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## Executive Summary

## Sales Tax by Source

The LAEDC estimated the sources of sales tax revenue in Los Angeles County based on the type of party paying the sales tax. Specifically, we divided total taxable sales and corresponding sales tax payments in Los Angeles County into three categories-purchases by residents, tourists, and businesses.

Estimated Sales Tax by Source in LA County, 2006

| Source | Sales Tax <br> (\$billions) | \% of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LA Residents' Purchases (est.) | $\$ 4.70$ | $41.8 \%$ |
| Tourist Purchases (est.) | $\$ 0.40$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Business Purchases (est.) | $\$ 6.14$ | $54.6 \%$ |
| Total Sales-Taxable Purchases | $\$ 11.24$ | $100 \%$ |

Sources: State Board of Equalization, BLS, LA Inc, LAEDC
The LAEDC estimates that LA county residents' purchases of sales-taxable goods generated $\$ 4.70$ billion in sales tax revenues in 2006, $41.8 \%$ of the county total-based on average consumer spending patterns (see Table 2 and Table 3). Tourist purchases generated an estimated $\$ 400$ million in sales taxes- $3.6 \%$ of the county total-based on visitor counts and estimated tourist spending (see Table 4). Subtracting the taxes paid by residents and tourists from the county total, taxable business purchases generated and estimated $\$ 6.14$ billion in sales tax, $54.6 \%$ of the total sales tax revenue.

## Impact on Residents of a 0.5\% Sales Tax Increase

LA County residents spent an estimated $\$ 62.0$ billion on taxable purchases, an average of $\$ 19,000$ per household and $\$ 6,100$ per person, based on 3.26 million households and 10.16 million residents in LA County in 2006. Using an $8.25 \%$ rate, households in the county paid an average of $\$ 1,440$ in sales taxes, and individuals paid $\$ 462$. The effect of a $0.5 \%$ sales tax increase is shown in the table below.

| The Cost of $0.5 \%$ Sales Tax Increase per LA Resident, 2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Rate | Total Spending for <br> Taxable Products | Purchases <br> (Net of Tax) | Sales Tax <br> Paid |
| @ $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 6,108$ | $\$ 5,645$ | $\$ 462$ |
| @ $8.75 \%$ | $\$ 6,108$ | $\$ 5,620$ | $\$ 487$ |
| $0.5 \%$ Increase | No Change | $-\$ 25$ | $\$ 25$ |

*Excludes tax payment Sources: BLS, CA Dep. of Finance, LAEDC

Raising the tax rate and assuming no change in income or spending patterns will require a reallocation of money from net purchases to sales tax paid. In this case, taxes paid annually by residents will increase by an average of $\$ 25$ per person (about $\$ 80$ per household).

## LA County Taxable Sales

The total value of taxable sales transactions in Los Angeles County during 2006 was $\$ 136.16$ billion, which produced $\$ 11.23$ billion in sales tax revenue. Table 1 provides further details, breaking out the sales-taxable transactions by general type of business.

| Table 1 <br> Taxable Sales in LA County by Type of Business, 2006 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Business | Taxable Transactions <br> (billions) | Sales Tax <br> (billions) | \% of Total |  |
| Retail Stores | $\$ 95.55$ | $\$ 7.88$ | $70 \%$ |  |
| Business and Personal Services | $\$ 5.39$ | $\$ 0.44$ | $4 \%$ |  |
| All Other Outlets | $\$ 35.22$ | $\$ 2.90$ | $26 \%$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 6 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |

Source: State Board of Equalization, 2006
The largest amount of sales-taxable transactions took place at retail stores, accounting for $\$ 95.55$ billion in 2006 and yielding $\$ 7.88$ billion in sales taxes, or $70 \%$ of the total sales tax revenue. Taxable transactions at business and personal services firms were $\$ 5.39$ billion, generating about $\$ 440$ million in sales taxes ( $4 \%$ of the total). Sales-taxable purchases and the associated sales taxes for all other outlets were $\$ 35.22$ billion and $\$ 2.90$ billion respectively, $26 \%$ of the total.

The LAEDC estimated the sales-taxable portion of average annual expenditures per household ${ }^{1}$ in Los Angeles County based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Expenditure Survey. The findings are presented in Table 2.

| Table 2 <br> Average Annual Expenditures in LA County , 2006 <br> (Per Household) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total Expenditure ${ }^{*}$ | Taxable <br> Portion | Estimated Taxable Sales* |
| Food | \$7,222 |  |  |
| Food at home | \$3,873 | 0\% | \$0 |
| Food away from home | \$3,349 | 100\% | \$3,349 |
| Housing | \$21,190 |  |  |
| Shelter | \$14,312 | 0\% | \$0 |
| Utilities, fuels, and public services | \$2,996 | 0\% | \$0 |
| Household operations | \$1,235 | 0\% | \$0 |
| Housekeeping supplies | \$643 | 100\% | \$643 |
| Household furnishings and equipment | \$2,004 | 100\% | \$2,004 |
| Transportation | \$10,716 |  |  |
| Vehicle purchases (net outlay) | \$4,443 | 100\% | \$4,443 |
| Gasoline and motor oil | \$2,566 | 100\% | \$2,566 |
| Other vehicle expenses | \$2,980 | 25\% | \$745 |
| Public transportation | \$727 | 0\% | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Alcoholic beverages | \$475 | 100\% | \$475 |
| Apparel and services | \$2,396 | 90\% | \$2,156 |
| Healthcare | \$2,316 | 0\% | \$0 |
| Entertainment | \$2,743 | 50\% | \$1,372 |
| Personal care products and services | \$815 | 75\% | \$611 |
| Reading | \$141 | 100\% | \$141 |
| Education | \$1,127 | 7\% | \$79 |
| Tobacco products and smoking supplies | \$199 | 100\% | \$199 |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$1,014 | 25\% | \$254 |
| Cash contributions | \$1,710 | 0\% | \$0 |
| Average Annual Expenditure | \$58,404 | 35\% | \$19,000** |

*Figures include the sales taxes paid
**May not sum due to rounding
Source: BLS, Consumer Expenditure Survey 2005-2006; LAEDC

[^0]In the food section, we considered $100 \%$ of the food purchased away from home to be sales-taxable, which was over $\$ 3,300$ per consumer unit, according to the Consumer Expenditure Survey, 2005-2006.

Out of five housing expenditure categories only housekeeping supplies and household furnishings \& equipments are sales-taxable (we assume $100 \%$ ), accounting for over $\$ 2,600$ per household living in Los Angeles County.

Many transportation related expenditures are sales-taxable, including vehicles, gasoline \& motor oil purchases ( $100 \%$ sales taxable). We assume only $25 \%$ of other vehicle expenses are taxable (mostly replacement parts).

Most other expenditure categories shown in the lower section of Table 2 are at least partially sales-taxable with the exception of healthcare and cash contributions. Alcoholic beverages, reading materials, and tobacco related products are $100 \%$ sales taxable. In addition, the taxable portions of entertainment, apparel \& services, and personal care products \& services are $90 \%, 50 \%$, and $75 \%$ respectively. Also, we assume that $25 \%$ of miscellaneous services are sales taxable in Los Angeles County, depending on the type of service they provide. Finally, purchases of textbooks and supplies are about $7 \%$ of total educational expenses.

Overall, out of $\$ 58,404$ in average annual spending per consumer unit, the LAEDC estimated that $\$ 19,000$ is sales-taxable, which is roughly one third of the total.

Next, we multiplied the estimated taxable spending per household by the total number of households in Los Angeles County to produce an estimate of sales taxes paid by LA residents, as shown in Table 3.

| Table 3 <br> Estimated Sales Taxes Paid by LA County Residents, 2006 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Number of households in LA County |  |  |
| Average annual sales-taxable spending per household | $3,260,000$ |  |
| Total sales-taxable spending (includes sales taxes paid) | $\$ 19,000$ |  |
| Sales Tax Paid by LA County Residents | $\$ 62,000,000,000$ |  |

*Average of January 1, 2006 and January 1, 2007 household numbers Source: California Department of Finance, BLS, LAEDC

There are 3.26 million households in Los Angeles County. Multiplying the average salestaxable spending per household by the number of households in Los Angeles County suggests annual sales-taxable spending of $\$ 62$ billion, and $\$ 4.70$ billion in paid sales taxes.

The LAEDC also estimated the value of sales taxes paid by visitors to Los Angeles County in 2006. We started with the total number of overnight visitors (from LA Inc). Then we used an estimated breakdown of visitors' spending, also from LA Inc, to identify the sales taxable categories. In practice, this meant excluding spending for hotels and most of transportation ( $90 \%$ tax exempt).

In 2006, there were 25.4 million total visitors in Los Angeles County-20.7 million domestic visitors from elsewhere in the US and 4.7 million international visitors, as shown in the upper section of Table 4.

| Table 4 <br> Estimated Sales Taxes Paid by Tourists Visiting LA County in 2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tourists |  |  |  |
| Domestic Visitors |  | 20.7 million |  |
| International Visitors |  | 4.7 million |  |
| Total |  | 25.4 million |  |
| Tourist Spending |  |  |  |
|  | Total Spending (\$billions) | Taxable Spending (\$billions) | Sales Tax (\$billions) |
| Domestic Visitors | \$9.5 | \$3.4 | \$0.26 |
| International Visitors | \$4.0 | \$1.9 | \$0.14 |
| Total | \$13.5 | \$5.3 | \$0.40 |

Source: LA Inc, LAEDC
Together, domestic and international visitors spent $\$ 13.5$ billion ( $\$ 5.3$ billion for taxable purchases) and paid $\$ 400$ million in sales taxes within Los Angeles County in 2006. The lower section of Table 4 shows total spending and estimated sales taxes paid by domestic and international tourists visiting LA County in 2006.

Domestic tourists spent an estimated $\$ 9.5$ billion, of which $\$ 3.4$ billion was sales taxable, suggesting those visitors paid $\$ 260$ million in sales tax in 2006.

International tourists visiting Los Angeles County during 2006 spent an estimated $\$ 4.0$ billion, $\$ 1.9$ billion of which was taxable, suggesting they paid an estimated $\$ 140$ million in sales tax.

NOTE: The figures for visitors are conservative. In particular, they exclude spending by day trip visitors to Los Angeles County from elsewhere in California, Arizona, and Nevada.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The BLS data reflect spending averages per "consumer unit". The concept is similar to the more commonly used "household".

