

City of El Monte Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14 15 16
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17 18 19
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	20
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	29 31
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	33
EXIT CONFERENCE	34

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of El Monte, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of El Monte, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of El Monte, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 11, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Fund's financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 11, 2017

		June 30			
		2017	2016		
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	2,280,100 \$	1,503,701		
Accounts receivable		70,636	10,921		
Prepaid expense		3,567	3,304		
	Total assets \$	2,354,303 \$	1,517,926		
	_				
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	173,016 \$	179,392		
	Total liabilities	173,016	179,392		
Fund balance					
Restricted	_	2,181,287	1,338,534		
	Total fund balance	2,181,287	1,338,534		
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	2,354,303 \$	1,517,926		
	—				

	_	Years ended June 30			
		2017		2016	
Revenues					
Proposition A	\$	2,112,569	\$	2,076,934	
Interest income		11,520		11,391	
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant		305,960		-	
Federal Transit Administration - Job Access and					
Reverse Commute Program (JARC) Grant reimbursement		578,494		-	
Project generated revenues		8,008		13,712	
CNG fuel tax refund	_	129,897		59,394	
Total revenues	; _	3,146,448		2,161,431	
Expenditures Various projects	-	2,303,695		3,178,603	
Total expenditures	; -	2,303,695		3,178,603	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		842,753		(1,017,172)	
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,338,534		2,355,706	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	2,181,287	\$_	1,338,534	

City of El Monte Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

				2017			
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual	P	ariance ositive egative)	2016 Actual
	· ·						
110-08	Operation of Fixed Route Transit	\$ 760,60	0\$	787,027	\$	(26,427)	\$ 808,348
110-142	Expansion of the Fixed-Route Transit Program -						
	Operations	237,92	6	80,430		157,496	81,569
120-02	E & H Paratransit (Dial-A-Ride)	552,90	0	457,675		95,225	468,908
130-03	Maintenance/Operation Costs for Vehicles,						
	Facility and Transit Locations	707,80	0	556,616		151,184	446,245
130-15	Drivers Operations E & H	47,20	0	34,792		12,408	33,035
140-06	Recreation / Special Transportation	30,20	0	16,449		13,751	18,139
150-65	Bus Stop Improvement Program	29,60	0	-		29,600	650
200-140	Vehicle Purchase - Transit Bus		-	-		-	397,086
200-144	Vehicle Purchase - Transit Bus		-	-		-	399,675
250-07	Bus Pass Subsidy	138,00	0	36,040		101,960	18,450
270-133	SGVCOG/SCAG Planning Dues	40,00	0	32,167		7,833	31,941
400-122	Traffic Signal Improvement at Garvey Ave and					·	
	Santa Anita		-	-		-	40,400
480-02	Administration Prop A and C	342,70	0	302,226		40,474	433,584
480-139	Computer and Servers	3,80		-		3,800	-
480-141	Expansion of Fixed-Route Transit Program -	-,	-			- ,	
	Administration	31,00	0	-		31,000	-
500-96	Contracted First Aid and CPR Instructor	1,20		273		927	573
	Total expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$		\$ 3,178,603

City of El Monte Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2017

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
Nov-87	1 1985 Red Chevy Trolley \$	99,438	\$-\$	- \$	99,438
Sep-88	1 1987 Econo 14 People Mover	41,000	-	-	41,000
Dec-89	1 1990 Ford 2 Passenger Truck	42,169	-	-	42,169
Dec-89	1 1990 El Dorado Tour Bus	79,705	-	-	79,705
Sep-90	1 Orange Trackless Trolley	138,291	-	138,291	-
Jan-93	SW Corner Railroad St Center	450,000	-	-	450,000
Jun-96	1 Chevrolet Pickup Truck	21,332	-	-	21,332
Jun-98	1 Chevrolet Maintenance Truck	29,304	-	-	29,304
Jun-98	7 Computers	13,970	-	-	13,970
May-00	Trolley Station	903,806	-	-	903,806
Jun-04	Lobby retrofit	3,325	-	-	3,325
Mar-05	Fuel Card Reader System	28,158	-	-	28,158
Mar-05	23-72" Backed Benches	27,496	-	-	27,496
Apr-07	Scissor Lift	25,000	-	-	25,000
May-08	GPA Navigator	1,609	-	-	1,609
Oct-08	Glaval Titan Cutaway Bus	94,354	-	-	94,354
Oct-08	Glaval Titan Cutaway Bus	64,354	-	-	64,354
Aug-11	Trailer Mount Hot Water Power Washer	9,104	-	-	9,104
Apr-11	15 Bus Shelters	145,480	-	-	145,480
Sep-12	Traffic Signal - Garvey/Santa Anita	15,480	-	-	15,480
Feb-13	Bus Benches	1,072	-	-	1,072
Feb-13	Office Furniture	9,835	-	-	9,835
Jun-13	Valley/Santa Anita	147,740	-	-	147,740
Jun-13	Valley Circle	673,524	-	-	673,524
Feb-15	21 - Solar Lighting System	29,114	-	-	29,114
Sep-15	El Dorado National EZ Rider II Bus 32' CNG TR95	399,675	-	-	399,675
Jun-16	El Dorado National EZ Rider II Bus 32' CNG TR53	397,086			397,086
	Total \$	3,891,421	\$\$	138,291 \$	3,753,130

	June 30	
	2017 2016	
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 6,446,182 \$ 4,970,68	0
Accounts receivable	290,109 1,489,28	0
Total a	assets \$ 6,736,291 \$ 6,459,96	0
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 528,318 \$ 53,71	4
Total liab	bilities 528,318 53,71	4
Fund balance		
Restricted - CR #01-380 Capital reserve	407,058 404,55	2
Restricted - CR #02-380 Capital reserve	731,597 780,37	2
Restricted - CR #03-380 Capital reserve	143,755 142,87	0
Restricted - Other	4,925,563 5,078,45	2
Total fund ba	alance 6,207,973 6,406,24	6
Total liabilities and fund ba	alance \$ 6,736,291 \$ 6,459,96	0

		Years ended June 30			
		2017	2016		
Revenues					
Proposition C	\$	1,760,342 \$	1,730,014		
Interest income		38,815	36,671		
LACMTA Call for Project Grant		-	1,451,178		
Reimbursements		36,760	-		
Project generated revenues		44,838	58,236		
	Total revenues	1,880,755	3,276,099		
Expenditures Various projects		2,079,028	3,534,273		
	Total expenditures	2,079,028	3,534,273		
Deficiency of revenues over expenditure	S	(198,273)	(258,174)		
Fund balance at beginning of year		6,406,246	6,664,420		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	6,207,973 \$	6,406,246		

City of El Monte Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

					2017		
Project Code	Project Name	_	LACM TA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
	CalTIP Membership - Insurance			- <u>-</u>			Aotua
	Commuter Shuttle Program - Contracted	\$	71,100 12,542	Φ	- \$ 12,542	71,100 \$	-
	Bus Stop Improvement Program		29,600		848	28,752	-
	Ramona Boulevard Bus Pads				040	255,000	-
	Pressure Washer Purchase		255,000 5,000		-	255,000	-
	Commuter Shuttle Program - Contracted		5,000		-	5,000	40,134
	Vehicle Purchase - Replacement of Six (6)		-		-	-	40,134
200-100	Local Fixed-Route Transit Buses		_		_	_	2,382,518
200-188	Purchase of Paratransit Vehicle		511,000		512,623	(1,623)	2,302,310
	Transit Security - Train and Bus Station		49,600		44,120	5,480	27,889
270-85			10,000		10,000	5,400	10,000
	Commuter Parking Study		4,600		10,000	4,600	10,000
	Consultant - Project Management/Support		4,000			4,000	
210 104	for Round 3 TOD Planning Grant		12,200		12,304	(104)	7,760
270-163	Technical Evaluation - Ramona Blvd Bus		12,200		12,004	(104)	7,700
270-100	Tunnel Project Alternatives		3,600		2,920	680	1,320
270-181	CalTIP Membership - Insurance		71,100		54,795	16,305	-
	Planning		372,000		292,002	79,998	-
	Transit Planning on Google Map		6,750		-	6,750	-
280-70	Transit Marketing		10,500		5,190	5,310	702
280-144	5		10,000		0,100	0,010	102
200 144	Program - Advertising		800		-	800	-
280-183	Bus Wraps for New Buses		17,100		17,077	23	-
	Metrolink Parking Lot Improvements		-		-	-	-
	Repainting of Metrolink Platform Shelters		6,800		-	6,800	-
	Trolley Station Improvements		52,500		-	52,500	-
	Contracted ADA Compliance Evaluation and		02,000			02,000	
	Survey Preparation		29,800		-	29,800	-
300-184	Phase One (1) Bid Specification for ADA Facility		-,			-,	
	Improvements		21,000		-	21,000	-
310-10	Multi-Modal Transit Center and Transit		21,000			21,000	
0.0.0	Locations - Operations and Maintenance		974,600		810,229	164,371	666,898
310-145	Expansion of the Fixed-Route Transit		01 1,000		0.0,220		000,000
	Program - Maintenance		17,100		4,216	12,884	2,734
310-167	Contracted Language Assistance Line		20,000		95	19,905	681
380-01	El Monte Santa Anita Bridge Overcrossing		400,000		-	400,000	-
380-02	Ramona Blvd at Valley Blvd Intersection		,			,	
	Improvement		771,600		53,609	717,991	-
380-03	Ramona Blvd/Badillo St/Covina Blvd		,		,	,	
	TSSP/BSP		141,300		-	141,300	-
400-153	Traffic Signal Improvements		10,000		-	10,000	83,939
400-161	Contracted Traffic Signal Repairs and						
	Maintenance		28,000		-	28,000	-
400-173	Traffic Signal Conflict Monitor Tester		16,500		13,374	3,126	-
430-164			9,500		-	9,500	9,477
440-160	Street Repair on Northbound Peck Road		30,000		-	30,000	-
	Garvey Avenue Improvements - Between					-	
	Merced Avenue and Mt. View Road		200,000		-	200,000	-
450-02	Santa Anita Bridge Overcrossing						
	(Bus Access) Project		600,000		-	600,000	-

See report of independent auditors.

City of El Monte Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget (Continued) Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

			2017		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
450-158	Rosemead and Telstar Intersection				
	Improvements S	5 17,800	\$-	\$ 17,800	\$ 2,476
450-170	Ramona Boulevard and Valley Boulevard				
	Intersection Improvements	274,000	-	274,000	-
470-126	-15	204,500	-	204,500	24,360
480-02	Administration Prop A and C	408,700	226,500	182,200	245,277
480-151	Computers for Pavement Management System	2,000	1,865	135	-
480-166	Computers for Transportation Services Division	-	-	-	10,168
480-179	Kronos Time Clocks for Transit Center and				
	Transportation Office	7,500	4,719	2,781	5,940
480-186	Computers and Software for Transportation				
	Services Division	5,000	-	5,000	-
500-169	Traffic Analysis on Peck Road North of				
	Rio Hondo Parkway	1,100	-	1,100	-
500-171	Location Map on Peck Road	2,800	-	2,800	-
500-174	Consultants - Analysis and Grant Preparation				
	for Peck Road Safe Mid-Block				
	Crossing Project	15,400	-	15,400	-
500-175	Consultant - Analysis and Grant Preparation for				
	Lower Azusa Corridor Project	7,500	-	7,500	12,000
500-176	Geographic Information System Startup	160,000	-	160,000	-
500-182	Electric Vehicle Charging Stations	141,500	-	141,500	-
	Total expenditures \$	6,018,992	\$ 2,079,028	\$ 3,939,964	\$ 3,534,273

City of El Monte Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2017

Date Acquired	Description	 Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2017
Apr-93	Ramona Right-of-Way Acquisition	\$ 624,190 \$	- \$	- 9	\$ 624,190
1996	Ford Windstar H/C Van TR 19	20,000	-	20,000	-
Mar-96	Five '96 Ford Aerotech 240 Bus	164,868	-	164,868	-
Mar-96	96 Chevy Astro Van	21,290	-	-	21,290
Feb-00	Three Honda Civic GX	56,514	-	-	56,514
May-00	Transit Facility	903,806	-	-	903,806
Jun-00	Natural Gas Van	22,516	-	-	22,516
2001	Ford Cargo Van	18,773	-	-	18,773
Feb-02	Hydro Retriever Brush Scrubber	11,340	-	-	11,340
May-03	Hotsy Power Wash Trailer	7,722	-	-	7,722
Jun-03	2003 Ford F150 Truck	25,144	-	-	25,144
Nov-03	Two 2003 Ford Bus Type III	97,318	-	-	97,318
Feb-04	Lobby Retrofit Carpet	14,229	-	-	14,229
May-05	Dell 2300 Projector with Screen	2,146	-	-	2,146
Jul-05	CNG BUS - xy197	325,318	-	325,318	-
Jul-05	CNG BUS - xy200	325,318	-	325,318	-
Aug-05	CNG BUS - xy194	325,318	-	325,318	-
Aug-05	CNG BUS - xy199	325,318	-	325,318	-
Aug-05	CNG BUS - xy201	325,318	-	325,318	-
Dec-05	CNG BUS - xy195	325,318	-	325,318	-
Dec-05	CNG BUS - xy196	325,318	-	325,318	-
Dec-06	2006 Blue Bird Bus	353,013	-	-	353,013
May-07	GEM Electric Car	15,734	-	-	15,734
Jun-08	Lots at Tyler (3448, 3454) and				
	11016 Ramona	1,000,000	-	-	1,000,000
May-09	2009 Ford F150 4x2 SuperCab	20,292	-		20,292
May-09	2009 Ford F150 4x2 Long Bed	16,501	-	-	16,501
Apr-11	15 Bus Shelters	145,525	-	-	145,525
Jun-13	Fence at Yard	5,033	-	-	5,033
Sep-12	Traffic Signal Santa Anita/Lower Azusa	15,480	-	-	15,480
Sep-12	Traffic Signal Valley/Peck	15,480	-	-	15,480
Sep-12	Traffic Signal Peck/Ramona	15,480	-	-	15,480
Sep-12	Traffic Signal Peck/Fineview	15,480	-	-	15,480
Jun-13	Valley Santa Anita Intersection	105,567	-	-	105,567

City of El Monte Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets (Continued) Year ended June 30, 2017

Date Acquired	Description	 Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
Jul-13	2013 El Dorado national Aero Elite				
	CNG Cutaway Buses TR-1b	\$ 134,108 \$	- \$	- \$	134,108
Jul-13	2013 El Dorado national Aero Elite				
	CNG Cutaway Buses TR-2b	134,108	-	-	134,108
Jul-13	2013 El Dorado national Aero Elite				
	CNG Cutaway Buses TR-14b	134,108	-	-	134,108
Jul-13	2013 El Dorado national Aero Elite				
	CNG Cutaway Buses TR-15b	134,108	-	-	134,108
Jul-13	2013 El Dorado national Aero Elite				
	CNG Cutaway Buses TR-17b	134,108	-	-	134,108
Aug-13	Rotery Lift	23,885	-	-	23,885
Nov-14	Power Edge R320-Dell	6,542	-	-	6,542
Jun-16	2016 El Dorado National EZ Rider II				
	CNG 32" Transit Bus TR54	397,086	-	-	397,086
Jun-16	2016 El Dorado National EZ Rider II				
	CNG 32" Transit Bus TR55	397,086	-	-	397,086
Jun-16	2016 El Dorado National EZ Rider II				
	CNG 32" Transit Bus TR56	397,086	-	-	397,086
Jun-16	2016 El Dorado National EZ Rider II				
	CNG 32" Transit Bus TR57	397,086	-	-	397,086
Jun-16	2016 El Dorado National EZ Rider II				
	CNG 32" Transit Bus TR58	397,086	-	-	397,086
Jun-16	2016 El Dorado National EZ Rider II				
	CNG 32" Transit Bus TR59	397,086	-	-	397,086
Oct-17	El Dorado EZ Rider II Bus Partial Wrap	-	17,077	-	17,077
Mar-17	8000 T - Conflict Monitor Tester	-	13,374	-	13,374
Jun-17	2016 Mobility Ventures MV - Van	-	56,761	-	56,761
Jun-17	2016 Mobility Ventures MV - Van	-	56,761	-	56,761
Jun-17	2016 Mobility Ventures MV - Van	-	56,761	-	56,761
Jun-17	2016 Mobility Ventures MV - Van	-	56,761	-	56,761
Jun-17	2017 Starcraft Allstar Cutaway Bus	-	76,272	-	76,272
Jun-17	2016 Mobility Ventures MV - Van	-	56,761	-	56,761
Jun-17	2017 Starcraft Allstar Cutaway Bus	-	76,272	-	76,272
Jun-17	2017 Starcraft Allstar Cutaway Bus	-	76,272	-	76,272
Jun-17	Ramona / Valley Blvd Intersection (CIP)	-	53,609	-	53,609
	Total	\$ 9,074,150 \$	596,681 \$	2,462,094 \$	7,208,737

		June 30			
		2017	_	2016	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	7,493,940	\$	6,207,107	
	Total assets \$	7,493,940	\$	6,207,107	
			=		
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	1,373,270	\$	18,484	
	Total liabilities	1,373,270		18,484	
		· · ·			
Fund balance					
Restricted		6,120,670		6,188,623	
	Total fund balance	6,120,670		6,188,623	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	7,493,940	\$	6,207,107	
		•	-		

		Years ended	June 30
		2017	2016
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	1,314,558 \$	1,292,853
Interest income		42,604	29,924
	Total revenues	1,357,162	1,322,777
Expenditures			
Various projects		1,425,115	29,608
	Total expenditures	1,425,115	29,608
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over	rexpenditures	(67,953)	1,293,169
Fund balance at beginning of year		6,188,623	4,895,454
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	6,120,670 \$	6,188,623

City of El Monte Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

			2017		
Project Code	Project Name	 LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
1.20	Roadway Safety Improvements	\$ - \$	- \$	- \$	29,608
1.90	Advertisement for Notice of Inviting Bids				
	for Street Improvement Project	5,000	-	5,000	-
1.90	Shared Parking/Smart Detection System	315,700	-	315,700	-
1.90	Other Street and Roads	43,700	-	43,700	-
1.90	Pavement Rehabilitation Program	1,860,728	1,320,080	540,648	-
7.90	Other Planning, Engineering or CMP	160,000	105,035	54,965	-
	Total expenditures	\$ 2,385,128 \$	1,425,115 \$	960,013 \$	29,608

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2016		_	Additions	. <u>-</u>	Deletions	Balance June 30 2017	
	None			\$	-	\$_	-	\$	\$	5	-
			Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	\$;	-

		June 30			
	-	2017		2016	
ASSETS					
Due from LACMTA	\$	23,000	\$_	77,939	
Total asse	ts\$_	23,000	\$_	77,939	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Due to General Fund Total liabilitie	\$	29,749	\$	- 77,939 77,939	
	;5	29,749	_	11,939	
Fund balance (deficit)					
Restricted		(6,749)	_	-	
Total fund balance (defic	it)	(6,749)		-	
Total liabilities and fund balance (defic	it) \$	23,000	\$_	77,939	

		Years ended June 3			
		2017	2016		
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations:					
TDA Article 3	\$	23,000 \$	77,939		
Total reve	enues _	23,000	77,939		
Expenditures Sidewalk Project Total expend	itures	<u>29,749</u> 29,749	69,509 69,509		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(6,749)	8,430		
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of year			(8,430)		
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year	\$	<u>(6,749)</u> \$	-		

City of El Monte Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2017

Project Description		Program Year	-	Allocations	-	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:								
Sidewalk Project	Totals	2017	\$ \$	23,000 23,000	\$	<u>29,749</u> 29,749	<u>(6,749)</u> (6,749)	Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of year								
Fund balance (deficit) at end of	year					\$	(6,749)	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANT

The City entered into various Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreements with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) to receive Proposition A discretionary incentive grants for participating in the National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting. The amounts received for the year ended June 30, 2017 consisted of the following:

Program	MOU	Amount
Year	Amount	 Received
FY 2013 \$,	\$,
FY 2014 \$	152,665	152,665
		\$ 305,960

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant was recorded under the PALRF.

NOTE 8 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES

Project generated revenues for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

PALRF:

	 2017	 2016
Trips and tours	\$ 444	\$ 2,842
Sales of trolley tokens	6,785	8,165
Summer bus revenues	606	2,430
Go RIO RioHondo Pilot Bus		
Incentive Bus Program	173	257
Senior transportation	-	18
	\$ 8,008	\$ 13,712
PCLRF:		
	2017	2016
Farebox revenues	\$ 7,611	\$ 8,192
Commuter subsidy	8,088	17,685
Access fare reimbursement	29,139	32,359
	\$ 44,838	\$ 58,236

NOTE 9 FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION – JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM GRANT REIMBURSEMENT

On May 1, 2013, the City entered into a Funding Agreement with the LACMTA for Section 5316 Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) Program funds (MOU.JARCELMONTE12). The LACMTA Board approved the award of \$418,100 to the City for operating assistance and \$407,000 in capital assistance to implement the expansion of fixed-route transit program.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the City received grant reimbursements from LACMTA for capital and operating assistance under MOU.JARCELMONTE12 as follows:

Operating assistance reimbursement	\$ 178,818
Capital assistance reimbursement	399,676
	\$ 578,494

The JARC reimbursement was recorded under the PALRF.

NOTE 10 LACMTA CALL FOR PROJECT GRANT – PCLRF

On October 1, 2015, the City and the LACMTA entered into a Funding Agreement (FA#92000000F7420) for LACMTA to make a one-time grant of the Local Transportation Funds in the amount of \$1,451,178 for the El Monte Clean Fuel Bus Replacement – LACMTA Call for Projects ID #F7420 and FTIP #LAF7420 (the Project).

The funds will be released to the City on a reimbursement basis in accordance with invoices submitted in support of the quarterly progress/expenditure report and the quarterly expenditure report submitted to LACMTA on scheduled due dates.

For the year ended June 30, 2016, the City recorded the revenue of \$1,451,178 under the PCLRF.

NOTE 11 CAPITAL RESERVE AGREEMENTS – PCLRF

Agreement #01-380 – Transit Center Access Project (Ramona Bus Tunnel)

In June 2013, LACMTA and the City entered into a capital reserve agreement to establish a \$400,000 capital reserve account (#01-380) for the Transit Center Access Project. The expected overall total cost for this project is \$15 million. In June 2016, the LACMTA Board of Directors approved the City's request to extend this capital reserve agreement to June 30, 2019.

NOTE 11 CAPITAL RESERVE AGREEMENTS – PCLRF (Continued)

Agreement #02-380 – Ramona Boulevard at Valley Boulevard Intersection Improvement Project

In June 2013, LACMTA and the City entered into a capital reserve agreement to establish a \$771,591 capital reserve account (#02-380) for the Ramona Boulevard and Valley Boulevard Intersection Improvement Project. This project will make major improvements to the intersection of Ramona Boulevard, Valley Boulevard and Valley Mall which include the reconfiguration of existing roadway and the addition of dedicated turn lanes to improve existing traffic conditions. In June 2016, the LACMTA Board of Directors approved the City's request to extend this capital reserve agreement to June 30, 2019.

Agreement #03-380 – Ramona Boulevard/Badillo Street/Covina Boulevard/ TSSP/BSP

In July 2011, LACMTA and the City entered into a capital reserve agreement to establish a \$141,252 capital reserve account (#03-380) for the Ramona Boulevard, Badillo Street, and Covina Boulevard Intersection Project. The project is multijurisdictional, involving the Cities of El Monte, Baldwin Park, West Covina, Covina and led by the Los Angeles County Public Works. The scope of the project entails synchronizing the traffic signals along the subject corridor and providing for bus signal priority to improve travel time. The County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works Traffic Division expects the funding for the Ramona project to be programmed by LACMTA in FY 2018. In June 2016, the LACMTA Board of Directors approved the City's request to extend this capital reserve agreement to June 30, 2019.

Project Title	Agreement Date	Reserve Amount	Original Termination Date	Amended Termination Date
El Monte Santa Anita Bridge Overcrossing (#01-380) Ramona Blvd at Valley Blvd	6/19/2013	\$400,000	6/30/2016	6/30/2019
Intersection Improvement (#02-380) Ramona Blvd/Badillo St/	6/19/2013	\$771,591	6/30/2016	6/30/2019
Covina Blvd TSSP/BSP (#03-380)	7/23/2011	\$141,262	6/30/2016	6/30/2019

For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, following is the capital reserve amount:

	#01-380		 #02-380		#03-380
Capital Reserve, June 30, 2015	\$	401,568	\$ 774,615	\$	141,816
Interest income earned		2,984	5,757		1,054
Capital reserve, June 30, 2016		404,552	780,372		142,870
Interest income earned		2,506	4,834		885
Expenditures during the year	_	-	(53,609)		-
Capital reserve, June 30, 2017	\$	407,058	\$ 731,597	\$ _	143,755

NOTE 12 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

 2017	 2016
\$ -	\$ 10,328
22,370	67,611
 630	 -
\$ 23,000	\$ 77,939
\$ \$	\$ \$\$ 22,370 630

NOTE 13 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	 2017	 2016
FY 2012/13 reserve	\$ -	\$ 22,370
FY 2013/14 reserve	92,098	92,728
FY 2014/15 reserve	75,516	75,516
FY 2015/16 reserve	73,312	73,312
FY 2016/17 allocation	76,704	-
	\$ 317,630	\$ 263,926

For FY 2016/17, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2012/13 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2017. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2016/17.

NOTE 14 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 11, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of El Monte, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of El Monte, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 11, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

reques & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 11, 2017

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of El Monte, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of El Monte, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of El Monte, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings #2017-001. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

angues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 11, 2017

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C					
	Local Return Funds					
	1. Uses the State Controller's					
	Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	Х				
	2. Timely use of funds.	X				
	3. Funds expended were	~				
	approved and have not been					
	substituted for property tax.	Х				
	4. Expenditures that exceeded					
	25% of approved project budget					
	have approved amended					
	Project Description Form (Form					
	A)	Х				
	5. Administrative expenses are					
	within the 20% cap of the total					
	annual Local Return					
	Expenditures.	Х				
	6. All on-going and carryover					
	projects were reported in Form	v				
	B. 7. Annual Project Summary	Х				
	Report (Form B) was submitted					
	on time.	Х				
	8. Annual Expenditure Report	~				
	(Form C) was submitted on					
	time.	Х				
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are					
	maintained.	Х				
	10. Accounting procedures, record					
	keeping and documentation are					
	adequate.	Х				
	11. Pavement Management System					
	(PMS) in place and being used					
	for Street Maintenance or					
	Improvement Projects Expenditures.	х				
	12. Local Return Account is	^				
	credited for reimbursable					
	expenditures.			х		
	13. Self-Certification was completed					
	and submitted for Intelligent					
	Transportation Systems					
	projects or elements.			Х		
	14. Assurances and					
	Understandings form was on					
	file.	Х				
	15. Recreational Transit Form was					
	submitted on time.	Х				

See report of independent auditors on compliance. 31

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
			Yes No	N/A	Costs	management response.
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund					
	1. Funds were expended for					
	transportation purposes.	Х				
	2. Funds were used to augment,					
	not supplant, existing local					
	revenues being used for					
	transportation purposes unless					
	there is a funding shortfall.	Х				
	3. Signed Assurances and					
	Understandings on file.	Х				
	4. Separate Measure R Local					
	Return Account was					
	established.	Х				
	Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income					
	was properly credited to the					
	Measure R Local Return					
	Account.	Х				
	6. Funds were expended with					
	LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)					
	was submitted on time.		Х			See Finding #2017-001
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)					
	was submitted on time.	Х				
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10. Administrative expenses are					
	within the 20% cap.	Х				
	11. Fund exchanges were approved					
	by LACMTA.			Х		
	12. A separate account was					
	established for Capital reserve					
	funds and Capital reserve was					
	approved by LACMTA.	Х				
	13. Recreational transit form was			1		
	submitted on time.			Х		
C.	Transportation Development Act					
	Article 3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	2. Expenditures were incurred for					
	activities relating to pedestrian					
	and bicycle facilities and					
	amenities.	Х				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

MRLRF: Finding #2017-001

Compliance Reference	Section B (II) (I) of the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines states that, "To maintain eligibility and meet Measure R LR program compliance requirements, jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan (Form One) annually by August 1st of each year".
Condition	The City submitted its Expenditure Plan (Form One) on August 11, 2016, ten days beyond the due date set under the Guidelines.
Cause	A resignation within the Engineering Department caused staff to overlook the Measure R Expenditure Plan submission deadline.
Effect	The City's Expenditure Plan (Form One) was not submitted timely. The City was not in compliance with the Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish procedures to ensure that all reporting deadlines are met.
Management Response	The submission of the Measure R Expenditure Plan has been reassigned to a senior level manager in the Transportation Division. The Finance Department is also monitoring this due date on its compliance calendar.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 11, 2017 with the City of El Monte representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Cristy Canieda – Partner Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager Emer Fabro – Audit Supervisor

City of El Monte representative: Anne Blakeley – Principal Accountant

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the LACMTA Local Return Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of El Monte representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Anne Blakeley – Principal Accountant



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP. RSM US International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777