

City of Rosemead Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





# <u>PAGE</u>

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	7
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	15 16
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	17
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	24 26
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	28
EXIT CONFERENCE	29

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

## **Report of Independent Auditors**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rosemead, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Rosemead, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



# Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Rosemead, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

## Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 29, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California November 29, 2017

	June 30			
_	2017		2016	
ASSETS				
Cash and investments \$	251,588	\$	242,605	
Due from LACMTA	76,413	_	-	
Total assets \$	328,001	\$	242,605	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued payroll and employee benefits Total liabilities	- 6,592 6,592	\$	69,154 5,795 74,949	
Fund balance Restricted Total fund balance	<u>321,409</u> 321,409		167,656 167,656	
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	328,001	\$	242,605	

	Years ended June 30			
	2017	2016		
Revenues				
Proposition A \$	1,003,915 \$	988,468		
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant	76,413	131,204		
Project generated revenues	21,485	26,228		
Investment income	232	2,986		
Total revenues	1,102,045	1,148,886		
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	948,292	1,333,204		
Total expenditures	948,292	1,333,204		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	153,753	(184,318)		
Fund balance at beginning of year	167,656	351,974		
Fund balance at end of year \$	321,409 \$	167,656		

See notes to Funds financial statements.

# City of Rosemead Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
110-03	Explorer (Formerly Shopper Shuttle) \$	672,000 \$	672,071 \$	(71) \$	703,544
110-08	Vehicle Maintenance	2,500	2,818	(318)	1,639
140-05	Recreational Transit	20,000	17,473	2,527	8,798
170-06	Bus Shelter Maintenance	53,700	48,082	5,618	39,782
200-01	Vehicle Purchase	-	-	-	361,011
270-12	Transportation Planning	95,000	79,655	15,345	82,040
270-13	SGVCOG Transportation Dues	15,000	15,000	-	15,000
270-14	Training	1,190	1,190	-	-
280-01	Public Transportation Promotions	10,000	10,408	(408)	15,012
480-01	Administration	100,000	101,595	(1,595)	106,378
	Total expenditures \$	969,390 \$	948,292 \$	21,098 \$	1,333,204

Date Acquired	Description	 Balance July 1, 2016	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
02/2001	2004 Ford Truck	\$ 18,708	\$ - \$	- \$	18,708
03/2004	2005 E-350 Duty Van	24,186	-	-	24,186
05/2004	2006 Ford Truck	20,205	-	-	20,205
09/2015	2016 Ford E450 Cutaway Bus	77,388	-	-	77,388
09/2015	2016 Ford E450 Cutaway Bus	77,388	-	-	77,388
09/2015	2016 Ford E450 Cutaway Bus	77,388	-	-	77,388
09/2015	2016 Ford E450 Cutaway Bus	64,423	-	-	64,423
09/2015	2016 Ford E450 Cutaway Bus	64,423	 -	-	64,423
	Total	\$ 424,109	\$ - \$	- \$	424,109

See report of independent auditors.

	June 30			
	2017	2016		
ASSETS				
Cash and investments \$	<u>697,931</u> \$	396,126		
Total assets \$	<u>697,931</u> \$	396,126		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable \$ Accrued payroll and employee benefits Total liabilities	143,259 \$ 3,301_ 146,560	6,096 		
Fund balance Restricted	551,371	387,284		
Total fund balance	551,371	387,284		
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	<u>    697,931 </u> \$	396,126		

		Years end	ed June 30
		2017	2016
Revenues			
Proposition C	\$	834,641	\$ 821,376
Project generated revenues		37,874	40,690
Investment income		692	4,459
	Total revenues	873,207	866,525
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures	<u> </u>	408,175 408,175
Excess of revenues over expenditures		164,087	458,350
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of y	ear	387,284	(71,066)
Fund balance at end of year	\$	551,371	\$387,284

		_	2017					
Project Code	Project Name	_	LACMTA Budget		Actual	(	Variance Positive Negative)	2016 Actual
130-04	Dial-A-Ride	\$	168,000	\$	153,661	\$	14,339 \$	235,000
170-06	Bus Shelter Maintenance		66,000		60,857		5,143	50,360
220-01	Bus/Shelter Public Safety		25,000		25,424		(424)	23,074
250-10	FTZ Bus Pass Subsidy		80,000		80,816		(816)	78,218
440-05	Montebello Blvd/Towne Center Dr		-		-		-	7,517
440-06	Temple City Resurfacing		475,000		388,362		86,638	14,006
440-07	City Wide Striping	_	60,000		-	_	60,000	-
	Total expenditures S	\$_	874,000	\$	709,120	\$	164,880 \$	408,175

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
03/2017	Temple City Blvd Sidewalk Infrastructure	\$\$	6 26,733 \$	\$_	26,733
	Total	\$\$	<u> </u>	- \$	26,733

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30			
		2017	2016		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	<u>1,204,523</u> \$	693,664		
	Total assets \$	<u>1,204,523</u> \$	693,664		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BAL Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued payroll and employee benefits	ANCE \$	1,635 \$ 1,968_	1,924		
	Total liabilities	3,603	1,924		
Fund balance Restricted		1,200,920	691,740		
То	tal fund balance	1,200,920	691,740		
Total liabilities a	nd fund balance \$ _	1,204,523 \$	693,664		

	Years ended June 30		
	2017	_	2016
Revenues			
Measure R	\$ 624,691	\$	615,303
Investment income	 926		8,781
Total revenues	 625,617		624,084
Expenditures			
Various projects	137,267		140,080
Total expenditures	 137,267		140,080
Excess of revenues over expenditures	488,350		484,004
Other financing source			
Reimbursement from general fund	 20,830		-
	20,830		-
Excess of revenues over expenditures and other financing source	509,180		484,004
Fund balance at beginning of year	 691,740		207,736
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,200,920	\$	691,740

# City of Rosemead Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
1.05	Montebello Blvd/Towne Center Dr Resurface \$	-	\$-	\$-\$	5,443
1.20	Rosemead Blvd HSIP Project	15,000	-	15,000	1,260
1.90	Sidewalk Installation - Earle and Wells St	401,000	57,481	343,519	-
2.29	Traffic Study Analysis - 28003	50,000	6,962	43,038	-
2.29	Circulation Study - Klingerman/Angelus - 28005	50,000	-	50,000	-
7.10	SR60 Coalition - Advocacy	30,000	14,000	16,000	24,000
7.90	Transportation Planning and Design	202,100	23,436	178,664	64,697
7.90	Planning and Engineering Costs	200,000	9,900	190,100	-
8.10	Transportation Program Administration	56,000	25,488	30,512	44,680
	Total expenditures \$	1,004,100	\$ 137,267	\$ 866,833 \$	5 140,080

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2016		Additions	 Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2017	
	None			\$_		\$	-	\$ - (	\$ -	
			Total	\$_		<u>-</u> \$		\$ 	\$ -	

See report of independent auditors.

		June	30
		2017	2016
Cash	ASSETS \$ Total assets \$	\$ \$	
LIABILIT Liabilities Accounts payable	IES AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	\$ 	
Fund balance Restricted	Total fund balance Total liabilities and fund balance \$	\$	

See notes to Funds financial statements.

Revenues			Years end 2017	led Ju	ine 30 2016	
Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	Total revenues	\$	-	\$		-
<b>Expenditures</b> Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures		-			-
Excess of revenues over expenditures			-			-
Fund balance at beginning of year		•				
Fund balance at end of year		\$	-	\$		_

## NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

## Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

## Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Fair Value Measurement**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

## Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

## NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANT

The City entered into various Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreements with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) to receive Proposition A discretionary incentive grants for participating in the National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting program. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

Program	MOU		A	) i
Year	 Amount		Amount F	Received
		_	2017	2016
FY 2012/13	\$ 76,100	\$	- \$	76,100
FY 2010/11	55,104		-	55,104
FY 2013/14	76,413	_	76,413	-
		\$_	76,413 \$	131,204

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

#### NOTE 8 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES

#### PALRF

Project generated revenues represent Fare Box revenues for PALRF. The City recognized \$21,485 and \$26,228 of project generated revenues for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

#### PCLRF

Project generated revenues under PCLRF for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 are as follows:

	2017	2016
MTA bus pass sales	\$ 33,527	\$ 34,482
Fare box revenues	4,347	6,208
Total project generated revenues	\$ 37,874	\$ 40,690

#### NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2016	2016
FY 2013/14 reserve	\$ 13,073	\$ 13,073
FY 2014/15 reserve	35,950	35,950
FY 2015/16 reserve	34,900	34,900
FY 2016/17 allocation	36,459	-
Total reserve	\$ 120,382	\$ 83,923

For FY 2016/17, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2012/13 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2017. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2016/17.

The City did not draw down any TDA Article 3 funds from the reserve account during FY 2016/17 and FY 2015/16.

## NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 29, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

## Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

## To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rosemead, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Rosemead, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 29, 2017.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California November 29, 2017

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

## **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rosemead, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Rosemead, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Rosemead, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



## **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

ingnes & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California November 29, 2017

Compliance Densis		Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and management response.		
Compliance Requirements	Yes No		N/A	Costs			
A. Proposition A and Proposition C							
Local Return Funds							
1. Uses the State Controller's							
Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	v						
2. Timely use of funds.	X X						
3. Funds expended were	^						
approved and have not been							
substituted for property tax.	Х						
4. Expenditures that exceeded	~						
25% of approved project budget							
have approved amended							
Project Description Form (Form							
A)	Х						
5. Administrative expenses are							
within the 20% cap of the total							
annual Local Return							
Expenditures.	Х						
<ol><li>All on-going and carryover</li></ol>							
projects were reported in Form							
В.	Х						
7. Annual Project Summary							
Report (Form B) was submitted							
on time.	Х						
8. Annual Expenditure Report							
(Form C) was submitted on	v						
time.	Х						
<ol> <li>Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.</li> </ol>	х						
10. Accounting procedures, record	^						
keeping and documentation are							
adequate.	Х						
11. Pavement Management System	~						
(PMS) in place and being used							
for Street Maintenance or							
Improvement Projects							
Expenditures.	Х						
12. Local Return Account is							
credited for reimbursable							
expenditures.	Х						
13. Self-Certification was completed							
and submitted for Intelligent							
Transportation Systems							
projects or elements.			X				
14. Assurances and							
Understandings form was on							
file.	Х						
15. Recreational Transit Form was	v						
submitted on time.	Х						

See report of independent auditors on compliance.

		In	Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
	Compliance Requirements		Yes No N/A		Costs	management response.		
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund							
	1. Funds were expended for							
	transportation purposes.	Х						
	2. Funds were used to augment,							
	not supplant, existing local							
	revenues being used for							
	transportation purposes unless							
	there is a funding shortfall.	Х						
	<ol><li>Signed Assurances and</li></ol>							
	Understandings on file.	Х						
	4. Separate Measure R Local							
	Return Account was established.	Х						
	<ol><li>Revenues received including</li></ol>							
	allocations, project generated							
	revenues and interest income							
	was properly credited to the							
	Measure R Local Return							
	Account.	Х						
	<ol><li>Funds were expended with</li></ol>							
	LACMTA's approval.	Х						
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)							
	was submitted on time.	Х						
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)							
	was submitted on time.	Х						
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х						
	10. Administrative expenses are							
	within the 20% cap.	Х						
	11. Fund exchanges were approved							
	by LACMTA.			Х				
	12. A separate account was							
	established for Capital reserve							
	funds and Capital reserve was							
	approved by LACMTA.			Х				
	13. Recreational transit form was							
	submitted on time.			Х				
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund							
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х						
	2. Expenditures were incurred for							
	activities relating to pedestrian					There were no		
	and bicycle facilities and					expenditures in FY		
	amenities.			Х		2016/17.		

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on November 29, 2017, with the City of Rosemead representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Rosemead representatives: Pearl Lieu – Finance Director Colleen Ishibashi – Finance Services Supervisor

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statements issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Rosemead representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Pearl Lieu – Finance Director Colleen Ishibashi – Finance Services Supervisor



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM<sup>™</sup> logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777