CITY OF SAN MARINO ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

CITY OF SAN MARINO TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
FINANCIAL SECTION Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	24 26 29
EXIT CONFERENCE	31





Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Marino, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of San Marino, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of San Marino, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of San Marino, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of San Marino, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 16, 2017, on our consideration of the City of San Marino's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 16, 2017

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016		
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	437,969	\$	429,065
Metro receivable		38,452		38,069
Interest receivable		451		755
Total assets	\$ _	476,872	\$	467,889
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	28,124	\$	21,866
Total liabilities	_	28,124		21,866
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	448,748		446,023
Total fund balance	_	448,748		446,023
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	476,872	\$	467,889

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 245,154	\$ 241,032
Interest income	2,161	1,707
Total revenues	247,315	242,739
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	244,590	226,473
Total expenditures	244,590	226,473
Excess of revenues over expenditures	2,725	16,266
Fund balance at beginning of year	446,023	429,757
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 448,748	\$ 446,023

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

					2017			
							Variance	
Project			Metro]	Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	<u>(U</u>	nfavorable)	Actual
120-01	Dial-A-Ride	\$	12,149	\$	7,952	\$	4,197 \$	7,223
140-03	Recreational Transit Athletics Trips		110,000		123,688		(13,688)	110,000
140-04	Recreational Transit Merchant Events		3,000		3,118		(118)	2,891
140-06	Recreational Trips		110,000		109,832		168	106,359
160-02	Bus Benches	_	75,000		-		75,000	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	310,149	\$_	244,590	\$	65,559 \$	226,473

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2017
2006	Recreational Van (Ford E-350)	\$	24,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,236
		Total \$	24,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,236

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017	2016		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	253,610	\$	167,769	
Metro receivable		31,895		31,577	
Interest receivable		236		206	
Total assets	\$ _	285,741	\$	199,552	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$_	_	\$		
Total liabilities	_			_	
Fund Balance					
Restricted	_	285,741		199,552	
Total fund balance	_	285,741		199,552	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	285,741	\$	199,552	

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017		2016
REVENUES Proposition C	¢	202 590	¢	200.062
Proposition C	\$	203,580	\$	200,062
Interest income		1,254		440
Total revenues		204,834		200,502
EXPENDITURES Huntington Drive Street Light Improvement - Granada Avenue to South Albanks Road	:	118,645		67,032
Total expenditures		118,645		67,032
Excess of revenues over expenditures		86,189		133,470
Fund balance at beginning of year		199,552		66,082
Fund balance at end of year	\$	285,741	\$	199,552

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_						
		_					Variance	
Project			Metro				Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	_	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
450-01	Huntington Drive Street Light Improvement - Granada Avenue to South Albanks Road	\$	210,000	\$	118,645	\$	91,355 \$	67,032
450-02	Street Overlay and Median Irrigation Replacement - Sierra Madre Boulevard		835,000		-		835,000	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,045,000	\$	118,645	\$	926,355 \$	67,032

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date		Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2016	 Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2017
2006 2007	Huntington Drive Improvements \$ AC Pavements	26,438 118,789	\$ -	\$ -	\$	26,438 118,789
2009	Sierra Madre and Garfield Improvements	472,743	-	-		472,743
2010	Garfield Avenue Improvements	8,510	-	-		8,510
2010	Sierra Madre Blvd St. Rehabilitation/Irrigation	464,234	 -	 -	_	464,234
	Total \$	1,090,714	\$ -	\$ -	\$	1,090,714

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017	2016		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	160,986	\$	264,389	
Metro receivable		23,996		23,685	
Interest receivable		39		367	
Total assets	\$	185,021	\$	288,441	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	_	
Total liabilities		-			
Fund Balance					
Restricted	·	185,021		288,441	
Total fund balance	,	185,021		288,441	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	185,021	\$	288,441	

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017		2016
REVENUES			
Measure R	\$ 152,621	\$	149,967
Interest income	697		790
Total revenues	153,318	•	150,757
EXPENDITURES Huntington Drive Pavement Rehabilitation Westbound from Winston	256,738		-
Total expenditures	256,738		-
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures	(103,420)		150,757
Fund balance at beginning of year	288,441		137,684
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 185,021	\$	288,441

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

				2017			
						Variance	
Project			Metro			Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name	_	Budget	Actual	(U	Infavorable)	Actual
1.05	Street Repairs and Maintenance - 1 Part Time Street Maintenance Personnel	\$	17,215 \$	-	\$	17,215 \$	-
1.05	Street Repairs and Maintenance - Huntington Drive Del Mar to Winston Westbound		244,237	-		244,237	-
1.05	Huntington Drive Pavement Rehabilitation Westbound from Winston		260,000	256,738		3,262	-
2.03	Northwest Traffic Calming and Safety Control Measures	_	34,289	-		34,289	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	555,741 \$	256,738	\$	299,003 \$	_

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date				Balance					Balance
_Acquired		Description		 7/1/2016	 Additions	_	Deletions	_	6/30/2017
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017	2016
ASSETS	,		
Cash and investments	\$	12	\$ 7
Interest receivable	,	-	5
Total assets	\$	12	\$ 12
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$ -
Total liabilities	•		
Fund Balance			
Restricted	•	12	12
Total fund balance	,	12	12
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	12	\$ 12

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017	2016
REVENUES			
Payment from previous years reserve	\$	-	\$ -
Interest Income			12
Total revenues			12
EXPENDITURES			
Construction/Maintenance			
Total expenditures			
OTHER FINANCING USE			
Funds returned to LACMTA			(3,315)
Total other financing use			(3,315)
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures and other financing	use	-	(3,303)
Fund balance at beginning of year		12	3,315
Fund balance at end of year	\$	12	\$ 12

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations		Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations None	-	\$_	-	_\$_	-	\$_		
Total	[\$	_	\$	-	=	-	
Fund balance at beginning of year	r					_	12	
Fund balance at end of year						\$_	12	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017:

Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by
providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The City has no revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ 3,835	\$ 3,835
FY 2015-16 allocation	8,515	8,515
FY 2016-17 allocation	8,901	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 21,251	\$ 12,350

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through October 16, 2017, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Marino, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of San Marino, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 16, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California October 16, 2017

Simpson & Simpson





Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Marino, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of San Marino, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the years ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of San Marino complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2017.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding #2017-001 and #2017-002. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of San Marino is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weakness may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 16, 2017

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2017

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and				
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.				
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds									
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None					
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None					
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.		X	None	See Finding #2017-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.				
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None					
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None					
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None					

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		I Comp	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	r	Yes No		Costs	management response.
B. N	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.		X	None	See Finding #2017-002 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and			
		Yes No		Costs	management response.			
C. T	C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund							
1.	1. Timely use of funds.			None				
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	N/A		None				

N/A- Not applicable as the City did not expend funds.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

PALRF and PCLRF: Finding #2017-001

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A & C Guidelines, Section I. C, "Jurisdictions shall submit on or before August 1 of each fiscal year an Annual Project Update to provide current information on all approved on-going and carryover LR projects."
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2016 deadline for submission of the Annual Project Update (Form B). However, the City submitted the Form B on August 15, 2016.
Cause	The City employee responsible for the submission of the form missed the deadline set by LACMTA due to oversight.
Effect	The City's Form B was not submitted timely.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form B is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Proposition A and C Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend the City to retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted on a timely manner.
Management's Response	The City of San Marino began a transition in financial management following the departure of its long-term Finance Director in June 2016 and the City's Public Works Director's departure three months later. Subsequent to these events, the City has employed various interim personnel for accounting and executive positions. The failure to complete Form B in a timely manner is not, from the context and perspective of hindsight, an unexpected event, however unfortunate. The City recently hired a permanent Public Works Director and is in the planning stages for permanent replacement hiring pertaining to accounting and executive management positions, and thus believes that faithful compliance with LACMTA rules and regulations will be restored.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted the Form B on August 15, 2016. No follow up is required.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

MRLRF: Finding #2017-002

Compliance Reference	According to Measure R Guidelines, Section B (II.1), Expenditure Plan (Form One): "Jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan, annually, on or before August 1st of each fiscal year."
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2016 deadline for submission of Form One. However, the City submitted the Form One on August 15, 2016.
Cause	The City employee responsible for the submission of the form missed the deadline set by LACMTA due to oversignt.
Effect	The City's Form One was not submitted timely.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form One (Expenditure Plan) is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure R Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted on a timely manner.
Management's Response	The City of San Marino began a transition in financial management following the departure of its long-term Finance Director in June 2016 and the City's Public Works Director's departure three months later. Subsequent to these events, the City has employed various interim personnel for accounting and executive positions. The failure to complete Form One in a timely manner is not, from the context and perspective of hindsight, an unexpected event, however unfortunate. The City recently hired a permanent Public Works Director and is in the planning stages for permanent replacement hiring pertaining to accounting and executive management positions, and thus believes that faithful compliance with LACMTA rules and regulations will be restored.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted the Form One on August 15, 2016. No follow up is required.



PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on December 20, 2017 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Yung Dang, Auditor

City's Representative:

Richard Martinez, Interim City Controller Josh Betta, Interim Finance Director

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and two (2) non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Josh Betta, Interim Finance Director

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF SAN MARINO ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016.

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 for the City of San Marino and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,							
	Name						
	Title						
	Date						