CITY OF LOS ANGELES ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2018 AND 2017

AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

CITY OF LOS ANGELES TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	5
Schedule of Capital Assets	9
Measure M Local Return Fund:	
Financial Statements: Balance Sheet	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance	10
Supplementary Information:	12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 14
Schedule of Capital Assets	11
Notes to Financial Statements	15
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	20
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	22
Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Percommondations	24 26
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	20
EXIT CONFERENCE	27

FINANCIAL SECTION



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS <u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Los Angeles, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), of the City of Los Angeles, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, and Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) (the Fund) of the City of Los Angeles, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the MRLRF of the City of Los Angeles, California, as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and MMLRF of the City of Los Angeles, California, as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the MRLRF and MMLRF of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Los Angeles, California, as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated December 31, 2018, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 31, 2018

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

		2018	_	2017
ASSETS			_	
Cash and investments	\$	42,666,829	\$	54,704,111
Accounts receivable		38,864		257,075
Investment income receivable		174,895		129,413
Due from government agencies (Note 7)		7,736,787		11,838,786
Due from other funds (Note 8)		18,653,075		10,274,683
Total assets	\$	69,270,450	\$	77,204,068
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOUR	CES.			
AND FUND BALANCE	,			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	605,138	\$	1,109,309
Due to other funds (Note 8)		841,663		2,444,233
Obligations under securities lending transactions		768,521		406,531
Other liabilities		233,575		3,224,418
Total liabilities	_	2,448,897		7,184,491
Deferred Inflows of Resources				
Revenue from other government agencies (Note 9)		3,713,095		4,893,582
Total deferred inflows of resources		3,713,095	-	4,893,582
Fund Balance				
Restricted		63,108,458		65,125,995
Total fund balance	_	63,108,458		65,125,995
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources				
and fund balance	\$	69,270,450	\$	77,204,068

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2018		2017
REVENUES	_		-	
Measure R	\$	44,018,551	\$	47,629,285
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments	_	103,244	_	(63,469)
Total revenues	_	44,121,795	-	47,565,816
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	46,583,408	_	49,333,461
Total expenditures	_	46,583,408	-	49,333,461
Deficiency of revenues under expenditures		(2,461,613)		(1,767,645)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCE				
Transfer in - Bureau of Engineering (Note 10)	_	444,076	-	
Deficiency of revenues under expenditures				
and other financing uses		(2,017,537)		(1,767,645)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	65,125,995	-	66,893,640
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	63,108,458	\$	65,125,995

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

					Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2017
Code	Project Name	_	Budget	 Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
01-003	Transportation	\$	6,325,501	\$ 5,437,565	\$ 887,936	\$ 4,487,082
01-005	Wilshire Blvd Mixed Flow Lane		1,000,000	-	1,000,000	-
01-006	Transportation Grant Fund Work Program		1,000,000	-	1,000,000	1,118,302
01-008	SAFETEA - LU Program Shortfall		1,290,000	(40,716)	1,330,716	41,308
01-009	Bridge Program		2,142,272	1,539	2,140,733	406,517
01-010	Street Services		25,508,159	18,335,601	7,172,558	23,695,370
01-011	General Services		3,995,531	1,627,698	2,367,833	1,561,014
01-012	Engineering		462,763	422,763	40,000	199,287
01-013	Matching Funds - Measure R Projects		3,760,000	-	3,760,000	-
	LRTP/30-10					
01-015	Colorado Blvd. Near Figueroa Street Sinkhole		45	-	45	-
01-017	2nd Street Retaining Wall (420 W 2nd)		326,545	-	326,545	222,972
01-025	Montecito Retaining Wall Replacement Ph 4		234,726	-	234,726	10,613
	(1039 Montecito)					
01-027	Pavement Preservation Overtime		950,000	-	950,000	165,000
01-028	Median Island Maintenance		1,818,064	-	1,818,064	-
01-030	Sherman Way Tunnel under Van Nuys Airport		1,755,000	-	1,755,000	60,861
01-032	Wilshire Resurfacing San Vicente to Western		241,766	-	241,766	-
01-033	SFV - EDA Sun Valley Economic		1,173,846	-	1,173,846	-
	Development					
01-036	Paint and Sign Maintenance		3,602,103	1,797,850	1,804,253	2,383,897
01-039	Project Match Funds		7,166,559	(720,732)	7,887,291	-

(Continued)

2018 Variance 2017 Project Metro Favorable Code Project Name Budget (Unfavorable) Actual Actual 50,000 \$ 01-040 Pacific Bridge over Ballona \$ 50,000 \$ \$ 01-041 City Administratvie Officer 233,334 233,334 _ 01-042 Information Technology Agency 1,362 1,362 _ 01-043 Special Gas Tax Street Improvement 500,000 500,000 _ 01-044 Vision Zero 1,208,941 613,191 80,287 595,750 01-045 Great Streets 3,990,538 955,769 3,034,769 399,255 01-046 Vision Zero Contracts, Speed Surveys, 550,000 240,973 309,027 Outreach Campaign 02-003 ARRA Transit Priority System 6,647 6,647 -02-005 Roxford Street and Foothill Blvd on/off 8,923 40,000 31,077 82,169 Ramp 02-007 Signal Improvement 2,054,205 (10,943)2,065,148 263,553 02-008 ATSAC - Replacement Hub 355,858 355,858 02-009 Mohawk Bend Traffic Signal 60,000 _ 60,000 02-010 Traffic Signal Supplies 2,921,983 1,980,224 941,759 318,083 02-011 ATSAC System Maintenance 5,864,309 674,954 5,189,355 135,691 02-012 Vision Zero Traffic Signal 1,300,000 1,300,000 -03-002 Bicycle Plan/Program Projects 5,567,197 803,303 4,763,894 606,177 03-004 Implementation of Bicycle and Pedestrian 12.672 12,672 10,527 Projects 03-007 Bicycle Parking/Racks 142,687 5,403 137,284 18,799 03-009 Stamped Crosswalks (South LA) 400 400

(Continued)

		_					
						Variance	
Project			Metro			Favorable	2017
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual		(Unfavorable)	 Actual
03-010	Pedestrian Safety Devices/Plan/Programs	\$	8,049,818	\$ 3,047,761	\$	5,002,057	\$ 1,946,782
03-012	Bicycle Friendly Streets		29,283	29,200		83	-
03-013	Sharrows Pavement Markings		360	-		360	-
03-014	Street Lighting		1,447,833	280,153		1,167,680	175,794
03-015	Broadway Street Scape Project		643,575	115,268		528,307	67,054
03-017	East Rose Hill @ Galena Stairway		98,055	60,885		37,170	392,608
03-017	Stairway and Walkway Lighting Unit		162,250	3,677		158,573	122,243
03-018	Active Transportation		506,667	90,668		415,999	-
03-018	Bus Stop Security Lighting - SLA		1,153,473	-		1,153,473	-
03-019	Exposition Bikeway		380,000	-		380,000	18,663
03-020	Bus Stop Security Lighting Unit		94,146	-		94,146	-
03-021	Bikeshare Operations and Maintenance		588,881	-		588,881	579,388
03-022	Laguna Ave Pedestrian Activities Crosswalk		75,000	-		75,000	-
03-023	Main and Spring Forward Improvements Project		620,461	-		620,461	-
04-001	Downtown LA Street Car Project		1,750,000	215,546		1,534,454	523,719
04-002	Railroad Grade Panel - ARRA		175,000	-		175,000	-
04-003	Los Angeles Neighborhood Initiative (LANI)		550,000	348,913		201,087	-
05-004	National/Palms Station Area		400,000	-		400,000	-
05-005	5th/Flower Transition to 2nd/Hope Station Area		400,000	-		400,000	-

(Continued)

		_					
		-				Variance	
Project			Metro			Favorable	2017
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual		(Unfavorable)	Actual
05-006	Crenshaw/Slauson Station Area	\$	400,000	\$ -	\$	400,000	\$ -
05-007	Westwood/Exposition Station Area		400,000	-		400,000	-
07-001	Regional Transit Consulting Services		2,000	1,920		80	-
07-002	Transportation Element Plan Update		371	-		371	-
07-003	Safe Routes to School Study		338,250	71,934		266,316	127,902
07-005	Planning		785,230	296,482		488,748	831,267
07-006	Strategic Plan Study		50,000	-		50,000	-
07-007	Advance Planning		245,596	16,456		229,140	99,559
07-008	Via De Las Olas Landslide Study		400,000	-		400,000	172,146
07-009	Engineering Special Services		120,000	-		120,000	-
08-002	DOT Related Costs		30,850,000	9,919,090		20,930,910	7,888,151
08-004	Grant Reimbursement to General Fund		121,421	43,186		78,235	121,421
08-005	Consulting Services		40,000	-		40,000	-
N/A	Cultural Affairs (Not MTA project)	-	-	 (113,250)	-	113,250	 -
	Total expenditures	\$	138,490,683	\$ 46,583,408	\$_	91,907,275	\$ 49,333,461

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2018

Date				Balance					Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2017	_	Additions	_	Deletions	 6/30/2018
	None			\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEET June 30

		2018
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$	37,655,317
Investment income receivable		118,799
Due from other funds (Note 8)		841,922
Due from other government agencies (Note 7)		8,984,311
Total assets	\$	47,600,349
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESO	URCES,	
AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	3,897,335
Due to other funds (Note 8)		598,813
Due to government agencies		1,626
Obligations under securities lending		678,253
Other liabilities		206,140
Total liabilities		5,382,167
Deferred Inflows of Resources		
Revenue from other government agencies (Note 9)		28,457
Total fund balance	_	28,457
Fund Balance		
Restricted		42,189,725
Total fund balance	_	42,189,725
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources		
and fund balance	\$	47,600,349

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2018			
REVENUES				
Measure M	\$	51,316,757		
Unrealized (loss) on investments		(450,815)		
Total revenues		50,865,942		
EXPENDITURES Various projects		8,676,217		
Total expenditures		8,676,217		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		42,189,725		
Fund balance at beginning of year		-		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	42,189,725		

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

		_		2018	
		_			Variance
Project			Metro		Favorable
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	(Unfavorable)
01-001	Alley Paving	\$	1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000
01-002	Median Island Maintenance		1,100,000	258,078	841,922
01-003	Concrete Streets		1,000,000	-	1,000,000
01-004	Concrete Street Repair Hancock Park		800,000	-	800,000
01-005	Maxella Ave/Lincoln Blvd		432,000	113,135	318,865
01-006	35th Street Between Gramercy & Cimarron		430,000	-	430,000
01-007	Sheldon Arleta Sidewalk Improvement Wicks Str		1,420,270	-	1,420,270
01-008	BOE Contractual Services - SR/VZ Projects		4,423,616	2,514,420	1,909,196
01-009	Dot Equipment - SR/VZ Projects		2,290,000	-	2,290,000
01-010	BSS Equipment		5,160,000	1,148,303	4,011,697
01-011	Street Reconstruction - 15% VZ-M		2,989,780	850,777	2,139,003
01-012	Dot Equipment - Traffic Signals		430,000	-	430,000
01-013	Vision Zero Corridor Projects - M		1,278,844	1,055,091	223,753
01-014	Oakdale/Redwing/Ventura Stormdrain/Flooding		2,083,000	-	2,083,000
01-015	Sunset blvd Slope Mitigation - Coronado to Wates		785,489	-	785,489
01-016	Gage Street Public Safety Median Improvements		450,000	13,417	436,583
01-017	Boeing Ave And 78th Street Subsidence		232,000	2,513	229,487
01-018	Glider Ave And Wiley Post Street Subsidence		300,000	2,513	297,487
01-019	Cazador Street (3825) Bulkhead - Loveland/Brillia		689,000	99,410	589,590
01-020	Castellammare Drive (17434)		771,000	-	771,000

(Continued)

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

		2018									
						Variance					
Project			Metro				Favorable				
Code	Project Name		Budget	. <u> </u>	Actual		(Unfavorable)				
01-021	Eucalyptus Lane (6006) Bulkhead	\$	571,000	\$	100,458	\$	470,542				
01-022	Gould Ave (8250) Bulkhead		867,000		383,463		483,537				
01-023	Verdugo Crestline Drive (7675)		52,000		-		52,000				
01-024	Vista Crest Drive (3006)		85,000		-		85,000				
01-025	Alvarado Street Bulkhead		27,000		-		27,000				
01-026	Soto Street (2446) Rockfall Mitigation		71,000		-		71,000				
01-027	Descanso Drive, Larissa Drive to		500,000		212,437		287,563				
	Robinson Street										
01-028	Davies Way (2044) Bulkhead		516,250		85,977		430,273				
01-029	Speed Hump Program		1,000,000		418,452		581,548				
01-030	Vision Zero Traffic Signals		1,530,001		-		1,530,001				
03-001	Street Lighting at Existing Pedestrian		493,000		269,953		223,047				
	Crosswalk										
03-002	Pacoima Wash Vision Plan		1,500,000		-		1,500,000				
03-003	Elysian Valley Bike Path		1,000,000		-		1,000,000				
06-001	Vision Zero Contracts, Speed Surveys,		150,000		-		150,000				
	Outreach										
06-002	Traffic Surveys		200,000		-		200,000				
06-003	Vision Zero Education		500,000		309,093		190,907				
08-001	Related Costs		1,500,000	_	838,727		661,273				
	Total expenditures \$	5	38,627,250	\$	8,676,217	\$	29,951,033				
				-							

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2018

Date					Balance						Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2017		Additions		Deletions	_	6/30/2018
4/9/2018	Paver			\$	-	\$	548,040	\$	-	\$	548,040
4/9/2018	Paver			_	-	_	548,040	_	-	_	548,040
			Total	\$_	-	\$	1,096,080	\$	-	\$	1,096,080

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

MRLRF and MMLRF are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statement of revenue, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally presents increases (revenue and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Refer to City's 2018 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The MRLRF and MMLRF report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2018:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the MRLRF and MMLRF and their compliance with the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines and Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines.

NOTE 3 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. The first revenue allocation was received in the current period. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The MRLRF and MMLRF's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 6 - SECURITIES LENDING TRANSACTIONS

The MRLRF and MMLRF participate in the City's securities lending program (SLP), through the pooled investment fund. Each fund recognizes its proportionate share of the cash collateral received for securities loaned and the related obligation for the general investment pool.

Please refer to the City's CAFR for a full description of risk relating to securities lending transactions.

NOTE 7 – DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

Due from government agencies as of June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

MRLRF

2018		2017
\$ 7,736,787	\$	11,749,878
 		88,908
\$ 7,736,787	\$	11,838,786
 2018		2017
\$ 8,984,311	\$	-
\$ 8,984,311	\$	
\$ \$	\$ 7,736,787 \$ 7,736,787 <u>2018</u> \$ 8,984,311	\$ 7,736,787 \$ \$ 7,736,787 \$ <u>2018</u> \$ 8,984,311 \$

NOTE 8 – DUE FROM/TO OTHER FUNDS

Inter-fund due to/from amounts as of June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

<u>MRLRF</u>

	2018					2017				
	Due from Other			Due to Other		Due from Other		Due to Other		
	Funds			Funds		Funds	Funds			
Reserve Fund	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	171,899		
General Fund		9,697,586		838,700		996,194		2,123,274		
Transportation Grant Funds		8,955,489	_	2,963		9,278,489	_	149,060		
Total	\$	18,653,075	\$	841,663	\$	10,274,683	\$	2,444,233		

MMLRF

		2	5	_	2017			
	Due from Other			Due to Other		Due from Other		Due to Other
	Funds		_	Funds		Funds		Funds
Reserve Fund	\$	-	\$	209,079	\$	-	\$	-
General Fund		841,922		389,734		-		-
Transportation Grant Funds		-	_	-		-		-
Total	\$	841,922	\$	598,813	\$	-	\$	-

NOTE 9 – DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

Deferred inflows of resources as of June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

MRLRF

 2018		2017
\$ 3,680,351	\$	4,619,935
 32,744		273,647
\$ 3,713,095	\$	4,893,582
 2018		2017
\$ 28,457	\$	-
\$ 28,457	\$	_
\$ \$	\$ 3,680,351 32,744 \$ 3,713,095 2018 \$ 28,457	\$ 3,680,351 \$ <u>32,744</u> \$ 3,713,095 \$ <u>2018</u> \$ 28,457 \$

NOTE 10 - OTHER FINANCING SOURCE

Council action dated June 14, 2017, instructed / authorized the Bureau of Engineering to deposit the \$444,076 amount into Fund no. 682 Department no. 50, Appropriation Unit No. 50LVBD and re-allocate to Measure R Fund 51Q, "Broadway Dress Rehearsal" account, for the maintenance of the streetscape dress rehearsal in conjunction with Business Improvement District Partners.

NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2018 through December 31, 2018, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.





SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Los Angeles, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Measure R Local Return Fund and Measure M Local Return Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Los Angeles, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 31, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Measure R Local Return Fund and Measure M Local Return Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California December 31, 2018

COMPLIANCE SECTION



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Los Angeles, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Los Angeles, California (the City) with the Measure R Local Return Guidelines and Measure M Local Return Guidelines (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Measure R Local Return Program and Measure M Local Return Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2018.



Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 31, 2018

CITY OF LOS ANGELES COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Compliance Requirement In Complian		ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes	X			None	
2.	Fund were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	X			None	
4.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
10.	Administrative expenditures are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY 2017/18.
12.	A separate account was established for capital reserve funds and capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	There was no capital reserve in FY2017/18.
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY 2017/18.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Compliance Requirement			ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. Mo	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	Х			None	
2.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
3.	Signed Assurances and Understandings was on file.	Х			None	
4.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
5.	Revenue received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account	X			None	
6.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	Х			None	
7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	Х			None	
9.	Timely use of funds.	Х			None	
10.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Х			None	
11.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY 2017/18
12.	A separate account was established for capital reserve funds and capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	There was no capital reserve in FY2017/18.
13.	Recreational transit form was submitted timely.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY 2017/18.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2018

No findings were noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

CITY OF LOS ANGELES MEASURE R AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUNDS EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2018

An exit conference was held on December 31, 2018 with the City of Los Angeles. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Mark Frishwasser, Senior Auditor

City's Representative:

Sue Chen, Department Chief Accountant IV May Ly, Principal Accountant II Bernie Apolonio, Fiscal Systems Specialist Tery Pitugnarongphor, Senior Accountant II Jonathan Lintag, Senior Accountant I Michelle Cho Ma, Senior Accountant II

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and no noncompliance issue with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Sue Chen, Department Chief Accountant IV May Ly, Principal Accountant II Bernie Apolonio, Fiscal Systems Specialist Tery Pitugnarongphor, Senior Accountant II Jonathan Lintag, Senior Accountant I Michelle Cho Ma, Senior Accountant II Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF LOS ANGELES ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2018 AND 2017

I have received the annual financial report of the Measure R Local Return Fund and Measure M Local Return Fund for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 for the City of Los Angeles and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,

Name

Title

Date