CITY OF ALHAMBRA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

CITY OF ALHAMBRA TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing</i> <i>Standards</i>	23
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations EXIT CONFERENCE	25 27 30 31

FINANCIAL SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonllp.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

> <u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

> > **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Alhambra, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Alhambra, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Alhambra, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Alhambra, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Alhambra, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 28, 2017, on our consideration of the City of Alhambra's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 28, 2017

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	-	2017	2016
ASSETS	_		
Cash and investments	\$	1,980,632	\$ 1,959,716
Accounts receivable	-	6,300	6,225
Total assets	\$	1,986,932	\$ 1,965,941
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	102,702	\$ 76,627
Total liabilities	-	102,702	76,627
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	1,884,230	1,889,314
Total fund balance	-	1,884,230	1,889,314
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,986,932	\$ 1,965,941

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017	2016
REVENUES	-		
Proposition A	\$	1,560,970 \$	1,528,802
Interest income		7,740	17,987
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 7)		-	138,261
Project generated revenue (Note 8)		92,162	111,012
Other revenues (Note 9)	-	25,202	24,435
Total revenues	-	1,686,074	1,820,497
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	-	1,691,158	1,643,531
Total expenditures	-	1,691,158	1,643,531
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures		(5,084)	176,966
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,889,314	1,712,348
Fund balance at end of year	\$ =	1,884,230 \$	1,889,314

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

				2017			
		_				Variance	
Project			Metro			Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	<u>(</u>	Jnfavorable)	Actual
110-22	Fixed Route Transit (ACT)	\$	340,187	\$ 299,107	\$	41,080 \$	291,958
130-01	Senior Ride Paratransit		1,016,120	1,045,015		(28,895)	988,822
140-03	Recreational Transit		69,100	67,530		1,570	61,344
200-05	2009-2010 Purchase of two (2) ACT		200,000	-		200,000	-
	Transit Buses						
250-04	Bus Pass Subsidy Program		259,848	208,881		50,967	232,323
270-01	SGVCOG Regional Transportation		31,200	32,167		(967)	31,200
	Planning/Projects						
480-12	Direct Administration	_	35,977	 38,458		(2,481)	37,884
	Total expenditures	\$	1,952,432	\$ 1,691,158	\$	261,274 \$	1,643,531

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2017
1994	2 EL Dorado Vans	\$	99,707	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 99,707
1996	1 Natural Gas Fuel Station		155,779	-	-	155,779
2002	1 Champion Crusader Shuttle Bus		48,449	-	-	48,449
2003	1 6' Heavy Duty Steel Bench with Back		8,424	-	-	8,424
2004	NGV Fueling Station		80,886	-	-	80,886
2007	2006 Bluebird Bus Act - CNG		312,167	-	-	312,167
2007	2006 Bluebird Bus Act - CNG		312,167	-	-	312,167
2008	2007 Nabi Bus Act - CNG		326,599	-	-	326,599
2008	2007 Nabi Bus Act - CNG		326,599	-	-	326,599
2010	2009 EL Dorado Aerolite Bus		51,779	-	-	51,779
2010	2009 EL Dorado Aerolite Bus		60,718	-	-	60,718
2010	2009 EL Dorado Aerolite Bus		51,779	-	-	51,779
2010	2009 EL Dorado Aerolite Bus		60,718	-	-	60,718
2010	2010 EL Dorado CNG ACT Bus		367,207	-	-	367,207
2010	2010 EL Dorado CNG ACT Bus		367,207	-	-	367,207
2010	2010 EL Dorado CNG ACT Bus		367,206	-	-	367,206
2010	2010 EL Dorado CNG ACT Bus		367,206	-	-	367,206
2011	1 unit 2010 EL Dorado Aerolite 210		51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 EL Dorado Aerolite 210		51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 EL Dorado Aerolite 210		51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 EL Dorado Aerolite 210	_	51,778	 -	 -	 51,778
	Total	\$_	3,571,712	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,571,712

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	4,476,305	\$ 3,856,467
Total assets	\$ =	4,476,305	\$ 3,856,467
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	93,031	\$ 35,385
Total liabilities	_	93,031	35,385
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	4,383,274	3,821,082
Total fund balance	_	4,383,274	3,821,082
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	4,476,305	\$ 3,856,467

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 1,299,498	\$ 1,272,581
Interest income	15,735	33,672
Project cost reimbursement (Note 10)		58,887
Total revenues	1,315,233	1,365,140
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	753,041	879,032
Total expenditures	753,041	879,032
Excess of revenues over expenditures	562,192	486,108
Fund balance at beginning of year	3,821,082	3,334,974
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 4,383,274	\$ 3,821,082

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

			2017		
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2016 Actual
110-22	Fixed Route Transit (ACT) \$	651,944 \$	598,840	\$ 53,104 \$	549,030
170-02	2013-14 Refurbish Act Bus Shelters	30,000	-	30,000	-
180-01	2014-15 Bus Refurbishment	100,000	-	100,000	-
270-05	2017 Alhambra Community Transit Audit	25,000	18,130	6,870	-
360-23	Rail Station Operations	59,704	53,959	5,745	55,333
440-10	Garfield Avenue Repaving/Striping Project	215,000	-	215,000	-
460-02	New/Shorb Avenues Traffic Signal Construction	-	-	-	193,266
480-12	Direct Administration	115,042	82,112	32,930	81,403
	Total expenditures \$	1,196,690 \$	753,041	\$ 443,649 \$	879,032

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description			7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2017
1999	2 EL Dorado Transmark Buses		\$	448,003	\$ _	\$ -	\$ 448,003
		Total	\$_	448,003	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 448,003

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	2,600,758	\$ 2,315,036
Total assets	\$ =	2,600,758	\$ 2,315,036
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	194,716	\$ -
Total liabilities	_	194,716	-
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	2,406,042	2,315,036
Total fund balance	_	2,406,042	2,315,036
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	2,600,758	\$ 2,315,036

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 971,322	\$ 951,651
Interest income	11,565	17,124
Project cost reimbursement (Note 11)		11,833
Total revenues	982,887	980,608
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	891,881	2,476
Total expenditures	891,881	2,476
Excess of revenues over expenditures	91,006	978,132
Fund balance at beginning of year	2,315,036	1,336,904
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 2,406,042	\$ 2,315,036

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		2017		
		Variance	2	
Project		Metro Favorabl	e 20	16
Code	Project Name	Budget Actual (Unfavoral	ole) Act	tual
1.05	Annual Street Rehabilitation	\$ 1,300,442 \$ 891,881 \$ 408,56	1 \$ 2	2,476
1.05	Mission Road Rehabilitation and Streetscape Improvements	875,000 - 875,00	0	-
	Total expenditures	\$ <u>2,175,442</u> <u>\$</u> <u>891,881</u> <u>\$</u> <u>1,283,56</u>	1 \$	2,476

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2017
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
			Total	\$ -	\$ _	\$ -	\$ -

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2017		2016
ASSETS		•	
Due from LACMTA	\$ 35,750	\$	-
Total assets	\$ 35,750	\$	-
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to General Fund	\$ 35,751	\$	1
Total liabilities	 35,751		1
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 (1)	•	(1)
Total fund balance	 (1)		(1)
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 35,750	\$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017		2016
REVENUES		-	
Payment from prior years reserve	\$ 35,750	\$	83,304
Total revenues	35,750		83,304
EXPENDITURES			
Sidewalk Replacement Project	35,750		107,562
Total expenditures	35,750		107,562
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	-		(24,258)
Fund balance at beginning of year	(1)		24,257
Fund balance at end of year	\$ (1)	\$	(1)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Project Description	Program Year	_	Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Sidewalk Replacement Project	2016-17	\$	35,750	\$ 35,750	\$	-	Complete
Total		\$	35,750	\$ 35,750	=	-	
Fund balance at beginning of year					-	(1)	
Fund balance at end of year					\$	(1)	

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorized its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognized unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS - PALRF

The City entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreement with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) to receive Proposition A discretionary incentive grants for participating in the Consolidated National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

Agreement <u>Date</u>	Period	NTD Voluntary <u>Reporting</u>	MOU <u>Amount</u>		Ar Rec	nou ceiv	
September 14, 2015 Total	7/1/14 - 6/30/16	FY 2012-13	\$ 138,261	\$ \$	<u>2017</u> - -	\$ \$	<u>2016</u> 138,261 138,261

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 8 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenues for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
LACMTA bus tokens	\$ 15,523	\$ 16,695
LACMTA monthly bus passes	76,639	94,317
Total	\$ 92,162	\$ 111,012

NOTE 9 - OTHER REVENUES - PALRF

Other revenues for PALRF consisted of proceeds from bus shelter advertising fees in the amounts of \$25,202 and \$24,435 for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

NOTE 10 - PROJECT COST REIMBURSEMENT FROM CITY OF SAN GABRIEL - PCLRF

The amount of \$58,887 consisted of project cost reimbursement from the City of San Gabriel which represents thirty percent (30%) of the expenditures incurred on the construction of traffic signal and highway safety lighting system at the intersection of New Avenue and Shorb Street in fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

NOTE 11 – PROJECT COST REIMBURSEMENT FROM TDAA3F FUND - MRLRF

The amount of \$11,833 represents a reimbursement from TDAA3F to MRLRF for expenditures incurred on the design and construction of handicap ramps on Garfield Avenue and Burke Way traffic signal project in fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

NOTE 12 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
FY 2011-12 allocation	\$ -	\$ 43,116
FY 2012-13 allocation	23,497	40,188
FY 2013-14 allocation	12,253	-
Total	\$ 35,750	\$ 83,304

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 13 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017, and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2012-13 allocation	\$ -	\$ 23,497
FY 2013-14 allocation	56,010	68,263
FY 2014-15 allocation	55,594	55,594
FY 2015-16 allocation	53,968	53,968
FY 2016-17 allocation	56,680	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 222,252	\$ 201,322

NOTE 14 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through November 28, 2017, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

> <u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Alhambra, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Alhambra, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 28, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 28, 2017

COMPLIANCE SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANCELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonllp.com

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Alhambra, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Alhambra, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of Alhambra complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the year ended June 30, 2017.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding #2017-001. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Alhambra is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 28, 2017

CITY OF ALHAMBRA COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2017

Compliance Requirement			n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Complance Requirement		No	Costs	management response.	
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C	Local R	Return I	Funds		
1.	Timely use of funds.		X	None	See Finding #2017-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None		
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	Х		None		
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None		
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None		
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None		
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None		
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None		
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	Х		None		
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None		

CITY OF ALHAMBRA COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
	r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	x		None	
B. M	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	x		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	

CITY OF ALHAMBRA COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	x		None	
С. Т	ransportation Development Act	Article	3 Fund		
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and	X		None	

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

PCLRF: Finding #2017-001

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section IV.E.1, "Jurisdictions have three years to expend LR funds. Funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated."
Condition	A portion of the City's fiscal year 2013-14 ending fund balance in the amount of \$443,811 was not fully expended within 3 years as of June 30, 2017 and was not reserved for capital projects as required by Local Return guidelines. The City contacted LACMTA on November 27, 2017 to request the Proposition C
	Local Return funds to be placed on capital reserve and obtain LACMTA Board of Directors' approval. The LACMTA Board meeting will be held in May 2018.
Cause	The City did not identify additional eligible Proposition C projects in a timely manner to avoid the lapsing of funds.
Effect	The Proposition C Local Return funds were not expended or reserved within the Timely Use period. Since LACMTA granted an extension to spend the lapsed funds until June 30, 2018, there are no questioned costs.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish a policy in place where the City Manager, City Engineer and Finance Department discuss the availability of Proposition C Local Return funds in conjunction with any eligible PCLRF projects and submit its Form B (Annual Project Update Form) on time. Alternative measure would include requesting a Capital Reserve Agreement with LACMTA.
Management's Response	The City submitted the Form A Capital Reserve Request to LACMTA on November 27, 2017. The City will more closely monitor the use of available Proposition C Local Return Funds to avoid the lapsing of funds in the future.
Finding Corrected During Audit	LACMTA Program Manager granted the City an extension for the use of lapsed Proposition C Local Return Funds up to June 30, 2018.

EXIT CONFERENCE

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on December 20, 2017 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

John Longoria, Auditor

City's Representative: Dean Johnson, Assistant Finance Director

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant deficiencies and one (1) non-compliance issue with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Dean Johnson, Assistant Finance Director

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF ALHAMBRA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016.

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 for the City of Alhambra and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,

Name

Title

Date