

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S-1
1.0 PROPOSED PROJECT	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Need and Purpose	1-8
2.0 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 Project Description	2-1
2.2 I-710 Corridor Project EIR/EIS Alternatives Development Process	2-2
2.3 Project Alternatives	2-10
2.4 Design Features of Alternative 5A	2-26
2.5 Design Features of Alternatives 6A/B/C	2-58
2.6 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Discussion	2-72
2.7 Anticipated Permits and Approvals Needed	2-77
3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION, AND/OR MITIGATION MEASURES	3-1
3.1 Land Use	3.1-1
3.2 Growth	3.2-1
3.3 Community Impacts	3.3-1
3.4 Utility/Emergency Services	3.4-1
3.5 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	3.5-1
3.6 Visual/Aesthetics	3.6-1
3.7 Cultural Resources	3.7-1
3.8 Hydrology and Floodplain	3.8-1
3.9 Water Quality and Stormwater Runoff	3.9-1
3.10 Geology/Soils/Seismic/Topography	3.10-1
3.11 Paleontology	3.11-1
3.12 Hazardous Waste/Materials	3.12-1
3.13 Air Quality	3.13-1
3.14 Noise	3.14-1

3.15	Energy	3.15-1
3.16	Natural Communities	3.16-1
3.17	Wetlands.....	3.17-1
3.18	Plant Species.....	3.18-1
3.19	Animal Species	3.19-1
3.20	Threatened and Endangered Species	3.20-1
3.21	Invasive Species.....	3.21-1
3.22	Relationship Between Local Short-Term-Uses of the Human Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	3.22-1
3.23	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources That Would Be Involved in the Proposed Project.....	3.23-1
3.24	Construction Impacts	3.24-1
3.25	Cumulative Impacts	3.25-1
4.0	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT EVALUATION	4-1
4.1	Determining Significance under CEQA	4-1
4.2	Discussion of Significance of Impacts.....	4-2
4.3	Climate Change	4-70
4.4	Mitigation Measures for Significant Impacts under CEQA	4-91
5.0	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Scoping Process.....	5-1
5.3	SAFETEA-LU Section 6002 Coordination Process.....	5-2
5.4	Agency Coordination Meetings	5-6
5.5	Native American Coordination	5-10
5.6	Community Participation Process	5-12
6.0	LIST OF PREPARERS	6-1
6.1	Public Agencies	6-1
6.2	Consultant Team	6-2
7.0	DISTRIBUTION LIST.....	7-1

APPENDICES

A: CEQA CHECKLIST

B: SECTION 4(F)

C: TITLE VI POLICY

D: SUMMARY OF RELOCATION BENEFITS

E: GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS

F: ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS RECORD

G: LIST OF ACRONYMS

H: LIST OF TECHNICAL STUDIES

I: REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

J: COMMENTS AND COORDINATION

K: FLOODPLAIN

L: PARCEL ACQUISITION TABLES AND MAPS

M: REFERENCES

N: INDEX

O: CONCEPT PLANS

P: CHANGES IN ACCESS

Q: SUMMARY OF ON-SITE HAZARDOUS WASTE LISTINGS

R: AQ-HRA MAPS

S: PROJECT EFFECTS TO JURISDICTIONAL WATERS

This page intentionally left blank

FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURES

Figure 1.1-1 Regional Location	1-3
Figure 1.1-2 Project Study Area	1-5
Figure 1.2-1 LOS Criteria for Basic Freeway Segments	1-11
Figure 1.2-2 Existing (2008) Level of Service	1-13
Figure 1.2-3 2035 No Build Level of Service	1-17
Figure 1.2-4 Freeway to Freeway Interchange: Key Design Concerns	1-25
Figure 1.2-5 How Goods are Moved Regionally	1-31
Figure 1.2-6 Modal Interrelationships and System Linkages	1-33
Figure 2.2-1 Alternatives Screening Process	2-3
Figure 2.2-2 Initial Set of Alternatives and Screening Recommendations.....	2-5
Figure 2.3-1 Alternative 5A Key Features.....	2-13
Figure 2.3-2 Alternatives 6A/B/C Key Features	2-17
Figure 2.3-3 Zero Emissions Technology	2-21
Figure 2.4-1 Alternative 5A Improvements to Freeway to Freeway Interchanges	2-29
Figure 2.4-2 Alternative 5A Improvements to Local Arterial Interchanges, Crossings, and Frontage Roads.....	2-35
Figure 2.4-3 Alternative 5A Local Arterial Intersections	2-45
Figure 2.4-4 Alternative 5A – Bridges.....	2-47
Figure 2.4-5 Alternative 5A – Major Drainage Facilities.....	2-49
Figure 2.4-6 Alternative 5A – Arterial Peak Period Parking Restrictions.....	2-55
Figure 2.5-1 Alternatives 6A/B/C Freeway-to-Freeway Interchange Improvements.....	2-61

Figure 2.5-2 Improvements to Local Arterial Interchanges, Crossings, and Frontage
Roads – Alternatives 6A/B/C 2-63

Figure 2.5-3 Alternatives 6A/B/C -Bridges..... 2-69

Figure 3.1-1 Existing Land Use 3.1-3

Figure 3.1-2 Coastal Zone..... 3.1-43

Figure 3.1-3 Parks and Recreation Facilities 3.1-61

Figure 3.1-4 Regional and Local Bikeways 3.1-65

Figure 3.2-1 I-710 Screenline Analysis/2035 No Build..... 3.2-11

Figure 3.3-1 Community Facilities 3.3-15

Figure 3.4-1 Regional Facility Conflict Locations..... 3.4-13

Figure 3.4-2 SCE Relocation Strategy 3.4-17

Figure 3.4-3 Existing and Proposed Relocation of SCE 220 kV Transmission Line..... 3.4-19

Figure 3.4-4 SCE 66 kV and SCG High Pressure Gas Line Relocation Areas 3.4-23

Figure 3.4-5 DWP Relocation Strategy Firestone Boulevard to Florence Avenue 3.4-29

Figure 3.5-1 Level of Service Illustration for Freeway Facilities 3.5-3

Figure 3.5-2 Level of Service Illustration for Signalized Intersections 3.5-5

Figure 3.6-1 Key View Locations..... 3.6-7

Figure 3.6-2 Key View 1 3.6-11

Figure 3.6-3 Key View 2..... 3.6-13

Figure 3.6-4 Key View 3..... 3.6-15

Figure 3.6-5 Key View 4..... 3.6-19

Figure 3.6-6 Key View 5..... 3.6-21

Figure 3.6-7 Key View 6..... 3.6-23

Figure 3.6-8 Key View 7.....	3.6-25
Figure 3.6-9 Key View 8.....	3.6-29
Figure 3.6-10 Key View 9.....	3.6-31
Figure 3.6-11 Key View 10.....	3.6-33
Figure 3.6-12 Key View 11.....	3.6-35
Figure 3.6-13 Key View 12.....	3.6-39
Figure 3.6-14 Key View 13.....	3.6-41
Figure 3.6-15 Key View 14.....	3.6-43
Figure 3.6-16 Key View 15.....	3.6-47
Figure 3.6-17 Key View 16.....	3.6-49
Figure 3.6-18 Key View 17.....	3.6-51
Figure 3.6-19 Key View 18.....	3.6-53
Figure 3.6-20 Key View 19.....	3.6-57
Figure 3.6-21 Key View 20.....	3.6-59
Figure 3.6-22 Key View 21.....	3.6-61
Figure 3.6-23 Key View 22.....	3.6-65
Figure 3.6-24 Key View 23.....	3.6-67
Figure 3.6-25 Key View 24.....	3.6-69
Figure 3.6-26 Key View 25.....	3.6-73
Figure 3.6-27 Key View 26.....	3.6-77
Figure 3.6-28 Key View 27.....	3.6-79
Figure 3.6-29 Key View 28.....	3.6-83
Figure 3.6-30 Key View 29.....	3.6-85

Figure 3.6-31 Key View 30 3.6-87

Figure 3.6-32 Key View 31 3.6-91

Figure 3.7-1 1951 View of the Long Beach Freeway 3.7-5

Figure 3.7-2 1955 View of the Long Beach Freeway 3.7-5

Figure 3.9-1 Surface Waters 3.9-7

Figure 3.10-1 Fault Zones 3.10-5

Figure 3.12-1 Sites of Potential Environmental Concern Identified for Alternative 5A..... 3.12-25

Figure 3.12-2 Sites of Potential Environmental Concern Identified for
 Alternatives 6A/B/C 3.12-53

Figure 3.12-3 Sites of Potential Environmental Concern to the I-710 Corridor Project
 Arterial Intersections under Alternative 5A and Alternatives 6A/B/C 3.12-63

Figure 3.12-4 Potential Off-site Environmental Concerns to the I-710 Corridor Project ... 3.12-71

Figure 3.12-5 Solid Waste Disposal Sites of Potential Concern under Alternative 5A and
 Alternatives 6A/B/C 3.12-83

Figure 3.13-1 Air Quality Monitoring Stations and Modeled Receptor Locations 3.13-7

Figure 3.13-2 National MSAT Emission Trends..... 3.13-29

Figure 3.14-1 Noise Levels of Common Activities 3.14-3

Figure 3.14-1 Alternative 5 – Noise Monitoring and Modeled Sites, and Soundwalls 3.14-59

Figure 3.14-2 Alternatives 6A/B/C – Noise Monitoring and Modeled Sites, and
 Soundwalls..... 3.14-99

Figure 3.18-1 Impacts to Rosecrans Population of Southern Tarplant..... 3.18-13

Figure 3.18-2 Impacts to Atlantic/Bandini Subpopulation of Southern Tarplant 3.18-15

Figure 3.19-1 Special-status Animal Species in Vicinity of the Biological Study Area..... 3.19-3

Figure 3.24-1 Construction Equipment Noise Levels..... 3.24-29

Figure 3.25-1 Cumulative Projects 3.25-3

Figure 4.2-1 I-710 Northbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison	4-47
Figure 4.2-2 I-710 Southbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison.....	4-49
Figure 4.2-3 I-405 Northbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison	4-51
Figure 4.2-4 I-405 Southbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison.....	4-53
Figure 4.2-5 SR-91 Eastbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison.....	4-55
Figure 4.2-6 SR-91 Westbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison.....	4-57
Figure 4.2-7 I-105 Eastbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison.....	4-59
Figure 4.2-8 I-105 Westbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison.....	4-61
Figure 4.2-9 I-5 Northbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison	4-63
Figure 4.2-10 I-5 Southbound Peak Hour Level of Service Comparison.....	4-65
Figure 4.3-1 California Greenhouse Gas Inventory	4-75
Figure 4.3-2 Possible Effect of Traffic Operation Strategies in Reducing On-Road CO ₂ Emission.....	4-76
Figure 4.3-3 Cascade of Uncertainties	4-82
Figure 4.3-4 Mobility Pyramid.....	4-85
Figure 5.1-1 Community Participation Framework.....	5-13

This page intentionally left blank

TABLES

Table 1.2-1 I-710 Average Daily (2-Way) Traffic Volumes..... 1-10

Table 1.2-2 I-710 Average Annual Daily Truck Traffic 1-15

Table 1.2-3 Forecast Growth in Population and Employment..... 1-16

Table 1.2-4 I-710 Northbound Mainline Truck Accident Rates (October 1, 2004, to September 30, 2007)..... 1-20

Table 1.2-5 I-710 Southbound Mainline Truck Accident Rates (October 1, 2004, to September 30, 2007)..... 1-20

Table 1.2-6 Freeway-to-Freeway Interchanges: Key Design Concerns..... 1-27

Table 2.1-1 Estimated Costs (Billion \$) 2-1

Table 2.4-1 Local Interchanges, Crossings, and Frontage Roads with I-710 – Alternative 5A..... 2-32

Table 2.4-2 Arterial Intersection Improvements..... 2-42

Table 2.5-1 Local Arterial Interchanges, Crossings, and Frontage Roads with I-710 – Alternatives 6A/B/C 2-67

Table 2.5-2 Construction Duration..... 2-72

Table 2.6-1 Ability of the Alternatives to Meet the Defined Project Purpose 2-73

Table 2.7-1 Permits and/or Approvals Needed..... 2-77

Table 3.1-1 Existing Land Use Impacts by Build Alternative (acres)..... 3.1-12

Table 3.1-2 California Coastal Act Consistency..... 3.1-51

Table 3.1-3 Existing Parks and Recreation Facilities within 0.5 mile of the I-710 Corridor Project Improvements..... 3.1-54

Table 3.1-4 Local Bikeways within the Study Area 3.1-67

Table 3.1-5 Permanent Direct and Indirect Impacts to Parks and Recreation Facilities..... 3.1-68

Table 3.2-1 Growth Trends at Regional Levels 3.2-2

Table 3.2-2 Growth Trends for Affected Cities.....	3.2-3
Table 3.2-3 Port Cargo Growth Scenarios (in Million Annual TEUs).....	3.2-13
Table 3.2-4 Ranking of Port Choice Factors: Freight Forwarders' Perspective.....	3.2-18
Table 3.2-5 2035 Port Cargo Growth and Container Movements by Truck.....	3.2-19
Table 3.3-1 Age of Population in the Study Area.....	3.3-7
Table 3.3-2 Ethnicity Composition in the Study Area.....	3.3-8
Table 3.3-3 Average Household Size in the Study Area.....	3.3-9
Table 3.3-4 Housing Tenure in the Study Area.....	3.3-10
Table 3.3-5 Schools Located within 0.5 Mile of the I-710 Mainline and Interchange Improvements	3.3-12
Table 3.3-6 Places of Worship within 0.5 Mile of the I-710 Mainline and Interchange Improvements	3.3-18
Table 3.3-7 Employment Profile in the Study Area.....	3.3-19
Table 3.3-8 Permanent Direct and Indirect Impacts to Schools and Other Community Facilities	3.3-20
Table 3.3-9 Relocations by Build Alternatives	3.3-28
Table 3.3-10 Relocations by City.....	3.3-31
Table 3.3-11 Types of Businesses Relocated by the Build Alternatives	3.3-31
Table 3.3-12 Employees Relocated by the Build Alternatives.....	3.3-32
Table 3.3-13 Estimated Loss of Annual Sales Tax Revenue in the Study Area.....	3.3-33
Table 3.3-14 Estimated Loss of Property Tax in the Study Area	3.3-35
Table 3.3-15 Demographic Characteristics of the Study Area and Los Angeles County....	3.3-41
Table 3.4-1 Alternative 5A Utility Impacts.....	3.4-9
Table 3.4-2 Alternatives 6A/B/C Utility Impacts	3.4-11

Table 3.5-1 I-710 Northbound Basic and Weaving Segments Existing and No Build Alternative Levels of Service	3.5-8
Table 3.5-2 I-710 Northbound Ramp Merge/Diverge Areas Existing and No Build Alternative Levels of Service	3.5-11
Table 3.5-3 I-710 Southbound Basic and Weaving Segments Existing and No Build Alternative Levels of Service	3.5-13
Table 3.5-4 I-710 Southbound Ramp Merge/Diverge Areas Existing and No Build Alternative Levels of Service	3.5-15
Table 3.5-5 I-405 Basic/Weaving Segments and Merge/Diverge Areas Existing and No Build Alternative Levels of Service.....	3.5-19
Table 3.5-6 SR-91 Basic/Weaving Segments and Merge/Diverge Areas Existing and No Build Alternative Levels of Service.....	3.5-23
Table 3.5-7 I-105 Basic/Weaving Segments and Merge/Diverge Areas Existing and No Build Alternative Levels of Service.....	3.5-27
Table 3.5-8 I-5 Basic/Weaving Segments and Merge/Diverge Areas Existing and No Build Alternative Levels of Service.....	3.5-28
Table 3.5-9 I-710 2035 Northbound Basic and Weaving Segments Alternative 5A Levels of Service	3.5-36
Table 3.5-10 I-710 2035 Northbound Merge/Diverge Areas Alternative 5A Levels of Service	3.5-38
Table 3.5-11 I-710 2035 Southbound Basic and Weaving Segments Alternative 5A Levels of Service	3.5-40
Table 3.5-12 I-710 2035 Southbound Merge/Diverge Areas Alternative 5A Levels of Service	3.5-42
Table 3.5-13 I-710 2035 Northbound Basic and Weaving Segment Alternatives 6A/B/C Levels of Service	3.5-45
Table 3.5-14 I-710 2035 Northbound Merge/Diverge Areas Alternatives 6A/B/C Levels of Service	3.5-47

Table 3.5-15 I-710 2035 Southbound Basic and Weaving Segment Alternatives 6A/B/C Levels of Service 3.5-49

Table 3.5-16 I-710 2035 Southbound Merge/Diverge Areas Alternatives 6A/B/C Levels of Service 3.5-51

Table 3.5-17 I-710 Northern Termini Northbound 2035 Alternatives 6A/B/C (Design Options 1 and 2) Levels of Service 3.5-53

Table 3.5-18 I-710 Northern Termini Southbound 2035 Alternatives 6A/B/C (Build Options 1 and 2) Levels of Service 3.5-54

Table 3.5-19 I-710 Northern Termini 2035 Alternative 6B (Build Design Option 3) Levels of Service 3.5-55

Table 3.5-20 I-710 Freight Corridor 2035 Peak-Hour Alternatives 6A/B/C (Design Options 1 and 2) Levels of Service 3.5-59

Table 3.5-21 I-710 Freight Corridor 2035 Peak-Hour Alternative 6B (Design Option 3) Levels of Service 3.5-61

Table 3.5-22 I-405 2035 Basic/Weaving Segments and Merge/Diverge Areas Alternative 5A Levels of Service 3.5-63

Table 3.5-23 I-405 2035 Alternatives 6A/B/C Levels of Service 3.5-65

Table 3.5-24 SR-91 2035 Basic/Weaving Segments and Merge/Diverge Areas Alternative 5A Levels of Service 3.5-67

Table 3.5-25 SR-91 2035 Alternatives 6A/B/C Levels of Service 3.5-69

Table 3.5-26 I-105 2035 Basic/Weaving Segments and Merge/Diverge Areas Alternative 5A Levels of Service 3.5-72

Table 3.5-27 I-105 2035 Alternatives 6A/B/C Levels of Service 3.5-73

Table 3.5-28 I-5 2035 Basic/Weaving Segments and Merge/Diverge Areas Alternative 5A Levels of Service 3.5-75

Table 3.5-29 I-5 2035 Alternatives 6A/B/C Levels of Service 3.5-77

Table 3.5-30 Summary of Arterial Highway Congestion Relief Intersection Improvements 3.5-82

Table 3.5-31 Project-Related Impacted Intersections.....	3.5-84
Table 3.5-32 2035 Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled, Vehicle Hours Traveled, and Vehicle Hours of Delay Comparison.....	3.5-85
Table 3.6-1 Existing and Proposed Visual Quality.....	3.6-93
Table 3.7-1 Historic Properties and Historical Resources Within the APE.....	3.7-12
Table 3.8-1 Floodway Impact Areas.....	3.8-6
Table 3.9-1 Beneficial Uses of Inland Surface Waters and Coastal Waters Los Angeles Region Water Quality Control Plan.....	3.9-10
Table 3.9-2 Expected and Approved Total Maximum Daily Loads.....	3.9-12
Table 3.10-1 Potential Seismic Sources.....	3.10-4
Table 3.11-1 Geologic Time Periods and Units within the I-710 Corridor Project Study Area.....	3.11-2
Table 3.11-2: Geologic Units and Potential Paleontological Sensitivity within the I-710 Corridor Project Study Area.....	3.11-7
Table 3.12-1 Sites of Potential Environmental Concern to the I-710 Corridor Project under Alternative 5A.....	3.12-5
Table 3.12-2 Sites of Potential Environmental Concern to the I-710 Corridor Project under Alternatives 6A/B/C.....	3.12-27
Table 3.12-3 Sites of Potential Environmental Concern to the I-710 Corridor Project Arterial Intersections under Alternative 5A and Alternatives 6A/B/C.....	3.12-55
Table 3.12-4 Potential Off-site Environmental Concerns to the I-710 Corridor Project.....	3.12-65
Table 3.12-5 Solid Waste Disposal Sites of Potential Concern Under Alternatives 5A and 6A/B/C.....	3.12-74
Table 3.13-1 N. Main St. Air Quality Concentrations.....	3.13-9
Table 3.13-2 North Long Beach Air Quality Concentrations.....	3.13-10
Table 3.13-3 Lynwood Air Quality Concentrations.....	3.13-11

Table 3.13-4 State and Federal Criteria Air Pollutant Standards, Effects, and Sources... 3.13-12

Table 3.13-5 Ambient PM_{2.5} Monitoring Data (µg/m³) 3.13-19

Table 3.13-6 Ambient PM₁₀ Monitoring Data (µg/m³) 3.13-20

Table 3.13-7 Existing (2008) and No Build (2035) Average Daily Traffic Volumes 3.13-21

Table 3.13-8 2035 Project Alternative Average Daily Traffic Volumes..... 3.13-22

Table 3.13-9 2035 Alternative 1 - No Build Intersection Levels of Service..... 3.13-23

Table 3.13-10 2035 Alternative 5A Intersection Levels of Service 3.13-24

Table 3.13-11 2035 Alternative 6A Intersection Levels of Service..... 3.13-24

Table 3.13-12 2035 Alternative 6B Intersection Levels of Service 3.13-25

Table 3.13-13 2035 Alternative 6C Intersection Levels of Service..... 3.13-25

Table 3.13-14 I-710 Freeway PM_{2.5} Emissions (lbs/day) 3.13-26

Table 3.13-15 I-710 Freeway PM₁₀ Emissions (lbs/day)..... 3.13-26

Table 3.13-20 Comparison of Incremental Air Toxics Emissions for All Project Alternatives Compared to 2008 for all Study Areas¹ 3.13-33

Table 3.13-21 Comparison of Incremental Air Toxics Emissions for All Project Alternatives Compared to Alternative 1 (No Build) for all Study Areas^{1,2} 3.13-34

Table 3.13-22 Comparison of Incremental Criteria Pollutant Emissions for All Alternatives compared to 2008, for all Study Areas^{1,2} 3.13-36

Table 3.13-23 Comparison of Incremental Criteria Pollutant Emissions for All Build Alternatives compared to Alternative 1 (No Build), for all Study Areas¹ 3.13-38

Table 3.13-24 Incremental Concentration Impacts from the I-710 Freeway Mainline for Alternative 1 as compared to 2008 3.13-43

Table 3.13-25 Incremental Concentration Impacts from the I-710 Freeway Mainline for Alternative 5A as compared to 2008..... 3.13-44

Table 3.13-26 Incremental Concentration Impacts from the I-710 Freeway Mainline for Alternative 6A as compared to 2008..... 3.13-45

Table 3.13-27 Incremental Concentration Impacts from the I-710 Freeway Mainline for Alternative 6B as compared to 2008..... 3.13-46

Table 3.13-28 Incremental Concentration Impacts from the I-710 Freeway Mainline for Alternative 6C as compared to 2008..... 3.13-47

Table 3.13-29 Comparison of Incremental MSAT Health Risk Impacts for All Alternatives Compared to 2008 3.13-52

Table 3.14-1 Noise Abatement Criteria 3.14-2

Table 3.14-2 Traffic Noise Level Measurements and Modeling Results (dBA) 3.14-7

Table 3.14-3 Summary of Reasonableness Determination Data for Soundwalls 3.14-31

Table 3.14-4 Potential Solutions to Line of Sight Issues..... 3.14-43

Table 3.14-5 Preliminary Noise Abatement Decision..... 3.14-44

Table 3.15-1 Annual Electric Consumption in Los Angeles County (2009) 3.15-4

Table 3.15-2 Natural Gas Consumption in Los Angeles County (2009) in Millions of Therms..... 3.15-4

Table 3.15-3 Alternative Fuel Vehicles In Use by Fuel Type 2009..... 3.15-6

Table 3.15-4 Estimated Consumption of Alternative Fuels in California by Fuel Type, 2009 (Thousand Gasoline-Equivalent Gallons)..... 3.15-6

Table 3.15-5 Operational Daily VMT 3.15-7

Table 3.15-6 Operational Annual VMT 3.15-7

Table 3.15-7 Study Area Energy Consumption – Annual 3.15-8

Table 3.15-8 Operational Energy Consumption – Percent Change 3.15-9

Table 3.15-9 Study Area Indirect Energy Comparison..... 3.15-11

Table 3.16-1 Acreages of Land Use Vegetation/Communities Occurring within the Biological Study Area 3.16-3

Table 3.16-2 Project Effects to Vegetation Communities Occurring within the Biological Study Area 3.16-7

Table 3.17-1 Summary of Jurisdictional Waters within the I-710 Corridor Project
 Biological Study Area 3.17-5

Table 3.17-2 Functions and Values of Drainages within the I-710 Corridor Project
 Biological Study Area 3.17-8

Table 3.17-3 I-710 Corridor Project Effects to Potentially Jurisdictional and
 Nonjurisdictional Areas..... 3.17-13

Table 3.18-1 Special-Status Plant Species Potentially Occurring or Known to Occur in
 the Biological Study Area 3.18-3

Table 3.18-2 Impacts to Southern Tarplant from Alternatives 6A/B/C..... 3.18-12

Table 3.19-1 Special-Status Animal Species Potentially Occurring or Known to Occur in
 the Biological Study Area 3.19-5

Table 3.20-1 Threatened and Endangered Plant Species Potentially Occurring or
 Known to Occur in the Biological Study Area..... 3.20-3

Table 3.20-2 Threatened and Endangered Animal Species Potentially Occurring or
 Known to Occur in the Biological Study Area..... 3.20-8

Table 3.24-1 Temporary Direct and Indirect Impacts to Park and Recreational Facilities .. 3.24-6

Table 3.24-2 Temporary Direct and Indirect Impacts to Community Facilities 3.24-9

Table 3.24-3 Estimated Construction Employment for the I-710 Corridor Improvement
 Project..... 3.24-11

Table 3.24-4 Criteria Pollutant Mass Emissions for Construction 3.24-25

Table 3.25-1 Past, Present and Foreseeable Future Projects in the I-710 Corridor Study
 Area 3.25-5

Table 3.25-2 Anticipated Construction Schedules for Major Future Projects in the I-710
 Corridor Study Area..... 3.25-32

Table 3.25-3 Existing Land Use Impacts by Build Alternative (acres)..... 3.25-34

Table 3.25-4 I-710 Corridor Project Utility Relocations by Alternative..... 3.25-48

Table 3.25-5 Acreages of Natural Communities Occurring within the Biological Study
 Area 3.25-76

Table 3.25-6 Project Effects to Vegetation Communities Occurring within the Biological Study Area	3.25-77
Table 3.25-7 I-710 Corridor Project Effects to Potentially Jurisdictional and Nonjurisdictional Areas.....	3.25-82
Table 4.3-1 Yearly Mass Emissions of CO ₂ (Tonnes) for Construction of Alternatives 5A and 6A/B/C.....	4-74
Table 4.3-2 Vehicle Miles Traveled and Vehicle Hours of Delay	4-77
Table 4.3-3a Incremental GHG Emissions using the I-710 Traffic Model Data as Compared to 2008 Baseline for SCAB	4-78
Table 4.3-3b Incremental GHG Emissions using the I-710 Traffic Model Data as Compared to 2035 No Build for SCAB.....	4-79
Table 4.3-4 Model Year 2015 Required Miles Per Gallon (mpg) by Alternative	4-81
Table 4.3-5 Climate Change Strategies.....	4-86

This page intentionally left blank