# CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	_
Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	9
Schedule of Capital Assets	10
Measure R Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	13
Schedule of Capital Assets	13
•	
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	15
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific	17
Projects	
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	23
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	25
Compliance Matrix	28
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	31
EXIT CONFERENCE	35





#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of South Pasadena, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of South Pasadena, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of South Pasadena, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of South Pasadena, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of South Pasadena, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 30, 2017, on our consideration of the City of South Pasadena's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California November 30, 2017

Simpson & Singson

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017	_	2016
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	655,500	\$	690,373
Interest receivable		832		528
Due from LACMTA		-		14,122
Other receivable	_	100	-	-
Total assets	\$ <u>_</u>	656,432	\$	705,023
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	5,403	\$	4,250
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	_	2,544	-	2,369
Total liabilities	_	7,947	-	6,619
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	648,485	-	698,404
Total fund balance	_	648,485	-	698,404
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	656,432	\$	705,023

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 477,606	\$ 469,505
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 7)	10,452	14,122
Project generated revenue (Note 8)	4,403	4,934
Interest income	10,363	7,167
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment	(9,625)	1,234
Other income		1,014
Total revenues	493,199	497,976
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	543,118	363,663
Total expenditures	543,118	363,663
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures	(49,919)	134,313
Fund balance at beginning of year	698,404	564,091
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 648,485	\$ 698,404

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_			Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
130-01	Transit Software	\$	35,034 \$	35,034	\$ - \$	28,194
130-05	Senior Dial-A-Ride Program		314,900	221,210	93,690	187,587
140-01	Recreational Transit Service		32,475	29,527	2,948	26,085
150-01	Bus Stop Improvement Program		90,000	15,528	74,472	-
150-02	Mission Street Business District		30,929	30,929	-	-
	Pedestrian Improvement Project					
180-01	Purchase Paratransit Van		133,924	133,924	-	88,763
250-03	Bus Pass Subsidy Program		6,910	6,910	-	7,125
270-01	Transit Planning		71,031	53,826	17,205	-
480-01	Administration	_	14,997	16,230	(1,233)	25,909
	Total expenditures	\$	730,200 \$	543,118	\$187,082 \$	363,663

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description	_	7/1/2016	Additions	Deletions		6/30/2017
1977	WAS Memorial Building Park	\$	108,861 \$	- <b>\$</b>		\$	108,861
	<b>G</b>	Φ	,	- 3	-	Φ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2003	Chavy Van with Wheelchair		37,644	-	-		37,644
2014	CNG Deluxe Passenger Vehicle		59,100	-	-		59,100
2016	Transit Demand Response		28,194	-	-		28,194
	Transportation Management System						
2016	2016 Ford E450 Cutaway		88,762	-	-		88,762
	CNG Passenger Van						
2017	2016 Phoenix Zeus Electric		-	114,501	-		114,501
	Passenger Bus						
2017	Clipper Creek CS-100 PEV Charger	_		16,450	-		16,450
	Total	\$_	322,561 \$	130,951 \$	-	\$	453,512

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017	_	2016
ASSETS	_		_	_
Cash and investments	\$	736,017	\$	466,129
Interest receivable		726		325
Miscellaneous receivable	_	-	-	3,545
Total assets	\$ =	736,743	\$	469,999
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accrued salaries and benefits	\$_	2,248	\$	1,527
Total liabilities	_	2,248	-	1,527
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	734,495	_	468,472
Total fund balance	_	734,495	-	468,472
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	736,743	\$	469,999

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 395,586	\$ 388,693
Interest income	8,049	4,569
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment	(7,420)	889
Parking revenue (Note 9)	37,508	38,525
Total revenues	433,723	432,676
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	167,700	305,534
Total expenditures	167,700	305,534
Excess of revenues over expenditures	266,023	127,142
Fund balance at beginning of year	468,472	341,330
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 734,495	\$ 468,472

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

				2017			
						Variance	
Project			Metro		]	Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	(U	nfavorable)	Actual
130-05	Senior Dial-A-Ride	\$	242,746	\$ 160,779	\$	81,967 \$	216,215
150-01	Bus Stop Improvement Program		90,000	-		90,000	-
150-02	Bus Stop Improvement Program		-	-		-	8,842
200-01	Purchase New CNG Transit Van		-	-		-	33,985
290-01	Mission-Meridian Park and Ride Lot		-	-		-	20,089
430-01	South Pasadena Business District		500,000	-		500,000	-
	Pedestrian Improvement Project						
480-01	Administration		6,989	 6,921		68	26,403
	Total expenditures	\$_	839,735	\$ 167,700	\$	672,035 \$	305,534

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2016	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2017
2005	Engineering Svd Pavement	\$	21,312	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,312
	Management					
2012	Transit Dispatching Equipment		13,834	_	-	13,834
	and Software					
2012	MV-1 CNG Vehicle		51,721	-	-	51,721
2015	Mission Street Improvements		81,089	-	-	81,089
2016	CNG Ford F250 Regular Cab Truck	_	33,985	 -	 -	 33,985
	Total	\$_	201,941	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 201,941

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017		2016	
ASSETS	_		•		
Cash and investments	\$	829,909	\$	967,611	
Interest receivable	_	911	ı,	666	
Total assets	\$ _	830,820	\$	968,277	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$ _	42,605	\$	-	
Total liabilities	_	42,605			
FUND BALANCE					
Restricted	_	788,215		968,277	
Total fund balance	_	788,215		968,277	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	830,820	\$	968,277	

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

# For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017	2016
REVENUES	•		
Measure R	\$	297,193	\$ 292,258
Interest income		12,719	8,931
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment		(14,190)	1,868
Total revenues	•	295,722	303,057
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects		475,784	28,989
Total expenditures		475,784	28,989
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures		(180,062)	274,068
Fund balance at beginning of year		968,277	694,209
Fund balance at end of year	\$	788,215	\$ 968,277

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

				2017			
Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	Actual	<u>U</u>	Variance Favorable Jnfavorable)	2016 Actual
1.05	Citywide Sidewalk Repairs	\$	92,000 \$	-	\$	92,000 \$	-
1.05	Monterey Road Street Improvements		696,000	475,784		220,216	28,989
1.05	Citywide Slurry Seal Program		1	-		1	-
1.05	Hawthorne Street Improvements		1	-		1	-
1.05	Pasadena Avenue Street Improvement	t	1	-		1	-
1.05	Via Del Rey Street Improvement		1	-		1	-
1.05	Mission Street Improvement		1	-		1	-
1.05	Alhambra Road Reconfiguration	_	1	-		11	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	788,006 \$	475,784	\$_	312,222 \$	28,989

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2016	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2017
2015 2017	Hawthorne Street Improvements Monterey Road Improvements		\$ 295,968 -	\$ - 475,784	\$ -	\$ 295,968 475,784
		Total	\$ 295,968	\$ 475,784	\$ -	\$ 771,752

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017		2016
ASSETS		_	-	
Cash and investments	\$	8	\$	
Total assets	\$ _	8	\$	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	
Total liabilities		-	•	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	8		
Total fund balance		8	-	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	8	\$	-

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017	2016
REVENUES	•		
TDA 3	\$	11,205	\$ -
Interest income		8	-
Total revenues		11,213	
EXPENDITURES			
Preliminary Engineering Arroyo Seco Bike and Ped Trail		11,205	
Total expenditures	-	11,205	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		8	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	•		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	8	\$ 

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Program				Unexpended	Project
Project Description	Year		Allocations	Expenditures	Allocations	Status
Local allocations Preliminary Engineering Arroyo Seco Bike and Ped Trail	2016-17	\$	11,205 \$	11,205 \$	-	Complete
Total		\$_	11,205 \$	11,205	-	
Interest income					8	
Fund balance at beginning of the year	ar					
Fund balance at end of year				\$	8	

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorized its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognized unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

#### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017:

Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by
providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

#### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

#### NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

#### **NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

#### NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS - PALRF

The City entered into various Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreements with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) to receive Proposition A discretionary incentive grants for participating in the Consolidated National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

Agreement <u>Date</u>	<u>Period</u>	NTD Voluntary Reporting		MOU <u>Amount</u>		Amount Received			
						2017		2016	
May 21, 2016	7/1/15 - 6/30/17	FY 2013-14	\$	10,452	\$	10,452	\$	-	
September 14, 2015	7/1/14 - 6/30/16	FY 2012-13	\$	14,122		-		14,122	
Total					\$	10,452	\$	14,122	

The Proposition A discretionary incentive grants were recorded under PALRF.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

#### NOTE 8 - PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenue for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017		2016
Dial-A-Ride Charges	\$ 4,403	\$	4,934
Total	\$ 4,403	\$	4,934

#### NOTE 9 - PARKING REVENUE - PCLRF

The City entered a Paid Parking at Mission-Meridian Village Garage professional service agreement with Clancy International, Inc. to operate the permit program. The City received parking revenues of \$37,508 and \$38,525 for the years ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016, respectively.

# NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ 11,205	\$ -
Total	\$ 11,205	\$ -

#### NOTE 11 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

2017		2016
\$ 5,381	\$	16,586
17,354		-
\$ 22,735	\$	16,586
\$ 	\$ 5,381 17,354	\$ 5,381 \$ 17,354

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

#### **NOTE 12 – CONTINGENCIES**

The City, as a member of the Mission-Meridian Village Property Owners Association (POA) is obligated to make payments to the POA in the form of dues and utilities for the City's portion as stipulated in the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) and the annual adopted budget. A portion of the payments are charged to Proposition C for parking spaces made available to Proposition C project vehicles.

On December 8, 2015, the City sent a letter to the POA in an attempt to correct what the City believed to be the accurate amounts that the City is obligated to pay. The City believed that it has established the amount based on its staff and council review of the CC&R and available billing documentation provided by POA. Although discussions are ongoing, the City and the POA has not reached an agreement as of June 30, 2016.

As of June 30, 2017, the POA parking charge statement presented an accumulated balance due from the City in the amount of \$201,873. Although the City is disputing the accuracy of the dues and utilities calculation provided by the POA, there is a chance that the City will be liable to pay a portion or the entire outstanding amount of \$201,873 to the Mission-Meridian Village POA utilizing PCLRF monies.

#### **NOTE 13 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through November 30, 2017, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



# Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of South Pasadena, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of South Pasadena, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2017.

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 30, 2017

Simpson & Simpson





#### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of South Pasadena, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of South Pasadena, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

#### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, except as noted in the Compliance Matrix and the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, the City of South Pasadena complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2017.





#### Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding #2017-001, #2017-002, #2017-003, and #2017-004. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of South Pasadena is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a significant deficiency as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding #2017-002.

The City's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. We did not audit the City's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.



The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 30, 2017

# COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes No Costs		management response.
4. P	roposition A and Proposition C Lo	cal Ret	urn Fu	nds	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.		X	None	See Finding #2017-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.		X	None	See Finding #2017-002 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.		X	None	See Finding #2017-003 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
10.		X		None	
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	7	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
В. М	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.		X	None	See Finding #2017-004 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None	

# COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and				
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.				
C. T	C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund								
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None					

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

# **PALRF: Finding #2017-001**

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Section I (B.3), "If Local Return Funds have been expended prior to Metro approval and/or used for ineligible purposes, Jurisdictions will be required to reimburse their Proposition A Local Return account"  To maintain eligibility and meet Local Return (LR) Program compliance requirements, the Guidelines also state under Section I (C) that jurisdictions shall:  a) submit for approval a Project Description Form (Form A) prior to the expenditure of funds for: 1) a new project  b) submit on or before August 1 of each fiscal year an Annual Project Update (Form B) to provide current information on all approved ongoing and carryover LR projects
Condition	The City incurred PALRF expenditures prior to receiving approval from LACMTA for the following projects:  *New project:* a) Project Code 150-02, Mission Street Business District Pedestrian Improvement Project in the amount of \$30,929;  *Ongoing projects:* b) Project Code 130-01, Transit Software in the amount of \$35,034; c) Project Code 250-03, Bus Pass Subsidy Program in the amount of \$6,910.  However, Form A was subsequently approved on December 12, 2017.
Cause	Due to an oversight, the City was not able to submit Form A for the new project under Project Code 150-02, and Form B for the ongoing projects under Project Codes 130-01 and 250-03, prior to incurring the expenditures. Also, it was the City's understanding that ongoing projects did not have to be submitted for approval every year.
Effect	The City did not comply with the Guidelines when expenditures for new and ongoing PALRF projects are incurred prior to LACMTA's approval.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that it obtains approval from LACMTA prior to incurring PALRF expenditures. Form A should be prepared for all new projects and submitted to LACMTA anytime during the year, and ongoing projects should be included in Form B to be submitted on or before August 1 of each fiscal year.
Management's Response	In the future, the City's staff will ensure that all new and ongoing projects are approved prior to incurring expenditures.
Finding Corrected During Audit	The City filed Form A and was subsequently approved by LACMTA Program Manager on December 12, 2017.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017 (Continued)

# **PALRF: Finding #2017-002**

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section I (C), Project Description Form (Form A), Item 5: "Jurisdictions shall submit for approval a Project Description Form prior to the expenditure of funds for a 25 percent or greater change in an approved Local Return project budget or scope on all operating or capital Local Return projects."		
Condition	The City expended more than 25 percent of LACMTA's approved budget on PALRF Project Code 180-01, Purchase Paratransit Van in the amount of \$43,924. However, the City filed the Form A to obtain a retroactive approval on the budget amendment for the project from LACMTA. The Form A was subsequently approved on October 16, 2017.  This is a repeat finding from the prior year.		
Cause	The City Council approved the purchase of an electric (alternative fuel) paratransit van as opposed to a CNG van. A paratransit van normally costs more than a CNG van. The purchase amount includes the cost and installation of the electric charger for the van resulting to expenditures exceeding the budget by over 25%.		
Effect	The City's PALRF expenditures for Project Code 180-01, Purchase Paratransit Van Project exceeded 25 percent of LACMTA's approved budget without LACMTA's approval and the City did not comply with the Guidelines.		
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that project expenditures are within the 25 percent cap of the LACMTA's approved budget and an amended Form A is properly prepared and submitted prior to the expenditure of funds which would result in a 25 percent or greater change in an approved Local Return project budget or scope on all operating or capital Local Return projects.		
Management's Response	The City's staff will monitor the budget and submit the appropriate forms to LACMTA if there are necessary adjustments to the budget.		
Finding Corrected During Audit	The City filed Form A and was subsequently approved on October 16, 2017. No follow up is required.		

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017 (Continued)

# PALRF and PCLRF: Finding #2017-003

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section I-C, "Jurisdictions shall submit on or before August 1 of each fiscal year an Annual Project Update to provide current information on all approved on-going and carryover Local Return projects."		
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2016 deadline for submission of the Annual Project Update (Form B). However, the City subsequently submitted the Form B on August 15, 2017.		
Cause	Due to an oversight, the City missed the deadline submission of Form B to LACMTA. The City submitted the Form B on August 15, 2017.		
Effect	The City's Form B was not submitted timely.		
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish controls to ensure that the Form B is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1 <sup>st</sup> so that the City's expenditures of the Proposition A & C Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend the City to retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.		
Management's Response	In the future, the City's staff will ensure that the Form B for the proper fiscal year will be submitted to LACMTA in a timely manner.		
Finding Corrected During Audit	The City submitted the Form B on August 15, 2017. No follow up is required.		

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017 (Continued)

# **MRLRF:** Finding #2017-004

Compliance Reference	According to Measure R Guidelines, Section B (II.1), Expenditure Plan (Form One): "Jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan, annually, on or before August 1st of each fiscal year."		
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2016 deadline for submission of the Form One. However, the City submitted the Form One on August 8, 2016.		
Cause	The Form One for fiscal year 2016, instead of, for fiscal year 2017, was submitted by the City to LACMTA on August 1, 2016. The proper fiscal year 2017 Form One was submitted to LACMTA when the error was discovered on August 8, 2016.		
Effect	The City's Form One was not submitted timely.		
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form One is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure R Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted in a timely manner.		
Management's Response	In the future, the City's staff will ensure that the Form One for the proper fiscal year will be submitted to LACMTA in a timely manner.		
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City submitted the Form One on August 8, 2016. No follow-up is required.		

# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on December 15, 2017 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Elizabeth Mendoza, Senior Auditor

#### City's Representative:

David Batt, Finance Director Sonia Cruz, Assistant Finance Director

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed one (1) significant control deficiency and four (4) non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

David Batt, Finance Director

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016.

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 for the City of South Pasadena and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly y	ours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	