CITY OF GLENDORA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2018 AND 2017

AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

CITY OF GLENDORA TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	9
Schedule of Capital Assets	10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet	15
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance	16
Supplementary Information:	10
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	10
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	19
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

CITY OF GLENDORA TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

EXIT CONFERENCE	37
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	35
Compliance Matrix	31
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29







CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Glendora, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Glendora, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, and Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) (the Fund) of the City of Glendora, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Glendora, California, as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and MMLRF of the City of Glendora, California, as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Glendora, California, as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 12, 2018, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California November 12, 2018

Simpson & Simpson

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2018	2017
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 804,284	\$ 619,220
Accounts receivable	1,700	-
Interest receivable	1,774	1,748
Due from Transit System Fund (Note 8)		61,000
Total assets	\$ 807,758	\$ 681,968
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 85,633	\$ 15,903
Total liabilities	85,633	15,903
Fund Balance		
Restricted	722,125	666,065
Total fund balance	722,125	666,065
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 807,758	\$ 681,968

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018	2017
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 976,722	\$ 939,064
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 9)	57,904	-
Interest income	12,139	9,526
Unrealized loss on investments	(8,730)	(6,777)
Project generated revenue (Note 10)	57,212	5,530
Other revenue (Note 11)	1,700	
Total revenues	1,096,947	947,343
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	1,284,529	1,011,434
Total expenditures	1,284,529	1,011,434
OTHER FINANCING SOURCE		
Transfer-In from Transit System Fund (Note 12)	243,642	
Total other financing source	243,642	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures and other financing source	56,060	(64,091)
Fund balance at beginning of year	666,065	730,156
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 722,125	\$ 666,065

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017)

		2018					
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget		Actual		Variance Favorable Infavorable)	2017 Actual
110-01	Fixed Route Service \$	299,552	\$	306,558	\$	(7,006) \$	-
130-01	Paratransit/Senior and Disabled	510,048		521,977		(11,929)	645,676
140-03	Special Event Transit	85,000		76,232		8,768	69,246
140-08	Special Event Coordinator	25,000		31,131		(6,131)	24,921
170-05	Bus Shelter Improvements	14,000		-		14,000	-
200-13	Vehicle Purchase	70,000		-		70,000	63,190
250-01	Bus Pass Subsidy Program	10,500		9,796		704	7,272
270-02	Council of Governments Annual Membership (COG)	6,000		6,000		-	5,533
270-07	Transportation Planning Superintendent/Contract Program Analyst	45,000		53,506		(8,506)	53,750
280-01	Transit Marketing	12,100		10,836		1,264	-
480-04	Administration Proposition A	172,000	*	268,493	_	(96,493)	141,846
	Total expenditures \$	1,249,200	\$	1,284,529	\$_	(35,329) \$	1,011,434

^{*} Metro subsequently approved the budget increase to \$235,000.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2018

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2017	 Additions	 Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2018
3/90	Computer	\$	1,869	\$ _	\$ -	\$ 1,869
2/90	Copier		1,703	-	-	1,703
1/00	Lighting Control		19,809	-	-	19,809
12/00	Firewall Software		6,533	-	-	6,533
4/16	GMC Arboc Low Floor GNG Bus		53,777	-	-	53,777
8/16	2017 MV-1 Mobility Venture	_	63,190	 -	-	63,190
	To	otal \$	146,881	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 146,881

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2018		2017
ASSETS	_		•	
Cash and investments	\$	1,764,210	\$	1,231,220
Interest receivable	-	6,834	,	4,980
Total assets	\$	1,771,044	\$	1,236,200
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	-
Total liabilities	-			
Fund Balance				
Restricted	-	1,771,044	·	1,236,200
Total fund balance	-	1,771,044	•	1,236,200
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,771,044	\$	1,236,200

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018	2017		
REVENUES				
Proposition C	\$ 809,339	\$ 779,714		
Interest income	29,730	24,292		
Unrealized loss on investments	(35,225)	(23,036)		
Total revenues	803,844	780,970		
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	269,000	954,229		
Total expenditures	269,000	954,229		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCE				
Transfer-in from General Fund (Note 13)		11,395		
Total other financing source		11,395		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures and other financing source	534,844	(161,864)		
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,236,200	1,398,064		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,771,044	\$ 1,236,200		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017)

			2018				
						Variance	
Project			Metro			Favorable	2017
Code	Project Name	_	Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
130-01	Paratransit/Senior and Disabled	\$	-	\$	_	\$ - 5	6 161,419
170-04	Bus Shelter Maintenance Personnel		125,000		156,213	(31,213)	156,144
270-02	Council of Governments Annual Membership (COG)		6,000		6,000	-	5,533
270-07	Transportation Planning Superintendent/Contract Program Analyst		45,000		53,506	(8,506)	53,750
440-15	Baseline Road Rehabilitation - Grand to Glendora		-		-	-	60,280
440-16	Grand Avenue Rehabilitation (Bennett to Leadora)		-		-	-	242,980
440-18	Loraine Avenue Street Improvements - Sierra Madre to Foothill		867,997		-	867,997	-
440-21	Traffic Signal Battery Backup		-		-	-	58,995
440-22	Lone Hill Phase I		475,000		-	475,000	-
440-23	Upgrade Traffic Signal Controllers		-		-	-	78,598
480-03	Administration Proposition C - Formerly						
	Transportation Superintendent	_	250,000		53,281	196,719	136,530
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,768,997	\$	269,000	\$ 1,499,997	954,229

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

T	20	2010
lline	3()	2018
Julic	-	2010

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2017	 Additions	Deletions	 6/30/2018
03/97	Paratransit Bus	\$	51,304	\$ - 5	5 -	\$ 51,304
06/97	Ericson Bus Radios		6,676	-	-	6,676
07/97	Radio Base		1,283	-	-	1,283
11/98	3/4 Ton Van		24,262	-	-	24,262
Various	Various Furnitures		4,215	-	-	4,215
01/99	High Pressure System		10,579	-	-	10,579
12/99	Paratransit Minibus		37,087	-	-	37,087
03/11	ADA Accessible Mini Van		45,219	-	-	45,219
02/12	11 Braun Entervan White		41,216	-	-	41,216
06/12	Route Match Software		29,447	-	-	29,447
12/12	Handicap Minivan		44,556	-	-	44,556
03/14	Braun Entervan		45,257	-	-	45,257
07/14	2014 Starcraft Ford All Star VN	_	21,470	 _	_	 21,470
		Total \$	362,571	\$ 	-	\$ 362,571

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2018	_	2017
ASSETS	_		_	_
Cash and investments	\$	590,296	\$	502,737
Interest receivable	_	1,843	_	1,517
Total assets	\$ =	592,139	\$ _	504,254
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities				
Accrued payroll liabilities	\$_	-	\$	13,792
Total liabilities	-	-	_	13,792
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	592,139	_	490,462
Total fund balance	-	592,139	_	490,462
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	592,139	\$ _	504,254

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018		2017
REVENUES			
Measure R	\$ 606,805	\$	584,338
Interest income	9,506		8,271
Unrealized loss on investments	(9,839)		(6,809)
Total revenues	606,472		585,800
EXPENDITURES			
Street Repair and Maintenance 1	504,795		621,658
Total expenditures	504,795		621,658
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	101,677		(35,858)
Fund balance at beginning of year	490,462		526,320
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 592,139	\$	490,462

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017)

				Variance			
Project			Metro			Favorable	2017
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance 1	\$	532,200 \$	504,795	\$	27,405 \$	492,385
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance 2	_	100,000	-		100,000	129,273
	Total expenditures S	\$_	632,200 \$	504,795	\$	127,405 \$	621,658

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2018

Date				Balance					Balance
Acquired	Description			7/1/2017	_	Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2018
	None		\$_	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$_	-
		Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEET

June 30

		2018
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$	496,170
Interest receivable	_	1,668
Total assets	\$ _	497,838
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accrued payroll liabilities	\$	9,240
Total liabilities	_	9,240
Fund Balance		
Restricted	_	488,598
Total fund balance		488,598
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	497,838

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30

		2018
REVENUES		
Measure M	\$	549,904
Interest income		5,429
Unrealized loss on investments		(10,876)
Total revenues	_	544,457
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	_	55,859
Total expenditures	_	55,859
Excess of revenues over expenditures		488,598
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	488,598

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

		2018					
						Variance	
Project		Metro				Favorable	
Code	Project Name	Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)	
1.05	Bike Lane Rehabilitation and Others \$	418,000	\$	-	\$	418,000	
1.05	Slurry Seal	198,000		-		198,000	
1.05	Lone Hill Avenue Street Rehabilitation	100,000		55,859		44,141	
1.05	Leadora Resurfacing - Design	220,000		-		220,000	
1.05	Leadora Resurfacing - Construction 255	363,889		-		363,889	
	Total expenditures \$	1,299,889	\$	55,859	\$	1,244,030	

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2018

Date					Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2017	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2018
	None			\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234) BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2018	2017
ASSETS		as restated
Due from Metro	\$ 34,107	\$ 2,842
Total assets	\$ 34,107	\$ 2,842
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities		
Due to the Capital Projects Fund	\$ 34,107	\$ 36,949
Total liabilities	34,107	36,949
Fund Balance		
Restricted		(34,107)
Total fund balance		(34,107)
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 34,107	\$ 2,842

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018	2017 as restated
REVENUES		as restated
TDA 3	\$ 34,107	\$ 90,798
Total revenues	34,107	90,798
EXPENDITURES San Dimas Wash Trail Project - Multi-use Trail Along the San		
Dimas Wash Flood Control Channel Design and Construction		51,161
Total expenditures	-	51,161
Excess of revenues over expenditures	34,107	39,637
Fund balance at beginning of year, as restated	(34,107)	(73,744)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$ (34,107)

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	<u>_</u>	Expenditures	_	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations								
San Dimas Wash Trail Project - Multi- use Trail Along the San Dimas Wash Flood Control Channel Design and Construction	2017-18	\$_	34,107	_\$_		\$_	34,107	Complete
Tota	1	\$_	34,107	\$_	_		34,107	
Fund balance at beginning of year, as restated							(34,107)	
Fund balance at end of year						\$_	-	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statement of revenue, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenue and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2018 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2018:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. The first revenue allocation was received in the current period. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 8 - DUE FROM TRANSIT SYSTEM FUND - PALRF

At June 30, 2017, the Due from Transit System Fund in the amount of \$61,000 under PALRF consists of reimbursements to be received by PALRF for the GASB 68 implementation adjustments recognized in fiscal year 2015. The Transit System Fund reimbursed PALRF in fiscal year 2018.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (Continued)

NOTE 9 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT - PALRF

The City entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreement with Metro to receive Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant for participating in the Consolidated National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

		NTD				
Agreement		Voluntary	MOU	A	moı	ınt
Date	Period	Reporting	Amount	Received		
				2018		<u>2017</u>
July 31, 2017	7/1/17 - 6/30/19	FY 2014-15	\$ 57,904	\$ 57,904	\$	-
			Total	\$ 57,904	\$	-

NOTE 10 - PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenue for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

	2018	2017
Transit Fares	\$ 46,743	\$
Bus Pass Subsidy	10,469	5,530
Total	\$ 57,212	\$ 5,530

NOTE 11 – OTHER REVENUE - PALRF

The other revenue of \$1,700 were funds received from the insurance company for the repair of one of the bus benches and replacement of trash cans caused by a car accident in March 2018.

NOTE 12 - TRANSFER-IN FROM TRANSIT SYSTEMS FUND - PALRF

The amount of \$243,642 represents a reimbursement from the City's Transit Systems Fund to PALRF to assist with the payment of expenditures related to PALRF projects in fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.

NOTE 13 - TRANSFER IN FROM GENERAL FUND - PCLRF

The amount of \$11,395 represents a reimbursement from the General Fund to PCLRF that was related to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 Finding No. 2016-001 for the administration costs exceeding more than twenty percent of its total PCLRF annual expenditures.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (Continued)

NOTE 14 – RESTATEMENT OF TDAA3F'S 2016 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2017 financial statements of TDAA3F were restated to report for certain expenditures for the San Dimas Wash Trail Project – Multi-Use Trail Along the San Dimas Wash Flood Control Channel Design and Construction, that were not reported in fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

	Due to		
	Capital		
	Projects Fund	Expenditures	Fund Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ -	\$ 14,212	\$ 2,842
Adjustment	36,949	36,949	(36,949)
Balance, as restated	\$ 36,949	\$ 51,161	\$ (34,107)

NOTE 15 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

	_	2018	2017
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$	-	\$ 24,005
FY 2015-16 allocation		-	32,688
FY 2016-17 allocation		-	34,105
FY 2017-18 allocation	_	34,107	
Total payment requested	\$	34,107	\$ 90,798

NOTE 16 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2018 and 2017, the City has no funds on reserve.

NOTE 17 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2018 through November 12, 2018, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.





Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Glendora, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Glendora, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 12, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpon & Simpon

November 12, 2018





MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

U.S. BANK TOWER
633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS
ANGELES, CA 90071
(213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE
(213) 736-6692 FAX
www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Glendora, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Glendora, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2018.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding Nos. 2018-001 and 2018-002. Our opinion on each local return program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 12, 2018

Simpson & Simpson

CITY OF GLENDORA COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2018

Compliance Requirement		In (In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A			
A. Pı	coposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls					
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X			None		
2.	Timely use of funds.	X			None		
3.	Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.	X			None		
4.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended project Description Form (Form A).		X		None	See Finding No. 2018- 001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X			None		
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X			None		
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X			None		
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X			None		
9.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None		
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.		X		PALRF: \$8,684	See Finding No. 2018- 002 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.	
11.	Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None		
12.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None		
13.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None		
14.	Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None		
15.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.	X			None		

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2018

(Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In (Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		-
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2018 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. Measure M Local Return Fund						
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenue received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted timely.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2018 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes No N/A				
D. 7	D. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2018

PALRF: Finding No. 2018-001


TALKE, Finding 140, 2010	
Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Section I (C), "Jurisdictions shall submit for approval a Project Description Form prior to the expenditure of funds for: 5) a 25 percent or greater change in an approved Local Return project budget or scope on all operating or capital Local Return projects."
Condition	The City exceeded more than 25 percent of LACMTA's approved budget on PALRF Project Code 480-04, Proposition A Administration, in the amount of \$53,493. However, the City submitted a Project Description Form (Form A) to obtain a budget increase from LACMTA and received subsequent approval on September 26, 2018.
Cause	The administrative costs were evaluated subsequent to the LACMTA deadlines to request for an adjustment to the approved budget.
Effect	The City's PALRF project expenditure exceeded 25 percent of LACMTA's approved budget without LACMTA's approval and the City did not comply with the Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that project expenditures are within the 25 percent cap of the LACMTA's approved budget and an amended Form A (Project Description Form) is properly prepared and submitted prior to the expenditure of funds which would result in a 25 percent or greater change in an approved Local Return project budget or scope on all operating Local Return projects.
Management's Response	The City will modify procedures to evaluate the costs of projects prior to the set LACMTA deadlines to ensure the adjusted budgets will be approved in a timely manner.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	LACMTA Program Manager granted retroactive approval in the amount of \$235,000 of the said expenditures on September 26, 2018. No follow-up is required.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

June 30, 2018 (Continued)

PALRF: Finding No. 2018-002

C P D C	1
Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Section II, "A proposed expenditure of funds shall be deemed to be for public transit purposes to the extent that it can reasonably be expected to sustain or improve the quality and safety of and/or access to public transit services by the general public or those requiring special public transit assistance" and Section V, "It is jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation"
Condition	To support the propriety of expenditures being charged to the Proposition A Local Return Fund, non-payroll expenditures should be supported by properly executed contracts, invoices, and payment vouchers, or other official documentation evidencing in proper detail the nature of the charges. However, payments for vehicle fuel charges charged to Paratransit/Senior and Disabled Project Code 130-01 and information technology charged to Administration Proposition A Project Code 480-04 in the amounts of \$5,442 and \$3,242, respectively, were without appropriate invoices, purchase orders, contracts, etc., to validate the disbursements.
Cause	The City reported what they believed was a reasonable amount of expenditures to absorb the cost of vehicle and technology charges incurred by its transit operations.
Effect	The unsupported expenditures for vehicle fuel and information technology charges resulted in questioned costs of \$5,442 and \$3,242, respectively, for a total amount of \$8,684.
Recommendation	In accordance with the Guidelines, we recommend that the City reimburse its PALRF account for a total amount of \$8,684. In addition, we recommend that the City establish controls to ensure that the costs charged to the Local Return funds are adequately supported by contracts, invoices, canceled checks or similar documentation so that Local Return expenditures are in compliance with the Guidelines.
Management's Response	The City will modify existing procedures to ensure that the allocations are fairly represented by each department's usage of vehicles and technology.



PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2018

An exit conference was held on December 11, 2018 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

John Longoria, Senior Auditor Oliver Rafols, Staff Auditor

City's Representative:

Brittany Aguilar, Accounting Manager Matthew Hernandez, Senior Accountant

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and two (2) non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Brittany Aguilar, Accounting Manager Matthew Hernandez, Senior Accountant Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF GLENDORA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2018 AND 2017, AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, and Measure M Local Return Fund for the year ended June 30, 2018 for the City of Glendora and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly	yours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	