

City of West Hollywood Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

FINANCIAL	SECTION
-----------	---------

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	12 13
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	40
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	18
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	19
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	25
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	27 29
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	31
EXIT CONFERENCE	32

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of West Hollywood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of West Hollywood, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of West Hollywood, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matters

As discussed in Note 9 to the financial statements, the 2016 financial statements of the Measure R Local Return Fund have been restated to correct a misstatement. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 26, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California October 26, 2017

	June 30		
	2017	2016	
ASSETS			
Cash and investments \$	2,712,483 \$	3,513,513	
Due from LACMTA	96,814	165,731	
Interest receivable	3,973	3,164	
Total assets \$	2,813,270 \$	3,682,408	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Total liabilities	<u>348,163</u> \$\$ 348,163	463,715 463,715	
Fund balance			
Restricted	2,465,107	3,218,693	
Total fund balance	2,465,107	3,218,693	
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	2,813,270 \$	3,682,408	

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2017	2016	
Revenues Proposition A	\$	653,712 \$	633,058	
Proposition A fund exchange		1,500,000	2,830,000	
Investment income		12,636	19,413	
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant		302,674	409,035	
Bus pass sales	_	29,304	31,618	
Total revenu	ues _	2,498,326	3,923,124	
Expenditures Various projects		3,251,912	2,915,178	
Total expenditu	res –	3,251,912	2,915,178	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	-	(753,586)	1,007,946	
Fund balance at beginning of year		3,218,693	2,210,747	
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	2,465,107 \$	3,218,693	

		2017			
Project Code	Project Name	LACM TA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
110-01	City Line Fixed Route \$	1,785,630 \$	1,703,138 \$	82,492 \$	1,648,474
110-02	PickUp Line Shuttle	613,000	578,664	34,336	484,107
130-01	Taxi Coupon and Lift Van Subsidy Program	250,000	300,236	(50,236)	200,065
140-01	Recreation Trips	20,000	17,398	2,602	14,587
140-02	Preschool Age Trips	25,000	17,662	7,338	20,971
140-03	Senior Recreation Trips	32,000	26,074	5,926	26,121
200-01	Vehicle Acquisition	320,225	41,771	278,454	-
230-01	Bus Pass Subsidy	100,000	76,829	23,171	81,259
280-01	Transit Publications	30,000	16,313	13,687	32,119
480-01	Direct Administration of Prop A and C				
	Projects	480,000	473,827	6,173	407,475
	Total expenditures \$	3,655,855 \$	3,251,912 \$	403,943 \$	2,915,178

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2016	Additions	_	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
Nov-93	5 Shuttle Buses	\$	211,475 \$	-	\$	211,475	\$ -
Dec-08	5 Dial-A-Ride Buses		201,819	-		-	201,819
Mar-10	5 Shuttle Buses		480,570	-		-	480,570
Jun-17	5 Mini Buses		-	27,771 ٌ	a	-	27,771
Jun-17	1 Dial-A-Ride Bus		-	14,000 ^t)	-	14,000
		Total \$	893,864 \$	41,771	\$	211,475	\$ 724,160

^a PALRF partly funded the total cost of \$244,555.

^b PALRF partly funded the total cost of \$139,216.

See report of independent auditors.

	June 30		
	2017		2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments \$	764,970	\$	395,153
Interest receivable	1,200		356
	766,170	\$	395,509
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Total liabilities	-	_\$	-
Fund balance			
Restricted	766,170		395,509
Total fund balance	766,170		395,509
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	766,170	\$	395,509

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended	June 30
		2017	2016
Revenues Proposition C	\$	544,283 \$	527,465
Investment income		3,952	1,923
	Total revenues	548,235	529,388
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	<u>177,574</u> 177,574	<u>320,239</u> 320,239
Excess of revenues over expenditures		370,661	209,149
Fund balance at beginning of year	—	395,509	186,360
Fund balance at end of year	\$	766,170_\$	395,509

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		_	2017					
Project Code	Project Name		LACM TA Budget		Actual	=	Variance Positive (Negative)	2016 Actual
310-01	Commuter Center	\$	20,000	\$	19,800	\$	200 \$	19,260
420-01	Transportation Planning for Congestion Management Process and							
	Bikeway Projects Admin		123,000		129,774		(6,774)	237,479
480-01	Direct Administration of Prop A and C		28,000					
	Projects				28,000	_	-	63,500
	Total expenditures	\$	171,000	\$	177,574	\$	(6,574) \$	320,239

Date Acquired	Description		 Balance July 1, 2016	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017
Jun-03	5 Shuttle Buses		\$ 314,460	\$ - (69	314,460
		Total	\$ 314,460	\$ - (6 <u> </u>	314,460

See report of independent auditors.

	June 30		
		(as restated)	
	2017	2016	
ASSETS			
Cash and investments \$	621,405	\$ 664,236	
Interest receivable	930	589	
Total assets \$	622,335	\$ 664,825	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities			
Accounts payable \$	39,795	\$90,684	
Total liabilities	39,795	90,684	
Fund balance			
Restricted	582,540	574,141	
Total fund balance	582,540	574,141	
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	622,335	\$ 664,825	

		Years ended June 30			
	_	2017	(as restated) 2016		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$	406,776 \$	394,067		
Investment income		3,431	3,405		
	Total revenues	410,207	397,472		
Expenditures					
Various projects		401,808	264,753		
	Total expenditures	401,808	264,753		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		8,399	132,719		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	574,141	441,422		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>582,540</u> \$	574,141		

	2017				
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	(as restated) 2016 Actual
01-001	Street Repair and Maintenance - Storm Drain Cleaning and				
	Repair \$	36,500 \$	32,715 \$	3,785 \$	29,309
01-002	Street Repair and Maintenance - Street and Alley Maintenance	173,834	174,725	(891)	144,123
03-001	TDA Pedestrian and Bike Improvements	125,000	146,272	(21,272)	68,425
03-001	Bike Sharing	145,585	11,401	134,184	-
07-001	Other Planning, Engineering or CMP Traffic Engineer Consultant	25,000	36,695	(11,695)	22,896
	Total expenditures \$	505,919 \$	401,808 \$	104,111 \$	264,753

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2016		Additions	 Deletions	Balanc June 3 2017	
	None			\$	- \$	-	\$ \$	6	-
			Total	\$	\$	-	\$ - 9	<u> </u>	-

		June 3	0
		2017	2016
	ASSETS		
Cash	_ \$ _ Total assets \$	\$ \$	-
LIABILITIES AN	D FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT)		
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	4,337 \$	1,313
Due to Gas Tax Fund		3,135	-
	Total liabilities	7,472	1,313
Fund balance (defici	t)		
Restricted		(7,472)	(1,313)
		(7,472)	(1,313)
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	- \$	-

	Years ende	ed June 30
	2017	2016
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3 Total revenues	\$ <u>54,089</u> <u>54,089</u>	<u>38,459</u> <u>38,459</u>
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance Total expenditures	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(6,159)	577
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of year	(1,313)	(1,890)
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year	\$ <u>(7,472)</u> \$	(1,313)

City of West Hollywood Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2017

		Totals to Date	
Project Description	Program Year	Unexpended Allocations Expenditures Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:			
Bike and Pedestrian Plan Update T	2017 \$ otals \$		Completed
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of ye	ar	(1,313)	
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year		\$(7,472)_	

See report of independent auditors.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE

As permitted by the Local Return Guidelines and as approved by LACMTA, the City entered into agreements with various cities to exchange the City's general fund monies with other cities' uncommitted Proposition A monies. The amounts received were recorded under PALRF.

Those exchanges are listed below:

- In September 2016, the City entered into an agreement with the City of Lomita to exchange general fund monies amounting to \$325,000 for \$500,000 or \$0.65 general fund per \$1 of PALRF monies.
- In March 2017, the City entered into an agreement with the City of Lakewood to exchange general fund monies amounting to \$750,000 for \$1,000,000 or \$0.75 general fund per \$1 of PALRF monies.
- In August 2015, the City entered into an agreement with the City of West Covina to exchange general fund monies amounting to \$1,085,000 for \$1,550,000 or \$0.70 general fund per \$1 of PALRF monies.
- In October 2015, the City entered into an agreement with the City of La Verne to exchange general fund monies amounting to \$182,000 for \$280,000 or \$0.65 general fund per \$1 of PALRF monies.
- In February 2016, the City entered into an agreement with the City of Lakewood to exchange general fund monies amounting to \$750,000 for \$1,000,000 or \$0.75 general fund per \$1 of PALRF monies.

NOTE 8 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS

Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants represent additional funds received from LACMTA for providing transportation services and participating in the Voluntary NTD Program.

Grants received for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 were recorded under PALRF where the corresponding project expenditures were recorded.

	 2017	 2016
Sub-regional paratransit services – Taxi	\$ 52,917	\$ 84,863
Sub-regional paratransit services –		
Dial-A-Ride	259,097	291,689
Voluntary NTD Program for		
FY 2014 and FY 2013 reporting year	31,911	32,483
Less: FY 2015 and 2016 overbilling	(41,251)	-
	\$ 302,674	\$ 409,035

NOTE 9 RESTATEMENT OF MRLRF'S 2016 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2016 financial statements of MRLRF were restated to reflect the adjustments on the reported expenditures for the City's Street and Alley Maintenance Project.

	Balance, as previously reported	Adjustment	Balance, as adjusted
Cash and investments	\$ 654,236	\$ 10,000 \$	664,236
Fund balance	564,141	10,000	574,141
Expenditures	274,753	(10,000)	264,753

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

	2017	2016
FY 2011/12 reserve	\$ -	\$ 16,199
FY 2012/13 reserve	4,648	22,260
FY 2013/14 reserve	28,250	-
FY 2014/15 reserve	21,191	-
	\$ 54,089	\$ 38,459

NOTE 11 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	 2017	_	2016
FY 2012/13 reserve	\$ -	\$	4,648
FY 2013/14 reserve	-		28,250
FY 2014/15 reserve	1,820		23,011
FY 2015/16 reserve	22,358		22,358
FY 2016/17 allocation	23,746		-
	\$ 47,924	\$	78,267

For FY 2016/17, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2012/13 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2017. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2016/17.

NOTE 12 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through October 26, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of West Hollywood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of West Hollywood, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 26, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California October 26, 2017

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of West Hollywood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of West Hollywood, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of West Hollywood, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding #2017-001. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

en & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California October 26, 2017

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and		
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.		
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C							
	Local Return Funds 1. Uses the State Controller's							
	Uniform System of Accounts							
	and Records.	х						
	2. Timely use of funds.	X						
	3. Funds expended were	~						
	approved and have not been							
	substituted for property tax.	Х						
	4. Expenditures that exceeded							
	25% of approved project budget							
	have approved amended							
	Project Description Form (Form							
	A)	Х						
	5. Administrative expenses are							
	within the 20% cap of the total							
	annual Local Return							
	Expenditures.	Х						
	6. All on-going and carryover							
	projects were reported in Form							
	B.	Х						
	7. Annual Project Summary							
	Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	v						
	8. Annual Expenditure Report	Х						
	(Form C) was submitted on							
	time.	Х						
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are	~						
	maintained.	Х						
	10. Accounting procedures, record							
	keeping and documentation are							
	adequate.	Х						
	11. Pavement Management System							
	(PMS) in place and being used							
	for Street Maintenance or							
	Improvement Projects							
	Expenditures.	Х						
	12. Local Return Account is							
	credited for reimbursable			X				
	expenditures.			Х				
	13. Self-Certification was completed							
	and submitted for Intelligent							
	Transportation Systems projects or elements.			х				
	14. Assurances and			^				
	Understandings form was on							
	file.	Х						
	15. Recreational Transit Form was			1				
	submitted on time.	Х						

See report of independent auditors report on compliance.

Compliance Requirements			Complia	ince	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	· · ·		Yes No N/A		Costs	management response.	
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund						
	1. Funds were expended for						
	transportation purposes.	Х					
	2. Funds were used to augment,						
	not supplant, existing local						
	revenues being used for						
	transportation purposes unless	Ň					
	there is a funding shortfall.	Х					
	3. Signed Assurances and						
	Understandings on file.	Х					
	4. Separate Measure R Local						
	Return Account was						
	established.	Х					
	5. Revenues received including						
	allocations, project generated						
	revenues and interest income						
	was properly credited to the						
	Measure R Local Return						
	Account.	Х					
	6. Funds were expended with						
	LACMTA's approval.	Х					
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)						
	was submitted on time.		Х		None	Finding #2017-001	
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)						
	was submitted on time.	Х					
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	10. Administrative expenses are						
	within the 20% cap.	Х					
	11. Fund exchanges were approved						
	by LACMTA.			Х			
	12. A separate account was						
	established for Capital reserve						
	funds and Capital reserve was						
	approved by LACMTA.			Х			
	13. Recreational transit form was						
	submitted on time.			Х			
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund						
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	2. Expenditures were incurred for						
	activities relating to pedestrian						
	and bicycle facilities and						
	amenities.	Х					

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

MRLRF: Finding #2017-001

Compliance Reference	Section B (II) (1) of the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines states that, "To maintain eligibility and meet Measure R LR program compliance requirements, jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan (Form One) annually by August 1 st of each year".
Condition	The City submitted its Expenditure Plan (Form One) on August 8, 2016, which is beyond the due date set under the Guidelines.
Cause	The oversight was caused by City staff's confusion in regards to the actual due date to submit the Expenditure Plan (Form One) to LACMTA. Staff assumed it was due on the 15 th of August. Similar to Form II which is due on October 15 th .
Effect	The City's Expenditure Plan (Form One) was not submitted timely. The City was not in compliance with the Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that Expenditure Plan (Form One) is submitted by August 1 as required by the Guidelines.
Management's Response	We have established reminder/calendar procedures to ensure that Form One is submitted no later than August 1 st each year as required by LACMTA guidelines.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City submitted the Form One on August 8, 2016. No follow up is required.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on October 26, 2017 with the City of West Hollywood representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager Emer Fabro – Audit Supervisor

City of West Hollywood representative: Claudia Duncan – Senior Financial Management Analyst

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of West Hollywood representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Claudia Duncan – Senior Financial Management Analyst Perri Sloane Goodman – Transportation Program Administrator



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US REVICES ARE PROVIDED. RSM US RE

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777