CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2018 AND 2017

AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	5
Schedule of Capital Assets	6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	8
Supplementary Information:	9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Schedule of Capital Assets	10
Measure R Local Return Fund:	
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	13
Schedule of Capital Assets	14
•	
Measure M Local Return Fund:	
Financial Statements:	1.5
Balance Sheet Statement of Payanuas Expanditures and Change in Fund Palance	15
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	16
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	17
Schedule of Capital Assets	18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	10
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	19
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	27
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29
Compliance Matrix	31
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	35
EXIT CONFERENCE	37





SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, and Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) (the Fund) of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California, as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and MMLRF of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California, as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California, as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 26, 2018, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 26, 2018

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

		2018		2017
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	395,955	\$	637,286
Total assets	\$	395,955	\$ _	637,286
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	2,117	\$	4,190
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		7,951	. <u> </u>	6,814
Total liabilities		10,068		11,004
Fund Balance				
Restricted		385,887	_	626,282
Total fund balance	_	385,887		626,282
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	395,955	\$	637,286

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018	2017		
REVENUES				
Proposition A	\$ 278,419	\$	265,408	
Proposition A fund exchange (Note 8)	180,000		580,000	
Interest income	6,898		2,476	
Project generated revenue (Note 9)	370		350	
Total revenues	465,687		848,234	
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	706,082		607,645	
Total expenditures	706,082	-	607,645	
(Deficiency) excess of revenues (under) over expenditures	(240,395)		240,589	
Fund balance at beginning of year	626,282		385,693	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 385,887	\$	626,282	

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017)

	_				
		Variance			
Project		Metro		Favorable	2017
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-06	Long Beach Transit - Fixed Route \$	17,098 \$	17,098	\$ - \$	15,320
130-05	Human Services Transportation Program	529,445	552,274	(22,829)	501,734
150-07	Bus Stop Maintenance Program	52,260	63,061	(10,801)	47,777
200-02	Van Purchase for Paratransit Program	36,000	35,017	983	-
250-01	Bus Pass Subsidy	1,000	700	300	720
480-02	Transportation Administration	43,225	37,932	5,293	42,094
	Total expenditures \$_	679,028 \$	706,082	\$ (27,054) \$	607,645

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2018

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2017	Additions	 Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2018
1984	Toyota Flatbed Truck	\$	4,000 \$	-	\$ -	\$ 4,000
1985	Bobcat Tractor		13,057	-	-	13,057
1989	Cushman Haulster Turf Vehicles		6,358	_	-	6,358
1991	Chevrolet C-2500 Truck		16,000	_	-	16,000
1994	Speed trailer		14,473	-	14,473	-
1994	Ford CT4192 Skip Loader		37,826	-	-	37,826
1996	Chevrolet Stakebed Truck		25,801	-	-	25,801
1997	Ford F-250XL Truck		25,174	-	-	25,174
1997	Ford F-250XL Truck		24,928	-	-	24,928
2000	Ford Truck Aerial Lift		65,063	-	-	65,063
2003	Ford Escape Sedan		21,606	-	-	21,606
2003	Ford F-150 Truck		20,030	-	-	20,030
2004	Ford F-150 Truck		24,184	-	-	24,184
2005	Vintage Cab		13,194	-	-	13,194
2005	Tennant 6650 Small Street Sweeper		29,851	-	-	29,851
2006	Lincoln Town Car		25,973	-	-	25,973
2006	Kohler 26hp EFI Riding Lawnmower		11,747	-	-	11,747
2006	Starcraft Allstar Econobus/Shuttle Bus		57,260	-	-	57,260
2007	Ford Escape - Hybrid FWD		25,073	-	25,073	-
2007	Ford Escape - Hybrid FWD		25,073	-	25,073	-
2007	Ford Truck - Regular Cab		16,484	-	-	16,484
2007	Ford Truck - Regular Cab		16,484	-	-	16,484
2007	Scissor Lift		16,031	-	-	16,031
2007	El Dorado Aerotech 240		35,101	-	-	35,101
2016	Starcraft 20 Passenger Bus		85,982	-	-	85,982
2017	Van Honda Odyssey	_		34,067	 -	 34,067
	Total	\$_	656,753 \$	34,067	\$ 64,619	\$ 626,201

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2018	2017
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 4,040	\$ 19,708
Total assets	\$ 4,040	\$ 19,708
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,098	\$ 3,234
Due to other funds	-	2,434
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	1,926	1,919
Total liabilities	4,024	7,587
Fund Balance		
Restricted	16	12,121
Total fund balance	16	12,121
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 4,040	\$ 19,708

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018	2017
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 229,627	\$ 221,452
Interest income	162	20
Total revenues	229,789	221,472
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	241,894	224,218
Total expenditures	241,894	224,218
OTHER FINANCING SOURCE		
Transfer from General Fund (Note 10)		7,029
(Deficiency) excess of revenues (under) over expenditures and other financing source	(12,105)	4,283
Fund balance at beginning of year	12,121	7,838
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 16	\$ 12,121

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2017
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
120-04	Paratransit Program \$	164,063 \$	175,808	\$ (11,745) \$	158,320
270-01	Gateway COG Corridor Study	20,000	20,000	-	-
270-01	Corridor Study and COG Dues for	-	-	-	26,500
	Transportation Studies				
270-02	Annual Membership for COG	6,500	6,500	-	-
480-03	Transportation Administration	38,000	39,586	(1,586)	39,398
	Total expenditures \$	228,563 \$	241,894	\$ (13,331) \$	224,218

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2018

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2017	 Additions	 Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2018
2008	El Dorado Aerotech 240	\$	35,101	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,101
2010	Honda Odyssey		33,567	-	-	33,567
2016	Starcraft 20 Passenger Bus	_	85,982	 -	 -	 85,982
		Total \$	154,650	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 154,650

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

		2018	_	2017
ASSETS			-	_
Cash and investments	\$ _	386,782	\$	367,234
Total assets	\$ _	386,782	\$	367,234
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	
Total liabilities	_	-	-	_
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	386,782	-	367,234
Total fund balance	_	386,782	-	367,234
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	386,782	\$	367,234

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018	2017
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 172,972	\$ 165,152
Interest income	5,591	1,313
Total revenues	178,563	166,465
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	159,015	179,298
Total expenditures	159,015	179,298
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	19,548	(12,833)
Fund balance at beginning of year	367,234	380,067
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 386,782	\$ 367,234

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017)

			2018			
					Variance	
Project		Metro			Favorable	2017
Code	Project Name	 Budget	Actual	J)	Jnfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Street Overlays for Pavement Management Plan	\$ 374,215 \$	159,015	\$	215,200 \$	150,000
5.05	Replace Bus Pads		-		-	29,298
	Total expenditures \$	\$ 374,215 \$	159,015	\$	215,200 \$	179,298

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2018

Date					Balance				Balance
Acquired	I	Description		_	7/1/2017	<u> </u>	Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2018
	None			\$_	-	_\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEET

June 30

		2018
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ _	7,588
Total assets	\$ _	7,588
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	-
Total liabilities		-
Fund Balance		
Restricted		7,588
Total fund balance		7,588
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	7,588

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018
REVENUES	
Measure M	156,752
Interest income	836
Total revenues	157,588
EXPENDITURES	
Various projects	150,000
Total expenditures	150,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures	7,588
Fund balance at beginning of year	
Fund balance at end of year	7,588

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

				Variance
Project		Metro		Favorable
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)
1.05	Street Overlays for Pavement Management Plan \$	150,000	5 150,000 \$	S -
	Total expenditures \$_	150,000 \$	5150,000_\$	

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2018

Date			Balance						Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2017	_	Additions	_	Deletions	_	6/30/2018
	None		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2018	2017
ASSETS		
Due from Metro	\$ _	\$
Total assets	\$ 	\$
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Due to other funds	\$ 17,000	\$ 29,501
Total liabilities	17,000	29,501
Fund Balance		
Restricted	(17,000)	(29,501)
Total fund balance	(17,000)	(29,501)
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	\$

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018	2017
REVENUES		
TDA 3	\$ 29,501	\$ 21,000
Total revenues	29,501	21,000
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	17,000	41,000
Total expenditures	17,000	41,000
OTHER FINANCING SOURCE		
Transfer from General Fund		499
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures and other financing source	12,501	(19,501)
Fund balance at beginning of year	(29,501)	(10,000)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ (17,000)	\$ (29,501)

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

Project Description		Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Removal/Replacement/Grir	ndina				_		
Existing Sidewalk	iung	2017-18	\$ 29,501	\$ 17,000	\$	12,501	Ongoing
	Total		\$ 29,501	\$ 17,000	=	12,501	
Fund balance at beginning	of the yea	r			_	(29,501)	
Fund balance at end of year	•				\$	(17,000)	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statement of revenue, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenue and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorized its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognized unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2018 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2018:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (Continued)

NOTE 3 - PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. The first revenue allocation was received in the current period. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 8 - PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND EXCHANGE - PALRF

On July 1, 2017, the City entered into an agreement with the City of West Covina to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$100,000 of the City of West Covina's Proposition A Local Return Funds on July 27, 2017 and assigned \$75,000 of its General Funds to the City of West Covina on July 18, 2017.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (Continued)

NOTE 8 – PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND EXCHANGE (Continued)

On June 8, 2017, the City entered into an agreement with the City of La Habra Heights to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$80,000 of the City of La Habra's Proposition A Local Return Funds on August 4, 2017 and assigned \$60,000 of its General Funds to the City of La Habra on July 18, 2017.

On June 15, 2016, the City entered into an agreement with the City of West Covina to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$500,000 of the City of West Covina's Proposition A Local Return Funds on July 21, 2016 and assigned \$375,000 of its General Funds to the City of West Covina on July 19, 2016.

On June 9, 2016, the City entered into an agreement with the City of La Habra Heights to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$80,000 of the City of La Habra's Proposition A Local Return Funds on July 19, 2016 and assigned \$60,000 of its General Funds to the City of La Habra on July 19, 2016.

NOTE 9 - PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenues for the year ended June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2017 for PALRF amounted to \$370 and \$350, respectively. These revenues were from the sale of bus passes to the public.

NOTE 10 - TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND - PCLRF

The amount of \$7,029 represents a reimbursement from the General Fund to PCLRF related to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 Finding #2016-002. The City's administrative expenditures exceeded more than twenty percent of its total Proposition C Local Return annual expenditures.

NOTE 11 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

	2018	2017
FY 2012-13 allocation	\$ -	\$ 11,314
FY 2013-14 allocation	1,973	9,686
FY 2014-15 allocation	9,501	-
FY 2015-16 allocation	9,226	-
FY 2016-17 allocation	8,801	-
Total payment requested	\$ 29,501	\$ 21,000

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 (Continued)

NOTE 12 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2018 and 2017, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2018	2017
FY 2013-14 allocation	\$ -	\$ 1,973
FY 2014-15 allocation	-	9,501
FY 2015-16 allocation	-	9,226
FY 2016-17 allocation	850	9,651
FY 2017-18 allocation	9,734	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 10,584	\$ 30,351

NOTE 13 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2018 through October 26, 2018, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 26, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 26, 2018





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2018.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding Nos. 2018-001 and 2018-002. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 26, 2018

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2018

Compliance Requirement		In (Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	s				
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X			None	
2.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
3.	Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended project Description Form (Form A).	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X			None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.	X			None	
11.	Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None	
12.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
13.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	
14.	Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X			None	
15.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.	X			None	
16.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2018 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		<u>-</u>
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2018

(Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. M	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenue received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.		X		None	See Finding No. 2018- 001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.		X		None	See Finding No. 2018- 002 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted timely.			X	None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2018 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement In Compliance		nce	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A		
D. '	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2018

MMLRF: Finding No. 2018-001

Compliance Reference	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV, "New, amended, ongoing and carryover projects must file an Expenditure Plan Form M-One by August 1st. If Local Return Funds have been expended prior to Metro's approval and/or used for ineligible purposes, Jurisdictions will be required to reimburse the Local Return account"
Condition	The City incurred expenditures prior to receiving approval from LACMTA for MMLRF's Project Code 1.05 Street Overlay for Pavement Management Plan in the amount of \$150,000. However, the project was subsequently approved on October 5, 2018.
Cause	Since it was the first year of the MMLRF award, the checklist used by the City staff did not have the appropriate deadlines.
Effect	The City did not comply with the Guidelines when expenditures for MMLRF project are incurred before LACMTA's approval.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that it obtains approval from LACMTA prior to implementing any Measure M Local Return projects. Form M-One (Expenditure Plan) should be properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of Measure M Local Return Funds are in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the Guidelines.
Management's Response	The checklist being used by the staff to monitor and ensure compliance with the reporting deadlines of various LACMTA funds has been updated.
Finding Corrected During Audit	LACMTA Program Manager granted retroactive approval of the said expenditures on October 5, 2018. No follow-up is required.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

June 30, 2018 (Continued)

MMLRF: Finding No. 2018-002

Compliance Reference	According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative: Reporting Requirements – Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), "To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance requirements, Jurisdictions shall submit to Metro an Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1 of each year."
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2017 deadline for submission of Form M-One. However, the City submitted the Form M-One on October 5, 2018.
Cause	Since it was the first year of the MMLRF award, the City staff overlooked at the filing of Form M-One.
Effect	The City's Form M-One was not submitted timely.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form M-One (Expenditure Plan) is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure M Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.
Management's Response	The City is aware of the filing deadline for all MMLRF forms.
Finding Corrected During Audit	The City subsequently submitted Form M-One on October 5, 2018. No follow-up is required.



PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE

June 30, 2018

An exit conference was held on December 7, 2018 with the City of Hawaiian Gardens. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Elizabeth Mendoza, Senior Auditor

City's Representative:

Linda Hollinsworth, Finance Director

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and two (2) non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Linda Hollinsworth, Finance Director

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2018 AND 2017, AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, and Measure M Local Return Fund for the year ended June 30, 2018 for the City of Hawaiian Gardens and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly	yours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	