### CITY OF LA CAÑADA FLINTRIDGE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:  Basic Financial Statements:  Balance Sheets  Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:  Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific  Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	24 27 30
EXIT CONFERENCE	32





### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 8, 2017, on our consideration of the City of La Cañada Flintridge's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 8, 2017

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017	2016	
				as restated
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$ _	312,254	\$ _	266,518
Total assets	\$ _	312,254	\$	266,518
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	73,253	\$	108,017
Total liabilities	_	73,253	-	108,017
Fund Balance				
Restricted	-	239,001	_	158,501
Total fund balance	_	239,001	-	158,501
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	312,254	\$	266,518

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	_	2017	_	2016
	_	_	_	as restated
REVENUES				
Proposition A	\$	375,749	\$	370,662
Interest income	_	5,803	_	5,024
Total revenues	_	381,552	-	375,686
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	301,052	-	359,506
Total expenditures	_	301,052	-	359,506
Excess of revenues over expenditures		80,500		16,180
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	158,501	-	142,321
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	239,001	\$	158,501

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

					Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
						as restated
110-03	The LCF Shuttle (Route 3)	\$	255,000 \$	219,097	\$ 35,903 \$	223,086
130-01	Dial-A-Ride		27,875	34,563	(6,688)	21,712
130-02	Residential R1 Tour		950	531	419	-
140-04	Summer Beach Bus		12,550	9,586	2,964	10,135
140-05	Fiesta Days Shuttle (Memorial Day		1,050	1,071	(21)	1,071
	Community Parade)					
150-03	Bus Shelter Maintenance Program for		5,000	-	5,000	1,245
	City's Bus Shelter					
150-06	Shuttle Stop Decaling		10,000	4,379	5,621	439
180-03	CNG Shuttle Paint and Decaling		24,000	-	24,000	-
180-05	DMV Vehicle Title Transfer		-	-	-	1,078
200-01	CNG Shuttle Purchase		64,850	-	64,850	63,732
270-01	San Gabriel Valley COG		3,100	2,800	300	-
270-05	Assistant to the Board Director		1,250	-	1,250	-
410-09	Arroyo Verdugo Transportation		2,975	2,484	491	2,227
	Subcommittee					
480-02	Administration		26,025	26,541	(516)	31,785
480-03	San Grabrial Valley COG Membership		3,100	-	3,100	2,996
500-07	Memorial Park Pedestrian Crossing	_	750	-	750	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	438,475 \$	301,052	\$ 137,423 \$	359,506

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date		Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2016	Additions	Deletions	 6/30/2017
6/30/1997	Bus Stop Shelters \$	65,263	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,263
6/30/1997	Bus Stop Shelters	49,530	-	-	49,530
6/30/2000	Bus Stop Shelters	15,750	-	-	15,750
6/30/2003	LCHS Traffic Signal	168,625	-	-	168,625
6/30/2004	Alta Canyada Traffic Signal	69,011	-	-	69,011
6/30/2009	Overpass Lot Improvement	6,138	-	-	6,138
6/30/2011	LCF Shuttle	398,822	-	-	398,822
6/30/2012	Memorial Park Crosswalk	235,504	-	-	235,504
6/30/2013	Oak grover Bus Shelter	42,018	-	-	42,018
6/30/2015	Foothill Overpass Lot Improvement	306,611	-	-	306,611
6/30/2015	CNG Shuttle Bus	9,998	-	-	9,998
6/30/2016	CNG Shuttle Bus	-	63,732	. <u>-</u>	 63,732
	Total \$	1,367,270	\$ 63,732	\$	\$ 1,431,002

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017	2016	
				as restated
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	537,150	\$	513,204
Due from other funds	-	34,350		
Total assets	\$	571,500	\$	513,204
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	36,457	\$ .	148,152
Total liabilities	-	36,457	-	148,152
Fund Balance				
Restricted	-	535,043		365,052
Total fund balance	-	535,043	-	365,052
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	571,500	\$	513,204

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
		as restated
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 312,603	\$ 308,174
Interest income	5,753	9,392
Total revenues	318,356	317,566
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	148,365	370,319
Total expenditures	148,365	370,319
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	169,991	(52,753)
Fund balance at beginning of year	365,052	417,805
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 535,043	\$ 365,052

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

							Variance	
Project			Metro				Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	J)	Unfavorable)	Actual
								as restated
110-03	The LCG Shuttle (Route 3)	\$	150,000	\$	146,065	\$	3,935 \$	148,724
200-01	CNG Shuttle Purchase (Call for Projects		152,850		-		152,850	123,873
	Match)							
270-01	San Gabriel Valley (COG)		2,475		2,300		175	-
400-01	Traffic Signal - Left Turn Arrow		-		_		-	95,422
	(Foothill and Cornishon)							
430-03	Foothill Link and Gateway Project		60,000		-		60,000	-
480-03	San Gabriel Valley COG Membership		2,475		-		2,475	2,300
	Total expenditures	\$_	367,800	\$_	148,365	\$_	219,435 \$	370,319

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date		Balance					Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2016	_	Additions		Deletions	 6/30/2017
6/30/2005	Infrastructure - Ocean View Boulevard \$ Left - Turn Pocket	22,721	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 22,721
6/30/2007	Infrastrure - Angeles Crest Highway Traffic Signal Improvement	208,769		-		-	208,769
6/30/2011	Infrastructure - Red Route Bikeway Corridor	74,289		-		-	74,289
6/30/2014	Infrastructure - West Foothill Boulevard Bike Lane	295,191		-		-	295,191
6/30/2015	Infrastructure - Catch Basin Grate Replacement	51,601		-		-	51,601
6/30/2015	Infrastructure - Left Turn Arrow (Foothill and Corrishon)	108,166		-		-	108,166
6/30/2016	CNG Shuttle Purchase (Call-For-Projects Match)	-		123,873		-	 123,873
	Total \$	760,737	\$	123,873	\$_	-	\$ 884,610

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017		2016
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	625,914	\$	655,274
Total assets	\$	625,914	\$ _	655,274
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	_
Total liabilities		-	_	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	625,914	_	655,274
Total fund balance		625,914		655,274
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	625,914	\$	655,274

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016		
REVENUES				
Measure R	\$ 233,812	\$ 230,730		
Interest income	9,891	9,628		
Total revenues	243,703	240,358		
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	273,063	34,473		
Total expenditures	273,063	34,473		
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures	(29,360)	205,885		
Fund balance at beginning of year	655,274	449,389		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 625,914	\$ 655,274		

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		2017						
					Variance			
Project			Metro		Favorable		2016	
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable	e) _	Actual	
1.05	Street Resurfacing/Slurry Seal	\$	250,000 \$	250,000	\$ -	\$	-	
1.20	Installation of Flashing Beacons at Crosswalk		13,500	13,260	240		88	
3.05	Foothill Link Project (Bikeway)		79,125	9,803	69,322		34,385	
3.90	Active Transportation Master Plan	_	80,000	-	80,000		-	
	Total expenditures	\$	422,625 \$	273,063	\$ 149,562	\$_	34,473	

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date					Balance					Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2016		Additions	Deletions		6/30/2017
				_					-	
	None			\$_	-	_\$_	_	\$ -	\$	
			Total	\$_	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017		2016
ASSETS	_		_	_
Due from LACMTA	\$_	13,098	\$_	
Total assets	\$	13,098	\$ _	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to General Funds	\$_	13,098	\$	-
Total liabilities	-	13,098	_	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	-	_	
Total fund balance	-	-	_	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	13,098	\$ _	

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017		2016
REVENUES	_		•	
TDA 3	\$_	13,098	\$	13,503
Total revenues	_	13,098		13,503
EXPENDITURES				
Sidewalk Construction/Maintenance Project	_	13,098	_	13,503
Total expenditures	_	13,098	-	13,503
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-		-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_		-	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	_	\$	-

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Program						Unexpended	Project
Project Description	Year	_	Allocations		Expenditures		Allocations	Status
Local allocations Sidewalk Construction/Maintenance	2016-17	\$	13,098	\$	13,098	•		Ongoing
Project Project	2010-17	Ψ.	13,096	Ф _	13,096	Ψ 		Oligollig
Total		\$	13,098	\$	13,098	_	-	
Unexpended interest accumulated to d	late	•					-	
Fund balance at beginning of the year						_		
Fund balance at end of year						\$	-	

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016
(Continued)

### **NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (Continued)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorized its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognized unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017:

Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by
providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

### NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

#### NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

### NOTE 7 - RESTATEMENT OF PALRF'S 2016 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2016 financial statements of PALRF were restated to report for certain expenditures for the Project Code 200-01, CNG Shuttle Purchase, that were not reported in fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

	Accounts		
	Payable	Expenditures	Fund Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ 44,285	\$ 295,774	\$ 222,233
Adjustment	63,732	63,732	(63,732)
Balance, as restated	\$ 108,017	\$ 359,506	\$ 158,501

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

#### NOTE 8 – RESTATEMENT OF PCLRF'S 2016 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2016 financial statements of PCLRF were restated to report for certain expenditures for the Project Code 200-01, CNG Shuttle Purchase (Call for Match), that were not reported in fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

	Accounts		
	Payable	Expenditures	Fund Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ 24,279	\$ 246,446	\$ 488,925
Adjustment	123,873	123,873	(123,873)
Balance, as restated	\$ 148,152	\$ 370,319	\$ 365,052

### NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ -	\$ 13,503
FY 2015-16 allocation	13,098	-
Total	\$ 13,098	\$ 13,503

#### NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ -	\$ 13,098
FY 2016-17 allocation	13,656	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 13,656	\$ 13,098

#### **NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through November 8, 2017, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 8, 2017.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 8, 2017

Simpson & Simpson





### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, except as noted in the Compliance Matrix and the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, the City of La Cañada Flintridge complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2017.





#### Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding #2017-001, and #2017-002. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of La Cañada Flintridge is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a significant deficiency as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding #2017-001.

The City's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. We did not audit the City's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.



This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 8, 2017

### COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
<b>A.</b> P	roposition A and Proposition C	Local R	Return I	Funds	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.		X	None	See Finding #2017-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None	

### COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.	
В. М	leasure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None		
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.		X	None	See Finding #2017-002 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None		
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None		
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None		
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None		
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None		
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None		
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None		
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None		
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None		

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		_	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No Costs			management response.
ОТ	44: D. I. 44.4	A 4. 1	<b>4</b> F 1		
U. I	ransportation Development Act	Article	3 Fund		
$\frac{\mathbf{C. 1}}{1.}$	Timely use of funds.	X	3 Fund	None	

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

### PALRF and PCLRF - Finding #2017-001

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section II, "A proposed expenditure of funds shall be deemed to be for public transit purposes to the extent that it can reasonably be expected to sustain or improve the quality and safety of and/or access to public transit services by the general public or those requiring special public transit assistance" and Section V, "It is jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation"
Condition	To support the propriety of expenditures being charged to the Proposition A Local Return Fund, non-payroll expenditures should be supported by properly executed contracts, invoices, and vouchers. Although payments to vendors were properly supported by invoices and cancelled checks, the payments to City of Glendale in the total amount of \$219,097 and \$146,065, under PALRF and PCLRF, respectively, were based on an expired contract agreement and were charged to the respective LCF Shuttle (Route 3) Project Code 110-03. No amendments were issued since Amendment No. 9 dated September 23, 1999 in which the term of the extension ended on January 31, 2000.  This is a repeat finding from the prior fiscal year.
Cause	The City believed that the current agreement was still effective via mutual extension by the City of La Cañada Flintridge and City of Glendale.
Effect	No documentation to support that both Cities agree to extend the terms of the agreement indicates a weakness in the City's internal control.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City update the contract annually and issue an extension or amendment to provide proper documentation that both parties, Cities of La Cañada Flintridge and Glendale, mutually agree to the terms and conditions of the contract, including but not limited to, level of service, type of service, and rates.
Management's Response	The last contract amendment allowed for the City of Glendale and the City of La Cañada Flintridge to extend the agreement for additional years if it was mutually agreed to do so between the two parties (provided under the Terms of the Agreement). Annually, the Cities have consulted on rates to prepare for the budget process, satisfying the ability to extend the contract as stated. Currently, the City is working on drafting a new agreement for Fixed Route Transit Service with the City of Glendale.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017 (Continued)

### **MRLRF - Finding #2017-002**

Compliance Reference	According to Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Section B (II.4), "New, amended, ongoing and carryover projects must file an Expenditure Plan Form One by August 1st. If Local Return Funds have been expended prior to Metro approval and/or used for ineligible purposes, Jurisdictions will be required to reimburse the Local Return account"	
Condition	The City incurred expenditures prior to receiving approval from LACMTA for MRLRF's Project Code 1.20, Installation of Flashing Beacons at Crosswalk, in the amount of \$13,260. However, the project was subsequently approved on October 5, 2017.	
Cause	The MRLRF's Project Code 1.20, Installation of Flashing Beacons at Crosswalk, was approved by LACMTA in fiscal year 2015-16. The City anticipated that the project will be completed in fiscal year 2015-16. However, it was not completed until fiscal year 2016-17 and the City mistakenly did not include in Form One as a carryover project. It was an oversight due to the transition of the staff responsibilities for local return reporting. The City applied for, and received, subsequent approval on October 5, 2017.	
Effect	The City did not comply with the Guidelines when expenditures for Measure R projects are incurred before LACMTA's approval.	
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish controls to ensure that it obtains approval from LACMTA prior to implementing Measure R projects.	
Management's Response	The City has added a review of capital project status, including LACMTA approvals, prior to carryover to the next fiscal year in order to prevent the omission of reporting carryover projects in Form One from occurring in the future.	
Finding Corrected During Audit	LACMTA Program Manager granted retroactive approval of the said expenditures on October 5, 2017.	



# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on December 18, 2017 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Elizabeth Mendoza, Senior Auditor

### City's Representative:

Winnie Fung, Accountant Rebekka Hosken, Director of Finance

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed one significant control deficiencies and two (2) non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Winnie Fung, Accountant

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF LA CAÑADA FLINTRIDGE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016.

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 for the City of La Cañada Flintridge and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yo	urs,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	