

City of Irwindale Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





# <u>PAGE</u>

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	24 26
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	28
EXIT CONFERENCE	29

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# **Report of Independent Auditors**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

# **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

# Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



# Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Irwindale, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

# Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 18, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 18, 2017

		Jur	ie 30	
	_	2017		2016
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	47,676	\$	38,911
Interest receivable	_	62	_	49
	Total assets \$	47,738	\$	38,960
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	<u>1,400</u> 1,400	_\$	2,800 2,800
Fund balance				
Restricted	_	46,338		36,160
	Total fund balance	46,338		36,160
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	47,738	\$	38,960

		Years ende	d June 30
		2017	2016
Revenues			
Proposition A	\$	26,878 \$	26,462
Interest income	_	100	414
	Total revenues	26,978	26,876
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	 Total expenditures	<u>16,800</u> 16,800	<u> </u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures		10,178	10,076
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	36,160	26,084
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	46,338 \$	36,160

				2017			
		-			Variance	-	
Project			LACMTA		Positive		2016
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	 (Negative)		Actual
170-02	Bus Shelter Maintenance	\$	26,989	\$ 16,800	\$ 10,189	\$	16,800
	Total expenditures	\$	26,989	\$ 16,800	\$ 10,189	\$	16,800

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
	None		\$ Total \$	-	\$\$ \$	\$ <u>-</u> \$ \$ <u>-</u> \$	<u> </u>

		Jun	e 30	
		2017		2016
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	770	\$	19,382
Interest receivable		17	_	43
	Total assets \$	787	\$	19,425
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE 	-	\$	-
Fund balance				
Restricted		787		19,425
	Total fund balance	787		19,425
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	787	\$	19,425

		Years ended	June 30
		2017	2016
Revenues			
Proposition C	\$	22,334 \$	21,976
Interest income		28	362
	Total revenues	22,362	22,338
Expenditures			
Various projects	_	41,000	21,958
	Total expenditures	41,000	21,958
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expe	nditures	(18,638)	380
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	19,425	19,045
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	787_\$	19,425

	-	2017					-	
Project Code	Project Name	LACM TA Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)		2016 Actual
Coue		Duuget		Actual		(Negative)	·	Actual
400-01	Left Turn Phasing/Intersection of Peck and Longden \$	21,960	\$	21,000	\$	960	\$	21,958
450-02	Los Angeles Street Reconstruction	20,000		20,000		-		-
	Total expenditures \$	41,960	\$	41,000	\$	960	\$	21,958

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2016		Additions		Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$ Total \$	19,992 19,992	_\$	-	\$ \$	\$\$	<u>19,992</u> 19,992

		Ju	ne 3	0
		2017	2016	
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	40,013	\$	39,700
Interest receivable	_	67		47
	Total assets \$ _	40,080	\$	39,747
	-			
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$	-
	Total liabilities	-		_
Fund balance				
Restricted	_	40,080		39,747
	Total fund balance	40,080		39,747
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	40,080	\$	39,747
	-			

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		•	Years ended	June 30
			2017	2016
Revenues				
Measure R	\$	5	16,725 \$	16,472
Interest income			108	393
	Total revenues		16,833	16,865
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures		<u>    16,500                                   </u>	-
Excess of revenues over expenditures			333	16,865
Fund balance at beginning of year			39,747	22,882
Fund balance at end of year	\$	۵ <u>ــــــ</u>	40,080 \$	39,747

	2017							
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	20 Act	
1.05	Irwindale Ave Resurfacing Project	\$	586,500	\$	16,500 \$	570,000 \$	5	-
1.05	2016/2017 Resurfacing Program	_	107,642		-	107,642		-
	Total expenditures	\$_	694,142	\$	16,500 \$	677,642 \$	5	-

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2016	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$_	14,994	\$ - (	S\$	14,994
	Total	\$	14,994	\$ - (	s <u> </u>	14,994

		J	une 30
		2017	2016
Due from LACMTA	ASSETS Total assets	\$ <u>15,000</u> \$ <u>15,000</u>	
LIABILITIES Liabilities Due to other funds	AND FUND BALANCE	\$15,000	• \$ -
	Total liabilities	15,000	
Fund balance Restricted	Total fund balance Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ <u>15,000</u>	<u> </u>

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended June 30	
	_	2017	2016
<b>Revenues</b> Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$ Total revenues	<u>15,000</u> \$\$	-
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	15,000 15,000	-
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	<u> </u>	-
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$_	

# City of Irwindale Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2017

			_		Totals to Date		
Project Description		Program Year		Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:							
Resurfacing project	Totals	2017	\$_ \$_	15,000 S	· ·		Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of year							
Fund balance at end of year					\$		

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

# Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

#### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 7 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	_	2017	2016
FY 2014/15 reserve	\$	5,000	\$ -
FY 2015/16 reserve		5,000	-
FY 2016/17 allocation		5,000	-
	\$	15,000	\$ -

There were no TDA Article 3 funds draw down during FY 2015/16.

#### NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	 2017	2016
FY 2014/15 reserve	\$ - \$	5,000
FY 2015/16 allocation	-	5,000
	\$ - \$	10,000

For FY 2016/17, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2012/13 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2017. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2016/17.

#### NOTE 9 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 18, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

### Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2017.

# Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

asquer & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 18, 2017

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

# Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

# Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Irwindale, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

ingnes & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 18, 2017

Compliance Requirements			Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and management	
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	response.	
۹.	Proposition A and Proposition C						
	Local Return Funds						
	1. Uses the State Controller's						
	Uniform System of Accounts	v					
	and Records.	X X					
	2. Timely use of funds.	~					
	3. Funds expended were approved and have not been						
	substituted for property tax.	х					
	4. Expenditures that exceeded	~					
	25% of approved project budget						
	have approved amended						
	Project Description Form (Form						
	A)	Х					
	5. Administrative expenses are						
	within the 20% cap of the total						
	annual Local Return						
	Expenditures.			Х			
	6. All on-going and carryover						
	projects were reported in Form						
	В.	Х					
	7. Annual Project Summary						
	Report (Form B) was submitted	Ň					
	on time.	Х					
	8. Annual Expenditure Report						
	(Form C) was submitted on	х					
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are	^					
	maintained.	х					
	10. Accounting procedures, record	~					
	keeping and documentation are						
	adequate.	Х					
	11. Pavement Management System						
	(PMS) in place and being used						
	for Street Maintenance or						
	Improvement Projects						
	Expenditures.			Х			
	12. Local Return Account is						
	credited for reimbursable						
	expenditures.			Х			
	13. Self-Certification was completed						
	and submitted for Intelligent						
	Transportation Systems			~			
	projects or elements.			X			
	14. Assurances and						
	Understandings form was on	v					
	file. 15. Recreational Transit Form was	Х					
	submitted on time.			х			

See report of independent auditors on compliance.

	Compliance Requirements		Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and management response.
			No	N/A	Costs	
Β.	Measure R Local Return Fund					
	<ol> <li>Funds were expended for</li> </ol>					
	transportation purposes.			Х		
	2. Funds were used to augment,					
	not supplant, existing local					
	revenues being used for					
	transportation purposes unless					
	there is a funding shortfall.			Х		
	3. Signed Assurances and					
	Understandings on file.	Х				
	4. Separate Measure R Local					
	Return Account was					
	established.	Х				
	5. Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income					
	was properly credited to the					
	Measure R Local Return	V				
	Account.	Х				
	6. Funds were expended with			V		
	LACMTA's approval.			X		
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)	V				
	was submitted on time.	Х				
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)	v				
	was submitted on time.	X X				
	<ol> <li>9. Timely use of funds.</li> <li>10. Administrative expenses are</li> </ol>	^				
	within the 20% cap.			X		
	11. Fund exchanges were approved			^		
	by LACMTA.			x		
	12. A separate account was					
	established for Capital reserve					
	funds and Capital reserve was					
	approved by LACMTA.			x		
	13. Recreational transit form was			~		
	submitted on time.			x		
C.	Transportation Development Act					
	Article 3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	2. Expenditures were incurred for					
	activities relating to pedestrian					
	and bicycle facilities and					
	amenities.	Х				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 18, 2017 with the City of Irwindale representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Cristy Canieda – Audit Partner Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Irwindale representative: Eva Carreon – Director of Finance

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Irwindale representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Eva Carreon – Director of Finance Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777