# CITY OF CERRITOS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

# CITY OF CERRITOS TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	23
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	25 27 30
EXIT CONFERENCE	31

FINANCIAL SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonllp.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

> FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

# **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cerritos, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Cerritos, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Cerritos, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Cerritos, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Cerritos, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### **Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards**

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 16, 2017, on our consideration of the City of Cerritos's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

mpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California November 16, 2017

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,291,289	\$ 1,323,060
Total assets	\$ _	1,291,289	\$ 1,323,060
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	22,308	\$ 43,047
Total liabilities	_	22,308	43,047
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	1,268,981	1,280,013
Total fund balance	_	1,268,981	1,280,013
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,291,289	\$ 1,323,060

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 911,784	\$ 897,838
Interest income	18,942	15,114
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 7)	67,697	136,450
Total revenues	998,423	1,049,402
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	1,009,455	855,122
Total expenditures	1,009,455	855,122
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures	(11,032)	194,280
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,280,013	1,085,733
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,268,981	\$ 1,280,013

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-02	Fixed Route Transit \$	1,100,000 \$	715,222	\$ 384,778 \$	532,406
120-02	Dial-A-Ride	280,000	268,404	11,596	255,840
170-04	Public Transit Waste Container Maintenance	10,000	-	10,000	-
250-01	Long Beach Transit Subsidy	25,000	25,829	(829)	25,375
270-06	Gateway Cities Artesia Boulevard	-	-	-	41,501
	Corridor Study				
	Total expenditures \$	1,415,000 \$	1,009,455	\$ 405,545 \$	855,122

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date					Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2017
	None			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017		2016
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	137,964	\$	265,410
Accounts receivable	_	350	. <u> </u>	840
Total assets	\$	138,314	\$	266,250
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	138,314	\$	266,250
Total liabilities		138,314	. <u> </u>	266,250
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	-		-
Total fund balance		-		-
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	138,314	\$	266,250

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017	2016
REVENUES	-		
Proposition C	\$	761,406	\$ 749,425
Proposition C Transit Amenities Grant (Note 8)		22,602	-
Project generated revenue (Note 9)		12,900	16,290
Total revenues	-	796,908	765,715
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects		796,908	765,715
Total expenditures	-	796,908	765,715
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	•	-	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	-	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	 Actual		Variance Favorable Infavorable)	2016 Actual
110-02	Fixed Route Transit	\$	825,000	\$ 553,967	\$	271,033 \$	582,912
150-01	Transit Amenities Project		200,000	212,081		(12,081)	134,580
270-04	Gateway Cities Council of Governments - Membership Dues		10,000	10,000		-	10,000
270-07	Gateway Cities Council of Governments - Corridor Study		20,000	20,000		-	20,000
430-03	Community Bike Ride Event	_	860	 860			18,223
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,055,860	\$ 796,908	\$	258,952 \$	765,715

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2017
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	220	\$ 505
Total assets	\$ _	220	\$ 505
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$ -
Total liabilities			
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	220	505
Total fund balance		220	505
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	220	\$ 505

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 567,363	\$ 558,888
Interest income	472	501
Total revenues	567,835	559,389
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	568,120	559,225
Total expenditures	568,120	559,225
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures	(285)	164
Fund balance at beginning of year	505	341
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 220	\$ 505

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name	 Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05 8.10	Street Repair and Maintenance Fund Administration	\$ 455,608 \$ 113,902	568,120 -	\$ (112,512) \$ 113,902	559,225
	Total expenditures	\$ 569,510 \$	568,120	\$ 1,390 \$	559,225

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

# June 30, 2017

Date					Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2017
	None			\$_	-	\$ -	\$ - 9	\$_	-
			Total	\$_	-	\$ _	\$ 5	\$_	-

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017		2016
ASSETS	-			
Due from LACMTA	\$	-	\$	26,479
Total assets	\$	_	\$	26,479
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to other funds	\$	-	\$	26,479
Total liabilities	-	-	_	26,479
Fund Balance				
Restricted	-	-	_	-
Total fund balance	-	-	_	-
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$		\$	26,479

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Intergovernmental - TDA Article 3	\$ 111,850	\$ 26,479
Total revenues	111,850	26,479
EXPENDITURES		
Construction/Maintenance	111,850	26,479
Total expenditures	111,850	26,479
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Project Description	Program Year	_	Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
<b>Local allocations</b> Residential Street Rehabilitation with 42 Curb Ramps	2016-17	\$	111,850	\$ 111,850	\$	-	Ongoing
Total		\$	111,850	\$ 111,850	=	-	
Fund balance at beginning of year					-	-	
Fund balance at end of year					\$	-	

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

## NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

## Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017:

• Restricted- Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

## NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

## NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

## NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

## NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS - PALRF

The City entered into various Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreements with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) to receive Proposition A discretionary incentive grants for participating in the Consolidated National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

Agreement <u>Date</u>	Period	NTD Voluntary <u>Reporting</u>	MOU <u>Amount</u>						Amount Received	
						2017		2016		
May 21, 2016	7/1/15 - 6/30/17	FY 2013-14	\$	67,697	\$	67,697	\$	-		
September 14, 2015	7/1/14 - 6/30/16	FY 2012-13	\$	136,450		-		136,450		
Total					\$	67,697	\$	136,450		

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

#### NOTE 8 - CERRITOS ON WHEELS BUS STOP TRANSIT AMENITIES GRANT - PCLRF

On May 31, 2012, LACMTA and the City of Cerritos entered into an agreement whereas the LACMTA is to serve as the pass-through agency on the City's behalf, as required by the California Department of Transportation and Federal Department of Transportation, to receive federal funds from Federal Transit Administration (FTA). LACMTA is to reimburse the City for the purchase and installation of street furniture and transit amenities at bus stops within the City (the "Project"). LACMTA assumes no responsibility for the funding of any portion of the Project. In fiscal year 2017, the City incurred expenditures on the Transit Amenities Project Code No. 150-01 for the purchase of new bus benches, trash receptacles, and the installation of concrete pads at forty (40) bus stops. The City received \$22,602 of grant monies and used Proposition C Local Return funds to provide the required federal match.

### NOTE 9 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PCLRF

Project generated revenue under PCLRF for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
Passenger fares and bus pass sales	\$ 12,900	\$ 16,290
Total	\$ 12,900	\$ 16,290

#### NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017		2016
FY 2011-12 allocation	\$ -	\$	26,479
FY 2012-13 allocation	39,103		-
FY 2013-14 allocation	40,092		-
FY 2014-15 allocation	32,655		-
Total	\$ 111,850	\$	26,479

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

#### NOTE 11 - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2017, and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2012-13 allocation	\$ -	\$ 39,103
FY 2013-14 allocation	-	40,092
FY 2014-15 allocation	-	32,655
FY 2015-16 allocation	31,702	31,702
FY 2016-17 allocation	33,114	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 64,816	\$ 143,552

#### NOTE 12 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through November 16, 2017, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.

U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANCELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonllp.com



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

> <u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cerritos, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Cerritos, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 16, 2017.

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify any deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 16, 2017

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonlp.com

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

<u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cerritos, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Cerritos, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

#### **Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3**

In our opinion, the City of Cerritos complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2017.





### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of Cerritos is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 16, 2017

# *CITY OF CERRITOS* COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017

<b>Compliance Requirement</b>		I Comp		Questioned	If no, provide details and management response.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		No	Costs			
<b>A. P</b>	roposition A and Proposition C	Local R	leturn H	Funds			
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None			
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None			
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	Х		None			
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None			
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None			
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None			
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	Х		None			
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	х		None			
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None			
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None			

# CITY OF CERRITOS COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None	
<b>B.</b> M	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	x		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	x		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	x		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	x		None	

# CITY OF CERRITOS COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.		
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None			
С. Т	ransportation Development Act	Article	3 Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None			
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None			

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

No findings were noted.

**EXIT CONFERENCE** 

## PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on November 30, 2017 with the City of Cerritos. Those in attendance were:

### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

John Longoria, Auditor

## City's Representative:

Mike Matsumoto, Financial Consultant Siu-Lee Chang, Accountant

## Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or noncompliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Ryan Carey, Finance Manager Mike Matsumoto, Financial Consultant Siu-Lee Chang, Accountant Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF CERRITOS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 for the City of Cerritos and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,

Name

Title

Date