

City of Culver City Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





# <u>PAGE</u>

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	·
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	7 8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	11 12
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Basic Financial Statements. Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	15 16
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	23
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	25 27
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	29
EXIT CONFERENCE	32

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# **Report of Independent Auditors**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Culver City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Culver City, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

# Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



# Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Culver City, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

# Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

# Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 19, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

acquer & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 19, 2017

		June 30		
		2017	2016	
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	930,843 \$	943,322	
Interest receivable		2,794	2,550	
	Total assets \$	933,637 \$	945,872	
LIABILITIES A Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Fund balance				
Restricted		933,637	945,872	
	Total fund balance	933,637	945,872	
Тс	otal liabilities and fund balance \$ _	933,637 \$	945,872	

	Years ended	June 30
	 2017	2016
Revenues		
Proposition A	\$ 725,752 \$	714,411
Interest income	7,472	6,982
Investment income (loss)	 (3,960)	1,618
Total revenues	 729,264	723,011
Expenditures Various projects Total expenditures	 	714,704
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	 (12,235)	8,307
Fund balance at beginning of year	 945,872	937,565
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 933,637 \$	945,872

		_		2017			
					Variance		
Project			LACMTA		Positive		2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	(Negative	)	Actual
110-02	Culver City Municipal Bus Lines Operating Assistance	\$	741,499	 741,499	\$	\$	714,704
	Total expenditures	\$	741,499	\$ 741,499	\$	\$	714,704

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2016		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
1998	CCMBL Facility	\$	528,199	\$	- \$	- \$	528,199
2005	Recreational Van (Ford E350)		110,205	_	-	-	110,205
	Tota	ıl \$	638,404	\$_	- \$	- \$	638,404

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30		
		2017	2016	
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,054,168 \$	1,116,492	
Interest receivable		3,487	3,288	
	Total assets \$ _	1,057,655 \$	1,119,780	
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	<u>-</u> \$		
Fund balance				
Restricted	_	1,057,655	1,119,780	
	Total fund balance	1,057,655	1,119,780	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$ _	1,057,655 \$	1,119,780	

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended	June 30
		2017	2016
Revenues			
Proposition C	\$	603,063 \$	593,339
Interest income		9,400	8,806
Investment income (loss)		(4,935)	1,905
Tot	al revenues	607,528	604,050
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects		669,653	555,627
	xpenditures	669,653	555,627
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditure	es	(62,125)	48,423
Fund balance at beginning of year		1,119,780	1,071,357
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,057,655_\$	1,119,780

	2017					
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual	
110-02	Culver City Municipal Bus Lines Operating Assistance \$	226,054	\$ 226,054 \$	5 - \$	207,828	
120-01	Culver City Paratransit Program	200,000	224,483	(24,483)	180,330	
250-03	Rideshare Program (formerly coded 110-03)	39,000	23,435	15,565	25,100	
300-04	CCMBL Facility Reserve	150,000	195,681	(45,681) *	142,369	
	Total expenditures \$	615,054	§ <u>669,653</u>	\$ (54,599) \$	555,627	

\* See Compliance Matrix and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

See report of independent auditors.

# City of Culver City Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2017

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
1998	CCMBL Facility		\$ 231,446 \$	- \$	- \$	231,446
2000	Bus Shelters		96,031	-	-	96,031
2000	Paratransit Van		45,420	-	-	45,420
2002	Paratransit Van		45,638	-	-	45,638
2004	CCMBL Facility Improvements		94,613	-	-	94,613
2006	CCMBL Facility Improvements		89,420	-	-	89,420
2006	Bus Pads		46,000	-	-	46,000
2006	CCMBL Facility Improvements		34,896	-	-	34,896
2007	CCMBL Facility Improvements		16,522	-	-	16,522
2009	CCMBL Facility Improvements		10,359	-	-	10,359
2009	Braddock Drive Resurfacing		46,258	-	-	46,258
2010	CCMBL Facility Improvements		50,901	-	-	50,901
2013	CCMBL Facility Improvements		16,245	-	-	16,245
2014	CCMBL Facility Improvements		20,932	-	-	20,932
2015	CCMBL Facility Improvements		23,268	-	-	23,268
2016	2 Customline Slide Gate Operator		24,934	-	-	24,934
2016	Carpet at Transportation		17,415	-	-	17,415
2016	Carpet at Transportation		5,916	-	-	5,916
2017	6 Stertil-Koni Mobile Lifts		-	65,466	-	65,466
2017	Gas Detector System		-	78,598	-	78,598
		Total	\$ 916,214 \$	144,064 \$	\$	1,060,278

		June 30		
		2017		2016
	ASSETS		•	004407
Cash and investments	\$	480,079	\$	394,187
Interest receivable	_	1,607		953
	Total assets \$	481,686	\$	395,140
Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE * Total liabilities	<u> </u>	\$	<u>-</u>
Fund balance				
Restricted	_	481,686		395,140
	Total fund balance	481,686		395,140
-	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	481,686	\$	395,140

		Years ended J	une 30
		2017	2016
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	451,603 \$	444,708
Interest income		4,363	2,312
Investment income (loss)		(2,313)	92
	Total revenues	453,653	447,112
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures	<u>367,107</u> <u>367,107</u>	172,313 172,313
Excess of revenues over expenditure	S	86,546	274,799
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	395,140	120,341
Fund balance at end of year	\$	481,686 \$	395,140

			2017		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
1.05	Arterial Street Pavement Rehabilitation \$	230,628 \$	136,478	\$ 94,150 \$	-
4.20	Transit Operations Assistance	230,629	230,629	-	172,313
	Total expenditures \$	461,257 \$	367,107	\$ 94,150 \$	172,313

# City of Culver City Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2017

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
2011	Infrastructure P-863GG/JJ	\$	531,942 \$	- \$	- \$	531,942
2011	Residential Overlay Project		120,620	-	-	120,620
2011	Residential Overlay Project		81,018	-	-	81,018
2011	Residential Overlay Project		60,968	-	-	60,968
2012	Residential Overlay Project		53,432	-	-	53,432
2013	Residential Overlay Project		428,325	-	-	428,325
2014	Residential Overlay Project		263,570	-	-	263,570
2017	Arterial Street Pavement					
	Rehabilitation		-	136,478	-	136,478
		Total \$	1,539,875 \$	136,478 \$	- \$	1,676,353

		Jun	e 30
		2017	2016
Cash	ASSETS \$		
<b>LIABILITIE</b> Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE	\$	S
	Total liabilities		<u>-</u>
Fund balance Restricted		-	_
	Total fund balance Total liabilities and fund balance \$	-	
		``	

		Years ended	June 30
		2017	2016
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations:			
Article 3	\$	26,361 \$	25,229
	Total revenues	26,361	25,229
Expenditures Maintenance for Culver City's Portion of the Ballona Creek Project	Total expenditures	<u>26,361</u> 26,361	25,229 25,229
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$	

# City of Culver City Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2017

			Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations: Maintenance for Culver City's Portion of the Ballona Creek Project Tota	2016-17 \$ als \$	<u>26,361</u> 26,361	++		Completed
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year			\$		

See report of independent auditors.

## NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

## Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

# Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Fair Value Measurement**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

# Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

# NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

# NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANT

The City received Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant amounting to \$0 and \$42,431 for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, which represents additional funds received from Metro for participating in the subregional paratransit services program. The City used this grant to operate a demand-response paratransit Dial-A-Ride program available to eligible disabled residents or known as the Culver City Community Paratransit Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program Grant was recorded under the City's Operating Grants Fund and therefore, was not reported in the accompanying PALRF financial statements.

#### NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	 2017	 2016
FY 2015/16 allocation	\$ -	\$ 25,229
FY 2016/17 allocation	 26,361	 -
	\$ 26,361	\$ 25,229

#### NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has no funds on reserve.

# NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 19, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

# To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Culver City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Culver City, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2017.

# Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



# **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 19, 2017

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Culver City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Culver City, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

# Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

# Opinion

In our opinion, the Culver City, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



# **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements, which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings #2017-001, #2017-002 and #2017-003. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

## **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vacques & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 19, 2017

Compliance Requirements		Complia		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds						
1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts	Ň					
and Records.	X X					
2. Timely use of funds.	Х					
<ol> <li>Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.</li> </ol>	х					
<ol> <li>Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A)</li> </ol>		Х			See Finding #2017-001	
<ol> <li>Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.</li> </ol>	x					
<ol> <li>All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.</li> </ol>	х					
<ol> <li>Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.</li> </ol>		Х			See Finding #2017-002	
<ol> <li>Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.</li> </ol>	х					
<ol> <li>Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.</li> </ol>	х					
<ol> <li>Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.</li> </ol>	X					
11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.			x			
12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.			x			
<ul> <li>13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.</li> </ul>			x			
14. Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	x					
15. Recreational Transit Form was submitted on time.	х					

See report of independent auditors report on compliance. 27

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund						
	1. Funds were expended for						
	transportation purposes.	Х					
	2. Funds were used to augment,						
	not supplant, existing local						
	revenues being used for						
	transportation purposes unless						
	there is a funding shortfall.	Х					
	3. Signed Assurances and						
	Understandings on file.	Х					
	4. Separate Measure R Local						
	Return Account was						
	established.	Х					
	5. Revenues received including						
	allocations, project generated						
	revenues and interest income						
	was properly credited to the						
	Measure R Local Return						
	Account.	Х					
	6. Funds were expended with						
	LACMTA's approval.	Х					
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)						
	was submitted on time.		Х			See Finding #2017-003	
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)						
	was submitted on time.	X X					
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	10. Administrative expenses are						
	within the 20% cap.	Х					
	11. Fund exchanges were approved						
	by LACMTA.			Х			
	12. A separate account was						
	established for Capital reserve						
	funds and Capital reserve was						
	approved by LACMTA.			Х			
	13. Recreational transit form was						
	submitted on time.			Х			
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund						
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	2. Expenditures were incurred for						
	activities relating to pedestrian						
	and bicycle facilities and						
	amenities.	Х					

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

# PCLRF: Finding #2017-001

Compliance Reference	Section I(C) of the Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines states that, "Jurisdictions shall submit for approval a Project Description Form (Form A) prior to the expenditure of funds for: 1) a new project; 2) a new route; 3) a 25 percent change (increase or decrease) in route or revenue vehicle miles for an established LR funded transit service; 4) a 0.75 miles or greater service change that duplicates/overlays an existing transit service; or 5) a 25 percent or greater change in an approved LR project budget or scope on all operating or capital LR projects."
Condition	The City exceeded LACMTA's approved budget by more than 25% without obtaining approval through a revised Form A for PCLRF's Project Code 300-04, CCMBL Facility Reserve. Amount in excess of 25% of the approved budget was \$8,181. Projects with greater than 25% change from the approved project budget should be amended by submitting a Project Description Form (Form A).
Cause	The condition was due to oversight by City Staff. The additional funds expended had been carried over from the prior year and staff was not aware that use of those previously approved funds required a formal amendment submission to LACMTA.
Effect	The City's PCLRF project expenditures exceeded 25 percent of LACMTA's approved budget without LACMTA's approval and the City did not comply with the Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City submit a Form A to obtain LACMTA's approval for the change in project budget and for the City to implement control to ensure compliance with this requirement at all times.
Management's Response	The City submitted an amended Form A (and B) to LACMTA upon discovery that this had not been done; the amendment was submitted to LACMTA on October 25, 2017, and approved by LACMTA staff on October 26, 2017. In the future, the City will submit an amended Form A for any years in which funds were expended in exceeding 25% of the approved budget.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	On October 25, 2017, the City subsequently submitted an amended Form A and obtained LACMTA's approval for the increase in the budget.

# PALRF and PCLRF: Finding #2017-002

Compliance Reference	Section I(C) of the Proposition A and C Local Return (LR) Guidelines states that, "Jurisdictions shall submit on or before August 1 of each fiscal year an Annual Project Update (Form B) to provide current information on all approved on-going and carryover LR Projects".
Condition	The City submitted its Annual Project Update (Form B) on August 8, 2016, seven days beyond the due date set under the Guidelines.
Cause	The condition was due to oversight by City Staff.
Effect	The City's Annual Project Update (Form B) was not submitted timely. The City was not in compliance with the Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish procedures to ensure that all reporting deadlines are met.
Management's Response	The City will establish clear program schedules and implement procedures to ensure that all reporting deadlines are met.

# MRLRF: Finding #2017-003

Compliance Reference	Section B (II) (I) of the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines states that, "To maintain eligibility and meet Measure R LR program compliance requirements, jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan (Form One) annually by August 1st of each year".
Condition	The City submitted its Expenditure Plan (Form One) on August 8, 2016, 7 days beyond the due date set under the Guidelines.
Cause	The condition was due to oversight by City Staff.
Effect	The City's Expenditure Plan (Form One) was not submitted timely. The City was not in compliance with the Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish procedures to ensure that all reporting deadlines are met.
Management Response	The City will establish clear program schedules and implement procedures to ensure that all reporting deadlines are met.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 19, 2017 with the City of Culver City representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Culver City representative: Jane Leonard – Senior Management Analyst

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed instances of noncompliance with the Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Culver City representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Art Ida – Transportation Director Jane Leonard – Senior Management Analyst Kambiz Borhani – Assistant Chief Financial Officer



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM<sup>™</sup> logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777