

City of Pomona Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





# <u>PAGE</u>

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	23
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	25 27
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	29
EXIT CONFERENCE	31

FINANCIAL SECTION

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

## **Report of Independent Auditors**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Pomona, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Pomona, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



## Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Pomona, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 18, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

acquer & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 18, 2017

		June 30				
	_	2017		2016		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	2,140,618 \$	5	2,325,709		
Accounts receivable	Ŧ	4,000		2,000		
Interest receivable		5,751		2,869		
Due from other government agencies		295,281		295,281		
Total asse	ts\$	2,445,650 \$	\$	2,625,859		
	_					
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE	)					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	239,099 \$	:	157,149		
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	Ψ	4,980	,	4,854		
Total liabiliti	es —	244,079		162,003		
		211,010		102,000		
Deferred inflows of resources						
Unavailable revenues		295,281		295,281		
Total deferred inflows of resource	es _	295,281		295,281		
Fund balance						
Restricted		1,906,290		2,168,575		
Total fund balan		1,906,290		2,168,575		
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources a						
fund balan	ce\$_	2,445,650 \$	s	2,625,859		

		Years ended	d June 30		
		2017	2016		
Revenues					
Proposition A		\$ 2,781,244 \$	2,738,458		
Investment income (loss)		(1,844)	12,506		
Get About Subsidy		16,000	14,280		
Other revenues		 	12,000		
	<b>Total revenues</b>	 2,795,400	2,777,244		
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	- 1	 3,057,685	2,290,655		
lot	al expenditures	 3,057,685	2,290,655		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expendit	ures	(262,285)	486,589		
Fund balance at beginning of year		 2,168,575	1,681,986		
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 1,906,290 \$	2,168,575		

## City of Pomona Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

				2017		
Project Code	Project Name	_	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
130-01	PVTA - Get About	\$	1,578,196 \$	1,483,708 \$	94,488 \$	1,332,160
130-02	Group Service Transit Pilot Program		125,000	-	125,000	70,400
140-01	Recreational Transit		40,000	47,339	(7,339)	42,440
160-03	Bus Stop Improvements along San Bernardino San Antonio N Garey Ridgeway Dudley Alvarado and Monterey		85,000		85,000	
160-05	Bus Stop Improvements for Local City		05,000	-	03,000	-
100-03	Streets within Districts 2 and 3		100.000	-	100.000	-
160-06	Bus Stop Improvements		103,943	-	103,943	-
160-07	Transit Improvement Program - Citywide		299,180	31,243	267,937	29,846
160-09	Bus Stop Improvements			655,532	(655,532) *	
170-03	Bus Stop Shelter Maintenance		124,808	130,056	(5,248)	108,305
230-01	Metrolink Security		232,000	227,332	4,668	209,817
230-05	Bus Stop Improvements		62,553	-	62,553	53,370
230-10	Transit Station Security Cameras		136,070	-	136,070	-
250-01	Get About Subsidy		16,000	20,000	(4,000)	-
250-02	MTA Bus Pass Subsidy		190,000	146,580	43,420	-
250-03	Metrolink Passes Subsidy Program		-	-	-	159,120
360-01	Metrolink Utilities (2 Stations)		69,570	61,146	8,424	68,937
390-01	Metrolink Maintenance (2 Stations)		126,114	119,040	7,074	113,235
480-01	Administration		130,569	135,709	(5,140)	103,025
	Total expenditures	\$	3,419,003 \$	3,057,685 \$	361,318 \$	2,290,655

\* See Compliance Matrix and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Date Acquired		Description	 Balance July 1, 2016		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
	None		  -	\$\$	<u>-</u> \$ \$	\$ \$	-

See report of independent auditors.

	Ju	ne :	30
	2017	_	2016
ASSETS		_	
Cash and investments \$	3,439,076	\$	7,787,601
Interest receivable	10,570		9,629
Total assets \$	3,449,646	\$	7,797,230
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable \$	5,535	\$	261
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	2,579		3,066
Total liabilities	8,114		3,327
Fund balance			
Restricted	3,441,532		7,793,903
Total fund balance	3,441,532		7,793,903
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	3,449,646	\$	7,797,230

		Years ended	June 30
		2017	2016
Revenues			
Proposition C	\$	2,313,406 \$	2,276,663
Investment income		6,174	42,084
	Total revenues	2,319,580	2,318,747
Expenditures			
Various projects		6,671,951	448,888
Тс	otal expenditures	6,671,951	448,888
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expe	(4,352,371)	1,869,859	
Fund balance at beginning of year		7,793,903	5,924,044
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>3,441,532</u> \$	7,793,903

## City of Pomona Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

			2017			
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	 Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	 2016 Actual
370-01	Street Improvements - Hamilton Underpass at UPRR \$	38,975	\$ 7,400	\$	31,575	\$ 7,863
400-01	Garey and McKinley - Traffic Signal Modification	29,754	-		29,754	140
400-02	Traffic Signal Improvements - Towne Avenue					
	and Philadelphia	34,644	11,841		22,803	21,716
400-03	Coop Agreement - Traffic Signal (Foothill Blvd					
	and Sumner Ave)	259,244	255,279		3,965	6,783
440-01	Bridge Rehabilitation Program	52,945	1,437		51,508	2,240
440-02	Street Rehabilitation - Reservoir Street					
	(Mission Blvd and County Rd)	1,900,000	-		1,900,000	-
440-04	Street Repair - Towne Avenue Underpass	730,050	-		730,050	-
440-06	Street Rehabilitation District 4 and 5	103,943	-		103,943	-
440-10	Traffic Operations - Communication Upgrade	99,000	-		99,000	-
450-01	Mission Boulevard Grade Separation at					
	State Route 71	118,278	2,929		115,349	28,998
450-02	Median Closure - State Route 71	100,000	-		100,000	-
450-05	Mission Corridor Improvement	100,000	-		100,000	-
450-07	Major Street Rehabilitation - Citywide	100,000	-		100,000	-
450-08	Major Street Rehabilitation - Citywide	6,111,328	6,261,356		(150,028)	318,954
450-09	Landscape Improvements - Mission Blvd/SR-1	125,000	30,308		94,692	-
460-07	Traffic Signal Improvements - Battery BackupSystem	200,000	19,919		180,081	-
460-09	Traffic Signal Improvements - Towne Avenue and					
	Riverside Drive	150,500	5,000		145,500	-
480-01	Administration	130,407	 76,482		53,925	 62,194
	Total expenditures \$	10,384,068	\$ 6,671,951	\$_	3,712,117	\$ 448,888

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
	None		\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
			Total \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-

		Ju	ne 3	0
		2017		2016
AS	SETS			
Cash and investments	\$	2,799,772	\$	2,376,609
Interest receivable		6,354		3,134
	Total assets \$	2,806,126	\$	2,379,743
LIABILITIES AN	D FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	37,823	\$	50,417
Accrued payroll and employe	e benefits	20,740		21,243
	Total liabilities	58,563		71,660
Fund balance				
Restricted		2,747,563	_	2,308,083
	Total fund balance	2,747,563		2,308,083
Tota	al liabilities and fund balance \$	2,806,126	\$	2,379,743

	Years ended	June 30
	2017	2016
Revenues   Measure R   Investment income (loss)   Other   Total revenues	1,730,645 \$ (3,620) <u>5,386</u> 1,732,411	1,704,639 14,303 - 1,718,942
Expenditures Various projects Total expenditures	1,292,931 1,292,931	1,597,062 1,597,062
Excess of revenues over expenditures	439,480	121,880
Other financing source Transfers from PCLRF Total other financing sources	<u> </u>	2,240
Excess of revenues over expenditures and other financing source	439,480	124,120
Fund balance at beginning of year	2,308,083	2,183,963
Fund balance at end of year \$_	2,747,563 \$	2,308,083

## City of Pomona Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

						Variance	
Project			МТА			Positive	2016
Code	Project Name	Bu	dget	Actual		(Negative)	Actual
1.05	Major Street Rehabilitation - Citywide						
	(FY13/14 - FY15/16)	\$ 3	302,500 \$		- \$	302,500 \$	173,000
1.05	Street Rehabilitation - District 2 and 3		-		-	-	432,166
1.05	Street Improvement Citywide (CDBG) (FY15-16 to FY17-18)		30,000		_	30,000	_
1.05	Street Rehabilitation - District 1 and 6		100,000		_	100,000	_
1.05	Sidewalks Penmar Lane (Grading, Asphalt, Curb,		100,000			100,000	
1.00	Cutter, Sidewalk)		15,000		-	15,000	-
1.05	Street Rehabilitation Citywide - Excess RDA		7,000		-	7,000	-
1.05	Street Rehabilitation District 4 and 5	4	400,347	447,979	9	(47,632)	-
1.05	Bridge Rehab Program - Phase I		10,000		-	10,000	-
1.25	ADA Path of Travel-Citywide (CDBG) (FY14-15 to		·			·	
	FY16-17)		35,000		-	35,000	-
1.90	Repair 5420 Square Feet of Sidewalk		-		-	-	119,450
1.90	Replace 8406 Square Feet of Deteriorated Asphalt		-		-	-	152,578
1.90	Repair 7169 potholes		-		-	-	127,688
1.90	Install Pavement Markers, Repair Sidewalks,						
	R&R Asphalt and Pothole	4	430,747	335,494	1	95,253	-
1.90	Alley Improvements - Citywide (CDBG) (FY14-15 to						
	FY16-17)		20,000		-	20,000	-
2.03	Maintain 598 Traffic Signals		-		-	-	166,086
2.03	Replace Damaged Signal Poles		-		-	-	169,997
2.03	Maintain 503 Traffic Signals		60,000	60,000	)	-	-
2.03	Upgrade 30 Traffic Signals	:	288,914		-	288,914	-
2.29	Replacement/addition of Traffic Signs		-		-	-	59,965
2.29	Upgrade 36 Traffic Signals		-		-	-	99,198
2.29	Replace Damaged Signal Poles		193,994	297,485	5	(103,491)	-
2.29	R&R of Traffic Signs/Traffic Signal Upgrades		150,000	118,228	3	31,772	-
3.20	ADA Curb Ramps and Sidewalks - Citywide		-		-	-	75,000
8.10	Fund Administration		30,995	33,745		(2,750)	21,934
	Total expenditures	\$2,0	<u>74,497</u> \$	1,292,931	<u></u> \$_	781,566 \$	1,597,062

Date Acquired	Description		 Balance July 1, 2016	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
09/23/2014	Accushot Epoxy Machine with	Trailer	\$ 7,653	\$ - \$	- \$	7,653
06/08/2015	Message Board		12,993	-	-	12,993
06/23/2015	Zieman 1185 Trailer		7,602	-	-	7,602
		Total	\$ 28,248	\$ - \$	\$	28,248

Interest receivable Due from LACMTA Total assets \$ 217,236 \$ 203,01 LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Cash overdraft \$ 26,242 \$ Accounts payable 3,050 45,70 Accrued payroll and employee benefits - 29 Total liabilities 29,292 45,99 Deferred inflows of resources Unavailable revenues 129,157 116,25			Ju	ine 3	30
Cash and investments\$-\$6,74Interest receivable-1Due from LACMTA217,236196,25Total assets217,236203,01LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE-Liabilities-203,01Cash overdraft\$26,242Accounts payable-3,050Accrued payroll and employee benefits-29Total liabilities29,29245,99Unavailable revenues129,157116,25			2017		2016
Interest receivable Due from LACMTA Total assets \$ 217,236 \$ 203,01 LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Cash overdraft \$ 26,242 \$ Accounts payable 3,050 45,70 Accrued payroll and employee benefits - 29 Total liabilities 29,292 45,99 Deferred inflows of resources Unavailable revenues 129,157 116,25		ASSETS			
Due from LACMTA217,236196,25Total assets \$217,236203,01LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCELiabilitiesCash overdraft\$26,242\$Accounts payable3,05045,70Accrued payroll and employee benefits-29Total liabilities29,29245,99Deferred inflows of resources129,157116,25	Cash and investments	\$	-	\$	6,741
Total assets \$217,236203,01LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCELiabilities26,242\$Cash overdraft\$26,242\$Accounts payable3,05045,70Accrued payroll and employee benefits-29Total liabilities29,29245,99Deferred inflows of resources129,157116,25	Interest receivable		-		16
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Cash overdraft \$ 26,242 \$ Accounts payable Accrued payroll and employee benefits - 29 Total liabilities 29,292 45,99 Deferred inflows of resources Unavailable revenues 129,157 116,25	Due from LACMTA		217,236		196,259
FUND BALANCE   Liabilities 26,242 \$   Cash overdraft \$ 26,242 \$   Accounts payable 3,050 45,70   Accrued payroll and employee benefits - 29   Total liabilities 29,292 45,99   Deferred inflows of resources 129,157 116,25		Total assets \$	217,236	_\$ _	203,016
Unavailable revenues <b>129,157</b> 116,25	FU Liabilities Cash overdraft Accounts payable	IND BALANCE \$	3,050	\$ 	45,702 295 45,997
	Deferred inflows of reso	ources			
	Unavailable revenues		129,157		116,259
	т	otal deferred inflows of resources	129,157		116,259
Total fund balance58,78740,76Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and	Restricted		58,787		40,760 40,760
fund balance \$217,236 \$203,01		fund balance \$	217,236	_\$ _	203,016

		Years ended	l June 30
	_	2017	2016
Revenues			
Intergovernmental Allocations:			
Article 3	\$	88,079 \$	80,000
Investment income		65	64
	Total revenues	88,144	80,064
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	70,117 70,117	69,444 69,444
Excess of revenues over expenditure	s	18,027	10,620
Fund balance at beginning of year	—	40,760	30,140
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>58,787</u> \$	40,760

## City of Pomona Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2017

					Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year	_	Allocations	_	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:							
Sidewalk Concrete Repair Services Citywide Project Totals	2017	\$ \$	88,079 88,079	\$	70,117_\$ 70,117_	<u> </u>	Ongoing
Investment income						65	
Fund balance at beginning of yea	ır					40,760	
Fund balance at end of year					\$	58,787	ŧ

\* As of June 30, 2017, the City has not received the revenue draw down of \$88,079 for the FY 2016/17. The unspent fund balance balance was encumbered for the above ongoing project.

See report of independent auditors.

### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

## Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

### Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

Pursuant to GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position* and GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, the City recognizes deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources. A deferred outflow of resources is defined as a consumption of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources is defined as a nacquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period.

Deferred inflows of resources reported by the City represent resources that are not available for spending as of June 30, 2017 and 2016.

### NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 7 DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

Due from other government agencies for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

### PALRF

	2017	2016
State of California – SB90	\$ 295,281	\$ 295,281
	\$ 295,281	\$ 295,281

#### NOTE 8 **OTHER REVENUES – PALRF**

Other revenues under PALRF amounting to \$0 and \$12,000 for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, represents funds received from the Foothill Transit Authority.

#### NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
FY 2014/15 allocation FY 2015/16 allocation	\$ 19,602 68.477	\$ 80,000
	\$ 88,079	\$ 80,000

### NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	 2017	2016
FY 2014/15 allocation	\$ -	\$ 19,602
FY 2015/16 allocation	28,180	96,657
FY 2016/17 allocation	100,977	-
	\$ 129,157	\$ 116,259

For FY 2016/17, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2012/13 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2017. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2016/17.

## NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 18, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

### Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Pomona, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Pomona, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2017.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

agner & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 18, 2017

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

## **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Pomona, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Pomona, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Pomona, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



## **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements, which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings #2017-001 and #2017-002. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

acques & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 18, 2017

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds						
	1. Uses the State Controller's						
	Uniform System of Accounts						
	and Records.	Х					
	2. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	3. Funds expended were						
	approved and have not been						
	substituted for property tax.		Х			See Finding #2017-001	
	4. Expenditures that exceeded						
	25% of approved project budget						
	have approved amended						
	Project Description Form (Form	Х					
	A) 5. Administrative expenses are	^					
	within the 20% cap of the total						
	annual Local Return						
	Expenditures.	Х					
	6. All on-going and carryover	~					
	projects were reported in Form						
	В.	Х					
	7. Annual Project Summary						
	Report (Form B) was submitted						
	on time.	Х					
	8. Annual Expenditure Report						
	(Form C) was submitted on						
	time.	Х					
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are						
	maintained.	Х					
	10. Accounting procedures, record						
	keeping and documentation are						
	adequate.	Х					
	11. Pavement Management System						
	(PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or						
	Improvement Projects						
	Expenditures.	Х					
	12. Local Return Account is	~					
	credited for reimbursable						
	expenditures.	Х					
	13. Self-Certification was completed						
	and submitted for Intelligent						
	Transportation Systems						
	projects or elements.			Х			
	14. Assurances and						
	Understandings form was on						
	file.	Х					
	15. Recreational Transit Form was						
	submitted on time.	Х					

See report of independent auditors on compliance. 27

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund						
	1. Funds were expended for						
	transportation purposes.	Х					
	2. Funds were used to augment,						
	not supplant, existing local						
	revenues being used for						
	transportation purposes unless	Ň					
	there is a funding shortfall.	Х					
	3. Signed Assurances and						
	Understandings on file.	Х					
	4. Separate Measure R Local						
	Return Account was						
	established.	Х					
	5. Revenues received including						
	allocations, project generated						
	revenues and interest income						
	was properly credited to the						
	Measure R Local Return	Ň					
	Account.	Х					
	6. Funds were expended with	Ň					
	LACMTA's approval.	Х					
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)		X			0	
	was submitted on time.		Х			See Finding #2017-002	
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)	V					
	was submitted on time.	X					
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	10. Administrative expenses are	V					
	within the 20% cap.	Х					
	11. Fund exchanges were approved			V			
	by LACMTA.			Х			
	12. A separate account was						
	established for Capital reserve						
	funds and Capital reserve was			V			
	approved by LACMTA.			X			
	13. Recreational transit form was			v			
~	submitted on time.			Х			
υ.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund						
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	2. Expenditures were incurred for						
	activities relating to pedestrian						
	and bicycle facilities and						
	amenities.	Х					

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

# PALRF: Finding #2017-001

Compliance Reference	Section 1(C) states that, "Jurisdiction shall submit for approval a Project Description Form prior to the expenditure of funds for: 1) a new project; 2) a new route; 3) a 25 percent change (increase or decrease) in route or revenue vehicle miles for an established LR Funded transit service; 4) a 0.75 miles or greater service change that duplicates/overlays an existing transit service; or 5) a 25 percent greater change in an approved LR project budget on all operating or capital LR projects."
Condition	The City claimed expenditures under Project code 160-09, Bus Stop Improvements, totaling \$655,532 with no prior approval from LACMTA. Although we found the expenditures to be eligible for Local Return funding, these projects had no prior approval from LACMTA.
Cause	City had received Metro approval for project in FY 2015/16 in the amount of \$730,000 which was intended to be rolled over to FY 2016/17. The code was administratively entered as OG (on-going) instead of CO (carry-over).
Effect	Proposition A LR funds were expended towards project expenditures without prior approval by the LACMTA.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that approval is obtained from LACMTA prior to spending on any local return-funded projects.
Management's Response	For future carry-overs, City Staff has developed a Master spread-sheet to ensure these errors are captured. This sheet will be shared with Finance to review the information as needed.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	LACMTA Program Manager granted a retroactive approval of the said project on October 31, 2017.

# MRLRF: Finding #2017-002

Compliance Reference	Section B (II) (I) of the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines states that, "To maintain eligibility and meet Measure R LR program compliance requirements, jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan (Form One) annually by August 1 <sup>st</sup> of each year".
Condition	The City submitted its Expenditure Plan (Form One) on August 2, 2016, 1 day after the due date of August 1.
Cause	The City has an on-going correspondence with Metro in regards to the submittal of the Form One and Form B on August 1, 2016. However, the submittal of Form One was delayed until the next day.
Effect	The City's Expenditure Plan (Form One) was not submitted timely. The City was not in compliance with the Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City consider establishment of procedures and controls to ensure that all reporting deadlines are met.
Management's Response	Correspondence with Metro was on-going with the submittal of the Form One and Form B. The City had been in contact discussing submittals with Metro on August 1, 2016.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 18, 2017 with the City of Pomona representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager Emer Fabro – Audit Supervisor

City of Pomona representatives: Joe Weaver – Senior Accountant Dustin Andolsen – Accounting Supervisor

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed instances of noncompliance with the LACMTA Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Pomona representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Linda Poliakon – Accounting Manager Joe Weaver – Senior Accountant Dennice Raygoza – Senior Management Analyst



### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.