CITY OF TORRANCE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	7 8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	11 12
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	15 16
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	24 26 29
EXIT CONFERENCE	30





MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Torrance, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Torrance, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Torrance, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Torrance, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Torrance, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for the purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Simpson & Simpson

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated December 6, 2017, on our consideration of the City of Torrance's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California December 6, 2017

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017	_	2016
ASSETS	-		· ' <u>-</u>	_
Cash and investments	\$	1,528,042	\$	1,471,954
Interest receivable	_	2,392		5,847
Total assets	\$ _	1,530,434	\$	1,477,801
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities	_	-		
Total liabilities	-	-		
Fund Balance				
Restricted	-	1,530,434		1,477,801
Total fund balance	-	1,530,434	. <u>-</u>	1,477,801
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,530,434	\$	1,477,801

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2017		2016
REVENUES			•	
Proposition A	\$	2,708,400	\$	2,666,130
Proposition A exchange (Note 7)		-		200,000
Interest income	_	18,556		21,020
Total revenues	_	2,726,956		2,887,150
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	2,674,323		2,874,323
Total expenditures	_	2,674,323	-	2,874,323
Excess of revenues over expenditures		52,633		12,827
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	1,477,801	-	1,464,974
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	1,530,434	\$	1,477,801

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_						
							Variance	
Project			Metro				Favorable	2016
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual		(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-01	Fixed Route Operating Assistance	\$	1,475,458	\$	1,637,622	\$	(162,164) \$	1,806,412
130-01	Dial-A-Lift Operating Assistance		180,000		180,000		-	180,000
240-01	Senior Ride Program		650,000		447,295		202,705	436,308
480-01	Admin charges associated with Fixed Route		368,865	_	409,406		(40,541)	451,603
	Total Expenditures	\$	2,674,323	\$	2,674,323	\$	- \$	2,874,323

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date		Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description	 7/1/2016		Additions	Deletions	6/30/2017
Various	Transit Building Facility	\$ 1,458,361	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,458,361
9/11	New Flyer Buses	595,562		-	-	595,562
6/13	Other Equipment	52,354		-	-	52,354
6/14	Bus Wash and Vacuum System	 182,823	_	-	-	 182,823
	Total	\$ 2,289,100	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,289,100

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2017		2016
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	5,458,709	\$	4,428,994
Interest receivable	_	8,545	_	14,850
Total assets	\$	5,467,254	\$ _	4,443,844
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	206,019	\$	-
Accrued payroll	_	131	_	8,664
Total liabilities		206,150	_	8,664
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	5,261,104	_	4,435,180
Total fund balance		5,261,104		4,435,180
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	5,467,254	\$	4,443,844

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017		2016
REVENUES		•	
Proposition C	\$ 2,244,535	\$	2,208,282
Interest income	61,260		42,710
Total revenues	2,305,795		2,250,992
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	1,479,871		1,653,654
Total expenditures	1,479,871		1,653,654
Excess of revenues over expenditures	825,924		597,338
Fund balance at beginning of year	4,435,180		3,837,842
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 5,261,104	\$	4,435,180

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_					Variance		
Project			Metro				Favorable		2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	_	Actual	(Unfavorable)) _	Actual
110-01	Fixed Route Operating Assistance	\$	1,114,000	\$	-	\$	1,114,000	\$	-
260-05	Rideshare Incentive Program		186,303		107,188		79,115		93,738
270-01	Citywide Traffic Analysis T 167		483,000		-		483,000		-
420-01	Madison Street and Skypark Drive								24 651
	Rehabilitation Project I-122		-		-		-		24,651
440-01	Palos Verdes Boulevard Rehabilitation, I-								
	121 (Pacific Coast Highway to South City		732		704		28		1,126,248
	Limit)								
440-02	Pacific Coast Highway Improvements, I-133		448,229		446,070		2,159		40,726
440-13	Crenshaw Blvd Rehabilitation T-22		-		-		-		147,321
440-16	Hawthorne Blvd Rehabilitation T-45		42,739		-		42,739		-
440-18	190th Street Rehabilitation, I-130		-		-		-		50,970
440-20	Torrance Arterial Street Maintenance		170,000		170,000		-		170,000
440-21	Crenshaw Blvd Rehabilitation I-120		850,000		755,909		94,091		-
440-22	Arterial Street Pavement Sealing Program, I-139		1,114,000		-		1,114,000		-
	Total Expenditures	\$	4,409,003	\$	1,479,871	\$	2,929,132	\$	1,653,654

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date			Balance						Balance								
Acquired	Description	7/1/		7/1/2016 Addition		7/1/2016		7/1/2016		7/1/2016 A		7/1/2016 Additions		<u>Deletions</u>		6/30/2017	
06/03	5 Ford Taurus Sedans	\$_	44,690	\$	-	\$	-	\$	44,690								
	Total	\$_	44,690	\$	-	\$	-	\$	44,690								

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017		2016
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	5,045,655	\$	4,893,731
Interest receivable	_	7,898		16,402
Total assets	\$ _	5,053,553	\$ =	4,910,133
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	12,483	\$	-
Accrued liabilities	_	-		10,681
Total liabilities		12,483		10,681
Fund Balance				
Restricted		5,041,070		4,899,452
Total fund balance	_	5,041,070		4,899,452
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	5,053,553	\$ _	4,910,133

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	_	2017	_	2016
REVENUES	_		· -	_
Measure R	\$	1,685,317	\$	1,659,617
Interest income	_	59,071	-	50,502
Total revenues	_	1,744,388		1,710,119
EXPENDITURES				
Various Projects	_	1,602,770		3,334,590
Total expenditures	_	1,602,770	. <u>-</u>	3,334,590
Excess (deficiency)of revenues over expenditures		141,618		(1,624,471)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	4,899,452		6,523,923
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	5,041,070	\$	4,899,452

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016)

		_							
		_					Variance		
Project			Metro				Favorable		2016
Code	Project Name	_	Budget	_	Actual	(Unfavorable)		Actual
1.05	I-120, Crenshaw Boulevard Rehabilitation	\$	510,397	\$	339,601	\$	170,796 \$	6	62,624
1.05	I-122, Madison and Skypark Rehabilitations		94,910		95,697		(787)		228,637
1.05	I-139, Arterial Street Pavement Sealing		3,249,940		1,058,186		2,191,754		2,137,827
	Program								
1.05	I-159, Residential Slurry Seal Program		1,995,622		34,088		1,961,534		811,878
2.02	T-128, Traffic Signal Left Turn Phasing		318,495		-		318,495		17,000
	Upgrades at Various Locations		,				,		•
2.03	T-167 Citywide Traffic Analysis		219,000		-		219,000		-
2.04	T-146, Intelligence Transportation System		156,343		75,198		81,145		76,624
	(ITS) and Signal Improvement		150,515		75,176		01,115		70,021
3.20	I-140, 186th Street Improvements at		510,924		_		510,924		_
	Columbia Park	_	310,924			_	310,724		
	Total Expenditures	\$	7,055,631	\$	1,602,770	\$	5,452,861 \$	S_	3,334,590

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2017

Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description	7/1/2016	 Additions		Deletions	 6/30/2017
	None		\$ -	\$ -	\$_	-	\$
		Total	\$ _	\$ _	\$	-	\$ _

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2017		2016
ASSETS				_
Cash	\$	-	\$	140,089
Due from Metro	_	-	_	7,842
Total assets	\$ _	-	\$ _	147,931
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$_	147,931
Total liabilities		-	_	147,931
Fund Balance				
Reserved		-		
Total fund balance	_	_	_	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$_	_	\$_	147,931

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2017		2016
REVENUES			
TDA 3 revenue	\$ -	\$	94,104
Interest income	250		867
Payment from prior years reserve	 -	. <u> </u>	96,908
Total revenues	 250	. <u>–</u>	191,879
EXPENDITURES			
Sidewalk construction	 250		191,879
Total expenditures	 250		191,879
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-		-
Fund balance at beginning of year	 -		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ -	\$	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations				 1	-		
Sidewalk Repair for Handicap	2016-17	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	On-going
TDA Interest Income	2016-17		250	250			6 6
Total		\$	250	\$ 250		-	
Fund balance at beginning of year		•					
Fund balance at end of year					\$	-	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statement of revenue, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increase (revenue and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No.54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

Restricted
 — Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the funds' remaining fund balance are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in note 1 of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7- PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE

In August 2014, the City entered into a two-year agreement with the City of Palos Verdes to exchange \$.69 (or 69 cents) of the City's General funds for \$1 of Proposition A local return funds. Accordingly, during fiscal year 2016, the City received \$200,000 of Proposition A local return funds and assigned \$138,000 of its General funds to the City of Palos Verdes.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (Continued)

NOTE 8- TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE

ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

		2017	_	2016
FY 2014/15 allocation	\$	-	\$	96,908
FY 2015/16 allocation	_	-		94,104
Total	\$	-	\$	191,012

NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	_	2017	_	2016
FY 2014-15 reserve	\$	-	\$	-
FY 2015-16 reserve		-		-
FY 2016-17 reserve	_	98,333		
Total	\$	98,333	\$	

NOTE 10 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2017 through December 6, 2017, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting And on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Torrance, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Torrance, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California December 6, 2017

lingson & Singson





Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Torrance, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Torrance, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program occurred and the transportation development Act Article 3. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of Torrance complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2017.





Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City of Torrance is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

December 6, 2017

COMPLIANCE
MATRIX Year Ended
June 30, 2017

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and						
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.						
A. Pı	A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds										
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None							
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None							
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None							
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None							
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None							
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None							
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None							
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None							
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None							
	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None							
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X		None							

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2017 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		Costs	management response.
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Form One (Expenditure Plan) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X		None	
11.	funds were not used to supplant existing local revenue being used for transportation purpose	X		None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2017

(Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and				
		Yes No		Costs	management response.				
C. T	C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund								
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None					

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

June 30, 2017

No findings were noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE

June 30, 2017

An exit conference was held on December 14, 2017, with the City of Torrance. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Joseph Simpson, Audit Supervisor

City's Representative:

Joyce Reyes- Accounting Manager James Lee - Transit Administration Manager Kathleen Nebrida- Accountant Christine Canlas- Accountant

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant financial and compliance issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Joyce Reyes- Accounting Manager James Lee - Transit Administration Manager Kathleen Nebrida- Accountant Christine Canlas- Accountant Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF TORRANCE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 for the City of Torrance and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,							
	Name						
	Title						
	Date						