CITY OF NORWALK ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

CITY OF NORWALK TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 12
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14 15 17
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act	18 19 20
Notes to Financial Statements	21
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	25
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	27 29 32
EXIT CONFERENCE	35

FINANCIAL SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Norwalk, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Norwalk, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Norwalk, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Norwalk, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Norwalk, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 30, 2017 on our consideration of the City of Norwalk's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 30, 2017

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	-	2017	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,600	\$ 32,237
Total assets	\$	1,600	\$ 32,237
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	1,600	\$ 32,237
Total liabilities	-	1,600	32,237
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	-	
Total fund balance	-	-	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,600	\$ 32,237

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES	 	
Proposition A	\$ 1,955,496 \$	1,924,698
Interest Income	 1,145	-
Total revenues	 1,956,641	1,924,698
EXPENDITURES Various projects Total expenditures	 1,956,641 1,956,641	1,924,698 1,924,698
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	 <u> </u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ - \$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND LACMTA APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		2017							
		-			Variance				
Project			Metro		Favorable	2016			
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual			
110-01	Fixed Route Operating Assistance	\$	1,667,622 \$	1,660,621 \$	7,001 \$	1,613,584			
120-02	Dial-A-Ride Operating Assistance		233,168	254,913	(21,745)	235,974			
160-01	Buspad from Bus Stop at Imperial Highway and Jersey Avenue (Southside)		43,203	-	43,203	-			
160-02	Buspad from Bus stop at Alondra Boulevard and Elmcroft Avenue (Northside)		44,480	-	44,480	3,976			
170-01	Bus Stop Maintenance		1,000	-	1,000	-			
180-04	Maintenance Service Equipment		38,000	29,474	8,526	23,042			
180-18	Procurement of Automated Dispatch System and AVL Equipment (ITS- Communication Equipment)		10,334	9,881	453	9,366			
180-19	Ourchase of Miscellaneous Support Equipment		3,322	1,752	1,570	9,083			
300-19	Facility Project		-			29,673			
	Total expenditures	\$	2,041,129 \$	1,956,641 \$	84,488 \$	1,924,698			

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

AcquiredDescription7/1/2016AdditionsDeletions6/301995/96Coin Conveyor\$1,533\$-\$-\$1999/00Office Equipment Furniture1,214	0/2017 1,533 1,214 58,303
	1,214
1000/00 Office Equipment Euroiture 1 214	, ,
1777/00 Once Equipment Furniture 1,214	58 303
	,505
2001/02 Office Equipment Furniture 56,891	56,891
2001/02 Facility Project 190,000 19	90,000
2002/03 Purchase Of Rolling Stock 69,420 0	59,420
2002/03 Facility Project 72,123	72,123
2002/03 Bus Stop Amenities 7,812	7,812
2004/05 Tools and Equipment 2,107	2,107
2004/05 Bus Stop Improvement(7709) 3,637	3,637
2005/06 Office Equipment 5,615	5,615
2005/06 Specialized Auto 335,507 3.	35,507
2006/07 Bus Stop Improvement(7709) 81,575	31,575
2008/09 Universal Fare System 124,770 12	24,770
2010/11 Specialized Auto 53,466	53,466
2010/11 Fall Arrest System 3,667	3,667
2010/11 Bus Repair and Parts 1,040	1,040
2010/11 Miscellaneous Equipment 1,077	1,077
2012/13 Floor Scrubber 1,987	1,987
2012/13 Cummins Engine 3,249	3,249
2013/14 Copier, Ricoh 2,076	2,076
2013/14 Copier, Ricoh 1,675	1,675
2013/14 Copier, Ricoh 1,675	1,675
2013/14 Air Compressor 1,998	1,998
2013/14 Ford Fusion #7028 4,491	4,491
2013/14 Ford Fusion #7029 4,491	4,491
2013/14 Ford Fusion #7030 4,491	4,491
2015/16 Transportation Ctr (7760) 29,673 2	29,673
Total \$ 1,235,563 \$ \$ \$ 1,23	35,563

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2017	2016
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 5,883,351	\$ 5,348,761
Prepaid Items	14,500	-
Other Receivable	 59,915	70,989
Total assets	\$ 5,957,766	\$ 5,419,750
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 116,731	\$ 602,566
Accrued expense	16,090	10,148
Retention payable	 3,150	49,183
Total liabilities	 135,971	661,897
Fund Balance		
Restricted	 5,821,795	4,757,853
Total fund balance	 5,821,795	4,757,853
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 5,957,766	\$ 5,419,750

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017		2016
REVENUES	_		•	
Proposition C	\$	1,625,176	\$	1,598,790
Interest income		44,981		53,429
Parking structure revenue		238,623		218,926
Code enforcement		6,272		8,592
Reimbursed revenue	_	59,997		80,182
Total revenues	-	1,975,049		1,959,919
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	911,107		2,617,566
Total expenditures	-	911,107		2,617,566
(Deficiency) Excess of revenues over expenditures		1,063,942		(657,647)
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	4,757,853		5,415,500
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	5,821,795	\$	4,757,853

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_			Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
120-02	Dial-A-Ride Operating Assistance	\$	94,000	\$ 84,000	\$ 10,000 \$	84,000
160-01	Bus Pad from Bus Stop at Imperial Highway and Jersey Avenue (Southside)		301,655	2,379	299,276	1,000
160-02	Bus Pad from Bus Stop at Alondra Boulevard and Elmcroft Avenue (Northside)		345,350	20,855	324,495	-
160-03	Bus Stop at Imperial Highway and Jersey Avenue (Northside)		345,350	6,683	338,667	1,096
170-01	Bus Stop Maintenance		108,500	108,029	471	106,433
170-02	Storm Water Compliance - Bus Stop Maintenance		19,200	19,064	136	18,782
180-19	Purchase of Miscellaneous Support Equipment		900	900	-	900
200-02	Rolling Stock		40,594	11,681	28,913	-
210-01	Implementation of Intelligent Transporation Systems		70,000	-	70,000	-
250-01	Social Services Fare Subsidy		27,800	19,173	8,627	20,935
270-01	Gateway Cities Council of Governments Membership		14,500	14,500	-	14,500
270-04	Joint Powers Authority (JPA) for I-91/I- 605 Corridor		20,000	20,000	-	20,000
270-05	Membership I-5 Consortium		6,000	6,000	-	-
300-19	Facility Project		14,555	-	14,555	547,643
300-20	Transportation Center Parking Permit Management		1,550	1,221	329	1,028

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

			2017						
Project Code	Project Name	-	Metro Budget		Actual	(Variance Favorable Unfavorable)		2016 Actual
300-21		\$	28,500	- \$	15,354	\$	13,146	- \$	
	Rosecrans Ave to Firestone Blvd	Ψ	-	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
310-08	Transportation Center Operations		465,507		432,395		33,112		362,026
310-09	Transportation Center Improvements:NTS/SFS Storage Facility Parcel B		285,335		221,849		63,486		12,280
440-02	Rosecrans Ave (Pioneer to Studebaker)		978		-		978		8,077
440-45	Repave Civic Center Dr (from Norwalk Blvd to Transportation Center)		682,315		17,350		664,965		721,254
440-46	Alondra Blvd Rehabilitation (Pioneer to Shoemaker) **		124,461		(186,160)		310,621		183,630
440-47	Alondra Boulevard Rehabilitation from Gridley Road to Studebaker Avenue (Design)		1,044,038		12,800		1,031,238		27,853
440-48	Pioneer Boulevard from Rosecrans Avenue to Imperial Highway (Design)		234,662		732		233,930		-
440-49	Shoemaker Avenue From Rosecrans Avenue to Foster Road (Design)		931,574		429		931,145		9,650
440-50	Rehabilitation of Fireston Boulevard		173,258		760		172,498		326,742
440-51	Pioneer Median Improvement		1,200,981		36,618		1,164,363		99,653
440-52	Pioneer Boulevard from Rosecrans Avenue to Imperial Highway		40,000		-		40,000		-
450-01	Firestone Bridge Construction (7147)		349,265		-		349,265		84
450-02	Firestone Bridge Guard Rails (7196)		116,000		-		116,000		-
480-03	Direct Administration		50,000	_	44,495		5,505		50,000
	Total expenditures	\$	7,136,828	\$	911,107	\$	6,225,721	\$	2,617,566

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

			2017		
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual

Historically, project code 440-46 was funded 88.53% by Caltrans funds and required 11.47% of local match, which the city used Prop C Funds to pay. During FY 2017, the City received a refund for 100% of the Prop C Funds expended on this project during FY 2016. Caltrans used the City's toll credits revenue to

** cover the match requirement for fiscal year 2016 and informed the City that they will be using these toll credits going forward. Since the fiscal year 2016 fund balance was correct as of June 30, 2016, the City elected to credit the refunded amount against the current year expense to bring the fund balance to actual and to essure these funds would be available for re-allocation.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date			Balance						Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2016		Additions	_	Deletions	_	6/30/2017
2002/03	Bus Stop Amenities	\$	66,883	\$	-	\$	- 5	\$	66,883
2002/03	Rosecrans Ave and Shoemaker		201,364		-		-		201,364
2002/03	Imperial Highway Rehabilitation		4,393		-		-		4,393
2003/04	Rosecrans Ave (Z-5 to Shoemaker)		2,373		-		-		2,373
2003/04	Rosecrans Ave (Pioneer to Bloomfield)								
			353,430		-		-		353,430
2003/04	Pioneer Blvd (Allard to Lakeland)		153,641		-		-		153,641
2003/04	Signal Upgrade (Imperial & Curtis)		39,957		-		-		39,957
2003/04	Signal Upgrade (Norwalk & Rosecrans)								
			28,952		-		-		28,952
2003/04	Shoemaker (Rosecrans to Foster)		165,586		-		-		165,586
2003/04	Foster (Shoemaker to Bloomfield)		115,587		-		-		115,587
2006/07	New Traffic Signal: Pioneer at Lindale								
			69,043		-		-		69,043
2007/08	Bus Stop Project (7709)		927,006		-		-		927,006
2007/08	Foster and Norwalk Street Improvement								
			418,033		-		-		418,033
2007/08	Studebaker Road (from Cecilia Street to								
	Imperial		252,431		-		-		252,431
	Highway) Rehabilitation - 7141								
2007/08	New Traffic Signal: Rosecrans		25,673		-		-		25,673
2007/08	Construction in Progress - Transit								
	Facility		599,389		-		-		599,389
2011/12	Repaying of Firestone Boulevard Bridge								
			126,763		-		-		126,763
2012/13	Transit Center - Mechanics Bay								,
	Lighting		11,187		-		-		11,187
2016/17	Firestone Bridge: SG River		-		350,086		-		350,086
2016/17	Alondra Blvd Rehabilitation		_		158,207		-		158,207
2016/17	Repave Civic Center Drive - Norwalk				120,207				100,207
2010/17	Blvd to Yard		-		764,222		-		764,222
	Total	¢-	3,561,691	\$	· · · · ·	\$		r –	
	10181	ን =	5,501,091	ې = :	1,272,313	ۍ =	- :	₽ =	4,834,206

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	 2017		2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ 3,411,690	\$	2,669,348
Total assets	\$ 3,411,690	\$	2,669,348
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 211,597	\$	53,640
Retention Payable	13,859		-
Accrued expense	 -		9,415
Total liabilities	 225,456		63,055
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 3,186,234		2,606,293
Total fund balance	 3,186,234	<u> </u>	2,606,293
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 3,411,690	\$	2,669,348

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017		2016
REVENUES				
Measure R	\$	1,216,818	\$	1,198,089
Interest income	_	24,851		25,067
Total revenues	_	1,241,669		1,223,156
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects		661,728	i.	1,223,123
Total expenditures	_	661,728		1,223,123
Excess of revenues over expenditures		579,941		33
Fund balance at beginning of year		2,606,293		2,606,260
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	3,186,234	\$	2,606,293

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

				2017		
Project Code	Project Name	_	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2016 Actual
1.05	Alleys- Studebaker/Alondra/Rosecrans	\$	20,567	\$ -	\$ 20,567 \$	288,923
1.05	City Wide Curb and Gutter		7,211	-	7,211	579,279
1.05	Street Repair Maintenance & Repairs		50,000	48,912	1,088	42,076
2.03	City Wide Curb and Gutter		770,000	-	770,000	-
2.03	Imperial Storm Drain Replacement at					
	Nordesta (7517)		545,000	-	545,000	-
2.03	Nordesta Storm Drain		125,000	1,850	123,150	-
2.03	HSIP - Signal/ Curb upgrade - Rosecrans					
	(7228)		115,537	6,806	108,731	3,264
2.03	HSIP - Signal/ Curb upgrade - San					
	Antonio/Norwalk (7230)		81,214	14,240	66,974	2,651
2.03	HSIP - Signal/ Curb upgrade - Studebaker					
	(7229)		70,270	19,576	50,694	3,706
2.03	Traffic Maintenance		94,700	66,167	28,533	84,913
2.03	Traffic Signals Repair & Maintenance -					
	Imp/M Salin (7231 B)		7,342	-	7,342	79,176
2.03	Traffic Signals Repair & Maintenance					
	(7231 A)		277,000	203,006	73,994	-
3.05	Sidewalk Repair		240,000	232,983	7,017	26,083
3.20	Front Rd Side Panel (7197)		395,218	67,411	327,807	56,219
4.90	Bus Stop Repair & Maintenance		7,600	-	7,600	-
7.90	Pavement Management Plan		2,000	-	2,000	56,833

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_				
					Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	City Wide Curb and Gutter (7910)	\$	250,000 \$	-	\$ 250,000 \$	
1.05	Drought Tolerant Plants (7849)		70,000	-	70,000	-
1.05	Sidewalk Repair (7911)		100,000	-	100,000	-
2.03	HSIP - Traffic Signal/Crub Upgrade - Studebaker/Rosecrans (723)		13,000	259	12,741	-
2.03	HSIP - Traffic Signal/Crub Upgrade - Alondra/Piuma (7231)		129,800	287	129,513	-
2.03	HSIP - Traffic Signal/Crub Upgrade - Firestone/Rosecrans (7233)		15,700	231	15,469	-
7.90	Traffic Signal Master Plan		200,000	-	200,000	-
	Total expenditures	\$	3,587,159 \$	661,728	\$ 2,925,431 \$	1,223,123

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date Acquired	Descri	ption		Balance 7/1/2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance 6/30/2017
	None		\$	-	\$ 	\$ 	\$ -
		Total	\$ _		\$ 	\$ 	\$ -

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2017	2016
ASSETS Due from LACMTA	\$ 	\$ 128,694
Total assets	\$ -	\$ 128,694
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities		
Accounts Payable Due to other funds	\$ -	\$ 1,750 126,944
Total liabilities		128,694
DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES		
Unavailable revenues	-	3,466
Total deferredd inflows of resources	-	3,466
Fund Balance		
Unassigned	-	(3,466)
Total fund balance	-	(3,466)
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 	\$ 128,694

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017		2016
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental Allocations:			
Article 3	\$ 57,481	\$	125,228
Total revenues	57,481		125,228
EXPENDITURES			
Construction /Maintenance	54,015		117,814
Total expenditures	54,015	•	117,814
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	3,466		7,414
Fund balance at beginning of year	(3,466)		(10,880)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$	(3,466)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Project Description		Program Year	_	Allocations	<u>s</u>]	Expenditures		Inexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Construction /Maintenance	Total	2016-17	\$ \$	07,101	\$ \$		\$_	3,466 3,466	
Fund balance at beginning of year Fund balance at end of year					=		\$_	(3,466)	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statement of revenue, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increase (revenue and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the city categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the city reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to city's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the city's investments policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No.54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017:

• Restricted- Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the funds' remaining fund balance are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the city is described in note 1 to the city's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7 –REIMBURSED REVENUE (OTHER RECEIVABLE), CODE ENFORCEMENT REVENUE, AND PARKING STRUCTURE REVENUE

Reimbursed revenue under PCLRF of \$59,997 and \$80,182 was from the City of Santa Fe Springs for the City's transit center operations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Code enforcement revenue under the PCLRF of \$6,272 and \$8,952 was from parking citations issued by the City for the years ended June 30, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Parking structure revenue under the PCLRF of \$238,623 and \$218,926 was from fees charged for use of the City's parking structure for the years ended June 30, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 8- OTHER RECEIVABLE

The other receivable balance in the PCLRF is composed of the reimbursed revenue earned on the shared transit center operations with the City of Santa Fe Spring during the fiscal year. The balance as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 was \$59,915 and \$79,989, respectively.

NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017		2016	
FY 2011-12 allocation	\$ -	\$	44,240	
FY 2012-13 allocation	-		78,138	
FY 2013-14 allocation	57,481		2,850	
Total payment requested	\$ 57,481	\$	125,228	

NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2013-14 reserve	\$ 25,637	\$ 83,118
FY 2014-15 reserve	70,012	70,012
FY 2015-16 reserve	67,939	67,939
FY 2016-17 allocation	71,002	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 234,590	\$ 221,069

NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through October 30, 2017 the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting And on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Norwalk, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Norwalk, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 30, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 30, 2017

COMPLIANCE SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Norwalk, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Norwalk, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of Norwalk complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2017.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which is described in the accompaying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding No. 2017-001. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Compliance Matrix. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon & Simpon

Los Angeles, California October 30, 2017

CITY OF NORWALK COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Compliance Description on t	In		Questioned	If no, provide details
	Compliance Requirement	Yes	No	Costs	and management
A.]	Proposition A and Proposition C Local H	Returi	n Funds		
1.	Timely use of funds.	Χ		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	x		None	
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.		Х	\$455	See Finding #2017-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None	

CITY OF NORWALK COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		In	Questioned	If no, provide detail				
Compliance Requirement		Yes	No	Costs	and management				
B. Measure R Local Return Fund									
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X							
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	x		None					
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None					
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None					
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	x		None					
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	x		None					
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	x		None					

CITY OF NORWALK COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		In	Questioned	If no, provide details
			No	Costs	and management
C.	Transportation Development Act Article	e 3 Fu	nd	·	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
	Expenditures were incurred for activities				
2.	relating to pedestrian and bicycle	X		None	
	facilities and amenities.				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

PCLRF: Finding #2017-001

Finding #2017-001	Entity
Compliance Requirement	According to Proposition A & C Local Return Guidelines, Section II, "A proposed expenditure of funds shall be deemed to be for public transit purposes to the extent that it can reasonably be expected to sustain or improve the quality and safety of and/or access to public transit services by the general public or those requiring special public transit assistance" and Section V, "It is jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation" In addition, LACMTA Local Return Program Manager issued a memo dated on April 29, 2014 to jurisdictions to provide recommendations to ensure that jurisdictions have adequate evidence to support its compliance with the Local Return Guidelines, those recommendations are "that an electronic system is acceptable as long as how much time is identified on the project (i.e. not just a clock-in-clock-out system) and this non- timesheet system, excel file or other, is authenticated by the employee and approved by one's supervisor." Also, "(4) Where employees work on multiple activities or cost objectives, a distribution or their salaries or wages will be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation which meets the standards in subsection (5) unless a statistical sampling system (see subsection (6)) or other substitute system has been approved by the cognizant Federal agency. Such documentary support will be required where employees work on: (b) A Federal award and non-Federal award (5) Personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation must meet the following standards: (b) They must reflect an after the fact distribution of the actual activity of each employee,
	(f) Budget estimates or other distribution percentages determined before the services are performed do not qualify as support for observes to
	performed do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards but may be used for interim

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

	accounting purposes, provided that: (i) the
	governmental unit's system for establishing the
	estimates produces reasonable approximations of
	the activity actually performed; (ii) at least
	quarterly, comparisons of actual costs to budgeted
	distributions based on monthly activity reports are
	made. Costs charged to Federal awards to reflect
	adjustments made as a result of the activity
	actually performed may be recorded annually if the quarterly comparisons show the differences
	between budgeted and actual costs are less than ten
	percent; and (iii) the budget estimates or other
	distribution percentages are revised as least
	quarterly, if necessary, to reflect changed
	circumstances."
Condition	The salaries and benefits for one employee totaling
	\$454 under Project 310-08 Transportation Center
	Operation for the period ended March 11, 2017,
	was based on percentages determined by the City
	departments to be attributable to the LACMTA
	projects.
	However, the percentages utilized cannot be
	supported by timesheets or similar time and effort
	documentation to demonstrate that the salaries
	charged were expended on approved Proposition C
	Local Return projects.
Cause	The City received the same finding during FY
	2015-16; as such, the city implemented internal
	control procedures to ensure that the salaries
	charged to approved Proposition C Local Return
	projects are properly supported. Internal Controls were implemented as of July 1, 2016 and operated
	effectively during all periods tested except for this
	one instance where the staff did not complete the
	timesheet in accordance with the new procedures
	implemented. As such, this was an isolated
	incident.
Effect	The City did not comply with MTA Guidelines.
Effect	The payroll costs claimed under the Proposition C
Effect	The payroll costs claimed under the Proposition C Local Return Funds projects may include
Effect	The payroll costs claimed under the Proposition C

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

Recommendation	As the City has modified its time sheet reporting format and implemented internal controls to ensure compliance with guidelines, we recommend that the City implement a monitoring and review process to ensure that the internal controls in place operate effectively to ensure proper reporting of salaries charged to approved Proposition C Local
	Return Projects.
Management's Response	Management will ensure that all staff time charged to Proposition C Local Return Projects are supported by timesheets or similar documentation.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The internal controls implemented at the start of fiscal year 2017 operated effectively in all periods tested except the instance noted. As such, no follow-up is necessary on this matter.

EXIT CONFERENCE

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on October 30, 2017 with the City of Norwalk. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Joseph Simpson: Audit Manager Tianren Bian: Staff Auditor

City's Representative:

Devon Jimenez: Controller

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an issue of noncompliance with the Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF NORWALK ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 for the City of Norwalk and agree with the contents of the report except s indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,

Name

Title

Date