## CITY OF CLAREMONT ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

## CITY OF CLAREMONT TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	3 4 5
Schedule of Capital Assets	6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	15
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	16
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	24 26 29
EXIT CONFERENCE	30

FINANCIAL SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonllp.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

> <u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Claremont, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Claremont, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Claremont, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Claremont, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Claremont, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 12, 2017, on our consideration of the City of Claremont' internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 12, 2017

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	 2017		2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ 1,706,631	\$	1,721,221
Interest receivable	1,655		1,949
Other receivables	30		15
Other prepaid expenses	 -		8,000
Total assets	\$ 1,708,316	\$	1,731,185
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 6,300	\$	2,000
Wages payable	 1,316		445
Total liabilities	 7,616	. <u> </u>	2,445
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 1,700,700	. <u> </u>	1,728,740
Total fund balance	 1,700,700		1,728,740
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 1,708,316	\$	1,731,185

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 661,976	\$ 648,365
Interest income	 11,925	9,741
Total revenues	 673,901	658,106
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	 701,941	593,238
Total expenditures	 701,941	593,238
Excess of revenues over expenditures	(28,040)	64,868
Fund balance at beginning of year	 1,728,740	1,663,872
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,700,700	\$ 1,728,740

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

				2017		
Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	 Actual	Variance Favorable Infavorable)	2016 Actual
120-01	Get About	\$	310,000	\$ 357,562	\$ (47,562) \$	295,066
120-03	Senior Trip Program		10,000	10,000	-	10,000
150-01	First Street Bus Turnout Repairs		-	-	-	43,752
160-02	Pressure Washer to Maintain Bus Stops		-	-	-	-
170-02	Powder Coat 40 Bus Stop Benches and trash		12,360	-	12,360	-
170-03	1st Street Bus Turn Out Shelter Improvements		-	-	-	-
250-03	Dial-A-Ride		229,053	226,720	2,333	129,652
250-04	Elderly & Handicapped User Subsidy		3,000	2,500	500	3,000
270-02	Gold Line Planning, Engineering and					
	Environmental Review		25,000	-	25,000	-
370-11	Quiet Zone Feasibility Study		50,000	-	50,000	-
480-02	Direct Administration		71,188	78,531	(7,343)	60,545
480-08	Overhead Support Services		12,946	12,946	-	-
500-11	SGV-COG Dues		11,000	8,460	2,540	8,000
	Metrolink Station Improvement Car Counting					
500-12	System		-	-	-	43,223
	Transit Access Pass (TAP) Card Reduced Fare					
500-013	Application Processing	_	5,000	 5,222	 (222)	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	739,547	\$ 701,941	\$ 37,606 \$	593,238

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

## June 30, 2017

Date		Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2016		Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2017
6/30/2009	Trackless Trolley Stops \$	16,470	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 16,470
10/8/2013	Parking Space Counting System	27,152		-	-	27,152
5/5/2016	Additional Parking Spaces Available Sign	15,976	_	-	 -	 15,976
	Total \$	59,598	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 59,598

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	 2017	2016
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 1,351,356	\$ 1,181,057
Interest receivable	385	1,401
Due from LACMTA	 2,029	2,700
Total assets	\$ 1,353,770	\$ 1,185,158
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 9,170	\$ 4,292
Accrued payroll	 2,934	3,834
Total liabilities	 12,104	8,126
Fund Balance		
Restricted	 1,341,666	1,177,032
Total fund balance	 1,341,666	1,177,032
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 1,353,770	\$ 1,185,158

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 549,492	\$ 538,042
Interest income	11,058	6,629
Total revenues	560,550	544,671
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	395,916	396,558
Total expenditures	395,916	396,558
Excess of revenues over expenditures	164,634	148,113
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,177,032	1,028,919
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,341,666	\$ 1,177,032

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

			2017			
Project Name	Metro Budget	:	Actual			2016 Actual
Bus Stop Maintenance \$	67,04	6\$	50,009	\$	17,037 \$	49,093
Transit Store Security	30,15	52	22,513		7,639	22,484
Phase II Gold Line Planning, Design and						
Review	74,05	55	90,541		(16,486)	103,239
Transit Center Maintenance	135,85	50	115,083		20,767	123,992
Claremont Transit Depot Seismic Renovation						
& ADA improvements	350,00	00	46,857		303,143	-
First Street Pavements Repairs	-		-		-	22,197
Overhead Support Services	36,05	59	35,913		146	40,553
Design & Construction of Joint Use City						
Yard Facility/Long Term Payment	35,00	0	35,000			35,000
Total expenditures \$	728,16	52 \$	395,916	\$	332,246 \$	396,558
	Bus Stop Maintenance\$Transit Store SecurityPhase II Gold Line Planning, Design and ReviewTransit Center MaintenanceClaremont Transit Depot Seismic Renovation & ADA improvementsFirst Street Pavements Repairs Overhead Support Services Design & Construction of Joint Use City Yard Facility/Long Term Payment	Project NameBudgetBus Stop Maintenance\$Transit Store Security30,15Phase II Gold Line Planning, Design and74,05Review74,05Transit Center Maintenance135,85Claremont Transit Depot Seismic Renovation350,00& ADA improvements350,00First Street Pavements Repairs-Overhead Support Services36,05Design & Construction of Joint Use City35,00Yard Facility/Long Term Payment35,00	Project NameBudgetBus Stop Maintenance\$67,046\$Transit Store Security30,15230,152Phase II Gold Line Planning, Design and Review74,055Transit Center Maintenance135,850Claremont Transit Depot Seismic Renovation & ADA improvements350,000First Street Pavements Repairs-Overhead Support Services36,059Design & Construction of Joint Use City Yard Facility/Long Term Payment35,000	Project NameMetro BudgetBus Stop Maintenance\$ 67,046 \$ 50,009Transit Store Security30,152 22,513Phase II Gold Line Planning, Design and Review74,055 90,541Transit Center Maintenance135,850 115,083Claremont Transit Depot Seismic Renovation & ADA improvements350,000 46,857First Street Pavements Repairs-Overhead Support Services36,059 35,913Design & Construction of Joint Use City Yard Facility/Long Term Payment35,000 35,000	Metro BudgetMetro ActualBus Stop Maintenance\$ 67,046 \$ 50,009 \$Transit Store Security30,152Phase II Gold Line Planning, Design and Review74,055Po,5417ransit Center Maintenance135,850115,083Claremont Transit Depot Seismic Renovation & ADA improvements350,00046,857First Street Pavements Repairs-Overhead Support Services36,059Design & Construction of Joint Use City Yard Facility/Long Term Payment35,000	Variance MetroProject NameMetroFavorableBus Stop Maintenance\$ 67,046 \$ 50,009 \$ 17,037 \$ 30,152 22,513 7,639Bus Stop Maintenance\$ 67,046 \$ 50,009 \$ 17,037 \$ 30,152 22,513 7,639Phase II Gold Line Planning, Design and Review74,055 90,541 (16,486)Transit Center Maintenance135,850 115,083 20,767Claremont Transit Depot Seismic Renovation & ADA improvements350,000 46,857 303,143First Street Pavements RepairsOverhead Support Services36,059 35,913 146Design & Construction of Joint Use City Yard Facility/Long Term Payment35,000 35,000 -

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

## June 30, 2017

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2017
4/30/2008	Hotsy Pressure Washer Bicycle Station, Bicycle Amenties and	\$	10,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,236
6/30/2012	Safety Features		54,962	-	-	54,962
2/9/2016	A/C Unit at Depot	_	7,832		 -	 7,832
	Total	\$	73,030	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 73,030

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	 2017	_	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ 1,218,624	\$	1,004,759
Interest receivable	 1,754	_	1,149
Total assets	\$ 1,220,378	\$ _	1,005,908
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 5,613	\$	6,725
Total liabilities	 5,613	-	6,725
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 1,214,765	_	999,183
Total fund balance	 1,214,765	-	999,183
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 1,220,378	\$	1,005,908

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 411,965	\$ 403,595
Interest income	9,341	5,328
Total revenues	421,306	408,923
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	205,724	175,761
Total expenditures	205,724	175,761
Excess of revenues over expenditures	215,582	233,162
Fund balance at beginning of year	999,183	766,021
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,214,765	\$ 999,183

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_	2017						
						Variance			
Project			Metro			Favorable	2016		
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	J)	Jnfavorable)	Actual		
1.05	Sidewalk repair and maintenance	\$	300,000 \$	200,000	\$	100,000 \$	106,011		
3.05	Sidewalk removal and replacement	_	300,000	5,724		294,276	69,750		
	Total expenditures	\$	600,000 \$	205,724	\$	394,276 \$	175,761		

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2017
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	-	2017	2016
ASSETS			
Due for LACMTA	\$	35,000	\$ -
Prepaid Expenses	-	943	
Total assets	\$	35,943	\$ 
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Cash overdraft	\$	2,494	\$ 1,242
Total liabilities	-	2,494	1,242
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	33,449	(1,242)
Total fund balance	-	33,449	(1,242)
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	35,943	\$ 

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Payment from prior year reserve	\$ 35,000	\$ 
Total revenues	35,000	
EXPENDITURES		
Construction/Maintenance	309	
Total expenditures	309	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	34,691	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	(1,242)	(1,242)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 33,449	\$ (1,242)

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Project Description	Program Year	 Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations						
Towne Ave/ 210 Bike/Ped Project	2016-17	\$ 35,000	\$ 309	\$	34,691	On-going
Total		\$ 35,000	\$ 309	=	34,691	
Unexpended interest accumulated to date					-	
Fund balance at beginning of year				-	(1,242)	
Fund balance at end of year				\$	33,449	

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

## NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent Proposition A and  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

#### **NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (Continued)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

#### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

## NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

## NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

## **NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

# NOTE 7 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
FY 2013-14 allocation	\$ 5,455	\$ -
FY 2014-15 allocation	23,602	-
FY 2015-16 allocation	5,943	-
FY 2016-17 allocation	-	-
Total payment requested	\$ 35,000	\$ -

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

## NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2017, and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2013-14 allocation	\$ -	\$ 5,455
FY 2014-15 allocation	-	23,602
FY 2015-16 allocation	16,955	22,898
FY 2016-17 allocation	24,049	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 41,004	\$ 51,955

#### **NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through October 12, 2017, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonllp.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

> <u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Claremont, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Claremont, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 12, 2017.

## **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify any deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 12, 2017

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonllp.com

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## **Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Claremont, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

## **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Claremont, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

#### **Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3**

In our opinion, the City of Claremont complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2017.





## **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of Claremont is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 12, 2017

## COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and					
- F ···		Yes No		Costs	management response.					
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds										
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None						
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	Х		None						
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	Х		None						
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None						
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	Х		None						
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None						
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None						
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	Х		None						
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	Х		None						
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None						
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None						

## CITY OF CLAREMONT COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement			n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
B. N	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	N/A		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	x		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	x		None	

N/A - Not applicable as the City did not expend funds.

## *CITY OF CLAREMONT* COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017

## (Continued)

Compliance Requirement			n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and			
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.			
C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund								
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None				
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None				

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

No findings were noted.

**EXIT CONFERENCE** 

## PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on November 16, 2017 with the City of Claremont. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Wanda Molina, Audit Supervisor

## City's Representative:

Bibi Ameer, Accounting Supervisor

## Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit, no findings were noted

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report: