CITY OF TEMPLE CITY ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	3 4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	_
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	7 8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	15 16
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	24 26 29
EXIT CONFERENCE	32





Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Temple City, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Temple City, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Temple City, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Temple City, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 24, 2017, on our consideration of the City of Temple City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 24, 2017

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017	2016
ASSETS	•		
Cash and investments	\$	922,649	\$ 850,260
Total assets	\$	922,649	\$ 850,260
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$.	59,697	\$ 54,056
Total liabilities		59,697	54,056
Fund Balance			
Restricted	•	862,952	796,204
Total fund balance		862,952	796,204
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	922,649	\$ 850,260

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017	2016		
REVENUES	•				
Proposition A	\$	661,923	\$ 652,228		
Project generated revenue (Note 7)		87,486	97,366		
Interest income		944	435		
Total revenues		750,353	750,029		
EXPENDITURES					
Various projects		683,605	708,806		
Total expenditures		683,605	708,806		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		66,748	41,223		
Fund balance at beginning of year		796,204	754,981		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	862,952	\$ 796,204		

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_			Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
130-01	Special Service Paratransit	\$	455,000 \$	416,560	\$ 38,440 \$	429,072
140-02	Recreational Transit		55,000	48,102	6,898	54,230
160-01	Shade Structures for Bus Shelters		90,000	-	90,000	-
170-04	Bus Shelter Maintenance		10,600	7,752	2,848	7,752
250-03	User-Side Subsidy		150,880	114,769	36,111	136,808
270-02	San Gabriel Valley Council of		10,270	10,274	(4)	9,938
	Governments Membership					
480-05	Direct Administration		81,080	86,148	(5,068)	71,006
	Total expenditures	\$	852,830 \$	683,605	\$ 169,225 \$	708,806

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date				Balar	nce						Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/20	016		Additions	_	Deletions	_	6/30/2017
	None		ф.			- Ф		ф -		Φ	
	None		D		-	_ ф _	-	- _Ф -		_ ф _	
			Total \$		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016		
ASSETS	_			
Cash and investments	\$	1,007,843	\$ 459,414	
Total assets	\$ _	1,007,843	\$ 459,414	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$ 	
Total liabilities	_			
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,007,843	459,414	
Total fund balance	_	1,007,843	459,414	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,007,843	\$ 459,414	

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 547,945	\$ 539,575
Interest income	484	152
Total revenues	548,429	539,727
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	-	181,618
Total expenditures		181,618
Excess of revenues over expenditures	548,429	358,109
Fund balance at beginning of year	459,414	101,305
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,007,843	\$ 459,414

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_		2017			
						Variance	
Project			Metro			Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	<u>J)</u>	Jnfavorable)	Actual
270-01	Las Tunas Drive Improvement Project	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	83,518
440-01	Temple City Boulevard Upgrades and Safety Improvements		704,790	-		704,790	98,100
	Total expenditures	\$	704,790	\$ -	\$	704,790 \$	181,618

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date				Balance					Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2016	_	Additions	Deletions	_	6/30/2017
	None			\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	_
			Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016		
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	896,531	\$	1,325,736
Total assets	\$	896,531	\$	1,325,736
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	-
Total liabilities		-		
Fund Balance				
Restricted		896,531	_	1,325,736
Total fund balance		896,531		1,325,736
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	896,531	\$	1,325,736

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 411,885	\$ 406,000
Interest income	4,068	1,924
Total revenues	415,953	407,924
EXPENDITURES		
Repaving of Streets per Recessment Pavement Management	845,158	
Total expenditures	845,158	
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures	(429,205)	407,924
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,325,736	917,812
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 896,531	\$ 1,325,736

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_				
Project			Metro		Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Repaving of Streets per Recessment Pavement Management	\$	1,500,000 \$	845,158	\$ 654,842 \$	-
	Total expenditures	\$	1,500,000 \$	845,158	\$ 654,842 \$	-

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date					Balance						Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2016	_	Additions		Deletions		6/30/2017
	None			\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	
	Tione			Ψ-		-Ψ-		_Ψ.		Ψ	
			Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017		2016
ASSETS	_			
Due from LACMTA	\$ _	-	\$	
Total assets	\$ =	-	\$	-
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Cash overdraft	\$ _	-	\$	-
Total liabilities	_		-	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	-		
Total fund balance	_		-	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	-	\$	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
TDA 3	\$ 	\$
Total revenues		
EXPENDITURES		
None		
Total expenditures		
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Project Description		Program Year	 Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations							
None		2016-17	\$ -	\$ -	\$_		
	Total		\$ -	\$ -	=	-	
Fund balance at beginning	of the yea	ar					
Fund balance at beginning	of year				\$_		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorized its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognized unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017:

Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by
providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7 - PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenue for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
Bus Pass Sales	\$ 87,486	\$ 97,366
Total	\$ 87,846	\$ 97,366

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 8 - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ 15,273	\$ 15,273
FY 2015-16 allocation	23,034	23,034
FY 2016-17 allocation	24,044	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 62,351	\$ 38,307

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through October 24, 2017, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Temple City, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 24, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California October 24, 2017

Simpson & Simpson





Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Temple City, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of Temple City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2017.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding #2017-001, #2017-002, and #2017-003. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Temple City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 24, 2017

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and				
	•		No	Costs	management response.				
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds									
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None					
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None					
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None					
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None					
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.		X	None	See Finding #2017-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.				
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.		X	PALRF: \$3,668 PCLRF: \$4,221	See Finding #2017-002 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.				

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and				
			No	Costs	management response.				
В. М	B. Measure R Local Return Fund								
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None					
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.		X	None	See Finding #2017-003 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.				
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None					
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None					
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None					
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None					

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
		Yes	No		management response.	
C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund						
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None		
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and	X		None		

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017

PALRF: Finding #2017-001

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section II, "A proposed expenditure of funds shall be deemed to be for public transit purposes to the extent that it can reasonably be expected to sustain or improve the quality and safety of and/or access to public transit services by the general public or those requiring special public transit assistance" and Section V, "It is jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation"
Condition	To support the propriety of expenditures being charged to the Proposition A Local Return Fund, non-payroll expenditures should be supported by properly executed contracts, invoices, and vouchers. Although payments to vendors were allowable and were properly supported by invoices and cancelled checks, the following expenditures were not supported by an existing contract or purchase order form for the following vendors: (a) Payments made to the vendor, Elite School Transit, were charged to PALRF's Recreational Transit project in the total amount of \$14,400. (b) Payments made to vendor, Inland Empire Tours and Transportation, were charged to PALRF's Recreational Transit project in the total amount of \$16,704.
Cause	The vendors were hesitant to enter into contract agreements due to the extensive stringent endorsement insurance requirements by the City.
Effect	No contract or purchase order form to support the payments made to the vendors, Elite School Transit and Inland Empire Tours and Transportation, indicates a weakness in the City's internal control.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish controls to ensure that the costs charged to the Local Return Funds, although allowable, are adequately supported by contracts, invoices, canceled checks or similar documentation so that Local Return expenditures are in compliance with the Guidelines.
Management's Response	The City has already procured a contract with a vendor who has properly met the insurance requirements in FY 2017-18.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017 (Continued)

PALRF and PCLRF: Finding #2017-002

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section IV.C.4, "Jurisdictions must maintain accurate records for the amount of interest earned each year."
Condition	During our review of the of the interest income allocated to PALRF and PCLRF, we noted that the cash balances used as the basis for the allocation was constant throughout the fiscal year and was not adjusted by monies received and monies spent against the LRF. Based on our re-calculation of the proper interest income to be allocated are as follows: (a) Interest income to PALRF should be \$4,612, but only \$944 was allocated. A difference of \$3,668. (b) Interest income to PCLRF should be \$4,705, but only \$484 was allocated. A difference of \$4,221. According to the City, the additional interest income for PALRF and PCLRF will be recorded in FY 2017-18.
Cause	Due to lack of staff availability, the calculation of the average cash balances as the basis for the allocation was not performed during the fiscal year.
Effect	Since the City did not use the true cash balances of the LRFs as the basis for the interest income allocation, the interest income allocated to the funds were determined to be unreasonable and resulted in questioned costs of \$7,889.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City reimburse its PALRF and PCLRF Accounts in the amounts of \$3,668 and \$4,221, respectively. The City needs to establish controls to ensure that the actual cash balances or the actual average cash balances of the LRFs are used as the proper basis for the allocation of the interest income. Furthermore, the City should allocate the interest income more frequently, i.e. on a quarterly basis, instead of, on a yearly basis, in order to reflect a more reasonable distribution of the interest income to the LRFs.
Management's Response	Beginning fiscal year 2017-18, the City will allocate interest to the LRFs based on actual cash balances on a quarterly basis.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City recorded the interest income adjustment that is due to PALRF and PCLRF in the amounts of \$3,668 and \$4,221 in FY 2017-18 and provided the LACMTA auditors a copy of the journal entry.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2017 (Continued)

MRLRF: Finding #2017-003

Compliance Reference	According to Measure R Guidelines, Section B (II.1), Expenditure Plan (Form One): "Jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan, annually, on or before August 1st of each fiscal year."
Condition	The City did not meet the August 1, 2016 deadline for submission of Form One. The City submitted the Form One on August 6, 2016.
Cause	There were last minute MRLRF projects that were being considered by the City to be included during the preparation of Form One. As a result, the form was submitted late.
Effect	The City's Form One was not submitted timely.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Form One (Expenditure Plan) is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1st so that the City's expenditures of the Measure R Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted on a timely manner.
Management's Response	In the future, the staff will be managed more closely on the submission of the form in a timely manner.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted Form One on August 6, 2016. No follow-up is required.



PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on November 30, 2017 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Dulce Kapuno, Senior Manager Yung Dang, Semi-Senior Auditor

City's Representative:

Lee Ma, Senior Accountant Tracey Hause, Administrative Services Director

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and three (3) non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Lee Ma, Senior Accountant

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF TEMPLE CITY ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016.

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 for the City of Temple City and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly y	ours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	