



**Metro**<sup>TM</sup>

**City of Inglewood  
Annual Financial Report of its**

**Proposition A Local Return Fund  
Proposition C Local Return Fund  
Measure R Local Return Fund  
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund**

**As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016  
with Report of Independent Auditors**

	<u>PAGE</u>
<b>FINANCIAL SECTION</b>	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	4
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	6
Schedule of Capital Assets	7
Proposition C Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	8
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	9
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	10
Schedule of Capital Assets	11
Measure R Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	12
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	13
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	14
Schedule of Capital Assets	15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	16
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	17
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	18
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	19
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	25
<b>COMPLIANCE SECTION</b>	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance	27
Compliance Matrix	29
<b>SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>EXIT CONFERENCE</b>	<b>32</b>

**FINANCIAL SECTION**

## Report of Independent Auditors

### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Inglewood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Inglewood, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

#### ***Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements***

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### ***Auditors' Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



### ***Opinions***

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Inglewood, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### ***Other Matter***

As discussed in Note 10, the fiscal year 2016 financial statements of Proposition C Local Return Fund have been restated to remove certain expenditures from the Proposition C Local Return Fund that were funded by other grants. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### ***Emphasis of Matter***

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### ***Supplementary Information***

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



### **Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

*Vacquez & Company* LLP

Los Angeles, California  
December 21, 2017

**City of Inglewood  
Proposition A Local Return Fund  
Balance Sheets**

		June 30	
		2017	2016
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and investments		\$ 5,504,024	\$ 4,246,787
<b>Total assets</b>		<b>\$ 5,504,024</b>	<b>\$ 4,246,787</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE</b>			
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Accounts payable		\$ 88,007	\$ -
Accrued wages payable		40,827	19,565
<b>Total liabilities</b>		<b>128,834</b>	<b>19,565</b>
<b>Fund balance</b>			
Restricted		5,375,190	4,227,222
<b>Total fund balance</b>		<b>5,375,190</b>	<b>4,227,222</b>
<b>Total liabilities and fund balance</b>		<b>\$ 5,504,024</b>	<b>\$ 4,246,787</b>

*See notes to Funds financial statements.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Proposition A Local Return Fund**  
**Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance**

---

	Years ended June 30	
	2017	2016
<b>Revenues</b>		
Proposition A	\$ 2,049,780	\$ 2,017,928
Investment income	42,806	34,525
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>2,092,586</b>	<b>2,052,453</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>		
Various projects	944,618	1,552,550
<b>Total expenditures</b>	<b>944,618</b>	<b>1,552,550</b>
Excess of revenues over expenditures	1,147,968	499,903
Fund balance at beginning of year	4,227,222	3,727,319
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 5,375,190	\$ 4,227,222

*See notes to Funds financial statements.*



**City of Inglewood**  
**Proposition A Local Return Fund**  
**Supplementary Information**  
**Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget**  
**Year ended June 30, 2017**  
**(With Comparative Actuals for 2016)**

---

Project Code	Project Name	2017			2016 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	
150-08	Transit Stop Improvements	\$ 22,379	\$ 22,640	\$ (261)	\$ 143,293
220-01	Transit Security	1,250,000	921,978	328,022	1,409,257
	<b>Total expenditures</b>	<b>\$ 1,272,379</b>	<b>\$ 944,618</b>	<b>\$ 327,761</b>	<b>\$ 1,552,550</b>

*See report of independent auditors.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Proposition A Local Return Fund**  
**Supplementary Information**  
**Schedule of Capital Assets**  
**Year ended June 30, 2017**

---

<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance July 1, 2016</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance June 30, 2017</u>
2013	Transit Stop Improvement	\$ 84,546	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 84,546
2014	Transit Stop Improvement	80,463	-	-	80,463
2015	Transit Stop Improvement	20,467	-	-	20,467
2015	Transit Stop Improvement	4,079	-	-	4,079
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 189,555</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 189,555</b>

*See report of independent auditors.*

**City of Inglewood  
Proposition C Local Return Fund  
Balance Sheets**

		<b>June 30</b>	
		<b>2017</b>	(as restated) 2016
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and investments	\$	5,340,824	\$ 4,789,068
Due from other grants		237,421	171,451
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>5,578,245</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 4,960,519</u></b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE</b>			
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Accounts payable	\$	101,046	94,867
Accrued wages payable		-	28,083
<b>Total liabilities</b>		<b><u>101,046</u></b>	<b><u>122,950</u></b>
<b>Fund balance</b>			
Restricted		5,477,199	4,837,569
<b>Total fund balance</b>		<b><u>5,477,199</u></b>	<b><u>4,837,569</u></b>
<b>Total liabilities and fund balance</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>5,578,245</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 4,960,519</u></b>

*See notes to Funds financial statements.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Proposition C Local Return Fund**  
**Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance**

---

	Years ended June 30	
	2017	(as restated) 2016
<b>Revenues</b>		
Proposition C	\$ 1,706,700	\$ 1,679,376
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant	220,223	191,168
Investment income (loss)	43,096	(22,638)
Project generated revenues	312,311	361,172
FTA bus grant 2008 reimbursement	417	-
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>2,282,747</b>	<b>2,209,078</b>
 <b>Expenditures</b>		
Various projects	1,643,117	2,196,750
<b>Total expenditures</b>	<b>1,643,117</b>	<b>2,196,750</b>
 Excess of revenues over expenditures	<b>639,630</b>	12,328
 Fund balance at beginning of year	<b>4,837,569</b>	4,825,241
 Fund balance at end of year	<b>\$ 5,477,199</b>	<b>\$ 4,837,569</b>

*See notes to Funds financial statements.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Proposition C Local Return Fund**  
**Supplementary Information**  
**Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget**  
**Year ended June 30, 2017**  
**(With Comparative Actuals for 2016)**

Project Code	Project Name	2017			(as restated) 2016 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	
110-05	Market Street Trolley	\$ 120,000	\$ 84,294	\$ 35,706	\$ 92,084
130-04	Senior Citizen Paratransit	850,000	758,648	91,352	828,759
240-10	Subsidized Taxi Services	240,000	158,947	81,053 <sup>a</sup>	154,662
250-07	Reduced Fare Bus Passes	145,000	76,520	68,480	66,420
400-03	Intelligent Transportation System - ITS - Phase 4B	1,741	1,741	-	75
450-02	Street Geometry Improvement	55,082	53,860	1,222	36,361
450-09	Century Blvd Corridor Design Project	80,000	75,502	4,498	92,820
450-12	La Brea Street Improvement	51,942	6,708	45,234	48,569
450-13	Crenshaw Blvd./84th Street HSIP	48,417	21,600	26,817	410
450-14	Florence Ave Regional Transportation Corridor Improvement Project	3,096	3,096	-	504,658
450-15	La Tijera Elementary School SR2S Project	12,960	14,383	(1,423)	17,149
450-16	N La Brea Ave Project	8,786	8,960	(174)	51,792
450-17	Centinela Avenue Improvement Project	86,408	92,713	(6,305)	438
450-20	Streets and Alleys Rehabilitation	11,203	11,203	-	-
450-613	La Brea TLSP	1,599	1,089	510	48,676
480-01	Transportation Management and Grants Administration	350,000	273,853	76,147	253,877
	<b>Total expenditures</b>	<b>\$ 2,066,234</b>	<b>\$ 1,643,117</b>	<b>\$ 423,117</b>	<b>\$ 2,196,750</b>

<sup>a</sup> The actual variance after considering other funding source is calculated as follows:

LACMTA Budget		\$ 240,000
Total expenditures	\$ 158,947	
Less: Project generated revenues - Subsidized Taxi Vouchers	31,350	
PALRF Expenditures		127,597
Actual Variance Positive (Negative)		\$ 112,403

*See report of independent auditors.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Proposition C Local Return Fund**  
**Supplementary Information**  
**Schedule of Capital Assets**  
**Year ended June 30, 2017**

<b>Date Acquired</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Balance July 1, 2016</b>	<b>Additions</b>	<b>Deletions</b>	<b>Balance June 30, 2017</b>
2008	Resurfacing of Local Streets P620	\$ 164,258	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 164,258
2008	Street Geometry Improvement Project P615	6,000	-	-	6,000
2010	Intersection and Street Reconstruction P622	91,356	-	-	91,356
2010	Intersection and Street Reconstruction	127,770	-	-	127,770
2010	La Brea - Manchester to Century P636	2,450,493	-	-	2,450,493
2010	Arbor Vitae Project East P670	30,095	-	-	30,095
2010	Arbor Vitae Project East	29,781	-	-	29,781
2010	La Brea - Manchester to Century	127,863	-	-	127,863
2011	Crenshaw/Century Installation of Signals	19,651	-	-	19,651
2012	La Brea Ave Improvement Project Phase I	8,177	-	-	8,177
2012	Annual Street Improvements	242,178	-	-	242,178
2013	Street Geometry Improvement Project	67,407	-	-	67,407
2013	Resurfacing of Local Streets	380,310	-	-	380,310
2013	Pavement Management system	56,800	-	-	56,800
2014	Street Geometry Improvement Project	347	-	-	347
2014	La Brea Ave Improvement Project Phase II	470,737	-	-	470,737
2014	La Brea Ave Improvement Project Phase II	430	-	-	430
2014	Pavement Management System	1,346	-	-	1,346
2015	Traffic Sign Replacement Project	16,216	-	-	16,216
2015	Florence Ave Regional Transportation	1,588,563	-	-	1,588,563
2015	La Brea Ave Improvement Project Phase I	2,798	-	-	2,798
2015	Street Improvements at La Cienega	161,506	-	-	161,506
2015	La Brea - Intersection Realignment	231,951	-	-	231,951
2015	Century Blvd Corridor Design Project	2,492,258	-	-	2,492,258
2016	Century Blvd Corridor Design Project	75,502	-	-	75,502
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 8,843,793</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 8,843,793</b>

*See report of independent auditors.*

**City of Inglewood  
Measure R Local Return Fund  
Balance Sheets**

		June 30	
		2017	2016
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Cash and investments		\$ 5,915,857	\$ 5,642,384
	<b>Total assets</b>	\$ 5,915,857	\$ 5,642,384
<b>LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE</b>			
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Accounts payable		\$ 266,015	\$ -
Retention payable		39,889	8,069
Accrued wages payable		-	181
	<b>Total liabilities</b>	305,904	8,250
<b>Fund balance</b>			
Restricted		5,609,953	5,634,134
	<b>Total fund balance</b>	5,609,953	5,634,134
	<b>Total liabilities and fund balance</b>	\$ 5,915,857	\$ 5,642,384

*See notes to Funds financial statements.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Measure R Local Return Fund**

**Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance**

---

		<b>Years ended June 30</b>	
		<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Revenues</b>			
Measure R	\$	1,275,487	\$ 1,256,123
Investment income		51,636	42,240
<b>Total revenues</b>		<b>1,327,123</b>	<b>1,298,363</b>
 <b>Expenditures</b>			
Various projects		1,351,304	109,574
<b>Total expenditures</b>		<b>1,351,304</b>	<b>109,574</b>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(24,181)	1,188,789
Fund balance at beginning of year		5,634,134	4,445,345
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<b>5,609,953</b>	<b>\$ 5,634,134</b>

*See notes to Funds financial statements.*



**City of Inglewood**  
**Measure R Local Return Fund**  
**Supplementary Information**  
**Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget**  
**Year ended June 30, 2017**  
**(With Comparative Actuals for 2016)**

Project Code	Project Name	2017			2016 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	
1.05	Resurfacing of Local Streets	\$ 1,125,385	\$1,105,654	\$ 19,731	\$ 17,342
1.05	N La Brea Avenue Improvements	38,581	37,885	696	9,635
1.05	Street Lighting and Roadway Safety Improvements	1,720	1,720	-	876
1.05	South Prairie Improvement Project	5,050	5,050	-	-
2.09	La Brea Traffic Light Synchronization Program	1,032	1,032	-	80,006
2.09	ITS Master Plan	4,494	4,668	(174)	-
2.29	Annual Traffic Signal Improvement Program	157,262	195,295	(38,033)	1,715
<b>Total expenditures</b>		<b>\$ 1,333,524</b>	<b>\$ 1,351,304</b>	<b>\$ (17,780)</b>	<b>\$ 109,574</b>

*See report of independent auditors.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Measure R Local Return Fund**  
**Supplementary Information**  
**Schedule of Capital Assets**  
**Year ended June 30, 2017**

<b>Date Acquired</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Balance July 1, 2016</b>	<b>Additions</b>	<b>Deletions</b>	<b>Balance June 30, 2017</b>
2013	Resurfacing of Local Streets	\$ 218,772	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 218,772
2014	Street Lighting and Roadway Safety Improvement	19,014	-	-	19,014
2014	Street Lighting and Roadway Safety Improvement	14,177	-	-	14,177
2014	Resurfacing of Local Streets	819	-	-	819
2014	Resurfacing of Local Streets	460,796	-	-	460,796
2014	Annual Traffic Signal Improvement	61,298	-	-	61,298
2014	Traffic Signal Improvement Program	437,020	-	-	437,020
2014	Traffic Signal Improvement Program	318,726	-	-	318,726
2014	Traffic Signal Improvement Program	126,736	-	-	126,736
2016	Resurfacing of Local Streets	48,540	-	-	48,540
2016	Traffic Signal Improvement Program	22,794	-	-	22,794
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 1,728,692</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,728,692</b>

*See report of independent auditors.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund**  
**Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234**  
**Balance Sheets**

		June 30	
		2017	2016
<b>ASSETS</b>			
Due from LACMTA		\$ 185,566	\$ 193,400
	<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 185,566</b>	<b>\$ 193,400</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT)</b>			
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Due to General Fund		\$ 189,215	\$ 193,400
Accounts payable		870	-
	<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>190,085</b>	<b>193,400</b>
<b>Fund balance (deficit)</b>			
Restricted		(4,519)	-
	<b>Total fund balance (deficit)</b>	<b>(4,519)</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Total liabilities and fund balance (deficit)</b>	<b>\$ 185,566</b>	<b>\$ 193,400</b>

*See notes to Funds financial statements.*

City of Inglewood  
 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund  
 Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234  
**Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance**

---

	<b>Years ended June 30</b>	
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Revenues</b>		
Intergovernmental Allocations:		
Article 3	\$ <b>185,566</b>	\$ 193,400
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>185,566</b>	193,400
<b>Expenditures</b>		
Sidewalk Replacement Project	<b>190,085</b>	191,724
<b>Total expenditures</b>	<b>190,085</b>	191,724
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	<b>(4,519)</b>	1,676
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of year	-	(1,676)
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year	<b>\$ (4,519)</b>	\$ -

*See notes to Funds financial statements.*

**City of Inglewood**  
**Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund**  
**Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234**  
**Supplementary Information**  
**Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects**  
**Year ended June 30, 2017**

---

Project Description	Program Year	Totals to Date		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
		Allocations	Expenditures		
<b>Local Allocations:</b>					
Sidewalk Replacement Project	2017	\$ 185,566	\$ 190,085	\$ (4,519)	Completed
<b>Totals</b>		<u>\$ 185,566</u>	<u>\$ 190,085</u>	<u>(4,519)</u>	
Fund balance at beginning of year				-	
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year				<u>\$ (4,519)</u>	

*See report of independent auditors.*

**NOTE 1      SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**Fund Accounting**

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

**Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

**Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**NOTE 1      SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)**

**Fair Value Measurement**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

**Fund Balance Reporting**

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

- Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

**NOTE 2      ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**NOTE 3      PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS**

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

**NOTE 4      MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS**

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

**NOTE 5      TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS**

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.



**NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

**NOTE 7 DUE FROM OTHER GRANTS – PCLRF**

Due from other grants for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

		<u>2017</u>		<u>2016</u>
Hawthorne Paratransit	\$	131,356	\$	159,458
Lennox Paratransit		22,888		7,012
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant		83,177		4,981
	\$	<u>237,421</u>	\$	<u>171,451</u>

**NOTE 8 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANTS**

The City received Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant amounting to \$220,223 and \$191,168 for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, which represents additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the sub-regional paratransit services program. The City used this grant to operate a demand-response paratransit Dial-A-Ride program available to eligible disabled residents.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded in PCLRF.

**NOTE 9 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES**

Project generated revenues under PCLRF for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

		<u>2017</u>		<u>2016</u>
Hawthorne Paratransit	\$	192,238	\$	234,927
Lennox Paratransit		49,413		57,733
Subsidized Taxi Vouchers		31,350		28,115
Reduced Fare Bus Passes		34,230		36,190
Fare Donation		5,080		4,207
	\$	<u>312,311</u>	\$	<u>361,172</u>

**NOTE 10      RESTATEMENT OF 2016 PCLRF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The 2016 financial statements of PCLRF were restated to remove certain expenditures from the Proposition C Local Return Fund that were funded by other grants. The adjustment was reflected on the following accounts:

	Balance, as previously reported	Adjustment	Balance, as restated
Accounts payable	\$ 141,770	\$ (46,903)	\$ 94,867
Expenditures	2,243,653	(46,903)	2,196,750
Fund balance	4,790,666	46,903	4,837,569

**NOTE 11      TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION**

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
FY 2011/12 allocation	\$ -	\$ 31,558
FY 2012/13 allocation	-	84,709
FY 2013/14 allocation	<b>12,949</b>	77,133
FY 2014/15 allocation	<b>73,362</b>	-
FY 2015/16 allocation	<b>71,229</b>	-
FY 2016/17 allocation	<b>28,026</b>	-
	<b>\$ 185,566</b>	<b>\$ 193,400</b>

**NOTE 12      TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED**

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2013/14 reserve	\$ -	\$ 12,949
FY 2014/15 reserve	-	73,362
FY 2015/16 reserve	-	71,229
FY 2016/17 allocation	<b>46,398</b>	-
	<b>\$ 46,398</b>	<b>\$ 157,540</b>

For FY 2016/17, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2012/13 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2017. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2016/17.

**NOTE 13      SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 31, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.

**Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and  
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements  
Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards***

**To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the  
City of Inglewood, California and the  
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Inglewood, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2017.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

**Los Angeles, California**  
**December 21, 2017**

## COMPLIANCE SECTION

## Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

**To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the  
City of Inglewood, California and the  
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Inglewood, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

### ***Management's Responsibility***

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### ***Auditors' Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### ***Opinion***

In our opinion, the City of Inglewood, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



## Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Vaqueria &amp; Company LLP".

Los Angeles, California  
December 21, 2017



**City of Inglewood  
Compliance Matrix  
Year ended June 30, 2017**

Compliance Requirements	In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
	Yes	No	N/A		
<b>A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds</b>					
1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X				
2. Timely use of funds.	X				
3. Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.	X				
4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A)	X				
5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X				
6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X				
7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X				
8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X				
9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X				
10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.	X				
11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X				
12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X				
13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X		
14. Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X				
15. Recreational Transit Form was submitted on time.	X				

*See report of independent auditors on compliance.*

**City of Inglewood  
Compliance Matrix  
Year ended June 30, 2017**

Compliance Requirements	In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
	Yes	No	N/A		
<b>B. Measure R Local Return Fund</b>					
1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X				
2. Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X				
3. Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	X				
4. Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X				
5. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X				
6. Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	X				
7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X				
8. Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X				
9. Timely use of funds.	X				
10. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X				
11. Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.			X		
12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.			X		
13. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X		
<b>C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund</b>					
1. Timely use of funds.	X				
2. Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X				

*See report of independent auditors on compliance.*

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

**City of Inglewood  
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
Year ended June 30, 2017**

---

There were no findings noted.

**EXIT CONFERENCE**

An exit conference was held on December 21, 2017 with the City of Inglewood representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives:  
Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Inglewood representatives:  
Luisana Gomez – Accounting Manager  
Aleathia Scott – Senior Accountant

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statements issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Inglewood representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Sharon Koike – Assistant Finance Director  
Luisana Gomez – Accounting Manager  
Aleathia Scott – Senior Accountant



[www.vasquezcpa.com](http://www.vasquezcpa.com)

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit [rsmus.com/about us](http://rsmus.com/about-us) for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777