

City of Walnut Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	24 26
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	28
EXIT CONFERENCE	29

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Walnut, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Walnut, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Walnut, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 13, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Varguez & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California November 13, 2017

		June 30			
		2017	_	2016	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	1,302,415	\$	981,293	
	Total assets \$	1,302,415	\$	981,293	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BAL	ANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	31,856	\$	30,992	
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		1,850		1,788	
	Total liabilities	33,706		32,780	
Fund balance					
Restricted		1,268,709		948,513	
1	Total fund balance	1,268,709	_	948,513	
Total liabilities	and fund balance \$	1,302,415	\$	981,293	

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2017	2016	
Revenues			- /	
Proposition A	\$	552,110 \$	543,529	
Interest income		15,045	8,322	
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments		(802)	304	
	Total revenues	566,353	552,155	
Expenditures Various projects		246,157 246,157	<u>284,755</u> 284,755	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	_	320,196	267,400	
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	948,513	681,113	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	1,268,709 \$	948,513	

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Walnut Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2017 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

		 2017						
						Variance		
Project		LACMTA				Positive		2016
Code	Project Name	 Budget		Actual		(Negative)		Actual
120-02	Dial-A-Cab	\$ 266,000	\$	197,082	\$	68,918	\$	227,807
480-06	Administration	 58,640		49,075		9,565		56,948
	Total expenditures	\$ 324,640	\$	246,157	\$	78,483	\$	284,755

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
06/30/1993	Bus Shelters (10)	\$	57,619	\$ - \$	- \$	57,619
06/30/2001	Bus Shelter		12,410	-	-	12,410
11/26/2003	La Puente Parking Lot		337,270	-	-	337,270
01/26/2005	Bus Benches (6)		7,179	-	-	7,179
06/30/2007	Fencing		57,136	-	-	57,136
02/11/2015	Photo ID System		3,645	-	-	3,645
		Total \$	475,259	\$\$	- \$	475,259

See report of independent auditors.

	June 30			
	2017		2016	
ASSETS				
Cash and investments \$_	1,214,033	\$	933,276	
Total assets \$	1,214,033	\$	933,276	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Accounts payable \$	130,849	¢	40,659	
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	1,747	Ψ	1,700	
Total liabilities	132,596		42,359	
Fund balance Restricted	1,081,437	_	890,917	
 Total fund balance	1,081,437		890,917	
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,214,033	\$	933,276	

		Years ended June 3		
		2017	2016	
Revenues				
Proposition C	\$	459,583 \$	452,222	
Interest income		13,923	10,754	
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments		(960)	338	
	Total revenues	472,546	463,314	
Expenditures Various projects	_	282,026	607,161	
	Total expenditures	282,026	607,161	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expe	nditures	190,520	(143,847)	
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	890,917	1,034,764	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>1,081,437</u> \$	890,917	

See notes to Funds financial statements.

			2017		_	
Project Code	Project Name	 LACMTA Budget	 Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)		2016 Actual
140-08	Recreation Excursions	\$ 43,000	\$ 37,275 \$	5,725	\$	37,520
160-02	Bus Bench Replacement	12,800	12,690	110		3,800
160-04	Solar Light at Bus Stop	3,400	3,176	224		-
250-02	Metrolink Subsidies	61,720	55,402	6,318		56,837
270-01	Council of Governments	4,530	4,530	-		4,530
290-02	Park and Ride Lot Maintenance	13,600	12,794	806		20,522
440-03	Slurry Seal Area 5 Streets	-	-	-		440,220
440-04	Amar Road Street Resurfacing Project	105,000	112,361	(7,361)		-
480-06	Administration	 44,010	 43,798	212		43,732
	Total expenditures	\$ 288,060	\$ 282,026	6,034	\$	607,161

City of Walnut Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2016	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
07/01/1998	Park Benches (11) \$	4,701	\$ - \$	- \$	4,701
06/30/2001	Streets - Traffic Signals	247,635	-	-	247,635
08/25/2004	Bus Benches (2)	2,989	-	-	2,989
12/14/2004	Bus Benches (10)	13,578	-	-	13,578
12/12/2005	Bus Bench	1,358	-	-	1,358
01/10/2007	Streets - Pavement	372,611	-	-	372,611
04/09/2008	Bus Benches (12)	21,863	-	-	21,863
03/25/2009	Bus Benches (8)	13,826	-	-	13,826
03/24/2010	Bus Shelters (12)	16,522	-	-	16,522
06/13/2012	Bus Lighting (6)	7,873	-	-	7,873
06/13/2012	Streets - Temple Resurfacing	769,449	-	-	769,449
12/10/2014	Amar Road Street Rehabilitation Project	250,000	-	-	250,000
06/30/2017	Amar Road Street Resurfacing Project	-	112,361	-	112,361
	Total \$	1,722,405	\$ 112,361 \$	- \$	1,834,766

		Ju	ne 30
		2017	2016
	ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$_	1,108,603	\$ 753,367
	Total assets \$	1,108,603	\$ 753,367
LIABILITIES A	AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$_	45,000	\$
	Total liabilities	45,000	-
Fund balance			
Restricted		1,063,603	753,367
	Total fund balance	1,063,603	753,367
1	Fotal liabilities and fund balance \$	1,108,603	\$ 753,367
	•		

		Years ended June 30		
		2017	2016	
Revenues				
Measure R	\$	343,554 \$	338,337	
Interest income		12,278	5,962	
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments		(596)	200	
Miscellaneous income		-	38	
	Total revenues	355,236	344,537	
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		310,236	344,537	
Fund balance at beginning of year		753,367	408,830	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u> 1,063,603 </u> \$ _	753,367	

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
1.05	Amar Road Street Resurfacing Project \$	45,000 \$	45,000 \$	\$	-
	Total expenditures \$	45,000 \$	45,000 \$	\$	-

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2016	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
12/10/2014	Amar Road Street Rehabilitation	\$	250,000	\$ - \$	- \$	250,000
06/30/2017	Amar Road Street Resurfacing Project	_	-	 45,000	-	45,000
	Total	\$	250,000	\$ 45,000 \$	\$	295,000

See report of independent auditors.

			June 30			
		_	2017		2016	
	ASSETS					
Due from LACMTA		\$_	38,910	\$		-
	Total assets	;\$_	38,910	\$		-
LIABILITIES Liabilities Due to other funds	S AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	\$_ ; _	<u>38,910</u> 38,910	_\$		-
Fund balance Restricted			-			-
	Total fund balance		-			-
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	38,910	\$		-

	_	Years ended June 30		
	_	2017	2016	
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	_ 	<u>38,910</u> \$		
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	38,910 38,910		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-	
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	<u> </u>		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	<u> </u>	-	

City of Walnut Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2017

			Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:					
Equestrian/Walking Trail Improvement Totals		\$ <u>38,910</u> \$ <u>38,910</u>	· ·	; <u> </u>	Completed
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year			\$; <u> </u>	

See report of independent auditors.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

 Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016		
FY 2013/14 reserve	\$ 991	\$ -	_	
FY 2014/15 reserve	19,774	-		
FY 2015/16 reserve	18,145	-		
	\$ 38,910	\$ -	_	

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2017	2016
FY 2013/14 reserve	\$ -	\$ 991
FY 2014/15 reserve	-	19,774
FY 2015/16 reserve	1,053	19,198
FY 2016/17 allocation	20,058	-
	\$ 21,111	\$ 39,963

For FY 2016/17, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2012/13 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2017. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2016/17.

NOTE 9 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 13, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Walnut, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Walnut, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 13, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California November 13, 2017

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Walnut, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Walnut, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Walnut, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

asques & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California November 13, 2017

	Compliance Requirements		Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C						
	Local Return Funds 1. Uses the State Controller's						
	Uniform System of Accounts						
	and Records.	Х					
	2. Timely use of funds.	X					
	3. Funds expended were	~					
	approved and have not been						
	substituted for property tax.	Х					
	4. Expenditures that exceeded						
	25% of approved project budget						
	have approved amended						
	Project Description Form (Form						
	A)	Х					
	5. Administrative expenses are						
	within the 20% cap of the total						
	annual Local Return						
	Expenditures.	Х					
	6. All on-going and carryover						
	projects were reported in Form						
	B.	Х					
	7. Annual Project Summary						
	Report (Form B) was submitted	V					
	on time.	Х					
	8. Annual Expenditure Report						
	(Form C) was submitted on time.	Х					
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are	~					
	maintained.	Х					
	10. Accounting procedures, record	~					
	keeping and documentation are						
	adequate.	Х					
	11. Pavement Management System						
	(PMS) in place and being used						
	for Street Maintenance or						
	Improvement Projects						
	Expenditures.	Х					
	12. Local Return Account is						
	credited for reimbursable						
	expenditures.			Х			
	13. Self-Certification was completed						
	and submitted for Intelligent						
	Transportation Systems			~			
	projects or elements.			Х			
	14. Assurances and						
	Understandings form was on	v					
	file. 15. Recreational Transit Form was	Х		}			
	submitted on time.	Х					
		~		I			

See report of independents auditors on compliance.

Compliance Requirements		Complia		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Yes	Yes No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
B. Measure R Local Return Fund						
1. Funds were expended for	V					
transportation purposes.	Х					
2. Funds were used to augment,						
not supplant, existing local						
revenues being used for						
transportation purposes unless	V					
there is a funding shortfall.	Х					
3. Signed Assurances and						
Understandings on file.	Х					
4. Separate Measure R Local						
Return Account was						
established.	Х					
5. Revenues received including						
allocations, project generated						
revenues and interest income						
was properly credited to the						
Measure R Local Return						
Account.	Х					
Funds were expended with						
LACMTA's approval.	Х					
7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)						
was submitted on time.	Х					
8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)						
was submitted on time.	Х					
9. Timely use of funds.	Х					
10. Administrative expenses are						
within the 20% cap.	Х					
11. Fund exchanges were approved						
by LACMTA.			Х			
12. A separate account was						
established for Capital reserve						
funds and Capital reserve was						
approved by LACMTA.			Х			
13. Recreational transit form was						
submitted on time.			Х			
C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund						
1. Timely use of funds.	Х					
2. Expenditures were incurred for						
activities relating to pedestrian						
and bicycle facilities and						
amenities.	Х					

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on November 13, 2017, with the City of Walnut representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager Emer Fabro – Audit Supervisor

City of Walnut representatives: Jessica Cortez – Finance Officer Karen Ogawa – Administrative Services Director

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Walnut representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Jessica Cortez – Finance Officer Karen Ogawa – Administrative Services Director



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.