LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

NATIONAL TRANSIT DATABASE (SECTION 15 REPORT)

FISCAL YEAR 1995





March 5, 1996

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Office of Capital and Formula Assistance Audit Review and Analysis Division P. O. Box 61126 Washington, D.C. 20039-1126

> Chief Executive Officer (CEO) Certification Re:

One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, CA

90012

213.922.6000

Mailing Address: P.O Box 194 Los Angeles, CA 90053 Dear Sirs:

I hereby certify to the following concerning the financial and nonfinancial/operating data submitted in the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Section 15 Report for its fiscal year ending June 30, 1995.

- 1. The financial and non-financial/operating data (1) are accurate and truthful records of the financial transactions and operations of the LACMTA and (2) conform in all material respects with the accounting and definitional requirements of the Federal Transit Administration Industry Uniform System of Accounts and Records and Reporting System.
- 2. The verifications below pertain to each data item to be used in the Section 9 formula allocation. These data items include: Demand Response purchased transportation vehicle revenue miles, passenger miles and operating expense; Motor Bus purchased transportation vehicle revenue miles, passenger miles, directional route miles, directional route miles and operating expense; Directly Operated Motor Bus, Heavy Rail and Light Rail fixed guideway directional route miles, vehicle revenue miles, passenger miles and operating expense. I verify:
 - a. that a system is in place for recording data for the bus, light rail & heavy rail modes in accordance with FTA definitions; that the correct data items are being measured and no systematic errors exist;
 - b. that a system is in place to record data for the above transportation modes on a continuing basis and that data gathering is an on-going effort;



- c. that source documents are available to support the reported data and are maintained for a minimum of three years and that data items are fully documented and securely stored:
- d. that a system of internal controls is in place to assure the accuracy of the data collection process and recording system and that reported documents are not altered and that documents are reviewed and signed by a supervisor, as required;
- e. that the data collection methods are those suggested by FTA or equivalent; that FTA standards for precision and accuracy have been satisfied in that the sampling technique has either been approved by FTA or, in advance of the FTA approval, by a statistical expert serving the agency and confirmation that the collection methods documented are being followed;
- f. that the data items are accurate; and that document of an analytic review of the reported data confirms that data items are consistent with prior reporting periods and other facts known about agency operations.
- 3. The accounting system from which this Section 15 Report is derived follows the accounting system prescribed by the Section 15 Uniform Systems of Accounts and Records. The same accounting system was adopted and used to compile the Section 15 report for the fiscal year ended June 27, 1987 which contained an independent auditor's Section 15 financial data statement signed by Deloitte Haskins & Sells dated October 26, 1987, on which the financial data waiver was granted.
- 4. The FY 1994-1995 passenger mile data items for demand response contained in this report were collected and estimated using the FTA Circular 2710.2A and 100% count. Each agency/contractor in this submission reporting Demand Response passenger miles was treated as a separate sample population.

- 5. The FY 1994-1995 passenger mile data items for purchase service motor bus contained in this report were collected and estimated using the FTA Circular 2710.1A, 2710.4A and 100% count. Each agency/contractor in this submission reporting Motor Bus passenger miles was treated as a separate sample population.
- 6. The FY 1994-1995 passenger mile data items for heavy rail contained in this report were collected and estimated using an alternative sampling procedure determined to meet the 95 percent confidence and 10 percent precision levels by a qualified statistician.
- 7. The FY 1994-1995 passenger mile data items for light rail contained in this report were collected and estimated using an alternative sampling procedure determined to meet the 95 percent confidence and 10 percent precision levels by a qualified statistician.
- 8. The FY 1994-1995 passenger mile data items for motorbus contained in this report were collected and estimated using an alternative sampling procedure determined to meet the 95 percent confidence and 10 percent precision levels by a qualified statistician.

Sincerely,

Joseph E. Drew

Interim Chief Executive Officer

20947

HE 191.4 .S37 1995

MAY 1 0 1996

MTA

-



Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.

a professional services firm

LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION SECTION 9 REPORT

For The Year Ended June 30, 1995

LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION SECTION 9 REPORT

For The Year Ended June 30, 1995

•----



1

a professional services firm

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

The Board of Directors
Los Angeles County Metropolitan
Transportation Authority

We understand that the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (the "MTA") is eligible to receive grants under Section 9 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended, and in connection therewith the MTA is required to report certain information described below to the Federal Transit Administration ("FTA").

FTA has established the following standards with regard to the data reported to it in the Urbanized Area Formula Statistics Form 901 of the MTA's annual National Transit Database ("NTD") Report:

- A system is in place and maintained for recording data in accordance with NTD definitions. The correct data are being measured and no systematic errors exist.
- A system is in place to record data on a continuing basis and the data gathering is an ongoing effort.
- Source documents are available to support the reported data and are maintained for FTA review and audit for a minimum of 3 years following FTA's receipt of the NTD report. The data are fully documented and securely stored.
- A system of internal controls is in place to ensure the accuracy of the data collection process and recording system and reported documents are not altered. Documents are reviewed and signed by a supervisor, as required.
- The data collection methods are those suggested by FTA or have been approved by FTA and/or a statistical expert as being equivalent in assuring quality and precision. The collection methods documented are being followed.
- The deadhead miles, computed as the difference between the reported total actual vehicle miles data and the reported total actual vehicle revenue miles data, appear to be accurate.
- Data are consistent with prior reporting periods and other facts known about the MTA's operations.

We have applied certain agreed-upon procedures as documented below to the data contained in the accompanying Urbanized Area Formula Statistics Form 901 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995. Such procedures, which were agreed to and specified by FTA in Exhibit 5 of

the 1995 Reporting Manual ("Reporting Manual") and were agreed to by the MTA, were applied to assist you in evaluating whether the MTA complied with the standards described in the second paragraph of this report and that the information included in the NTD report, Urbanized Area Formula Statistics Form 901 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995, is presented in conformity with the requirements of the Uniform System of Accounts and Records and Reporting Systems: Final Rule, as specified in 49 CFR Part 630 Federal Register, January 15, 1993, and as presented in the 1995 Reporting Manual. This report is intended solely for your information and the FTA, and should not be used by those who did not participate in determining the procedures.

The procedures described below were applied separately to each of the information systems used to develop the reported vehicle revenue miles, fixed guideway directional route miles, passenger miles, and operating expenses of the MTA for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995, for each of the following modes:

Motor buses - directly operated

Motor buses - purchased transportation

Rail - directly operated

Demand Response - purchase transportation

The following information and findings came to our attention as a result of performing the procedures specified in Exhibit 5 of the 1995 Reporting Manual.

- a. We obtained from Mr. Michael Gurantz, then Planning Supervisor, and read through a copy of the MTA's written procedures related to the system for reporting and maintaining data in accordance with the NTD requirements and definitions set forth in Title 49 CFR Part 630 Federal Register, January 15, 1993 and as presented in the 1995 Reporting Manual (Reporting Manual NTD Test "a").
- b. We discussed the procedures referenced in paragraph a. above with Mr. Gurantz. We inquired of Mr. Gurantz whether the MTA followed such procedures on a continuous basis and whether he believed such procedures result in accumulation and reporting of data consistent with the NTD definitions and requirements set forth in Title 49 CFR Part 630, Federal Register, January 15, 1993 and as presented in the 1995 Reporting Manual. We were informed by Mr. Gurantz that, to the best of his knowledge, the MTA has followed such procedures on a continuous basis and that they result in the accumulation and reporting of data consistent with the NTD definitions and requirements set forth in Title 49 CFR Part 630, Federal Register, January 15, 1993 and as presented in the 1995 Reporting Manual (Reporting Manual NTD Test "b").
- C. We inquired of Mr. Gurantz concerning the retention policy that is followed by the MTA with respect to source documents supporting the NTD data reported on Form 901. Mr. Gurantz informed us that source documents would be retained for at least three years following FTA's acknowledged receipt of the NTD report.

- We located and observed the source documents supporting the NTD data reported on Form 901 for the years ended June 30, 1995, June 30, 1994 and June 30, 1993, and found them properly retained (Reporting Manual NTD Test "c").
- d. Based on the description of the MTA's procedures obtained as described in procedure c. above, we identified the following source documents for retention by the MTA for a minimum of three years:
 - Statistical data files maintained on the MTA's on-line IBM system. With
 respect to passenger miles, the source documents are files containing
 data from Ride Checks and Fare Surveys for the motor bus and On/Off
 Surveys for the rail modes. With respect to vehicle revenue miles for the
 motor bus mode, source documents consist of the files containing Basic
 Operating Schedules, Pink Letters, CS-10 Forms and Special Events. For
 the rail modes, source documents consist of the files containing Pink
 Letters and Service Lost Forms.
 - For the motor bus and rail mode, we selected the months of October 1994, February 1995 and July 1995 and observed that each type of source document exists for each of these periods (Reporting Manual NTD Test "d").
- e. We discussed the MTA's system of internal controls with Mr. Gurantz. We inquired whether persons, independent of the individuals preparing the source documents and posting the data summaries, reviewed the source documents and data summaries for completeness, accuracy and reasonableness and how often such reviews are performed. We were informed by Mr. Gurantz that all source documents and data summaries are reviewed for completeness, accuracy and reasonableness by personnel either in the Planning, Operations or Scheduling Departments (Reporting Manual NTD Test "e").
 - However, in step "m", we did note a lack of batch control checks for the motor bus mode within the Operations Department. Please refer to step "m" for further information.
- f. We reviewed source documents and data summaries and noted that such reviews as indicated in step "e" are documented as being completed (Reporting Manual NTD Test "f").
- We obtained the worksheets utilized by the MTA to prepare the final data which are transcribed onto Urbanized Area Formula Statistics Summary Form 901. The MTD does not prepare periodic data, only annual summaries. Therefore, we compared the data included in the annual summaries prepared by the MTA and proved the arithmetic accuracy of the summarizations. We noted no deviations (Reporting Manual NTD Test "g").

- We discussed the MTA's procedures for accumulating and recording passenger h. mile data in accordance with NTD requirements with Mr. Gurantz. We were informed that passenger mile data was accumulated as follows: For the motor bus, "The MTA utilizes sampling and estimation procedures that are an alternative to the three sampling procedures suggested by FTA. The MTA has received correspondence from FTA which allows for self-certification of passenger mile data based on certification that required statistical levels are met." We were informed by Mr. Gurantz that the sampling methodology and estimation procedures were reviewed by Multisystems, Inc., together with Northeastern University, and were found to yield unbiased estimates whose accuracy exceeds FTA's accuracy standard of ±10% precision at a 95% confidence level; in fact, the sample size is so large that, combined with the revenue-based estimation used, the precision at the 95% confidence level is below 2%. The rail uses a sampling methodology and estimation procedures that have been reviewed by qualified statisticians. They are both found to yield unbiased estimates whose accuracy meets the ±10% precision at a 95% confidence level (Reporting Manual NTD Test "h").
- i. We discussed with Mr. Gurantz the eligibility of the MTA to conduct statistical sampling for passenger mile data every third year under the guidelines promulgated by FTA in Title 49 CFR Part 630. Mr. Gurantz informed us that the MTA is not eligible to conduct statistical sampling for passenger mile data every third year because it meets none of the criteria necessary for it to qualify for such treatment (Reporting Manual NTD Test "i").
- j. We obtained a copy of the sampling procedures for the estimation of passenger mile data used by the MTA and a copy of the MTA's methodology for calculating passenger mile data from Mr. Gurantz. We reviewed the procedures used to select the actual sample of runs for recording passenger boardings used to prepare the sample of runs from the total population of runs. We reviewed the methodology used to randomly select specific runs from the universe. We reviewed the procedure used to replace a missed sample run with a randomly selected replacement sample run. We noted no exceptions to the stated sampling procedure (Reporting Manual NTD Test "j").
- k. We selected a random sample of the source documents used for accumulating passenger mile data and reviewed the selected documents to determine if all required data were recorded and if computations were accurately performed. For the motor bus mode, our sample consisted of eight percent of the fare surveys conducted. For the rail modes, our samples consisted of eight percent of On/Off Surveys conducted.
 - The MTA accumulates passenger mile data on an annual basis, rather than by periods. We tested the accumulation of such data on an annual basis. We noted no exceptions in performing the above (Reporting Manual NTD Test "k").

- I. We discussed the procedures for systematic exclusions of charter and school bus vehicle miles from the calculation of vehicle revenue miles with Mr. Gurantz.
 - We were informed by Mr. Gurantz that the MTA does not operate charter bus and/or school bus service. In connection with performing the procedures referred to in this report, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the MTA operated charter bus and/or school bus service during the year ended June 30, 1995 (Reporting Manual NTD Test "I").
- m. We obtained a copy of the MTA's procedures for accumulating and reporting vehicle revenue mile data and discussed them with Mr. Gurantz. We were informed by Mr. Gurantz that the MTA calculates vehicle revenue miles by aggregating the revenue service distances traveled by the MTA's bus lines as documented in each line's Basic Operating Schedule. The aggregate amount is reduced by non-revenue service miles such as missed trips and maintenance runs. For the motor bus mode, we randomly selected ten days where service was lost due to emergencies and recomputed the lost vehicle revenue miles. For the rail modes, we selected a random sample of twenty-five of the days service was cancelled and recomputed the daily total of missed trips and lost vehicle revenue miles (Reporting Manual NTD Test "m").
 - We noted one exception in the motor bus mode. We observed a procedural error in the collection of service lost due to equipment failure data. We met with Mr. Ron Ledford to discuss the internal controls applied when tabulating the data. We observed a lack of batch control checks, which may have led to the procedural error. Only thirty out of ninety entries were inputted for a particular sampled day. This error had a material effect for that selected day, but an immaterial effect for the overall calculation of the total actual vehicle revenue miles.
- n. We discussed the procedures for the recording and accumulation of vehicle revenue mile data for rail modes with Mr. Gurantz.
 - We were informed by Mr. Gurantz that the rail mode vehicle revenue miles are calculated in the same manner as the motor bus in that revenue service distances are aggregated for the rail line as documented in the Basic Operating Schedule. This aggregate amount is then reduced by non-revenue service miles such as missed trips and maintenance runs. We recomputed the total actual vehicle revenue miles by multiplying the total revenue trips, made by the rail cars, by the miles per trip.
 - We reviewed the MTA's vehicle revenue miles calculation noting that extra service is documented on pink letters which are summarized and added to the Basic Operating Schedule. Service cancellations for the

- entire fiscal year are reported on the Cancelled Trip Report and deducted from the data.
- We also discussed the exclusion of the locomotive miles from the rail mode. We were informed by Mr. Gurantz that there are no locomotive miles included with the rail mode. In connection with performing the procedures referred to in this report, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that there were any locomotive miles included with the rail mode (Reporting Manual NTD Test "n").
- o. We discussed the MTA's procedures for accumulating and reporting fixed guideway directional route miles with Mr. Gurantz. He informed us that the MTA's motor bus service meets the FTA's definition of fixed guideway service service that operates over exclusive and controlled access rights of way and access is restricted; there is a legitimate need for restricted access as demonstrated by peak periods level of service traffic condition D or worse on a parallel adjacent highway, and restricted access is enforced. He also informed us that the rail mode meets FTA's definition of fixed guideway service as the cars operate over rails, which are fixed guideway (Reporting Manual NTD Test "o").
- p. We discussed the measurement of fixed guideway directional route miles with Mr. Gurantz. We were informed that fixed guideway directional route mileage is computed in accordance with FTA's definitions of fixed guideway and directional route miles. We agreed the total to the fixed guideway directional route miles reported on Urbanized Area Formula Statistics Summary Form 901 and noted no exceptions (Reporting Manual NTD Test "p").
- q. We measured fixed guideway directional route miles by tracing maps of fixed guideway service. We agreed the fixed guideway directional route miles we obtained from the maps, over and above to that reported on the MTA's NTD Form 901 (Reporting Manual NTD Test "q").
- r. We inquired of Mr. Gurantz whether other public transit agencies operate service over the same fixed guideways as the MTA. For the motor bus mode, we were informed that Foothill Transit operated service over the same fixed guideways. Also, for the rail modes, we were informed that no other public transit agencies operated service over the same fixed guideways as the MTA. In connection with performing the procedures referred to in this report, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that any other public transit agency operated service over the same fixed guideways as the MTA (Reporting Manual NTD Test "r").
- s. We agreed audited operating expenses information from Mr. Alex Perez, Senior Accountant. We concur that operating expenses reported on Section 9 Form 901, "Section 9 Statistics Summary," and operating expenses reported on MTA's audit financial statements correspond with each other (Reporting Manual NTD Test "s").

- t. We inquired of Mr. Stanley Foreman, Senior Accountant, whether the MTA contracts for transportation service and were informed that the MTA contracted for transportation services with fifteen agencies. All of the purchased transportation fare revenues were retained by the contract service providers which amounted to \$1,580,098 for fiscal year ended June 30, 1995. We obtained documentation of retained fare revenues and agreed to the total of retained fare revenues reported on the Contractual Relationship Form 002 and noted no exceptions (Reporting Manual NTD Test "t").
- u. The MTA's report contains data-purchased transportation services, all of which are provided by contractors operating fewer than 100 vehicles in maximum service. We obtained assurances of the data for those services from the audited statements of the Section 9 data for all of the purchased transportation services (Reporting Manual NTD Test "u").
- v. As noted in step "t", the MTA does contract for transportation services which are audited by another auditor retained by the MTA. We reviewed the auditor's reports and noted no exceptions with respect to this audit step (Reporting Manual NTD Test "v").
- w. We inquired of Mr. Gurantz if the MTA provides service in more than one urbanized area, or an urbanized area and a non-urbanized area. We were informed that the MTA serves the Los Angeles-Long Beach (for motor bus and rail modes) and Oxnard-Ventura-Thousand Oaks (for motor bus only) urbanized areas. We obtained the procedures for allocation of statistics between urbanized area boundaries used for allocating the statistics. The procedures utilized by the MTA for allocation of statistics between urbanized areas appear proper, and we noted no exceptions to these procedures (Reporting Manual NTD Test "w").
- X. We compared the data reported on Urbanized Area Formula Statistics Summary Form 901 for the year ended June 30, 1995 to comparable data reported for the year ended June 30, 1994, and calculated the percentage change from the prior year to the current year (Reporting Manual NTD Test "x").
 - Overall, the motor bus vehicle revenue miles and passenger miles decreased by less than ten percent.
 - Motor bus' fixed guideway vehicle revenue miles and passenger miles decreased by twelve percent and eighteen percent, respectively. The reduction was caused by two main factors: the work stoppage (which occurred from July 25, 1994 to August 2, 1994) and the fare increase.

Both the non-fixed guideway vehicle revenue miles and passenger miles did not increase or decrease by more than ten percent for the motor bus mode.

- Overall operating expenses for the motor bus mode decreased by eleven percent. Accordingly, fixed guideway and non-fixed guideway operating expenses decreased by sixteen percent and eleven percent, respectively. The main reason for these decreases, we attribute to the huge reduction in tires and tubes.
- The heavy rail (Red Line) mode's vehicle revenue miles and passenger miles increased by eleven percent and nineteen percent, respectively, due to the increase in passenger boardings which were, in turn, a result of the low fares.
- Operating expenses for the heavy rail (Red Line) mode decreased by less than ten percent.
- Both the light rail (Blue Line) mode's vehicle revenue miles and the passenger miles decreased by less than ten percent.
- Operating expenses for the light rail (Blue Line) mode decreased by thirty-one percent. The decrease was primarily attributable to the huge reduction in security expenses. The MTA utilized in-house security services in the current year, instead of outside public security services used in the prior year.

The agreed-upon procedures are substantially less in scope than an examination, the objective of which is an expression of an opinion on the Section 9 Urbanized Area Formula Statistics Summary Form 901. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Also, we do not express an opinion on the MTA's system of internal controls taken as a whole.

Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you. This report relates only to the information described above, and does not extend to the MTA's financial statements taken as a whole, or the forms in the MTA's NTD Report other than Section 9 Urbanized Area Formula Statistics Summary Form 901, for any date or period.

Coopers & Lyberand J.J.J.

Los Angeles, California January 17, 1996



LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON SECTION 15 FINANCIAL DATA

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1995

LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON SECTION 15 FINANCIAL DATA

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1995



SENIOR PARTNERS

Brainard C. Simpson, CPA
Carl P. Simpson, CPA
Frederick A. Simpson, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL DATA

The Board of Commissioners
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
Los Angeles, California and
The Federal Transit Administration

We have performed the procedures enumerated in Exhibit I, with respect to the reporting forms listed below from the Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) and included herein as the Section 15 Report for the year ended June 30, 1995, as required under Section 15 of the Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) Act of 1964 as amended. The procedures were performed to determine conformity in all material respects with the requirements of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) as set forth in its applicable Urban Mass Transportation Industry Uniform System of Accounts and Records and Reporting System.

The following forms are being reported upon:

Form 001	Transit System Identification
Form 002	Contractual Relationship Identification
Form 005	Supplemental Information
Form 103	Capital Funding
Form 203	Sources of Operating Funding
Form 301	Operating Expenses Classified by Function
Form 403	Transit Way Mileage
Form 406	Transit System Service (Non-rail Modes)
Form 408	Revenue Vehicle Inventory
Form 901	Section 9 Statistics

Because the procedures referred to above were not sufficient to constitute an audit made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, we do not express an opinion on LACMTA's reporting forms. In connection with performing the procedures referred to above, no matters came to our attention that caused us to believe that the accompanying reporting forms identified above did not conform in all material respects with the accounting requirements of the Federal Transit Administration as set forth in its applicable Urban Mass Transportation Industry Uniform System of Accounts and

Records and Reporting System. Had we performed additional procedures, or had we made an audit of the reporting forms in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of LACMTA and FTA.

Simpeo i Simpeo

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California

October 4, 1995





SENIOR PARTNERS

Brainard C. Simpson, CPA

Carl P. Simpson, CPA

Frederick A. Simpson, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL DATA

The Board of Commissioners
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
Los Angeles, California and
The Federal Transit Administration

We understand that the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is eligible to receive grants under Section 9 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 as amended, and in connection therewith, the agency is required to report certain information to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

FTA has established the following standards with regard to the data reported to it in the Section 9 Statistics, Form 901, of the agency's annual Section 15 Report:

- A system is in place and maintained for recording data in accordance with Section 15 definitions. The correct data are being measured and no systematic errors exist.
- A system is in place to record data on a continuing basis and the data gathering is an ongoing effort.
- Source documents are available to support the reported data and are maintained for FTA review and audit for a minimum of three years following FTA's receipt of the Section 15 Report. The data are fully documented and securely stored.
- A system of internal controls is in place to ensure the accuracy of the data collection process and that the recording system and reported comments are not altered. Documents were reviewed and signed by a supervisor, as required.
- The data collection methods are those suggested by FTA or meet FTA requirements.
- Deadhead miles, calculated as the difference between the reported total actual vehicle miles data and the reported total actual vehicle revenue miles data, appear to be accurate.
- Data are consistent with prior reporting periods and other facts known about agency operations.

EXHIBIT I

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES PERFORMED

- 1. The procedures that were applied to each jurisdiction, and/or contract services provider were:
 - a. Obtained and read a copy of the written procedures related to the system for reporting and maintaining data in accordance with the Section 15 requirements and definitions set forth in 49 CFR Part 630, *Federal Register*, January 15, 1993 and as presented in the 1994 Reporting Manual.
 - b. Discussed the procedures with the personnel assigned responsibility of supervising the preparation and maintenance of the Section 15 data to ascertain the extent the contract services provider followed the procedures on a continuous basis. Determined whether the personnel believe such procedures result in accumulation and reporting of data consistent with the Section 15 definitions and requirements set forth in 49 CFR Part 630, <u>Federal Register</u>, January 15, 1993 and as presented in the 1994 Reporting Manual.
 - c. Discussed with the responsible personnel the retention policy that is followed by the contract services provider with respect to source documents supporting the Section 15 data reported on the Section 9 Statistics Form (901).
 - d. Based on the description of the contract services provider's procedures obtained in items a and b above, we identified all the source documents which are to be retained by the contract services provider for a minimum of three years. For each type of source document, we selected three months out of the year and observed that each type of source document exists for each of these periods.
 - e. Discussed the system of internal controls with the person responsible for supervising and maintaining the Section 15 data. Inquired whether individuals, independent of the individual preparing the source documents and posting the data summaries, reviewed the source documents and data summaries for completeness, accuracy and reasonableness and how often such reviews were performed.
 - f. Selected a random sample of the source documents and determined whether supervisors' signatures were present as required by the system of internal controls.
 - g. Obtained the annual report, "Forms A through F", submitted to LACMTA and used by LACMTA to prepare the Section 9 Statistics Form (901). We agreed the annual report to the contract services provider's monthly summaries. We also tested the arithmetical accuracy of the summarizations.

- h. Discussed the contract services provider's procedure for accumulating and recording passenger mile data in accordance with Section 15 requirements with staff. Inquired whether the procedure used is (1) a 100% count of actual passenger miles or (2) an estimate of passenger miles based on statistical sampling meeting FTA's 95% confidence and 10% precision requirements.
- i. Discussed with management the contract services provider's eligibility to conduct statistical sampling for passenger mile data every third year. Determined whether the agency meets one of the three criteria which allow the contract services provider to conduct statistical samples for accumulating passenger mile data every third year rather than annually.
- j. Obtained a description of the sampling procedures for estimation of passenger mile data used by the contract services provider. Obtained a copy of the contract services provider's working papers or methodology used to select the actual sample of runs for recording passenger mile data. If the average trip length was used, determined that the universe of runs were used as the sampling frame. Determined that the methodology was to select specific runs from the universe resulting in a random selection of runs. If a selected sample run was missed, determine that a replacement sample run was randomly selected. And determined that the contract services provider followed the stated sampling procedure.
- k. Selected a random sample of the source documents for accumulating passenger mile data and determined that they were complete (all required data was recorded) and that the computations were accurate. Selected a random sample of the accumulation periods and recomputed the accumulations for each of the selected periods. Listed the accumulation periods which were tested. And tested the arithmetical accuracy of the summarization.
- Discussed the procedures for systematic exclusion of charter, school bus and other ineligible vehicle miles from the calculation of vehicle revenue miles with contract services provider staff and determined that stated procedures were followed. Selected a random sample of the source documents used to record charter and school bus mileage and tested the arithmetical accuracy of the computations.
- m. For vehicle revenue mile data, we documented the collection and recording methodology and determined that deadhead miles were systematically excluded from the computation.
- s. Compared operating expenses with audited financial data, after reconciling items were removed.
- t. If the contract services provider purchased transportation services, we inquired of the personnel responsible for reporting the Section 15 data regarding the disposition of purchased transportation generated fare revenues. Specifically, we

determined whether purchased transportation fare revenues were retained by the purchased transportation contractor, and if so, the amount of such fares, or whether the purchased transportation fare revenues were returned to the contract services provider.

- u. If the contract services provider's report contained data from purchased transportation services, provided by contractor operating fewer than 100 vehicles in maximum service, and assurances of the data for those services is not included in the engagement, we obtained a copy of the Auditor Statement for Section 9 data of the purchased transportation service.
- v. If the contract services provider purchases transportation services, we obtained a copy of the purchased transportation contract and determined that the contract (1) specified the specific mass transportation services to be provided by the contractor; (2) specified the monetary consideration obligated by the contract services provider contracting for the service; (3) specified the period covered by the contract and that this period is the same as, or a portion of, the period covered by the contractor services provider's Section 15 Report; and (4) was signed by the representatives of both parties to the contract. We also, inquired of the person responsible for maintaining the Section 15 data regarding the retention of the executed contract, and determined that copies of the contracts were being retained for three years.
- w. If the agency provides service in more than one urbanized area, or an urbanized area and a non-urbanized area, we inquired of the person responsible for maintaining the Section 15 data regarding the procedures for allocation of statistics between urbanized areas and non-urbanized areas. Obtained and reviewed the worksheets, route maps and urbanized area boundaries used for allocating the statistics and determined that the stated procedures were followed and that the computations were correct.
- x. Compared the data reported in the prior year's report and calculated the percentage change from the prior year's report to the current year for vehicle revenue miles, passenger miles and operating expenses. We inquired of management the reason for any 10% or greater increases or decreases.

2. The procedures that were applied at LACMTA's level were:

- a. Obtained the LACMTA prepared FTA reporting forms and the related supporting spreadsheets.
- b. Traced and agreed, on a sample basis, amounts on the FTA reporting forms to the supporting spreadsheets.
- c. Ascertained the mathematical accuracy of the reporting forms and the spreadsheets.

d. Traced and agreed, on a sample basis, amounts on the spreadsheets to amend annual reports, "Forms A through F", filed by the municipalities/contract service providers.

_Simpson & simpson.

We have applied the procedures enumerated at Exhibit I to the data contained in the accompanying Section 9 Statistics, Form 901, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995. Such procedures, which were agreed to by LACMTA, were applied to assist you in evaluating whether the agency complied with the standards described in the second paragraph of this report and that the information included in the Section 15 Report Form 901, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995, is presented in conformity with the requirements of the Urban Mass Transportation Industry Uniform System of Accounts and Records and Reporting System, as specified in 49 CFR Part 630 *Federal Register*, January 15, 1993 and the 1994 Reporting Manual. This report is intended solely for your information and FTA and should be used by those who did not participate in determining the procedures.

The procedures were applied separately to each of the information systems used to develop the reported vehicle revenue miles, directional route miles, passenger miles, and operating expenses of the agency for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995 for each of the following modes and jurisdictions:

Modes:

- Demand Response
- Motor Bus

Jurisdictions:

- Baldwin Park (Dial-A-Ride)
- Monrovia (Dial-A-Ride)
- Pasadena (Dial-A-Ride)
- Diamond Bar/Whittier (Dial-A-Ride)
- Palos Verdes Peninsula (Dial-A-Ride)
- Palos Verdes Peninsula (Fixed Route)
- Palos Verdes Peninsula (PV Transit)
- Pomona Valley (Dial-A-Ride)
- Glendale (Dial-A-Ride)
- Inglewood (Paratransit Part I)
- Inglewood (Paratransit Part II)
- Redondo Beach (Community Transit Program)
- Culver City (Community Transit Program)
- Santa Clarita (Commuter Express/Fixed Route)
- Santa Clarita (Dial-A-Ride)
- Beverly Hills (Dial-A-Ride)
- Huntington Park (Dial-A-Ride)
- West Hollywood (Dial-A-Ride)

Simpson & impson.

The agreed upon procedures are substantially less in scope than an examination, the objective of which is an expression of an opinion on Form 901, Section 9 Statistics. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Also, we do not express an opinion on the agency's system of internal control taken as a whole.

In performing the procedures, no matters came to our attention that caused us to believe that the information included in the Section 15 Report on Form 901 (Section 9 Statistics) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995 is not presented in conformity with the requirements of the Urban Mass Transportation Industry Uniform System of Accounts and Records and Reporting System as specified in 49 CFR Part 630, *Federal Register*, January 15, 1993 and the 1994 Reporting Manual. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you. This report relates only to the information described above, and does not extend to the LACMTA's financial statements taken as a whole, or the forms in the LACMTA's Section 15 Report other than Form 901, Section 9 Statistics, for any date or period.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California

Simpro & Simpro

October 4, 1995

Transit Agency Identification Form (001)

ID: 9154

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

Fiscal Year Ending 6/30/95 (MM/DD/YY) 1. Transit agency identification information Transit agency name Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority One Gateway Plaza Street mailing address State CA Zip Code 90012 City Los Angeles 2. Chief Executive Officer information Mr. Frankin White Chief Executive Officer Title Street mailing address 818 West Seventh Street Suite 300 State CA Zip Code 90017 City Los Angeles Telephone (213)244-7400 Ext FAX (213)244-6014 3. Person to be contacted regarding this report Mr. Stanley Foreman Title Senior Accountant 818 West Seventh Street Street City Los Angeles State CA Zip Code 90017 Telephone (213)244-6782 Ext 4. Demographic information 2 UZAs served: Primary Others 63 Non-UZAs served (Press F3): No Service area information: Square miles 4,070 Population 9,087,715 5. Type of organization (Press F3 for list) B Public agency or authority that contracts for some or all transit service (not a State DOT) 6. Summary of modes and types of service (check all boxes that apply for those services included in your report) AG CC CR DR FB HR IP JT LR MB MO PB TB TR VP OR Directly Operated X X Purchased Transp X

Date Prepared/Updated: 04/05/96

Report Year 1995

Form: 001 Transit Agency Identification

ID: 9154

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The LACMTA is a planning and programming agency which disburses and monitors transit funding in Los Angeles County. In addition the agency directly operates bus, heavy and light rail service. The services on which the LACMTA is reporting in this submittal are the MTA's directly operated services and services of local governments that have agreed to perform specific tasks in return for additional funding from the LACMTA. The separate purchase service contracts are for various programs (elderly and handicapped, user side subsidy, dial-a-ride, local circulators and commuter express bus service) operating in discontinuous areas of the County. No one purchase services contract exceeds 100 vehicles.

Contractual Relationship Identification Form (002)

ID: 9154 Page: 1
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Baldwin Park

If A or B checked, name of seller
If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency)

NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - D User-side subsidy program
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.
 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.
 - E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

		-h		_
-		Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
4.	Number of vehicles operated in	10		
	maximum service under contract	10	<u>*************************************</u>	
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	938,154		
6.	Revenues retained by seller	14,751	***************************************	
	•			
7.	Other costs incurred by buyer		***************************************	
١,,	other costs meanted by bayer	***************************************	Management and the second	***************************************
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Report Year 1995

Supplemental Information Form (005)

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification

ID: 9154 Page: 1
ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Baldwin Park used the FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. This has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

Contractual Relationship Identification Form (002)

ID: 9154 Page: 2

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Beverly Hills

If A or B checked, name of seller If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency) NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - D User-side subsidy program
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.

 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.

 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

4.	Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract	Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	293,340		
6.	Revenues retained by seller	69,829		
	Other costs incurred by buyer			
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Report Year 1995

Supplemental Information Form (005)

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 2

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Subject: Other

The City of Beverly Hills used the FTA sampling procedure of 100% count. This method has been certified by independent auditors and is of file with the MTA.

Contractual Relationship Identification Form (002)

ID: 9154 Page: 3 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Culver City

If A or B checked, name of seller If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency) NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - D User-side subsidy program
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.

 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.

 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

<u> </u>		-b	-C	-d
:		Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
4.	Number of vehicles operated in			
	maximum service under contract	7		
_	Contract auranditures by business	7/5 0//		
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	345,066	***************************************	
6.	Revenues retained by seller	33.448		
7.	Other costs incurred by buyer	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Report Year 1995

Supplemental Information Form (005)

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 3

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Subject: Other

The City of Culver City used the FTA sampling procedure of 100% count. This method has been certified by an independent auditor and is on file with the MTA.

Contractual Relationship Identification Form (002)

ID: 9154 Page: 4 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Diamond Bar

If A or B checked, name of seller If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency) NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - D User-side subsidy program
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.

 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

	4.	Number of vehicles operated in	Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
	5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	239, 151		
	6.	Revenues retained by seller	11,116		
	7.	Other costs incurred by buyer			
	8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Report Year 1995

Supplemental Information Form (005)

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 4

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Diamond Bar used the FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. This has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

ID: 9154 Page: 5
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Glendale

If A or B checked, name of seller
If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency)

NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - B Taxicab operator
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.
 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.
 - E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

-		Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
4-	Number of vehicles operated in			
	maximum service under contract	10		
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	***************************************		
6.	Revenues retained by seller	29,033		
	·	27,033		
7.	Other costs incurred by buyer	***************************************		
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 5

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Subject: Other

The City of Glendale used the FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. This has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

ID: 9154 Page: 6 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

 Contractual relationship (Press F3 1 	o see	: list)
--	-------	---------

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Huntington Park

If A or B checked, name of seller
If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency)

NTD ID

- Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - E Other (Describe on Form 005)
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.

 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

- 1			-h	^	
	4.	Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract	Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
	5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	334,311		
	6.	Revenues retained by seller	1,570		
		Other costs incurred by buyer			
ı	8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 6 ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Huntington Park used the FTA sampling procedure of 100% count. This method has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

Form 002, Box 2E - Demand response provider is a public agency Paratransit Operator.

ID: 9154 Page: 7
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

- 1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)
 - A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Inglewood

If A or B checked, name of seller
If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency)

NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - E Other (Describe on Form 005)
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.
 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.
 - E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

5.	Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract Contract expenditures by buyer Revenues retained by seller	7	Mode: MB 1 54,386	Mode:
	Other costs incurred by buyer	19,504	U	
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 7

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Inglewood's demand response program used the FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. The Motor Bus program used the FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.1A. Both methods have been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

Form 002, Box 2E - Demand response provider is a public agency Paratransit Operator.

ID: 9154 Page: 8

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Monrovia

If A or B checked, name of seller
If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency)

NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - A Social service agency
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.

 - E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

	T-100 - 100	-h		
-		Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
4.	Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract			
_				
١٠.	Contract expenditures by buyer	410,080		
6.	Revenues retained by seller	59,780		
7	Other costs incurred by buyer			
1	other bosts madified by bayer			
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 8

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Monrovia used the FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. This method has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

ID: 9154 Page: 9

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Palos Verdes

If A or B checked, name of seller If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency) NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - D User-side subsidy program
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.

 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.

 E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

<u> </u>		-b	-c	-d
-		Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
4.	Number of vehicles operated in			
1	maximum service under contract	4		***************************************
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	181,871		
-		70.70		
6.	Revenues retained by seller	49,759		
_				
(-	Other costs incurred by buyer	***************************************		***************************************
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			
١	neverses retained to the buyer		l	

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 9

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

Palos Verdes Peninsula Transit Authority Dial-A-Ride program used FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. This method has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

ID: 9154 Page: 10

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

Palos Verdes Peninsula - PV Transit

If A or B checked, name of seller If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency) NTD ID

- Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - D User-side subsidy program
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.

 - E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

_		_^		
4.	Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract		Mode: MB	Mode:
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	397,856	322,710	
6.	Revenues retained by seller	22,422	27,306	
7.	Other costs incurred by buyer			
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification

ID: 9154 Page: 10

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Rancho Palos Verdes - PV Transit used FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A for the demand response service. Sampling procedure 2710.4A was used for the Motor Bus service. Both methods have been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 11

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Pasadena used FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. This method has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

From 002, Box 2E - Demand response provider is a public agency Paratransit Operator.

ID: 9154 Page: 12

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

- 1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)
 - A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Pomona

If A or B checked, name of seller If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency) NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - E Other (Describe on Form 005)
- Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.

 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

				~
4.	Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract		Mode:	Mode:
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	2,333,469		
6.	Revenues retained by seller	206,481		
	Other costs incurred by buyer			
۱8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 12

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Pomona used FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. This method has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

Form 002, Box 2E - Demand response provider is a public agency Paratransit Operator.

ID: 9154 Page: 13
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Redondo Beach

If A or B checked, name of seller
If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency)

NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - E Other (Describe on Form 005)
- Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.
 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.
 - E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

_		. ()		
-			Mode:	Mode:
4	 Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract 	Ω		
	maximum service dider contract	0		
5	. Contract expenditures by buyer	701,879		***************************************
16	6. Revenues retained by seller	47,850	*******************************	***************************************
١,	. Other costs incurred by buyer			
ľ	. Other costs incurred by bayer			
8	Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

1

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 13

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Redondo Beach used FTA sampling procedure of 100% count. This method has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

Form 002, Box 2E - Demand response provider is a public agency Paratransit Operator.

ID: 9154 Page: 14
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of Santa Clarita

If A or B checked, name of seller
If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency)

NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - E Other (Describe on Form 005)
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.
 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.
 - E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

	•	T ()	C	70.
÷		Mode: DR	Mode: MB	Mode:
4.	Number of vehicles operated in			
ļ	maximum service under contract	9	33	
1_				
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	1,023,252	3,537,207	
١,		40 540	353 044	
١٥.	Revenues retained by seller	18,512	753,816	
1,	Other costs incurred by buyer			
''	other costs incurred by buyer			
8.	Revenues returned to the buyer			
1				f

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification

ID: 9154 Page: 14

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of Santa Clarita Dial-A-Ride program used the FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.2A. The local bus and commuter transit services used FTA sampling procedure in Circular 2710.4A. Both methods have been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

Form 002, Box 2E - provider is a public agency, paratransit operator.

ID: 9154 Page: 15

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

A System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the buyer of transportation services whose purchased transportation services are included in this report.

City of West Hollywood

If A or B checked, name of seller If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency) NTD ID

- 2. Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)
 - D User-side subsidy program
- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - X A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.

 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

		·^		
4.	Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract	Mode: DR	Mode:	Mode:
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	909,723		
	Revenues retained by seller	182,336		
1	Other costs incurred by buyer Revenues returned to the buyer			
1	•			

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification
ID: 9154 Page: 15
ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The City of West Hollywood used FTA sampling procedure of 100% count. This method has been certified by independent auditors and is on file with the MTA.

ID: 9154 Page: 16

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

1. Contractual relationship (Press F3 to see list)

C System named on the Transit Agency Identification Form (001) is the seller of transportation services. The buyer and seller each file their own NTD report.

City of Los Angeles

9147 NTD ID

If A or B checked, name of seller If C or D checked, name of buyer (Public Agency)

Description of demand response provider (Press F3 for list)

- 3. Monetary nature of contractual relationship (check all boxes that apply)
 - A. Cash reimbursement of some of seller's operating deficit.
 - B. Cash reimbursement of all of seller's operating deficit.
 - X C. Cash payment to seller for specific mass transportation services.

 - D. Cash reimbursement to seller for reduced fare programs.E. Vehicles given/sold/loaned or leased for below market value to seller.
 - F. Other. Explain monetary consideration received and obligations incurred by seller on a Supplemental Information Form (005).

4.	Number of vehicles operated in maximum service under contract	Mode: MB	Mode:	Mode:
5.	Contract expenditures by buyer	***************************************		
	Revenues retained by seller	144,859		
}	Other costs incurred by buyer Revenues returned to the buyer			

Date Prepared/Updated: 03/01/96

Form: 002 Contractual Relationship Identification ID: 9154 Page: 16

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

The MTA had an agreement with the City of Los Angeles to operate LADOT Commuter Express Line 573. The agreement ran from May 18, 1992 throuth May 17, 1995. LADOT paid for the operating and maintenance expenses for this line.

Capital Funding Form (103)

ID: 9154 Service: PT Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Form 005 included)

		• •	to Transit Agend	су	_
	 b	rc 	d Funds	Funds	fTotal
	government sour eived from FTA Program funds	ces			
Urbaniz Other F Total FTA	ed Area Formula TA funds	-	611,000 120,442	731,442	
III. Other Fed Total Federal					731,442
art B. State an		State Government Funds	Local Government Funds	Directly Generated Funds	
out of gen	eral revenues ernment entity				
transit a Dedicate	t their source				
2. Sal 3. Pro	es taxes perty taxes oline taxes		641,050		
5. Oth	er taxes nel & hwy tolls ated funds				
Total State, directly gen		0	641,050	0	641,050
Total capital to transit a					1,372,492
	Uses	of Capital Fu	nds		
Mode	Rolling Stock	Facilities	Other	Total	
MB - NF MB - FG DR	1,372,492			0 0 1,372,492 0	
				0 0 0 0	
Total capital expenditures	1,372,492	0	0		1,372,492

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Form: 103 Capital Funding
10: 9154 Service: PT
ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
Subject: Other funding sources (Form 103) and (Form 203)

The City of Pomona received Section 16 funding for purchase of three new vehicles for their Get About Service.

Capital Funding Form (103)

ID: 9154 Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

	Capital	Funds Applied	to Transit Agen	су	4
		T.C	Funds	Funds	Total
Capital Urbaniz Other F Total FTA	eived from FTA Program funds ed Area Formula TA funds funds 'd from other Us eral funds	Program funds	15,250,546 66,699,645 8,547,503	90,497,694 0 0	90,497,694
Part B. State and		State Government Funds	Local Government Funds	Directly Generated Funds	
out of gen	eral revenues ernment entity	0	0		
II. Funds dedi					
Dedicate	d taxes				
2. Sal	ome taxes es taxes	0	67,515,845	0	
4. Gas	perty taxes oline taxes	3,084,891	0	-3,743 0	
Bridge, tuni	er taxes nel & hwy tolls	0	0	0	
Other dedication		0	9,408,612	0 55,281,860	
Total State, directly gene		3,084,891	76,924,457	55,278,117	135,287,465
Total capital to transit a					225,785,159
	Uses	of Capital Fur	nds		
Mode	Rolling Stock	Facilities	Other	Total	
MB - NF MB - FG	22,135,730	187,168,579	6,712,697	216,017,006	
LR HR	75,177 22,844	8,697,663 604,343	380,968 -12,842	9,153,808 614,345	
IIK.	, 044	004,343	- 12,042	614,345 0 0 0 0 0	
Total capital expenditures	22,233,751	196,470,585	7,080,823		225,785,159

Date Prepared/Updated: 04/05/96

Form: 103 Capital Funding ID: 9154 Service: DO ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportations Subject: Other funding sources (Form 103) and (Form 2)	ion Authority 03)
PART A. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT:	
Other FTA Funds, line 3, col d	
Section 5 Grants	\$ 96,000
Section 26 Grants	8,451,503
	e 0 5/7 507
Total	\$ 8,547,503
PART B. STATE & LOCAL SOURCES:	
Item III. Other Funds, col d	
Air Resources Board	\$ 53,795
City of Los Angeles	10,145,040
Local & Private Contributions	1,038,311
Amort of COntributed Capital	(1,933,534)
Los Angeles Neighborhood Initiative	105,000
Total	\$ 9,408,612

Item III. Other Funds, col e	
Proceeds of COPs issued	\$ (503,268)
Write off of Contributed Capital	1,933,534
Write off of Receivable/Equity & Others	(100,018)
Funding for COPs Repayment	(14,349,054)
Rail Equity for Assets booked 6/94	(7,565,000)
Proceeds of Commercial Papers Issues (for	
Gateway Project)	79,005,666
ETC Fund Received	(3,140,000)
Total	\$55,281,860
	=========

Operating Funding (Page 1) Form (203)

ID: 9154 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Part A.	Directly generated funds	Funds	Total
	ı.	Passenger fares		
1		1. Full adult fares	< 0 >	
2		2. Senior citizen fares	< 0 >	
5		3. Student fares	< 0 >	
		4. Park and ride - parking revenue only	< 0 >	
;		5. Special ride fares	< 0 >	
Ś		Total passenger fares		0
7	11.			198,349,085
		assenger fares for DO transit service		198,349,085
_				0
,	111.	the first control of the control of		1,580,098
)	IV. V.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1,000,090
2		Freight tariffs		Ŏ
5		Charter service funds		ŏ
•		Auxiliary transportation funds		5,033,250
•		Non-transportation funds		7,000,1250
;	•	1. Investment income	< 9,812,414 >	
5		2. Other non-transportation funds	< 1,598,545 >	
7		Total non-transportation funds		11,410,959
	х.			
		Dedicated taxes		
3		1. Income taxes		0
)		2. Sales taxes		0
)		Property taxes		0
		4. Gasoline taxes		0
2		5. Other taxes		0
6		Bridge, tunnel and highway tolls		0
•		Other dedicated funds		0
	XI.	Revenue accrued through a purchased		
,		transportation agreement		175,623
	XII.		_	
,		1. State and local government	0	
•		2. Contra account for expense	(0)	
3		Net contributed services		-0-
)	XIII.	Subsidy from other sectors of operations		0

Date Prepared/Updated: 03/01/96Year 1995

Operating Funding (Page 2) Form (203)

ID: 9154
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

(Form UUS Included)				
Operating Funds Applied to Tra	nsit Agency	- page	2 of 2	
1 Total directly generated funds (from page		216,549,015		
Part B. Federal government sources	Funds	Total		
I. Funds from FTA Urbanized Area Form II. Funds from other Federal programs	40,360,000 7,660,209			
4 Total Federal funds		48,020,209		
Part C. State and local government sources I. Funds allocated to transit out of the general revenues of the government entity II. Funds dedicated to transit at their source Dedicated taxes 1. Income taxes 2. Sales taxes 3. Property taxes 4. Gasoline taxes 5. Other taxes 1 Bridge, tunnel and highway tolls Other dedicated funds III. Other funds 4 Total State and local funds	17,356	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 350,680,449 0 16,644,653 0 0	384,681,533
5 Total operating funds applied to transit agency				649,250,757
Passenger Fare	e Revenues E	arned		<u>. </u>
Part D. Passenger fare revenues Passenger fares for DO transit service	è	Mode	Funds <optional></optional>	
6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3		HR LR MB	< 1,464,064> < 5,497,480> < 191,387,541> < 0> < 0> < 0> < 0> < 0>	The second secon
4 Total passenger fare revenues				198,349,085

Date Prepared/Updated: 03/01/96 Report Year 1995

Form: 203 Operating Funding (Page 2) ID: 9154

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Subject: Other funding sources (Form 103) and (Form 203)

Other funds represent Transportation Development Act fund distributed to the cities and used for support the various paratransit services.

1

=========

Form: 203 Operating Funding (Page 2)

ID: 9154 ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Subject: Other Part B. FEDERAL GOVERNMENT II. Funds form other Federal programs: (line 33) 17,868 Section 6 Grants Section 8 Grants 15,165 7,627,176 Other Federal Grants \$ 7,660,209 Total ------Part C. LOCAL (Line 40, col d) II. Funds dedicated to transit at their source: County of Los Angeles - Hollywood Bowl 869,458 County of Los Angeles - Buy Down Prog. 512,844 Rideshare Program 9,000,000 Others - (including cooperative agreements with local municipalities) 5,181,512 Total \$15,563,814

The MTA had agreements with the County of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles Philharmonic Association to provide service to the Hollywood Bowl for the 1994 summer season. Under these agreements, the MTA provided service from various Park and Ride lots to the Hollywood Bowl.

The MTA had an agreement with the City of Los Angeles to provide weekend and holiday bus service on Line 169 between Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport and Sunland Boulevard. The hours of operation were from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. with service frequency ranging from 30 to 60 minutes.

The MTA had a cooperative service agreement with Omnitrans to provide bus service in San Bernardion County on MTA Lines 484, 497, and 694. The service agreement ran from July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1995. Under the agreement, Omnitrans pays for services on a cost per mile basis.

Operating Expenses Summary Form (301)

ID: 9154
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Expense Object Class 501. Labor	Vehicle Operations 010	Vehicle Maintenance 041	Non-Vehicle Maintenance	General	Total
			042	Administration 160	
1 01 Operator salaries/wages 2 02 Other salaries & wages 3 502. Fringe benefits 4 503. Services 504. Materials & supplies 5 01 Fuel & lubricants 6 02 Tires & tubes 7 99 Other matrls & supplies 8 505. Utilities 9 506. Casualty/liability cost 0 507. Taxes 508. Purchased transportation 101 In report 102 Filing separate report 103 509. Miscellaneous expense 105 Expense transfers	50,747,771 103,486,024 5,109,684 23,507,999 120,372 1,721,932 5,254,712 0 2,464,802	0 67,760,156 33,309,063 931,000 228,425 38,390 28,325,457 0 0 0	0 16,536,507 8,128,901 4,570,519 581,990 5,219 7,269,574 0 0 0	0 29,675,515 14,587,680 8,317,768 0 0 2,246,941 7,433,026 34,163,136 1,227,684 2,405,457 0 7,820,664 -4,966,813	159,772,393 164,719,949 159,511,668 18,928,971 24,318,414 163,981 39,563,904 12,687,738 34,163,136 3,692,486 14,137,466 0 7,820,664 -17,156,450
Reconciling items 5 11. Interest expenses	reement				21,060,562 9,719,212 113,268,807 0 0 597,742 144,646,323 766,970,643

Date Prepared/Updated: 04/05/96

ID: 9154 Mode: DR Service: PT Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			Func	ction				J
	D	Vehicle Operations - 010									1 .	Total
Expense Object Class		ortation & Supprt	Revenue Veh Operation	•	icketing & re Collectn	,	System Security	Total	Vehicle Maintenance 041	Non-Vehicle Maintenance 042	General Adminstration 160	Modal
		011	030		151		161	:	Total	Total	Total	Expenses
501 Labor	<		Opt	iona	ι	1	>					
01 Oprtrs salres/wages		0>	•	1	0>	<	0>	0	0	0	0	0
02 Othr salaries/wages	<	0>	< 0>	<	0>	<	0>	0	0	0	0	0
03 502 Fringe benefits	<	0>	< 0>	 <	0>	<	0>	0	0	0	0	0
04 503 Services	<	0>	< 0>	. <u></u>	0>	<u> </u>	0>	0	0	0	0	0
504 Materials & supplies		***************************************										
05 01 Fuel & lubricants	<	0>	< 0>	<	0>	 	0>	0	0	0	0	0
06 02 Tires & tubes 07 99 Othr matrls/suppls	<	U>	< 0>	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0>	 	U>	0	0	0	0	0
7 99 Othr matrls/suppls 8 505 Utilities		0>	< 0>		0> 0>		0>	U	١	U	Ü	Ü
9 506 Casualty/liability		0>	< 0>	1 '	0>		0>	U	١	U	0	U
0 507 Taxes)	0>	< 0>		0>		0> 0>	U	١	U	0	U
508 Purchased transp		0/		***************************************	0>	**********	0>	U	U .			U
1 01 In report	**************************************	0>	< 0>	####### <	0>	*********	0>	6,990,520	961,745	183,351	1,571,425	9,707,041
2 02 Filing separate rpt	<	0>	< 0>		0>	1	0>	0,770,320	701,743	100,001	(24,1,14,1	7,101,041
3 509 Miscellaneous expnse		0>	< 0>		Ι' Ι	1	0>	Ô	ไ ก็ไ	Ô	ľ	0
4 510 Expense transfers	<	0>	_		0>	1	0>	Ö	ő	ŏ	ŏ	0
5 Total	<		< 0	<	0	<	0	6,990,520	961,745	183,351	1,571,425	9,707,041

Date Prepared/Updated: 04/05/96

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: PT Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

_	_	_	_	Fur	ction				,
8	D	Vehicle	Operations -	010		9	η	1	Total
Expense Object Class	Transportation Admin & Supprt 011		Ticketing & Fare Collectn 151	System Security 161	Total	Vehicle Maintenance 041 Total	Non-Vehicle Maintenance 042 Total	General Adminstration 160 Total	Modal Expenses
501 Labor	<	Opt	onal	>					
1 01 Oprtrs salres/wages		< >	< >	< >					C
2 02 Othr salaries/wages	< >	< >	<	< >					ſ
3 502 Fringe benefits 4 503 Services	>	*	< >	>					9
504 Materials & supplies			**************************************	* >		***************************************	***************************************		
5 01 Fuel & lubricants									
6 02 Tires & tubes	·	· .							
7 99 Othr matrls/suppls	· >	< >	·						
8 505 Utilities	< >	< >	< >	< >					1
9 506 Casualty/liability	< >	< >	< >	< >	!				
0 507 Taxes	< >	< >	< >	< >					1 7
508 Purchased transp									
1 01 In report	,	< >	< >	< >	2,561,777	851,619	182,997	834,032	4,430,42
2 02 Filing separate rpt		< >	< >	< >			· ·	·	
3 509 Miscellaneous expnse	< >	< >	< >	 <					(
4 510 Expense transfers	< >	< >	< >	 <					(
5 Total	<	<	<	<	2,561,777	851,619	182,997	834,032	4,430,42

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

•	h		•			Func	tion 4	_			•
-8			Vehicl	e Operations	- 0	10	-T	9	n	1	Total
Expense Object Class		sportation n & Supprt 011		Ticketing & Fare Collectr 151		System Security 161	Total	Vehicle Maintenance 041 Total	Non-Vehicle Maintenance 042 Total	General Adminstration 160 Total	Modal Expenses
501 Labor	<			ional		>					
01 Oprtrs salres/wages 02 Othr salaries/wages 03 502 Fringe benefits 04 503 Services	< ;	1,004,259> 2,622,296> 1,782,717> 34,771>	< 0>	< 0:< 0:< 0:	> < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	0> 0> 0> 0>	1,004,259 2,622,296 1,782,717 34,771	0 1,891,490 929,807 -4,974	0 2,795,619 1,374,251 929,010	0 1,694,408 832,924 178,344	1,004,259 9,003,813 4,919,699 1,137,151
504 Materials & supplies 5 01 Fuel & lubricants	<	3,418>	< 0>	< 0:	職 職 > <	0>	3,418	7,044	16,647	0	27,109
06 02 Tires & tubes	<	0>	< 0>	· < 0:	> <	0>	0	0	5,219	Ō	5,219
7 99 Othr matris/suppls	<	15,809>		1	> <		15,809	-35,319	418,982	33,630	433,102
08 505 Utilities 09 506 Casualty/liability	-	1,961,975> 0>	< 0>		> <	0> 0>	1,961,975	0	0	209,671	2,171,646
0 507 Taxes		0>		1		0>	ŏ	ő	0	928,865 35,368	928,865 35,368
508 Purchased transp											
1 01 In report	<	0>	_	1	- 1	9-1	0	0	0	0	0
2 02 Filing separate rpt		0> 0>			<u> </u>	0>	0	0	0	305 075	0
3 509 Miscellaneous expnse 4 510 Expense transfers	<	0>	_	1	> < > <	· 1	0		-93,554	205,935 -168,298	205,935 -261,852
5 Total	< ;	7,425,245	< 0	< 0	<	0	7,425,245	2,788,048	5,446,174	3,950,847	19,610,314

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Function									
		Vehicle	Operations -	010	T-1	9	n]	Total	
Expense Object Class	Transportation Admin & Supprt 011	Revenue Veh Operation 030	Ticketing & Fare Collectn 151	System Security 161	Total	Vehicle Maintenance 041 Total	Non-Vehicle Maintenance 042 Total	General Adminstration 160 Total	Modal Expenses	
501 Labor	<	Opt	onal	>					4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
01 Oprtrs salres/wages 02 Othr salaries/wages 03 502 Fringe benefits 04 503 Services	< 1,272,711> < 1,860,375> < 1,130,532>	< 0> < 0>	< 0> < 0> < 0> < 0> < 0>	< 0> < 0> < 0> < 0> < 0>	2,511,823 1,272,711 1,860,375 1,130,532	0 2,580,117 1,268,316 146,339	0 2,824,748 1,388,570 1,738,040	0 2,278,130 1,119,867 402,105	2,511,823 8,955,706 5,637,128 3,417,016	
504 Materials & supplies 504 Of Fuel & Lubricants	< 6,731>	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	6,731	14,711	27,794		/0.37/	
06 02 Tires & tubes	< 0,731>	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	6,731	14,711	21,194 N	U O	49,236	
07 99 Othr matris/suppls	< 36,310>		< 0>	< 0>	36,310	1,607,075	1,280,275	102,832	3,026,492	
08 505 Utilities	< 3,292,737>			< 0>	3,292,737	0	0	303,012	3,595,749	
09 506 Casualty/liability 10 507 Taxes	< 0> < 0>	< 0> < 0>	< 0> <	< 0>	0	0	0	2,973,355 50,332	2,973,355 50,332	
508 Purchased transp					Ü	Ü		20,332	20,332	
1 01 In report		< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	0	0	0	0	0	
2 02 Filing separate rpt 3 509 Miscellaneous expose		< 0> <	< 0>	< 0>	0	0	0	0	0	
13 509 Miscellaneous expnse 14 510 Expense transfers	< 0>	- 1	< 0> < 0>	< 0> < 0>	0	0	-55 , 999	297,577 -14,982	297,577 -70,981	
5 Total	< 10,111,219	< 0	< 0	< 0	10,111,219	5,616,558	7,203,428	7,512,228	30,443,433	

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Operating Expenses by Mode Form (301)

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

_	L	_		Fund	tion	_	L .	•	
a	D	Vehicle Operations - 010				9	h		Total
Expense Object Class	Transportation Admin & Supprt 011	Revenue Veh Operation 030	Ticketing & Fare Collectn 151	System Security 161	Total	Vehicle Maintenance 041 Total	Non-Vehicle Maintenance 042 Total	General Adminstration 160 Total	Modal Expenses
501 Labor	<	opt	ional	>					
01 Oprtrs salres/wages			< 0>	 < 0>	156,256,311	0	0	0	156,256,311
02 Othr salaries/wages	, , ,		< 0>	-	46,852,764	63,288,549	10,916,140	25,702,977	146,760,430
3 502 Fringe benefits	< 99,842,932>		< 0>		99,842,932	31,110,940	5,366,080	12,634,889	148,954,841
04 503 Services	< 3,944,381>	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	3,944,381	789,635	1,903,469	7,737,319	14,374,804
504 Materials & supplies		0.	< 0>		37 / 67 050	20/ /70	577 5/0		2/ 2/2 2/2
5 O1 Fuel & lubricants 6 O2 Tires & tubes	< 23,497,850> < 120,372>		< 0> < 0>		23,497,850	206,670	537,549	0	24,242,069
of 02 fires a tubes of 99 Othr matris/suppls	< 1,669,813>		< 0>	< 0> < 0>	120,372 1,669,813	38,390 26,753,701	5,570,317	2,110,479	158,762
08 505 Utilities	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	-	1,007,013	20,755,701	116,016,6	6,920,343	36,104,310 6,920,343
9 506 Casualty/liability	< 0>	_	< 0>	_	Ů	ň	Ŏ	30,260,916	30,260,916
0 507 Taxes	< 2,464,802>		< 0>	< 0>	2,464,802	ň	Ď	1,141,984	3,606,786
508 Purchased transp									3,000,100
1 01 In report	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	0	0	0	0	0
2 02 Filing separate rpt	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	0	o	Ō	Ö	٥
3 509 Miscellaneous expnse		< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	0	0	Ö	7,317,152	7,317,152
4 510 Expense transfers	< -2,944,366>	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>	-2,944,366	-7,682,865	-1,412,853	-4,783,533	-16,823,617
5 Total	< 331,704,859	< 0	< 0	< 0	331,704,859	114,505,020	22,880,702	89,042,526	558,133,107

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Operators' Wages Form (321)

ID: 9154 Mode: DR

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Time Classification	Dollars	Hours
01 02 03 04 05 06	03 Platform time - charter & special 04 Travel & intervening time 05 Min guarantee time - call out, daily, wkly 06 Overtime premium - scheduled & unscheduled	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
07 08		0	0 0
上 99	Total operating time	\$ 0	
10		0	0
12	Total non-operating paid work time	\$ 0	
13	Total operating and non-operating time	\$ 0	

Date Prepared/Updated: 03/04/96

Operators' Wages Form (321)

ID: 9154 Mode: MB

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Time Classification		Dollars	Hours
01 02 03	 Operating time Report, turn-in time, breaks & allowances Platform time - line service Platform time - charter & special 		5,459,070 125,048,098 0	302,601 6,989,832 0
04 05 06 07 08	04 Travel & intervening time 05 Min guarantee time - call out, daily, wkly 06 Overtime premium - scheduled & unscheduled 07 Spread time premium 08 Other operating time		2,785,750 2,184,542 11,945,113 0 4,167,756	154,995 121,632 1,307,112 0 252,250
D9	Total operating time	\$	151,590,329	
10	2. Non-operating paid work time 01 Stand-by time 02 Other non-operating paid work time		3,643,554 1,022,428	211,919 69,065
12	Total non-operating paid work time	\$	4,665,982	
13	Total operating and non-operating time	s	156,256,311	

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Fringe Benefits Form (331)

ID: 9154
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

Fringe Benefit Object Class	Employer Total
502. Fringe benefits 01 FICA or railroad retirement and/or PERS	20,634,572
02 Pension plans (including long-term disability insurance)	10,081,012
03 Hospital, medical, and surgical plans	49,144,934
04 Dental plans	945,095
05 Life insurance plans	1,260,127
06 Short-term disability insurance plans	0
07 Unemployment insurance	787,579
08 Workers' comp insurance or Fed Emp Liability Act contribtn	21,579,667
09 Sick leave	9,593,186
10 Holiday (including all premiums paid for work on holidays)	6,109,093
11 Vacation	29,352,284
12 Other paid absence (bereavement, military, jury duty, etc)	2,672,729
13 Uniform and work clothing allowances	1,995,853
14 Other fringe benefits	5,355,537
15 Total fringe benefits	\$ 159,511,668

Date Prepared/Updated: 03/01/96

Supplemental Information Form (005)

Form: 331 Fringe Benefits

ID: 9154

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Other

Line 14 includes allocated fringe benefits of \$162,338,048; and allocated fringe benefits includes pooled fringe benefits allocated based on a percentage of direct labor costs.

Revenue Vehicle Maintenance and Energy Form (402)

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Item	Amounts
01 02	Number of revenue service interruptions Mechanical reasons Other reasons	12 732
 03	Total revenue service interruptions	744
04	Total labor hours for inspection and maintenance	33,280
05 06 07 08	Number of maintenance facilities Number of general purpose facilities Serving under 200 vehicles Serving 200-300 vehicles Serving more than 300 vehicles Number of heavy maintenance facilities	1 0 0 0
09	Total maintenance facilities	1.0
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Energy consumption Kilowatt hours of propulsion power Gallons of diesel fuel Gallons of gasoline Gallons of LNG Gallons of methanol Gallons of cthanol Gallons of bunker fuel Gallons of grain additive fuel Other fuel	25,990,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Revenue Vehicle Maintenance and Energy Form (402)

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Item	Amounts
01 02	Number of revenue service interruptions Mechanical reasons Other reasons	240 960
03	Total revenue service interruptions	1,200
04	Total labor hours for inspection and maintenance	71,552
05 06 07 08	Number of maintenance facilities Number of general purpose facilities Serving under 200 vehicles Serving 200-300 vehicles Serving more than 300 vehicles Number of heavy maintenance facilities	1 0 0 0
09	Total maintenance facilities	1.0
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Energy consumption Kilowatt hours of propulsion power Gallons of diesel fuel Gallons of gasoline Gallons of LPG Gallons of LNG Gallons on methanol Gallons of ethanol Gallons of CNG Gallons of bunker fuel Gallons of kerosene Gallons of grain additive fuel	31,298,946 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Revenue Vehicle Maintenance and Energy Form (402) ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Item	Amounts
01 02	Number of revenue service interruptions Mechanical reasons Other reasons	32,974 13,928
03	Total revenue service interruptions	46,902
04	Total labor hours for inspection and maintenance	1,069,147
05 06 07 08	Number of maintenance facilities Number of general purpose facilities Serving under 200 vehicles Serving 200-300 vehicles Serving more than 300 vehicles Number of heavy maintenance facilities	9 3 0 1
09	Total maintenance facilities	13.0
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Energy consumption Kilowatt hours of propulsion power Gallons of diesel fuel Gallons of gasoline Gallons of LPG Gallons of LNG Gallons on methanol Gallons of ethanol Gallons of CNG Gallons of bunker fuel Gallons of grain additive fuel Other fuel	0 23,724,154 935,177 0 0 10,631,828 394,940 72,184 0 0

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: PT Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Guideway Classification	Directional Route Miles	Miles of Track	Number of Crossings
Rail modes At grade, 01 Exclusive ROW 02 With cross traffic		-	
03 Mixed & cross traffic 04 Elevated on structure 05 Elevated on fill 06 Open cut 07 Subway			
 OB Total O9 Average Monthly*	0	0	0
10 Stations 10a [ADA accessible]		[]	
Guideway Classification	Directional Route Miles	Average Monthly DRM*	
Non-Rail modes 11 Exclusive ROW 12 Controlled access ROW			
13 Mixed traffic ROW 14 Total	507 507.0	0	

* Complete only if there was a change in service during the reporting period that affected the number of fixed guideway directional route miles.

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Guideway Classification	Directional Route Miles	Miles of Track	Number of Crossings
a-	Rail modes	D		
	At grade,			
01	Exclusive ROW	0	0	
02	With cross traffic	0	0	0
03	Mixed & cross traffic	0	0	0
04	Elevated on structure	0	0	
05	Elevated on fill	0	0	
06	Open cut	0	0	
07	Subway	6.0	8.8	
1	•			
Ò8	Total	6.0	8.8	0
1				
Ò9	Average Monthly*	***************************************		
1				
10	Stations		5	
10a	[ADA accessible]		[5]	
		Directional	Average	
	Guideway Classification	Route Miles	Monthly DRM*	
	Non-Rail modes			
11	Exclusive ROW			
12	Controlled access ROW			
13	Mixed traffic ROW			
i				
14	Total	0	0	
_			<u> </u>	

^{*} Complete only if there was a change in service during the reporting period that affected the number of fixed guideway directional route miles.

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Guideway Classification	Directional Route Miles	Miles of Track	Number of Crossings
Rail modes At grade, O1 Exclusive ROW O2 With cross traffic	3.7 27.6	3.7 30.9	77
03 Mixed & cross traffic 04 Elevated on structure 05 Elevated on fill 06 Open cut	0 4.1 6.2	0 4.1 6.3 .2 1.5	0
07 Subway 08 Total 09 Average Monthly*	43.2	46.7	77
10 Stations 10a [ADA accessible]		22 [22]	
Guideway Classification	Directional Route Miles	Average Monthly DRM*	
Non-Rail modes 11 Exclusive ROW 12 Controlled access ROW			
13 Mixed traffic ROW	0	0	

^{*} Complete only if there was a change in service during the reporting period that affected the number of fixed guideway directional route miles.

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

	Guideway Classification	Directional Route Miles	Miles of Track	Number of Crossings
01 02	Rail modes At grade, Exclusive ROW With cross traffic			
03 04 05 06 07	Mixed & cross traffic Elevated on structure Elevated on fill Open cut Subway			
 08 	Total Average Monthly*	0	0	0
1	Stations		[]	
	Guideway Classification	Directional Route Miles	Average Monthly DRM*	
11 12 13 14	Non-Rail modes Exclusive ROW Controlled access ROW Mixed traffic ROW Total	24.5 0 4,368.38 4,392.9	0 0	

* Complete only if there was a change in service during the reporting period that affected the number of fixed guideway directional route miles.

Date Prepared/Updated: 04/05/96

Form: 403 Transit Way Mileage

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Subject: Motorbus fixed guideway segments (Forms 403 & 901)

MOTOR BUS FIXED GUIDEWAY SEGMENTS:

1. Exclusives Right-of-Way Segments:

The Authority operates lines on the El Monte Busway which is a exclusive right-of-way segment for bus and high occupancy motor vehicles. The east-west facility is in the median of I-10 with one lane in each direction. The eastern end of this facility is at the intersection of I-10 and Santa Anita Avenue. The western end is at Alameda and Arcadia Streets. The total length of the complete segment is 23.0 miles (both directions).

The El Monte Busway is a priority lane on a multi-lane roadway, with a total of 23.0 miles directional route miles, peak period level of service "E", where single occupant autos are prohibited 168 hours/week, and the prohibition is enforced 168 hours/week.

2. The Authority operates lines on a Spring Street contra-flow lane in downtown Los Angeles. The south end of this facility is at the intersection of Spring and 9th Streets and the north end is at Spring Street and Sunset Boulevard. The length of this facility is 1.5 miles. The guideway consists of one northbound lane from the south end intersection to the intersection of Spring and 1st Streets and continues with two northbound lanes from Spring and 1st Streets to Spring and Aliso.

The Spring Street bus lane is an exclusive lane, parallel to a multi-lane roadway, separated from general traffic lanes. It has a total of 1.5 directional route miles, with peak period level of service "D", where single occupant autos are prohibited 168 hours/week and the prohibition is enforced 168 hours/week.

Transit Agency Employee Form (404)

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Labor Classification	Employee	Actual Person Count			
	Cabor Ctassification	Work Hours	Full Time Employees	Part Time Employees		
50	01. Labor					
Ò1	010 Transp admin & support	< 32,107>	< 16>	< 0>		
02	030 Revenue veh operation	< 50,784>	< 24>	< 0>		
03	151 Ticketing/fare collectn	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>		
04	161 System security	< 72,202>	< 35>	< 0>		
1						
Ò5	010 Vehicle operations	155,093	75.0	0		
06	041 Vehicle maintenance	88,552	43	0 '		
07	042 Non-vehicle maintenance	111,955	53	0		
80	160 General administration	59,834	28	0		
09	Total operating labor	415,434	199.0	0		
10	Total capital labor	0	0	0		
11	Total labor	415,434	199.0	0		

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Transit Agency Employee Form (404)

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Labor Classification	Employee	Actual Pe	rson Count
Labor Classification	Work Hours	Full Time Employees	Part Time Employees
501. Labor 01 010 Transp admin & support	< 23,201>	< 11>	< 0>
02 030 Revenue veh operation	< 125,845>	< 61>	< 0>
03 151 Ticketing/fare collectn		< 1>	(< 0>
04 161 System security	< 0>	< 0>	< 0>
05 010 Vehicle operations	149,062	73.0	0
06 041 Vehicle maintenance	119,556	57	0
07 042 Non-vehicle maintenance	125,972	60	0
08 160 General administration	78,866	37	0
09 Total operating labor	473,456	227.0	0
10 Total capital labor	0	0	0
11 Total labor	473,456	227.0	0

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Transit Agency Employee Form (404)

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Labor Classification	T	Employee		Actual Per	rson Count
Labor Classification		Work Hours		Full Time Employees	Part Time Employees
	J۳		_ءا	Liiptoyees	d
501. Labor	1				
01 010 Transp admin & support	<	1,308,109>	<	629>	< 0>
02 030 Revenue veh operation	<	7,788,974>	<	3,745>	< 234>
03 151 Ticketing/fare collectr	۰/<	110,856>	<	53>	< 0>
04 161 System security	<	772,326>	<	371>	< 0>
1					
05 010 Vehicle operations	"	9,980,265		4,798.0	234.0
06 041 Vehicle maintenance		3,307,521	ł	1,590	0
07 042 Non-vehicle maintenance	1	531,418		255	0
08 160 General administration	1	1,867,901		935	0
09 Total operating labor	T	15,687,105		7,578.0	234.0
10 Total capital labor		224,451		108	0
11 Total labor		15,911,556		7,686.0	234.0

Date Prepared/Updated: 03/01/96

Transit Safety and Security (Page 1) Form (405)

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Safety Items	Incid	ents			Fat	alitie	es -		6		Inju	uries	h	
Collisions		***********	Pat	rons	3	Emps	0	thers	Pat		y E	mps	Ot	hers
01 Collision with other vehicles	1_	0	_	0		0		0		0		0		0
Ola [at grade crossings]	נ	0]	נ	01	ſ		[01	[0]	[0]	נ	0]
02 Collision with objects	l _	0	١_	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	_	0
O2a [at grade crossings]	Į.	0)	[0]	נ	0)	[0]	[0]	נ	0]	ι	03
03 Collision with people	_	0	_	0		0	_	0	_	0		0		0
03a [at grade crossings]	נ	0]	נ	0]	ι	01	Ţ	01	[0]	ι	0]	[01
03b [attemped/sucessful suicides]	נ	0]	ι	0)	נ	01	[0]	[0]	נ	0)	C	03
Non-Collisions											200			
Derailments												enere.	in,	e in in
04 Derailment/bus going off road		0		0	*****	Ö	*****	Ö	*******	0	-	0		0
											***		388038	88000
Personal casualties									****			***	300	
05 Parking facility		0		0		0		0		Ö	*******	0		0
06 Inside vehicle		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
07 On right-of-way	1	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
08 Boarding & alighting vehicle	ł	0		0		0		0		0		0		0
OBa [associated with lifts]	l C	0]	Ε	0)	[03	1	01	[01	[01	ſ	01
09 In stations/bus stop		0		0		0		0		0		0	_	0
09a [associated w/ escalators]	l C	0]	[0]	3	0]	[0)	1	03	ι	01	[01
09b [associated w/ elevators]	ι	0]	[0]	[01	[0]	[0]	[0]	Į.	0]
Fires (no thresholds)														
10 Inside vehicles	***************************************	0	*********	0	******	0	******	0	*******	0	*******	0	***************************************	0
11 In stations		0		Ō		ŏ		ōl		Ō		ŏ		Õ
12 Right-of-way & others		0		0		0		ō		0		0		Ō
13 Total transit property damage	\$			0										(S) (S) (S)

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Transit Safety and Security (Page 1) Form (405)

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Safety Items	Inci	dents			at	alitie	es		4		lnj	uries	_	
Collisions O1 Collision with other vehicles		29	Pa	trons 0	٦	Emps 0	0	thers 0	Pat	rons 8	9-	Emps 6	01	hers 12
Ola [at grade crossings] O2 Collision with objects	Į.	28) 1	ſ	01	1	0) 0	נ	0 0)	ſ	8) 0	Į	6] 0	[12] 0
OZa [at grade crossings]	E	01 8	E	01	ι	0) 0	ι	0) 7	ι	03	ſ	0 ₁	ι	01
03 Collision with people 03a [at grade crossings] 03b [attemped/sucessful suicides]	[5) 2)	[03]	01 03	[[5) 2)	1	1) 0)]	5) 0)	נ	0] 0]
Non-Collisions Derailments O4 Derailment/bus going off road		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Personal casualties 05 Parking facility		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
06 Inside vehicle 07 On right-of-way		8 0		0		0		0		0		8 0		0
08 Boarding & alighting vehicle 08a [associated with lifts]	[01 3	ι	01	ι	0] 0	C	01	[01	ι	3 0]	ι	03 0
09 In stations/bus stop 09a [associated w/ escalators] 09b [associated w/ elevators]	[[1 0] 0]	[0] 0] 0	[0] 0] 0	[0] 0] 0	[[0] 0] 0	0	0] 0] 1	1	0] 0] 0
Fires (no thresholds) 10 Inside vehicles		0		0 0		0 0		0 0		0		0		0
11 In stations 12 Right-of-way & others		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
13 Total transit property damage	\$	5,	14,2	208									usbek	pádom

Date Prepared/Updated: 05/07/96

Transit Safety and Security (Page 1) Form (405)

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Safety Items	Inc	idents	_		ata	aliti	es		_ء	1	nj	uries	. h	
Collisions			Pat	rons	E	mps	Ot	hers	Pa	trons	9-	Emps	0	thers
01 Collision with other vehicles		748		0		0		4		486		210		574
Ola [at grade crossings]	1	0)	[0)	[0]	Ţ	0)	I	0]	ſ	0]	[03
02 Collision with objects		13		0		0		0		10		2		6
O2a [at grade crossings]	[03	[0)	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0)
03 Collision with people		55		1		0		2		6		14	l	49
03a [at grade crossings]	1	0)	I	0)]	0)	Į.	0)	[0)	[0]	[0]
03b [attemped/sucessful suicides]	ſ	1]	[0]	1	0)	[1]	[0]	נ	0]	E	0]
Non-Collisions														
Derailments														gaine -
04 Derailment/bus going off road		0		0		0	 	0		0	l	0		0
Personal casualties														
05 Parking facility	İ	0		0	ĺ	0	Ì	0		0		0	l	0
06 Inside vehicle		213		0		0	l	0		218		0	ŀ	0
07 On right-of-way		0		0	İ	0	1	0		0		0		0
OB Boarding & alighting vehicle	1	219		0		0		0	ŀ	184		36	1	0
O8a [associated with lifts]	1	13)	ַ	0]	ַ	01	ι		C	13]	ſ	0]	C	03
09 In stations/bus stop		34		0		0		0		12		4		25
09a [associated w/ escalators]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	ַ	0)
09b [associated w/ elevators]	1	03	[0]	ſ	0]	נ	0]	נ	0]	[0]	ι	0)
Fires (no thresholds)														- 2021
10 Inside vehicles		0		0		0		0		0		0	1	0
11 In stations	1	0		0		0		0	J	0		0	l	0
12 Right-of-way & others		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
13 Total transit property damage	\$	7/	44,3	28										

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Transit Safety and Security (Page 2) Form (405)

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	Security Items		In Vehicle	In Station	Other Transit Prop
Pi	art I. Offenses (Report Violent crime	s)	b-	С	d
61	Homicide	Patrons	0	0	0
02		Employees	o	0	0
03		Others	0	0	0
04	Forcible rape	Patrons	0	0	0
05		Employees	0	0	0
06		Others	0	0	0
07	Robbery	Patrons	2	4	0
80		Employees	0	0	0
09		Others	0	0	0
10	Aggravated assault	Patrons	0	7	0
11		Employees	0	0	0
12		Others	0	0	0
	Property crime				
13	Larceny/theft	Patrons	4	6	0
14		Employees	0	0	0
15		Others	0	0	0
16	Motor vehicle theft	Patrons	0	0	o
17		Employees	0	0	0
18		Others	0	0	0
19	Burglary		0	0	1
20	Arson		0	0	0
Pá	art II. Offenses (Arres	ts)			
21	Other assaults		0	4	0
22	Vandalism		5	5	0
23	Sex offenses		0	5	0
24	Drug abuse violation		3	15	0
25	Driving under the in	tluence	0	0	20
26 27	Drunkenness Disorderly conduct		18 7	212 53	0
28	Trespassing		ó) 53 8	0
29	fare evasion		252	798	ŏ
30	Curfew and loitering	laws	0	,,0	ŏ
31	Total transit property	damage	\$ 2	2,607	

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Transit Safety and Security (Page 2) Form (405)

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

_					00000
	Security Items		In Vehicle	In Station	Other Transit Prop
Pa	art I. Offenses (Report Violent crime	s)			
01	Homicide	Patrons	0	0	0
02		Employees	0	0	0
03		Others	0	0	0
04	Forcible rape	Patrons	0	0	0
05	•	Employees	0	0	0
06 I		Others	0	0	0
07	Robbery	Patrons	0	38	0
80		Employees	0	0	0
09 		Others	0	0	0
10	Aggravated assault	Patrons	2	44	0
11		Employees	0	0	0
12		Others	0	0	0
	Property crime				
13	Larceny/theft	Patrons	24	24	0
14		Employees	0	0	0
15		Others	0	0	0
16	Motor vehicle theft	Patrons	0	0	0
17		Employees	0	0	0
18		Others	0	0	0
19	Burglary		0	0	0
20	Arson		0	0	0
Pa	art II. Offenses (Arres	ts)			
21	Other assaults		10	14	Ō
22	Vandalism		6	55	0
23	Sex offenses		4	0	0
24	Drug abuse violation	_	27	25	0
25	Driving under the in	tluence	0	2	22
26 27	Drunkenness Disorderly conduct	ļ	952 56	990 220	0
28	Trespassing		ο 0	220	0
29	Fare evasion		5,106	3,404	Ö
30	Curfew and loitering	laws	0	0	ŏ
31	Total transit property	damage	\$ 13	3,904	

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

Transit Safety and Security (Page 2) Form (405)

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

			,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Security Items		In Vehicle	In Station	Other Transit Prop
P	art I. Offenses (Report Violent crime	s)			
01	Homicide	Patrons	2	0	0
02 03		Employees Others	0	0	0 0
04	Forcible rape	Patrons	1	1 0	0
05 06		Employees Others	0	0	0 3
07	Robbery	Patrons	35	15	6
08 09		Employees Others	63 4	79 10	11 37
10	Aggravated assault	Patrons	60	22	0
11 12		Employees Others	35 1	1 12	33
	Property crime			ľ	
13 14	Larceny/theft	Patrons Employees	139 8	25 3	0
15		Others	8	21	87
16 17	Motor vehicle theft	Patrons Employees	0	0	11 0
18		Others	1	0	34
19	Burglary		1	1	59
20	Arson		0	0	0
P.	art II. Offenses (Arres Other assaults	ts)	368	59	35
22	Vandalism		153	51	100
23	Sex offenses		18	3	4
24	Drug abuse violation		49	200	144
25	Driving under the in	fluence	0	1	38
26 27	Drunkenness Disorderly conduct		33	779 4	167 34
28	Trespassing		٥	0	17
29	Fare evasion		2	ŏ	Ö
30	Curfew and loitering	laws	0	0	0
31	Total transit property	damage	\$ 66	5,044	

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

ID: 9154 Mode: DR Service: PT Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

1tem	h	Average Weeko	lay		Average Weekday	Average Saturday	Average Sunday	Annual Total
rteii	AM Peak	Midday	PM Peak	Other	Total	Total	Total	
Maximum service vehicles (veh) 01 Veh operated in maximum service 02 Veh available for maximum service								156 177
Limits of service 03 Time service begins 04 Time service ends	600 1130	1130 1400	1400 1800		600 1100	600 1900	600 1800	
Service supplied (Non-Rail modes) 05 Number of veh in operation 06 Total actual veh miles 07 Total actual veh hours 08 Total actual veh revenue miles 09 Total actual veh revenue hours 10 Total scheduled veh revenue miles 11 Charter service hours 12 School bus hours	156 <	156 <	156 <	< > > < > > < > < > < > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > > < > > < > > < > > > < > > < > > < > > < > > > < > > > < > > > < > > < > > < > > < > > > < > > > > < > > > < > > > < > > > < > > > < > > > < > > > < > > > < > > > < > > > > < > > > < > > > > < > > > > < > > > > < > > > > > < > > > > > < > > > > > < > > > > > < > > > > > > > > > < >	156 12,155 951 11,056 844	48 3,379 289 3,199 271	44 2,705 246 2,511 227	3,488,823 276,031 3,182,536 246,180 0
Service supplied (Rail modes) 3 Number of trains in operation 4 No. of passngr cars in operation 5 Total actual train miles 7 Total actual train hours 7 Total actual train revenue miles 8 Total actual train revenue hours 9 Total actual passngr car miles 7 Total actual passngr car rev miles 7 Total actual passngr car rev miles 7 Total actual passngr car rev miles 7 Total actual passngr car rev miles 7 Total actual passngr car rev hours	< > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < >	<	< > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < >	< > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < >				0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Service consumed 24 Unlinked passenger trips 25 Passenger miles	\	< >	< >	< >	3,531 15,815	1,393 4,756	1,221 4,002	1,057,519 4,583,131
Service operated (Days) 26 Days schedules operated 27 Days not operated due to strikes. 28 Days not operated due to officially declared emergencies		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Weekdays 261	Saturdays 52	Sundays 52	Annual Total 365 0 0

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

1D: 9154 Mode: MB Service: PT Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Item	h	Average Weeko	lay		Average Weekday	Average Saturday	h Average Sunday	Annual Total
I (eiii	AM Peak	Midday	PM Peak	Other	Total	Total	Total	Total
Maximum service vehicles (veh) 01 Veh operated in maximum service 1 Veh available for maximum service								38 51
Limits of service 03 Time service begins 04 Time service ends	630 800	800 1445	1445 1800		430 2000	730 1930		
Service supplied (Non-Rail modes) 05 Number of veh in operation 06 Total actual veh miles 07 Total actual veh hours 08 Total actual veh revenue miles 09 Total actual veh revenue hours 10 Total scheduled veh revenue miles 11 Charter service hours 12 School bus hours	38 <	26	38 <	< > > < > > < > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > < > > > < > > < > > > < > > > < > > < > > > > < > > > < > > > > < > > > < > > > > < > > > < > > > > < > > > > < > > > > < > > > > > < > > > > < > > > > < > > > > > < > > > > > > < > > > > > > > < > > > > > > > > > < >	38 5,788 325 5,110 261 5,110	17 3,161 163 2,928 152 2,928		1,651,888 92,001 1,465,526 74,981 1,465,526
Service supplied (Rail modes) 13 Number of trains in operation 14 No. of passngr cars in operation 15 Total actual train miles 16 Total actual train hours 17 Total actual train revenue miles 18 Total actual train revenue hours 19 Total actual passngr car miles 20 Ttl actual passngr car rev miles 21 Ttl schl'd passngr car rev miles 22 Total actual passngr car hours 23 Ttl actual passngr car rev hours		< > > < < > > < < > > < < > < < < > < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	<	<				000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Service consumed 24 Unlinked passenger trips 25 Passenger miles	< >	< >	< >	·	4,619 29,143	1,809 8,723		1,281,151 7,943,347
Service operated (Days) 26 Days schedules operated 27 Days not operated due to strikes 28 Days not operated due to 38 Officially declared emergencies	Days schedules operated						Sundays	Annual Total 309 0 0

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Item	h	Average Weeko	lay		Average Weekday	Average Saturday	Average Sunday	Annual Total
1 (G)II	AM Peak	Midday	PM Peak	Other	Total	Total	Total	
Maximum service vehicles (veh) 01 Veh operated in maximum service 02 Veh available for maximum service								16 30
Limits of service 03 Time service begins 04 Time service ends	500 900	900 1500	1500 1900		424 2122	415 2122	415 21 22	
Service supplied (Non-Rail modes) 05 Number of veh in operation 06 Total actual veh miles 07 Total actual veh hours 08 Total actual veh revenue miles 09 Total actual veh revenue hours 10 Total scheduled veh revenue miles 11 Charter service hours 12 School bus hours		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \						
Service supplied (Rail modes) 13 Number of trains in operation 14 No. of passngr cars in operation 15 Total actual train miles 16 Total actual train hours 17 Total actual train revenue miles 18 Total actual train revenue hours 19 Total actual passngr car miles 20 Ttl actual passngr car rev miles 21 Ttl schi'd passngr car rev miles 22 Total actual passngr car hours 23 Ttl actual passngr car rev hours	4 16 < 239> < 15> < 238> < 15> < 956> < 953> < 955> < 60> < 59>	< 20> < 308> < 20> < 672> < 662> < 50>	4 10 < 223> < 14> < 220> < 14> < 517> < 508> < 509> < 36> < 35>	< 22>	4 16 847 56 835 55 2,319 2,282 2,285 168 162	949 949 81		694,884
Service consumed 24 Unlinked passenger trips 25 Passenger miles	< 5,206>	< 6,377>	< 6,885>	< 582>	19,050 28,289	10,611 16,458	8,245 13,588	5,887,732 8,857,615
Service operated (Days) 26 Days schedules operated 27 Days not operated due to strikes. 28 Days not operated due to officially declared emergencies	Saturdays 52 0 0	Sundays 58 0 0	Annual Total 365 0 0					

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Item		Average Week	day		f	Average Setundar	h—————————————————————————————————————	Annual Total
rten	AM Peak	Midday	PM Peak	Other	Total	Saturday Total	Total	iotat
Maximum service vehicles (veh) 01 Veh operated in maximum service 02 Veh available for maximum service								36 54
Limits of service 03 Time service begins 04 Time service ends	500 900	900 1500	1500 1900		405 2335	405 2335	405 2335	
Service supplied (Non-Rail modes) 05 Number of veh in operation 06 Total actual veh miles 07 Total actual veh hours 08 Total actual veh revenue miles 09 Total actual veh revenue hours 10 Total scheduled veh revenue miles 11 Charter service hours 12 School bus hours	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<				
Service supplied (Rail modes) 13 Number of trains in operation 14 No. of passngr cars in operation 15 Total actual train miles 16 Total actual train hours 17 Total actual train revenue miles 18 Total actual train revenue hours 19 Total actual passngr car miles 20 Ttl actual passngr car rev miles 21 Ttl schl'd passngr car rev miles 22 Total actual passngr car hours 23 Ttl actual passngr car rev hours	17 34 < 1,101> < 55> < 1,090> < 54> < 2,202> < 2,181> < 2,182> < 109> < 107>	< 68> < 1,317> < 68> < 2,643> < 2,634> < 2,638> < 138>	< 57> < 1,136> < 56> < 2,305> < 2,272> < 2,275> < 115>	 34> 580> 32> 1,078> 1,028> 1,029> 61> 	17 34 4,180 214 4,123 210 8,228 8,115 8,124 423 414	3,378 180 3,349 178 6,542 6,484	111 22 3,382 180 3,353 178 6,543 6,484 6,486 348 343	1,437,712 74,370 1,419,987 73,130 2,817,818 2,782,565 2,785,496 146,145 143,352
Service consumed 24 Unlinked passenger trips 25 Passenger miles	< 10,902>	< 11,718>	< 11,628>	< 2,421>	36,669 307,754		22,893 198,336	12,026,593 101,040,378
27 Days not operated due to strikes		•••••			Weekdays 255 0 0	Saturdays 52 0 0	Sundays 58 0 0	Annual Total 365 0 0

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Item	5	Average Weeko	day		f Average Weekday	Average Saturday	Average Sunday	Annual Total
) Item	AM Peak	Midday	PM Peak	Other	Total	Total	Total	
Maximum service vehicles (veh) 01 Veh operated in maximum service 02 Veh available for maximum service								1,805 2,160
Limits of service 03 Time service begins 04 Time service ends	600 930	930 1400	1400 1830		0 2400	0 2400	0 2400	
Service supplied (Non-Rail modes) 05 Number of veh in operation 06 Total actual veh miles 07 Total actual veh hours 08 Total actual veh revenue miles 09 Total actual veh revenue hours 10 Total scheduled veh revenue miles 11 Charter service hours 12 School bus hours	1,683 < 71,108> < 5,188> < 59,377> < 4,704> < 60,871>	< 4,849> < 52,918> < 4,757>	< 6,902> < 72,567>	< 4,320>	1,699 279,185 21,259 233,116 19,442 238,705	14,980	11,525 136,419	6,868,455 76,092,778 6,309,974
Service supplied (Rail modes) 13 Number of trains in operation 14 No. of passngr cars in operation 15 Total actual train miles 16 Total actual train hours 17 Total actual train revenue miles 18 Total actual train revenue hours 19 Total actual passngr car miles 20 Ttl actual passngr car rev miles 21 Ttl schl'd passngr car rev miles 22 Total actual passngr car hours 23 Ttl actual passngr car rev hours	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			< > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < < > < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <				0 0 0 0 0 0
Service consumed 24 Unlinked passenger trips 25 Passenger miles	< 256,658>	< 277,234>	< 388,778>	< 160,276>	1,082,946 4,008,250			343,065,016 1,272,974,574
Service operated (Days) 26 Days schedules operated 27 Days not operated due to strikes. 28 Days not operated due to officially declared emergencies		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Weekdays 255 0 0	Saturdays 52 0 0	Sundays 58 0 0	Annual Total 365 0 0

ID: 9154 Mode: DR Service: PI Page: 1 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Line	232222222222222222222222222222222222222	. 1995
Avg Lifetime Mileage per Active Veh (000)	150 29 251 251 257 267 267 267 268 268 268 268 268 268 268 268 268 268	Report Year 1995
Total Miles on Active Veh During Period (000)	287 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 11	
Fuel Seat Stand Type Cpcty Cpcty (F3)	8 5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Fuel Type (F3)	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	
Emrgcy Cntgcy Veh	00	
h Number of ADA Accessible Vehicles	848	
Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet	84V24UUU\(\frac{1}{2}\)UU08U \(\frac{1}{2}\)	
Model Number	FORD COLLINS ESC 11 HAWK E270431 E270432 E350 E350 E350 E350 E350 E350 E350 E350	
Manftr Code (F3)		96/
Year of Manftr	1994, 1990, 1990, 1986, 1986, 1986, 1986, 1990, 1990, 1990, 1991, 1991, 1991, 1991, 1991, 1991, 1991, 1991,	1: 05/08
Owner- ship Code (F3)	######################################	Updated
veh Type Code (F3)	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	ared/
Number of Vehicles in Total Fleet	85 28 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	Date Prepared/Updated: 05/08/96
Line	01 02 03 03 06 07 11 11 11 12 14 15 17 17 18 18 18 18 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	

Date Prepared/Updated: 05/08/96

Revenue Vehicle Inventory Form (408)

ervice: PI Page: 2 olitan Transportation Authority

Line	01 02 03 03 03 04 04 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	25
Avg Lifetime Mileage per Active Veh (000)	230 188 163 161 162 130 130 140 107 66 135	
Stand Total Miles Cpcty on Active Veh During Period (000)	180 101 124 124 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	752
Stand Cpcty		
Seat Cpcty	15 10 11 11 11 12 12 14 14 14 16 17 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
Fuel Type (F3)	88888888888888	
Emrgcy Fuel Seat Stand Cntgcy Type Cpcty Cpcty Veh		0
Number of ADA Accessible Vehicles	№—−−−− × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	73
Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet	15 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	73
Model Number	EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO EL DORADO CEL DORADO CI VITRAN	
Manftr Code (F3)	20	
Year of Manftr	1986 1983 1988 1990 1990 1993 1996 1990 1992 1993 1993	
ner- hip de F3)	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	

Report Year 1995

dated: 04/30/96

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: PT Page: 1 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Line Number		Type	Code	Year of Manftr	Manftr Code (F3)	Model Number	Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet	Number of ADA Accessible Vehicles	Cntgcy Veh			Cpcty	Total Miles on Active Veh During Period (000)	Avg Lifetime Mileage per Active Veh (000)	Line Number
01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13 11 5 4 12 1	BB BA BA BA BC	LP LP OO LP TL	1991 1991 1992 1981 1984 1991	GIL NEO GIL GAI MCI SVM	SPIRIT COMMUTER PHANTOM FLEXIBLE 870 MC 9 SPECIALTY VEHICLE	13 11 5 4 12 1	13 11 5 4 12 1	0 0 0 0	DF DF DF DF	27 40 36 40 39 20	27 20 36 20 20 15	700 355 270 7 314 15	91 47 37 500 500 93	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
Total 25	46						46	46	0				1,661		Total- 25

Report Year 1995 Date Prepared/Updated: 04/30/96

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO Page: 1 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	in Total	Veh Type Code (F3)	Code		Manftr Code (F3)	Model Number	Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet	Number of ADA Accessible Vehicles	Cntgcy Veh		Cpcty	Cpcty	Total Miles on Active Veh During Period (000)	Avg Lifetime Mileage per Active Veh (000)	Line Number
01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 —Total	30	RS	00	1991	BFC	HRV	30	30	0	EP	59	169	774	65	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
25	30						30	30	0				774		25

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95 Report Year 1995

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO Page: 1
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Number	Number of Vehicles in Total Fleet	Туре	Code	d Year of Manftr	Manftr Code (F3)	Model	Number	Number of Active Vehicles in Fleet		Cntgcy		Cpcty	Cpcty	Total Miles on Active Veh During Period (000)	Avg Lifetime Mileage per Active Veh (000)	Line Number
01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 —Total	54	RS	00	1989	SUM	LRV		54	54	0	EP	76	154	2,840	268	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
25	54							54	54	0				2,840		⊢Total – 25

Date Prepared/Updated: 12/05/95

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO Page: 1 Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

	ra	-b	-с	-d	·e	rf	g	h	i	- j	rk	· (——	m	n	
Line	Number of		Owner-	Year	Manftr		Number of		,				Total Miles	Avg Lifetime	Line
Number	Vehicles	Type	ship	of	Code	Model Number	Active	ADA	Cntgcy	Type	Cpcty	Cpcty	on Active	Mileage per	Number
				Manftr	l . .		Vehicles	Accessible	Veh	1			Veh During	Active Veh	
	Fleet	(F3)	(F3)		(F3)		in Fleet	Vehicles		(F3)			Period (000)	(000)	
01	728	ВА	00	1980	GMC	RTS11T80204	515	515	75	DF	43	21	22,291	616	01
02	35	BA	00	1982	GMC	RTS11T70604	35	35	0	DF	36	18	1,417	548	02
03	18	BA	00	1982	NEO	DOUBLE DECK N122/3	0	0	0	DF	82	41	0	0	03
04	411	BA	00	1984	NEO	AN440A	411	411	0	DF	43	21	19,389	562	04
05	90	BA	00	1987	NEO	AN4403	90	90	0	DF	47	26	3,710	356	05
06	148	BA	00	1988	FLX	METRO401026C	148	148	0	DF	42	21	6,046	305	06
07	267	BA	00	1988	TMC	RTST80206	267	267	0	DF	43	21	11,879	303	07
08	30	BA	00	1989	TMC	RTST80206M	30	30	0	MT	43	21	730	164	80
09	103	BA	00	1989		RTST80206	102	102	0	DF	43	21	5,001	289	09
10	64	BA	00	1990		METRO401026C	64	64	0	DF	46	22	3,098	273	10
11	10	BA	00	1990		METRO4102	10	10	0	CN	44	22	178	97	11
12	71	BA	00	1990	FLX	METRO401026L	71	71	0	DF	44	22	3,567	252	12
13	11	BA	LR	1992	NEO	JE40102	11	11	0	DF	45	22	331	112	13
14	303	BA	00	1992		RTS80206M	303	303	0	MT	43	21	12,664	106	14
15	33	BA	00	1992	FLX	METRO401026L	33	33	0	DF	44	22	1,375	152	15
16			}	1					} ;						16
17	ļ									i					17
18)			Į .	<u> </u>					!					18
19	ļ								<u> </u>	1					19
20	j			J	Į				ļ		Ì				20
21									1	İ					21
22	j)	J					J		j				22
23									1						23 24
24]										1				
—Total— 25	2,322						2,090	2,090	75				91,676		-Total- 25
		-	***********		***************************************		2,070			*******	**********	***************************************	71,070		

Date Prepared/Updated: 05/07/96 Report Year 1995

ID: 9154 Mode: DR Service: PT
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

8	Data From Other Forms	Annual Total	Non-UZA	e	-f	g	h	·i	- j	·k
01 UZA number 02 UZA and Non-UZA allocation	other rollis	100.0	0%	2 100.0%	63 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Non-fixed guideway 03 Actual vehicle revenue miles 04 Passenger miles 05 Operating expense	3,182,536 4,583,131 9,707,041	3,182,536 4,583,131 9,707,041	0 0	3,182,536 4,583,131 9,707,041	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0
Fixed guideway (FG) 26 Motor bus FG allocation 27 FG directional route miles 28 Actual vehicle revenue miles 29 Passenger miles 20 Operating expense	0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	% 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	χ 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
o operating expense										

Date Prepared/Updated: 05/08/96

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: PT

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

ſª	Data From Other Forms	cAnnual Total	d Non-UZA	re	-f 	g	h	·i	-j	-k
01 UZA number 02 UZA and Non-UZA allocation	other Pollis	100.0	0%	2 100.0%	63 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Non-fixed guideway 03 Actual vehicle revenue miles 04 Passenger miles 05 Operating expense	1,465,526 7,943,347 4,430,425	1,465,526 7,943,347 4,430,425	0 0	1,465,526 7,943,347 4,430,425	0 0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0 0
Fixed guideway (FG) 06 Motor bus FG allocation			× ×	× ×	*	%	%	%	%	X
 07 FG directional route miles 08 Actual vehicle revenue miles 09 Passenger miles 10 Operating expense	0	0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0

Date Prepared/Updated: 05/08/96

ID: 9154 Mode: HR Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

8	Data From Other Forms	Annual Total	Non-UZA	e	-f	g	-h	-i	- j	-k
1 01 UZA number 02 UZA and Non-UZA allocation	Other Pornis-	0	%	2 %	63 %	*	x	*	×	,
Non-fixed guideway 03 Actual vehicle revenue miles 04 Passenger miles 05 Operating expense	!!	0 0 0								
Fixed guideway (FG) D6 Motor bus FG allocation D7 FG directional route miles D8 Actual vehicle revenue miles D9 Passenger miles D0 Operating expense	6.0 694,884 8,857,615 19,610,314	6.0 694,884 8,857,615 19,610,314	*	694,884 8,857,615 19,610,314	X	x	*	×	×	
1 Enter commencement date of reve	nue service			Month/Day/Year	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY

Date Prepared/Updated: 05/08/96

ID: 9154 Mode: LR Service: DO
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

-8	Data From	Annual Total	Non-UZA			· y	UZA's	•		
01 UZA number 02 UZA and Non-UZA allocation	Other Forms	0	%	2 %	63 %	%	*	*	x	
Non-fixed guideway 03 Actual vehicle revenue miles 04 Passenger miles 05 Operating expense	!	0 0 0								
Fixed guideway (FG) 16 Motor bus FG allocation 17 FG directional route miles 18 Actual vehicle revenue miles 19 Passenger miles 10 Operating expense	43.2 2,782,565 101,040,378 30,443,433	43.2 2,782,565 101,040,378 30,443,433	x	43.2 2,782,565 101,040,378 30,443,433	X.	Х	*	*	×	
1 Enter commencement date of revo				Month/Day/Year 8 1 1990	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY

Date Prepared/Updated: 05/08/96

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
(Form 005 included)

(Form 005 included)	L		-d		4		h	.i	- i	k
8	Data from	Annual Total	Non-UZA			9	UZA's		,	
01 UZA number 02 UZA and Non-UZA allocation	Other Forms	98.2	0%	2 98.1%	63 .1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	02
Non-fixed guideway 03 Actual vehicle revenue miles 04 Passenger miles 05 Operating expense	76,092,778 1,272,974,574 558,133,107	74,712,913 1,246,582,495 548,011,932	0 0 0	74,603,545 1,244,962,559 547,205,485	1,619,936	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	
Fixed guideway (FG) 16 Motor bus FG allocation 17 FG directional route miles 18 Actual vehicle revenue miles 19 Passenger miles 10 Operating expense	24.5 1,379,865 26,392,079 0!	24.5 1,379,865 26,392,079 10,121,175	0 0 0	1.8%	0 0 0	0 0	000	0 0 0	0 0 0	
1 Enter commencement date of reve on first fixed guideway segmen				Month/Day/Year 5 1 1974	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY	MM/DD/YY

Date Prepared/Updated: 05/08/96

Form: 901 Urbanized Area Formula Statistics

ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
Subject: Fixed/non-fixed guideway allocation methodology (Form 901)

Allocation of Actual Revenue Miles, Passenger Miles and Operating Expenses between fixed and non fixed guideways:

The MTA first establishes the Total Actual Vehicle Revenue Miles, which are reported on form 406, line 08, column i. Total Actual Vehicle Revenue Miles are calculated using the following source data:

1. Master trip scheduling database.

- 2. Service added/cancelled based on temporary (pink) letters.
- 3. Service provided to special events (Dodger Stadium, Hollywood Bowl, etc.).
- Loss of service due to non-availability of equipment, operator, equipment breakdown, etc.
- 5. Service lost/added due to strikes and emergencies (including this year services lost and added for the July 25 through August 2, 1994 strike).

Vehicle Revenue Miles accrued on fixed guideway facilities (El Monte busway and the Spring Street segment) are calculated by first identifying bus lines operating on those facilities, then multiplying the number of trips operated by each line by the length of the segment being operated on. Resulting Revenue Miles are then subtracted from the total reported on form 406 to derive the non fixed guideway revenue miles (form 901, line 02 column c).

Systemwide Passenger Miles are calculated by multiplying the number of Unlinked Passenger Trips by the average trip length for each day type (weekdays, Saturdays and Sundays/Holidays).

Passenger Miles on the fixed guideway facilities are calculated by multiplying the number of passenger on-board of the buses at the beginning of each fixed guideway facility stop by the length of the fixed guideway segment being travelled on, and then subtracted from the system-wide passenger miles reported on form 406 to give the non fixed guideway passenger miles (form 901, line03, column c).

Bus system operating expenses are allocated between fixed and non fixed guideways using the FTA suggested methodology, as described in the NTD Reporting Manual, pp. 900-5).

FG = 1,379,865/76,092,778 = 0.018134 NF = 74,412,913/76,092,778 = 0.981866

FG operating expenses = FG*\$558,133,107 = \$10,121,175 NF operating expenses = NF*\$557,610,409,= \$548,011,932

Supplemental Information Form (005)

Form: 901 Urbanized Area Formula Statistics ID: 9154 Mode: MB Service: DO

ID Name: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Subject: Motorbus fixed guideway segments (Forms 403 & 901)

See Form 005 attached to Form 403.