales Tax for Transportation: Expenditure Plan

30 Years, Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 - 2039

As Adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Board of Directors July 24, 2008 and Amended _____, 2021 (\$ in millions)

		,		New Sales Tax (Assembly Bill 2321) Other Funds								nds]		
for reference only - not priority order	Subfund	Operating and Capital Programs	Percent of New Sales Tax Net Revenues		Minimum		Additional		Total Escalated	ł	Federal Funding	State Funding (Rail is 3% except as noted)		Funds Available Beginning	Expected Completion
41	Ops	Bus Operations (Countywide Bus Service Operations, Maintenance, and Expansion. Suspend a scheduled July 1, 2009 Metro fare increase for one year and freeze all Metro Student, Senior, Disabled, and Medicare fares through June 30, 2013 by instead using Metro's Formula Allocation Procedure share of this subfund.)	20%	\$	-	\$	7,880	\$	7,880	k				FY 2010	FY 2039
42	Ops	Rail Operations (New Transit Project Operations and Maintenance)	5%	\$	-	\$	1,970	\$	1,970	k		Not Applic	ahla	FY 2010	FY 2039
43	Local Return	Major street resurfacing, rehabilitation and reconstruction; pothole repair; left turn signals; bikeways; pedestrian improvements; streetscapes; signal synchronization; and transit.	15% ^I	\$	250	\$	5,660	\$	5,910	k				FY 2010	FY 2039
44		Metro Rail Capital Projects - System Improvements, Rail Yards, and Rail Cars	2%	\$	-	\$	788	\$	788	k				FY 2010	FY 2039
45	Tran. Cap.	Metrolink Capital Improvement Projects within Los Angeles County (Operations, Maintenance, and Expansion)	3%	\$	70	\$	1,112	\$	1,182	k				FY 2010	FY 2039
46		Subtotal Transit and Highway Capital Projects	\$ 41,276 ^m	\$	4,623.6	\$	17,046	\$	21,670		\$ 2,908	\$ 1,554	\$ 2,253	FY 2010	FY 2039
47		Subtotal page 4		\$ 320.0		\$	17,410	\$	\$ 17,730			Not Anni-			
48		1.5% for Administration	N/A	\$ 10		\$ 590		\$ 600				Not Applic	able	FY 2010	FY 2039
49		Total		\$	4,953.6	\$	35,046	\$	40,000		\$ 2,908	\$ 1,554	\$ 2,253	FY 2010	FY 2039

REVISED, INCLUDING MOTION 7.1 #2020-0418 ATTACHMENT A

Proposed One-Half Cent Sales Tax for Transportation: Expenditure Plan 30 Years, Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 - 2039

As Adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Board of Directors July 24, 2008 and Amended _____, 2021 (\$ in millions)

Notes:

- a. The Exposition Blvd Light Rail Transit project includes the following funds: Prop 1B Transit Modernization funds (\$250 M), State Transportation Improvement Program funds (\$103 M), Metro Propositions A and C funds (\$354 M).
- b. Systemwide ridership forecasts indicate need for a Regional Connector downtown. This expenditure plan assumes that Metro Long Range Transportation Plan funds freed-up from the Exposition Phase II project by passage of this sales tax will be redirected to the Regional Connector project by the Metro Board.
- c. Local funding for the Crenshaw Transit Corridor assumes a 3% local contribution (\$44 M) and a Metro Long Range Transportation Plan contribution (\$219 M).
- d. Local funding target and project schedule to be determined due to potential LAX contribution. First segment is included in the Crenshaw project.
- e. The San Fernando Valley North-South Rapidways minimum of \$100 M is divided between the East and Canoga segments.
- f. Unescalated cost estimate to Westwood.
- g. Assumes a 3% local contribution to the Escalation Allowance (\$225 M) and a Metro Long Range Transportation Plan contribution for project scheduling risk (\$615 M).
- h. Total new rail and/or bus rapid transit capital projects cost estimate subject to change when cost estimates are developed for the San Fernando Valley I-405 Corridor Connection (line 13) and the West Santa Ana Branch Corridor (line 16).
- i. The precise amounts of Federal and local funding for the Alameda Corridor East Grade Separations Phase II project are subject to change.
- j. For projects funded from other sources on or before December 31, 2008, the funds freed-up by passage of this sales tax shall remain in the subregion in which the project is located for projects or programs of regional significance (per AB 2321).
- k. Amounts are estimates. Actual amounts will be based on percentage of actual sales tax receipts net of administration.
- I. Local Return to the incorporated cities within Los Angeles County and to Los Angeles County for the unincorporated area of the County on a per capita basis per annual California Department of Finance population data.
- m. The total project cost estimate for the transit and highway capital projects of \$41.2 B includes \$12.9 B in as yet unidentified federal, state, local, and public-private partnership funds for highway projects.
- n. The South Bay Transit Projects listed below, depending on readiness, could be included with South Bay Highway projects submitted to Metro in the FY 2022 Metro Budget Request development process by October 31, 2020. Anticipated available funding could then be accessed as early as July 2021.
 - 1. Carson Circuit Fashion Outlet Regional Transit Center
 - 2. GTrans Purchase of up to 15 expansion buses
 - 3. GTrans Solar Energy Generation/Bus Fueling Infrastructure Project
 - 4. Beach Cities Transit: Transit Operations & Maintenance Facility
 - 5. Torrance Transit Return of the Red Car Urban Circulator Trolley
 - 6. Torrance Transit Expansion Buses
 - 7. Torrance Transit Regional Transit Center Parking Structure
 - 8. Torrance Transit MicroTransit Expansion of the Torrance Community Transit Program
 - 9. Torrance Transit Construction of Heavy-Duty Electric Vehicle Charging Station
 - 10.City of Inglewood: Inglewood Transit Connector Project
- Legend: Ops = Operations; Tran. Cap. = Transit Capital; SR = State Route; I = Interstate
 - * The West Santa Ana Branch matching funds would be accelerated by utilizing Long Range Transportation Plan resources freed-up by the use of new sales tax funds on the Interstate 5 Capacity Enhancement from I-605 to Orange County Line project (line 27).

Measure R South Bay Highway Program (Interstate 405, I-110, I-105, and SR-91 Ramp and Interchange Improvements (South Bay)) Unfunded Construction Projects (\$ in thousands)

		Amount grammed	stimated nstruction
Lead Agency	Project Description	:l. Jun '20)	Cost
Carson/Metro	Upgrade Traffic Control Signals at the Intersection of Figueroa St and 234th St. and Figueroa and 228th st.	\$ 150	\$ 400
El Segundo	Park Place Roadway Extension and Railroad Grade Separation Project	\$ 5,350	\$ 51,500
Hawthorne	El Segundo Blvd Improvements Project Phase II	\$ 600	\$ 1,400
Hawthorne	120th St Improvements Crenshaw Blvd to Felton Ave	\$ 600	\$ 1,400
LA City	Alameda St. (South) Widening frm. Anaheim St. to Harry Bridges Blvd	\$ 2,875	\$ 15,000
LA City	Alameda St. (East) Widening Project	\$ 3,580	\$ 10,000
Metro	I-405 Improvements from I-105 to Artesia Blvd	\$ 17,381	\$ 120,000
Metro	I-405 Improvements from I-110 to Wilmington	\$ 17,400	\$ 120,000
Metro	I-405 N/B Aux Lane (Imperial Hwy to El Segundo)	\$ 14,000	\$ 80,000
Torrance	PCH at Crenshaw Blvd Intersection Imp	\$ 500	\$ 13,000
Total		\$ 62,286	\$ 412,700
	15% Construction Capital Contingency		\$ 61,905