



# PROPOSED PLAN



*City of Los Angeles*

*Metro Rail*

*Station Area Development Plan*

(2)

*Wilshire / Vermont*

--- 33196

HT  
177  
L7  
.M88p

APR 03 2006

WATER DEVELOPMENT



City of Los Angeles

Metro Rail

Station Area Development Plan

(2)

Wilshire / Vermont

METRO RAIL STATION AREA DEVELOPMENT PLAN  
FOR THE WILSHIRE/VERMONT STATION

|       |  |        |
|-------|--|--------|
| I.    | Preface                                      | I-1    |
| II.   | Purpose                                      | II-1   |
| III.  | Use of Plan                                  | III-1  |
| IV.   | Goals of Plan                                | IV-1   |
| V.    | Relationship to Other Planning Efforts       | V-1    |
| VI.   | Economic Incentives                          | VI-1   |
|       | A. Purpose                                   | VI-1   |
|       | B. Policies                                  | VI-1   |
|       | C. Objectives                                | VI-2   |
|       | D. Standards                                 | VI-2   |
|       | E. Programs                                  | VI-10  |
|       | 1. Parking                                   | VI-10  |
|       | 2. Financial Assistance: Small Business      | VI-11  |
|       | 3. Financial Assistance: Large Business      | VI-12  |
|       | 4. Financial Assistance: Residential         | VI-13  |
|       | 5. Public Land Leasing                       | VI-14  |
|       | 6. Density Bonuses                           | VI-16  |
|       | 7. Transfer of Development Rights            | VI-16  |
|       | 8. Development Agreements                    | VI-16  |
| VII.  | Urban Design                                 | VII-1  |
|       | A. Purpose                                   | VII-1  |
|       | B. Policies                                  | VII-1  |
|       | C. Concept and Guidelines                    | VII-1  |
|       | 1. Corridor Role of Station                  | VII-1  |
|       | 2. Design Concept                            | VII-3  |
|       | 3. Metro Rail Portals and Transit Interfaces | VII-3  |
|       | 4. Land Use                                  | VII-3  |
|       | 5. Development Sites                         | VII-6  |
|       | 6. Building Form and Appearance              | VII-8  |
|       | 7. Pedestrian Framework                      | VII-12 |
|       | 8. Circulation                               | VII-13 |
| VIII. | Implementation                               |        |
|       | A. Purpose                                   | VIII-1 |
|       | B. Policies                                  | VIII-1 |
|       | C. Procedures                                | VIII-2 |
|       | 1. Attracting Development                    | VIII-2 |
|       | 2. Project Processing                        | VIII-3 |
|       | 3. Project Expediting                        | VIII-5 |
|       | 4. Monitoring Joint Development              | VIII-6 |
|       | 5. Development Agreements                    | VIII-6 |
| IX.   | Credits                                      |        |



LIST OF FIGURES

|   | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| I.01 Proposed Metro Rail Route (map)                                | I-2         |
| V.01 Study Areas/Implementation Districts (map)                     | V-2         |
| VI.01 Potential Development Sites (map)                             | VI-3        |
| VI.02 Build-out Potential (chart)                                   | VI-4        |
| VI.03 Economic Incentives and Standards for Selected Sites (chart)  | VI-5        |
| VI.04 Station Area Map  | VI-9        |
| VI.05 Density Bonuses (chart)                                       | VI-15       |
| VII.01 Design Concept (map)   | VII-2       |
| VII.02 Site Plan  | VII-4       |
| VII.03 Knock-out Panels (map)                                       | VII-5       |
| VII.04 Existing Land Use (map)                                      | VII-7       |
| VII.05 Floor Area Ratio Allowed By Specific Plan (map)              | VII-8       |
| VII.06 Massing Concept (map)  | VII-10      |
| VII.07 Axonometric Illustration of Massing Concept (illustration)   | VII-11      |
| VII.08 Pedestrian Circulation and Vehicular Access to Parking (map) | VII-14      |
| VII.09 Design Guidelines (illustration)                             | VII-15      |
| VII.10 Design Guidelines (illustration)                             | VII-16      |
| VII.11 Design Guidelines (illustration)                             | VII-17      |



I. PREFACE





## WHAT IS METRO RAIL?

Metro Rail is the initial segment of a 150-mile rail rapid transit system planned to serve the greater Los Angeles region. This "Starter Line" is 18.6 miles in length linking important Centers in downtown Los Angeles, the Wilshire Corridor, Hollywood and the San Fernando Valley.

## WHO IS INVOLVED?

The Los Angeles City Planning Department is currently involved in preparing land use plans at the following stations:

- Wilshire and Alvarado
- Wilshire and Vermont
- Wilshire and Normandie .
- Wilshire and Western
- Wilshire and Crenshaw
- Wilshire and La Brea
- Wilshire and Fairfax
- Beverly and Fairfax
- Universal City (part)

The Los Angeles City Planning Commission, City Council and Mayor must review and approve all plans for the above stations.

The Los Angeles City Planning Department, Department of Transportation and other City Departments have been under contract with the Southern California Rapid Transit District since August, 1977, as partners in the Metro Rail Project.

The Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Los Angeles oversees planning at the following stations:

- Union Station
- First and Hill
- Fifth and Hill
- Seventh and Flower
- Sunset and La Brea
- Hollywood and Cahuenga
- Chandler and Lankershim

The Los Angeles County Regional Planning Department is involved in planning at the following station:


- Universal City (part)

The City of West Hollywood is responsible for planning at the following station:

- Santa Monica and Fairfax

The Southern California Rapid Transit District is the lead agency for Metro Rail and will construct and operate the system. They also coordinate, participate in and partially fund the planning activities of the planning agencies named above.







The Southern California Association of Governments is a regional planning agency which prepares and coordinates regional plans and forecasts regional population estimates and growth locations. They are involved in the regional planning implications of this "Starter Line" and an ultimate 150-mile transit system.

The Los Angeles County Transportation Commission is responsible for coordinating rail transportation facilities in the County. They will also partially fund the construction of the total 150-mile system.

The Urban Mass Transit Authority is a federal agency within the U.S. Department of Transportation, which approves the planning, construction and funding of Metro Rail.



II. PURPOSE





The purpose of the Station Area Development Plans is to assure that development within the transit corridor is coordinated with the planning and construction of Metro Rail and established land use objectives. This process is hereinafter referred to as joint development, and by definition involves all projects within the Station Area Development Plan boundaries.

The Station Area Development Plans are intended to implement the Metro Rail Transit Corridor Specific Plan, which describes zoning throughout the Metro Rail Transit Corridor. The Development Plans encourage the highest density and intensity of uses in the areas immediately adjacent to the Metro Rail station stops, consistent with the Specific Plan. These plans contain urban design criteria and economic incentives to facilitate the types of development projects encouraged by the Transit Corridor Specific Plan and the City's Centers Program. Joint development and related programs are intended to actively promote the coordination of private development with the construction of the Metro Rail project to achieve mutual benefits and goals.

Examples of such benefits to the developer include increased land values due to proximity to the Metro Rail Station and increased access to transportation facilities for patrons of the private development. Examples of benefits to the public include improved quality and availability of public transit and the sharing of costs of station construction and revenues through fees to assist in maintenance and operation costs.

Each Station Area Development Plan consists of three major sections: Economic Incentives, Urban Design and Implementation. These sections are intended to provide the City with the tools necessary to attract and facilitate high intensity development, thereby creating centers incorporating commercials, entertainment, employment and residential components consistent with the long-range goals of the City's Concept Los Angeles.

The Economic Incentives Section addresses the economic aspects of joint development, including 1) general policies of the City Planning Department for the utilization of economic incentives; 2) various City financial assistance programs available to achieve the Policies and Urban Design Guidelines of the Development Plan; and 3) site-specific criteria for maximizing density bonuses and other economic incentives available to an applicant.

The Urban Design Section contains both an overall Design Concept and a series of Design Guidelines, which define the urban design criteria for the development plan station areas. Site - specific design criteria for the areas immediately adjacent to the station stop are also delineated.

The Implementation Section addresses the character and extent of public agency involvement in attracting, implementing, expediting and monitoring joint development including procedures for inter-agency cooperation. In particular, public/private co-ventures are examined in terms of the City's administrative structure and RTD opportunities.



III. USE OF PLAN



The Station Area Development Plans are intended to be used in a twofold manner:

- A. As a statement of public policy with supporting guidelines for negotiations, project packaging and implementation with property owners and developers at sites having the potential for a physical or functional connection to one of the Metro Rail Station stops.
- B. As a prospectus to be used by the public sector in actively seeking and soliciting projects which fulfill the objectives of the Station Area Development Plans.



IV. GOALS OF PLAN





The primary goal of the Station Area Development Plans is to optimize benefits to the public and private sectors through maximizing joint development opportunities and expediting their implementation.

A. Private Benefit Objectives:

1. To optimize access to transit through direct and functional connections to stations.
2. To provide project packaging services as well as construction expediting services from project inception through construction.
3. To provide maximum development levels through bonuses delineated in the Specific Plan.
4. To provide public financial participation and assistance to facilitate projects incorporating appropriate land uses and urban design features.

B. Public Benefit Objectives:

1. To assure the types and intensities of land uses necessary for the formation and continued vitality of Centers, while incorporating appropriate mitigation measures where necessary.
2. To promote superior urban design with integral pedestrian and vehicular networks through specific design recommendations.
3. To optimize transit usage through direct and functional connections between Metro Rail and adjacent development.
4. To optimize transit and infrastructure cost sharing.



V. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER  
PLANNING EFFORTS



The Station Area Development Plans are one component of a comprehensive planning effort in the Metro Rail Transit Corridor. This effort includes the following elements.

#### A. THE CENTERS CONCEPT

The long term goals for development in the City of Los Angeles are described in Concept Los Angeles, which was adopted by the City Council and Mayor in 1974. These goals, encourage high intensity development in Centers and promote the preservation of the predominantly low-density character of the City for those areas outside of Centers. As originally described in the Concept Plan, a Center is a self-contained grouping of and highly concentrated land uses diverse urban activities. The Centers Program is continuing to examine those goals for the entire City and study mechanisms for their implementation.

Concept Los Angeles identified preliminary locations for Centers and envisioned these Centers to be interconnected by a rapid transit system. An important, high priority component of the Centers Program now underway is the examination of those Centers identified by Concept Los Angeles, which are located in the current Metro Rail Transit Corridor, to more explicitly identify and define these Centers in coordination with the rail alignment and station locations of Metro Rail.

#### B. THE GENERAL PLAN/ZONE CONSISTENCY PROGRAM


A general plan/zone consistency program is taking place at this time outside of the Metro Rail Transit Corridor. The purpose of this program is to preserve the low-density character outside of Centers. Concurrently, it encourages higher intensity of development within Centers, in particular in areas near transit stations as proposed in the Specific Plan. This General Plan/Zone Consistency Program will implement the Community Plans, which are part of the City's General Plan, and further the Centers Program as described in Concept of Los Angeles.

#### C. THE TRANSIT CORRIDOR SPECIFIC PLAN





The Specific Plan is intended to complement and promote the Metro Rail transit line and its stations as well as other transportation systems improvement measures. It provides for appropriate types and intensities of commercial and residential development within the transit corridor. The Plan also focuses the most intensive development nearer to the station areas, it encourages employment opportunities within the transit corridor, and it provides for a variety of housing opportunities.

Further, the Plan is a guide to future development and the levels of impacts which may occur. It seeks to minimize traffic and parking problems, and to preserve historically and architecturally significant structures and unique cultural and entertainment/recreational uses, among other items.





**STUDY AREAS/IMPLEMENTATION DISTRICTS**

-  Station (with • portals)
-  Immediate Station Impact Area \*
-  Primary Station Impact Area  
(coterminous with proposed Transit Corridor Specific Plan boundaries)
-  Secondary Station Impact Area  
(not shown)



4-84

\* Immediate Station Impact Area boundaries generally outline an area that is functionally contiguous and proximal (within three blocks) to the station (and particularly to station portals) and include areas with a significant extent of parcels identified as having a high potential for more intensive development. Parcels categorized as having high development potential were identified after considering the following factors for buildings: number of stories (generally two or less), land use (not critical to functioning as a Center), blight level, and aesthetic/architectural/historical significance (and potential for adaptive reuse).





VI. ECONOMIC INCENTIVES



## A. PURPOSE

The Economic Incentives Section articulates the general position of the City with respect to joint development and the use of economic incentives in the station area. The intent of making these policies, objectives and standards known is to inform the general public, the real estate development community and other City and non-City agencies or districts of the rationale underlying the City positions and actions regarding joint development and the application of economic incentives. The statements of policy, objectives and standards contained herein may be refined by action of the City Planning Commission and City Council, as circumstances warrant.

## B. POLICIES

1. Promote development and co-ventures in station areas which 1) increase Metro Rail ridership; 2) are potential revenue sources for transit-related facilities and the City; 3) provide goods, services or jobs for local residents; and 4) follow the overall urban design concepts delineated below.
2. Direct City financial assistance to qualified businesses and new developments in economically-distressed station areas. Priority is to be given to promoting projects which provide goods, services or jobs for lower-income residents of these areas.
3. Support establishment of economic development corporations to administer financial assistance for businesses, housing and new development in economically-distressed station areas.
4. Monitor economic indicators within station areas for evaluating the feasibility of co-venture investments in those areas.
5. Actively participate with developers, SCRTD and other agencies in co-ventures, as delineated in the implementation section.
6. Support private acquisition of Metro Rail station facilities with lease-back to SCRTD.
7. Encourage private assembly of parcels for mixed use development through the incentives of financial assistance (in qualified areas) and density bonuses.
8. Provide Development Agreements for large, complex development projects, as requested by the developer and approved by the City Council.
9. Promote private lease of public land for co-venture development projects overseen by DART.
10. Treat "joint development" as the development of real estate projects in relation to public transit stations. Joint development may be planned and executed in close coordination with the development of the transit station, including direct physical connections, or can be a project both physically and sequentially separate from the transit station development.



11. Encourage private utilization of parking management programs, density bonuses and transfers of development rights by expediting the approval process when these incentives are used.
12. Assign priority for staff processing and Planning Commission scheduling when a case requires discretionary approval.

#### C. OBJECTIVES

Economic incentives in the Wilshire/Vermont Station area should be targeted to support the following activities, which are of particular concern in this area:

1. Encourage parcel assembly to facilitate larger-scale mixed-use development, as illustrated in the Urban Design Section.
2. Provide small business assistance, particularly to promote the economic viability of the community-serving commercial areas off of Wilshire Boulevard.
3. Facilitate RTD and City co-ventures (land lease, direct connections, kiss 'n' ride) with appropriate City mechanisms.
4. Provide gap financing as needed for major new development, particularly mixed-use projects which integrate commercial and residential uses.
5. Develop the City-owned property at the southwest corner of Sixth Street and Vermont Avenue with a mixed-use development.
6. Encourage rehabilitation and structural reinforcement of existing multiple-family dwellings on Shatto Place, south of Wilshire Boulevard.
7. Provide a full-range of goods and services for the local community, including ethnic and elderly residents and daytime workers.
8. Develop an indoor community center/auditorium for community residents.

#### D. STANDARDS

A chart of recommended standards and corresponding incentives for selected sites (from the Potential Development Sites Map, Figure VI.01) follows. This chart illustrates specific application of the City's policies and objectives, but has no binding authority on actual parcel development. It is to be used as an aid in project planning. Alternative development proposals may be equally suitable for economic incentives. In addition, economic incentives will be utilized only after City determination of their suitability for individual project proposals.

A Build-Out Potential chart (Figure VI.02) for the same sites precedes the chart of standards and incentives. This chart, which shows site square footage, proposed Specific Plan zoning and potential build-out, is also to be used as a development aid. It is for illustrative purposes only, and no guarantee is made that the City will approve these densities.



Following these charts is a description of the City's Land Use/Trip Use Model for calculating development potential on individual sites. The model, which is a software spreadsheet program, is useful for testing project feasibility and designing master site plans. Examples of the model's product which were used in formulating development envelopes for the Urban Design component can be found in the Station Area Development Plan Background Report.


On all sites, and throughout the station area, development applications will be "fast-tracked" through the City's permit process. Fast-tracking procedures are currently being developed (see Implementation section). In addition, the City is exploring the use of Master EIRs for station areas in order to expedite environmental review of individual projects.

The Potential Development Sites Map was formulated from information contained in the following Data Maps from the Station Area Development Plan Background Report: Existing Land Use, Land Use Allowed by Specific Plan, Age of Buildings, Condition of Buildings, Total Projected Development for Selected Blocks by 1995, Building and Parcels Susceptible to Change, Significance of Properties and Station Integration Opportunities.










**DEVELOPMENT SITES**



**LEGEND**

-  POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES
-  MASTER DEVELOPMENT SITES
-  REHAB / INFILL SITES
-  BUILDINGS / SITES NOT SUSCEPTIBLE TO CHANGE
-  METRO RAIL PORTAL

0 200 1000



**WILSHIRE / VERMONT**  
 LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING  
 METRO RAIL STATION AREA DEVELOPMENT PLAN



Figure VI.02  
BUILD-OUT POTENTIAL  
WILSHIRE/VERMONT

| <u>SITE NUMBER*</u> | <u>LOCATION</u>                         | <u>SITE SQ. FT.</u> | <u>SPECIFIC PLAN F.A.R.</u> | <u>BUILD-OUT POTENTIAL</u> |
|---------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1                   | Berendo & Sixth                         | 7,000               | 3:1                         | 21,000                     |
|                     |   | <u>61,480</u>       | 6:1                         | <u>368,880</u>             |
|                     |   | <u>68,480</u>       |                             | <u>389,880</u>             |
| 2                   | Wilshire & Vermont                      | 139,320             | 13:1                        | 1,811,160                  |
| 3                   | Wilshire & Vermont                      | 260,900             | 13:1                        | 3,391,700                  |
| 4                   | Wilshire & Vermont                      | 49,350              | 13:1                        | 641,550                    |
| 5                   | Vermont & Sixth                         | 61,200              | 6:1                         | 367,200                    |
|                     |   | <u>20,000</u>       | 13:1                        | <u>260,000</u>             |
|                     |   | <u>81,200</u>       |                             | <u>627,200</u>             |
| 6                   | Shatto & Sixth                          | 39,998              | 6:1                         | 239,988                    |
|                     |   | <u>33,891</u>       | 13:1                        | <u>440,583</u>             |
|                     |   | <u>73,889</u>       |                             | <u>680,571</u>             |
| 7                   | Wilshire & Shatto                       | 45,600              | 3:1                         | 136,800                    |
|                     |   | <u>61,510</u>       | 13:1                        | <u>799,630</u>             |
|                     |   | <u>107,110</u>      |                             | <u>936,430</u>             |
| 8                   | Vermont between<br>Wilshire and Seventh | 23,247              | 3:1                         | 69,741                     |
|                     |   | <u>41,000</u>       | 13:1                        | <u>533,000</u>             |
|                     |   | <u>64,247</u>       |                             | <u>602,741</u>             |
| 9                   | Wilshire & Westmoreland                 | 143,900             | 6:1                         | 863,400                    |
|                     |   | <u>37,132</u>       | 13:1                        | <u>482,716</u>             |
|                     |   |                     |                             | <u>1,346,116</u>           |

\* Site Numbers keyed to Potential Development Sites Map, Figure VI.01



ECONOMIC INCENTIVES AND STANDARDS FOR SELECTED SITES<sup>1</sup>  
 WILSHIRE/VERMONT

| SITE # | LOCATION           | SITE STANDARDS AND COMMENTS  | POTENTIAL ECONOMIC INCENTIVES <sup>2</sup> |                                      |                                      |                                   |                     |                 |                             |                       |   |   |   |
|--------|--------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|
|        |                    |  | PARKING                                    | FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE: SMALL BUSINESS | FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE: LARGE BUSINESS | FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE: RESIDENTIAL | PUBLIC LAND LEASING | DENSITY BONUSES | TRANSFER DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS | DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT |   |   |   |
| 1      | Berendo & Sixth    | Recommended for mid-rise residential with retail frontage along Sixth; recommended for rehabilitation and infill.  | •  | •                                    | •                                    | •                                 | •                   | •               | •                           | •                     | • | • | • |
| 2, 3   | Wilshire & Vermont | <u>RTD and City Co-Venture Opportunity:</u><br>RTD will acquire portion of site, and City owns parking lot at southwest corner of Vermont & Sixth; (see Development Potential Example utilizing density bonuses and TDR); recommended for arcaded, multi-level retail, high-rise office and structured parking; Galleria retail bridge over Vermont; two pedestrian bridges linking up to development on south side of Wilshire; subway mezzanine retail level; recommended for parcel assembly; direct connections to station box possible utilizing proposed knock-out panels on both sites. | •  | •                                    | •                                    | •                                 | •                   | •               | •                           | •                     | • | • | • |
| 4      | Wilshire & Vermont | Recommended for high-rise office adjoining mid-rise retail and retail frontage; pedestrian bridge over Wilshire.   | •  | •                                    | •                                    | •                                 | •                   | •               | •                           | •                     | • | • | • |
| 5      | Vermont & Sixth    | Recommended for low- and to mid-rise retail, services and office; recommended for rehabilitation and infill.   | •  | •                                    | •                                    | •                                 | •                   | •               | •                           | •                     | • | • | • |
| 6      | Vermont & Sixth    | <u>City Co-Venture Opportunity:</u> City owns two parcels on this site; recommended for low- to mid-rise retail, services and office; recommended for parcel assembly, rehabilitation and infill.  | •  | •                                    | •                                    | •                                 | •                   | •               | •                           | •                     | • | • | • |

<sup>1</sup> Site #'s keyed to Potential Development Site Map

<sup>2</sup> See Programs description



ECONOMIC INCENTIVES AND STANDARDS FOR SELECTED SITES<sup>1</sup>  
 WILSHIRE/VERMONT

| SITE # | LOCATION                           | SITE STANDARDS AND COMMENTS  | POTENTIAL ECONOMIC INCENTIVES <sup>2</sup> |                                      |                                      |                                   |                     |                 |                             |                       |   |
|--------|------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
|        |                                    |  | PARKING                                    | FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE: SMALL BUSINESS | FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE: LARGE BUSINESS | FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE: RESIDENTIAL | PUBLIC LAND LEASING | DENSITY BONUSES | TRANSFER DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS | DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT |   |
| 7      | Wilshire & Shatto                  | Recommended for mid- to high-rise office over retail frontage, with adjoining structured parking; recommended for parcel assembly. | •  |                                      |                                      |                                   |                     |                 | •                           |                       |   |
| 8      | Vermont between Wilshire & Seventh | Recommended for structured parking over retail frontage; recommended for parcel assembly.  |  | •                                    |                                      |                                   |                     |                 |                             |                       |   |
| 9      | Wilshire & Westmoreland            | Recommended for mid-rise residential; recommended for rehabilitation.  |  |                                      |                                      | •                                 |                     |                 |                             |                       | • |

1 Site #'s keyed to Potential Development Site Map

2 See Programs description





## Land Use/Trip Use Model

Using a software spreadsheet program developed by the City Planning Department, the development potential of individual parcels under a variety of zoning and density bonus scenarios can be quickly determined. The development potential is measured in square footage and number of vehicular trips generated. Additional features include automatic calculation of floor/area ratio, required parking spaces, construction costs, and building valuation.

The Land Use/Trip Use Model can be used to test the development potential of individual sites and to create projects which meet the objectives of the Development Plan while capitalizing on Specific Plan zoning. The model can also be used to test the feasibility of development proposals in terms of Specific Plan densities and uses. Examples of the model's product are in the Station Area Development Plan Background Report.

Following is a step-by-step description of how the Land Use/Trip Use Model works in terms of input data and output information.

Step 1

Input: Address/location, Book-Page-Parcel number, Specific Plan Sector, Subareas, Phase

## RESIDENTIAL ZONES (ONLY)

Step 2

Input: Gross area, setback area, average lot area per dwelling unit

Output: Buildable area

Step 3

Input: Existing square footage, existing number of dwelling units

Output: Total and additional permitted square footage and number of dwelling units; floor/area ratios

## COMMERCIAL ZONES (ONLY)

Step 4

Input: Zoning, gross area, setback area

Output: Buildable area

Step 5

Input: Subareas, trips generated per 1,000 square feet of buildable area

Output: Trips permitted on parcel "by right" and with "discretionary review"



Step 6 ("By Right")

Input: Existing and proposed "by right" commercial square footage, dwelling units and hotel rooms

Output: Trips used at "by right" development level; total square footage, dwelling units and hotel rooms; floor/area ratios; required parking; construction costs; building valuation

Step 7 (Density Bonus)

Input: Proposed square footage or number of bonusable features

Output: Density bonus allocated

Step 8 ("Discretionary Review")

Input: Proposed commercial square footage, hotel rooms and dwelling units requiring discretionary review


Output: Trips used at "discretionary review" development level; total square footage, dwelling units and hotel rooms; floor/area ratios; required parking; construction costs; building valuation






Step 9

Output: Total existing and proposed commercial square footage, hotel rooms and dwelling units; floor/area ratios; total trips used, total required parking; total construction costs; total building valuation



Figure VI.04



-  DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL EXAMPLE
-  PUBLIC OWNERSHIP
-  TO BE ACQUIRED BY RTD
-  CO-VENTURE OPPORTUNITY
-  METRO RAIL STATION

WILSHIRE-VERMONT STATION AREA



## E. PROGRAMS

The economic incentives listed in the Economic Incentives and Standards for Selected Sites chart are intended to help developers reduce risk, reduce cost and increase allowable density over the Specific Plan's "by right" allocation, in exchange for their cooperation in helping the City to implement the policies and objectives of the Station Area Development Plan. The economic incentives are actually comprised of many different City programs, ordinances and procedures, which will be applied to individual projects at the discretion of the Development Area Review Team (DART), a City inter-departmental advisory committee (see Implementation section for further description of DART and its functions).

The City's economic incentives are described below, with individual programs, ordinances and procedures organized under the general headings from the Economic Incentives and Standards for Selected Sites chart.

### 1. Parking Incentives

Parking incentives allow a reduction of on-site parking requirements in exchange for alternative arrangements, such as ride sharing or peripheral parking. With regard to the Station Area Development Plan, the objectives of these incentives are:

- 1) to facilitate development by reducing the cost of providing on-site parking, and
- 2) to ameliorate traffic congestion, especially during peak hours, within the station area.

The City currently requires one parking space per 500 square feet of building gross floor area for commercial or industrial uses. Required on-site parking may be reduced up to 40% if the developer provides 1) an acceptable Transportation Alternative, such as a ridesharing program, or 2) remote off-site parking. Transportation Alternatives must have significant, achievable participation levels (e.g., 20% of building employees). In the case of remote off-site parking, the developer must provide transportation between the remote site and the main building. Additionally, no more than 75% of the building's parking may be provided off-site.

A developer may apply for a Reduced On-site Parking/Transportation Alternative Authorization through the City Planning Department. The application is treated as a Conditional Use Permit. It must include a Parking Management Plan, which is treated as a legal agreement between the landowner and the City.

Analysis of peripheral parking needs in the Station Area should be done by the Planning Department in conjunction with the implementation of any transportation system management programs. Such a needs assessment can be done by projecting future parking demand and supply in the Station Area based on current supply of parking, projected development levels and the impact of Metro Rail on vehicle trip generation. (Techniques for performing this assessment have been developed by the City Planning Department.) Should the assessment reveal a projected





deficit in parking supply, the City may wish to initiate a public/private coventure effort to provide peripheral parking facilities near the affected Station Area or Areas. In some cases, a Station Area may have enough surplus parking to act as a peripheral parking facility for other Areas, with the Metro Rail providing shuttle service.

The City is considering increasing the number of parking spaces required for commercial and office development from two spaces to three spaces per 1,000 square feet. If this change was enacted, the City Planning Department would seek to place Station Areas in a special discretionary zone, similar to the Central Business District, where the City Planning Commission could lower the parking requirement to one space per 1,000 square feet of commercial or office development. This discretionary incentive would be offered to developers in exchange for including features in their projects which meet the objectives of the Station Area Development Plan, such as senior citizens housing.

2. Financial Assistance: Small Business

Financial assistance is available for eligible small businesses through City agencies and City-sponsored economic development corporations. Businesses must be located in lower-income neighborhoods or provide goods, services or jobs for lower income persons. With regard to the Station Area Development Plan, the objectives of these financial assistance programs are:

- 1) To increase return on investment by reducing costs to small businesses
- 2) To reduce risk for private investors, particularly in locations which are unattractive without City investment, and
- 3) To ensure a supply of goods, services and jobs for lower income residents of Station Areas.

A number of public and private non-profit corporations have been formed locally to assist businesses in preparing applications for funds from private lending institutions and from loan and grant programs available through the City. Below are listed the economic development corporations which cover this Station Area:

Los Angeles Economic Development Corporation  
1411 West Olympic Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90015  
(213) 385-0351

Pacific Coast Regional  
1930 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 414  
Los Angeles, CA 90057  
(213) 484-2900

Pacific Asian Consortium for Employment  
1851 South Westmoreland Avenue  
Second Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90006  
(213) 748-8431



The following City programs are specifically targeted at small businesses, and can be accessed through the economic development corporations listed above:

- 1) Commercial Area Revitalization Effort (CARE) - low interest loans, rehabilitations rebates, facade improvement grants, public improvements and technical assistance. (Administered by the City's Community Development Department.)
- 2) Small Business Revolving Loan Fund - low interest loans up to \$40,000 to match private funding on a dollar for dollar basis. (Administered by the City's Community Development Department.)
- 3) Small Business Administration Loans and Loan Guarantees - SBA 502 and SBA 503 provide loans at below-market interest rate. SBA 7a provides loans and guarantees at market interest rate. (Administered by the City Economic Development Office.)
- 4) Industrial-Commercial Revolving Loan Program - adjustable rate loans of up to \$250,000 to cover 30% of project costs. (Administered by the City Economic Development Office.)

### 3. Financial Assistance: Large Business

Financial assistance is available for qualified large businesses and private developers seeking gap-financing for major commercial development projects that would not be feasible without such assistance. Businesses and developers must meet the eligibility criteria established by the administering City agency, as well as DART, to qualify for funding. With regard to the Station Area Development Plan, the objectives of these financial assistance programs are:

- 1) To increase return on investment by reducing costs to businesses and developers,
- 2) To reduce risk for private investors, particularly in locations which are unattractive without City investment,
- 3) To encourage the development of projects which are consistent with the policies and objectives of the Station Area Development Plan, and
- 4) To obtain a stream of income for the City through equity participation, leasing or other financial arrangement in co-venture projects.

The following Programs are administered by, and can be accessed through, the Community Development Department, Industrial and Commercial Development, (213) 485-2952:

- 1) Urban Development Action Grant (UDAGs) - reduced interest rate loans for land, building, machinery, equipment and other capitalized costs.



- 2) Local Economic Action Program (LEAP) - low interest and deferred payment loans between \$100,000 and \$500,000.
- 3) Industrial Development Bonds (IDB) - tax exempt financing up to \$10 million for industrial or energy-related development.
- 4) Marks Historic Rehabilitation Bonds (pending City Council approval) - low interest loans for rehabilitation of historic or architecturally significant buildings.
- 5) Construction Loans - large, short-term loans for major development projects.

Programs which are administered by, and can be accessed through, the City Economic Development Office, (213) 485-6154:

- 1) Economic Development Administration Grants - loans of up to \$15 million for operating public works and major commercial and industrial projects.
- 2) Industrial-Commercial Revolving Loan Program - adjustable rate loans of up to \$250,000 to cover 30% of project costs.

4. Financial Assistance: Residential

Financial assistance is available for various types of residential construction and rehabilitation in areas with low-income households and a deteriorated housing stock. With regard to the Station Area Development Plans, the objectives of these financial assistance programs are:

- 1) To reduce financial risk for private investors in locations which are unattractive without City investment,
- 2) To improve conditions for Station Area tenants and homeowners, encouraging stability in the resident population, and
- 3) To encourage the development of housing projects which are consistent with the policies and objectives of the Station Area Development Plan.

The following programs are administered by, and can be accessed through, the Community Development Department, Housing Division, (213) 485-3406:

- 1) Housing Production Program - gap-financing, utilizing predevelopment loans and land write downs, for Section 8 housing projects.
- 2) Multi-Family Rehabilitation Program (MORE) - low-interest loans for the rehabilitation of sub-standard apartment buildings.
- 3) Homeowner Opportunity Maintenance Effort Program (HOME) - interest rate subsidies and technical and architectural assistance for rehabilitation of owner or renter-occupied single-family dwellings.



## 5. Public Land Leasing

Private developers can benefit from leasing, rather than buying, public land through lower up-front cost (no land purchase), access to prime properties and minimal land rents. The advantages for the City of leasing, rather than selling, its vacant or underutilized land include a higher return on investment, a future stream of income and greater control over new development. As a lessor, the City is in a better position to negotiate for particular land uses and amenities.

The City currently has no set program for the lease of vacant, City-owned land. Two departments, however, are engaged in this activity. The Department of General Services has a Leasing Office which respond to public inquires about vacant City properties (e.g., Los Angeles Mall space). The Department will act as leasing agent for vacant City land if that land meets at least one of the following criteria:

- 1) Where such property is 10,000 square feet in size or larger;
- 2) Where the appraised value (or approximate value where there is no official appraisal) of such property is \$100 a square foot or more;
- 3) Where significant private and/or public redevelopment and/or rehabilitation activities are underway;
- 4) Where a Community Plan, Redevelopment Plan, Specific Plan or similar land use plan or study is in progress;
- 5) Where any building moratorium is in effect;
- 6) Where the subject property in whole or part may be eligible for historic landmark designation by a City, State or Federal agency and where such application or determination has not yet been made;
- 7) Where other unique situations apply (e.g., the use of such property for staging, in whole or part, the Olympics.)

The City Administrator's Office (CAO) is occasionally approached by developers or others about vacant or underused City property, in which case it may act as the leasing or sales agent or as a project coordinator. The CAO also, from time to time, sends out RFP's for development of particular parcels.

A number of California municipalities are pursuing aggressive leasing programs, utilizing RFP's and "participating leases" for large, complex projects. In a participating lease, a minimum land rent (below market) is negotiated by the City and the developer. The developer pays either that minimum rent or some percentage of sales or profits from the development, whichever is higher. Such a lease is usually long-term.

---

\* City Planning Case No. 83-137-PWA. Adopted as precedent for lease of public land by City Planning Commission.





| CATEGORY  | BONUSABLE FEATURE   | MAXIMUM BONUS  |
|---|---|--|
| TRANSIT<br>Subarea 1                                | Direct Connection (such as elevator, escalator, bridge or underpass provided between Project and Metro Rail station stop)<br><br>Off-street bus terminal incorporated into Project<br><br>Off-street public parking for Metro Rail patrons incorporated into Project, in addition to Project's required parking | <del>14-0 Trips/1,000 square feet of Buildable lot area</del><br>Project may be granted an additional Floor Area Ratio of 1:1 or a portion thereof.  |
| TRANSIT<br>Subareas 1, 2, 2a                        | Functional Connection (such as a pedestrian path or walkway between a Project and a Metro Rail Station Stop)  | <del>15-0 Trips/1,000 square feet of Buildable lot area</del><br>Project may be granted an additional Floor Area Ratio of 0.50:1 or a portion thereof.   |
| STREET ENVIRONMENT<br>Subareas 1, 2, 2a             | Retail on Ground Floor<br><br>Ground Floor Restaurant<br><br>Outdoor Cafe   | <del>17-0 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>17-0 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>5 square feet</del><br><del>17-0 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>5 square feet</del>  |
| CULTURAL<br>Subareas 1, 2, 2a                       | Cultural/Entertainment Use  | <del>15-6 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>4 square feet</del>  |
| HISTORIC PROPERTY PRESERVATION<br>Subareas 1, 2, 2a | Historic Property incorporated into Project<br><br>[Historic Property facade incorporated into Project]   | <del>15-6 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>4 square feet of Buildable lot area</del>  |
| COMMUNITY SERVICES<br>Subareas 1, 2, 2a             | Community Use Facility<br><br>Recreational Use Facility   | <del>15-6 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>4 square feet</del><br><del>3 square feet</del>  |
| (Cont'd)<br>CATEGORY                                | BONUSABLE FEATURE   | MAXIMUM BONUS  |
| OPEN SPACE<br>Subareas 1, 2, 2a                     | Amenity Space<br><br>[Recreational Use]<br>[Rooftop Garden]   | <del>14-2 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>3 square feet</del><br><del>14-2 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>14-2 Trips/1,000 square feet</del>  |
| HOUSING*<br>Subareas 1, 2, 2a                       | Handicapped Housing<br><br>Senior Citizen Housing<br><br>Low to Moderate Cost Housing (either Rental, Condominiums or Stock Cooperatives)<br><br>Rental Housing<br><br>Condominiums or stock cooperatives   | <del>17-0 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>5 square feet</del><br><del>17-0 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>5 square feet</del><br><del>17-0 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>5 square feet</del><br><del>17-0 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>5 square feet</del><br><del>15-6 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>4 square feet</del><br><del>15-6 Trips/1,000 square feet</del><br><del>2 square feet</del> |
| PARKING<br>Subareas 1-5                             | Senior Citizen Housing (Permanent use as Senior Citizen Housing)<br><br>Theater, occupying less than 50% of Project's Buildable Area  | That portion of the Project's parking required for the Senior Citizen Housing may be permanently reduced to not less than 25 percent of the number of full-size spaces normally required for a residential project.<br><br>May provide one parking space for every ten fixed seats or for every 100 square feet of Floor Area whichever is less  |

\* Maximum Bonuses are expressed [in Trips] at the level indicated when providing the specific bonusable feature in its entirety.

\*\* Maximum Bonuses are expressed in Trips at the level indicated for each 100 square feet of Bonusable Feature provided. Unless otherwise stated.]

† Housing shall be retained as originally designated for a minimum of 30 years.



Developers benefit by leasing, primarily through lower up front cost (no land purchase), access to prime properties and minimum land rents. For the City, the advantages of leasing are a higher return on investment than from direct sale of the land, and greater control over new development since the City is also the landlord. As a lessor, the City is in a better position to negotiate for particular land uses and amenities.

6. Density Bonuses

Density bonuses which enable a project to surpass the Specific Plan "by right" level of development (up to the maximum "discretionary" level) are listed below. Density bonuses are intended to encourage the development of certain facilities, amenities and uses which contribute to the creation of pedestrian-oriented, high density, mixed use urban centers. The Development Area Review Team (DART) will determine the exact portion of the maximum bonus to be granted on individual projects (see Implementation section for criteria). An example of how density bonuses can be used in conjunction with transfer of development rights to reach maximum development potential under the Specific Plan is presented in the Standards section above.

7. Transfer of Development Rights

Development rights may be transferred (sold) from one parcel to another within a Station Area.

The maximum amount of development rights which may be transferred is 42 trips per 1,000 square feet of a donor parcel's buildable area. However, a parcel may receive development rights from more than one donor parcel. In addition, the distance between a receiving parcel and its nearest station must be no greater than the distance between a donor parcel and its nearest station. Development rights transferred from a donor parcel may also be replaced by development rights transferred from another parcel.

|                                      |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Parcels located in<br>Sub-Areas. . . | . . .May receive development<br>rights from parcel located<br>in Sub-Areas. . . |
| 1, 1a                                | 1, 1a, 2, 2a, 3, 3a   |
| 2, 2a                                | 2, 2a, 3, 3a  |
| 3, 3a                                | 3, 3a   |

To qualify for the transfers, the developer must provide bonusable features within the project (see Density Bonuses section above) which generate at least the same number of trips as are being transferred to that project site.

8. Development Agreements

In response to requests from the development community, California State Law (Government Code 65864) authorizes cities and counties to enter into legal agreements with developers. These agreements set forth the rules



that will govern a development as it proceeds through the permit process. The city or county agrees not to change its planning or zoning laws applicable to a development for a specific period of time. The jurisdiction does not guarantee unconditional or qualified approval of the development, but it may not base its decisions on zoning changes or plan amendments which occurred after the agreement was effectuated. The developer may agree, in return, to construct specific improvements, provide public facilities or to follow a specified time schedule.

A development agreement, which takes the form of a legal contract and is enacted as an ordinance, is only practical for complex development proposals. Extensive legal documentation, security instruments and an EIR are usually required. The agreement must stipulate the period of time in which the City or County may not alter zoning or general plan requirements, permitted uses on the property, density, size of buildings, provisions for public dedication of land, and requirements imposed on the developer. (See the Implementation section for further discussion).



VII. URBAN DESIGN





A. PURPOSE

This Section defines the urban design criteria for development within the station area development plan boundaries. Also see Section VI, Economic incentives, for direction to facilitate these guidelines; and Section VIII, implementation, for procedures of review and approval.

B. POLICIES

1. Develop an urban design theme, character or identity for the station area which creates and reinforces a link between the transit station and surrounding land uses.
2. Encourage new construction and additions to existing buildings to be designed with sensitivity to the scale, massing and elevation, detailing of adjacent historic and noteworthy buildings.
3. Encourage developer's sensitivity to the solar rights of existing and future buildings.
4. Encourage commercial, entertainment, and cultural uses to be visible and readily accessible from the street.
5. Enhance and improve the aesthetic quality, vitality and safety of the pedestrian environment.
6. Ensure that open space amenities are proximate to and/or well connected by views and public walkways to pedestrian activity generators.
7. Create active public open spaces and pedestrian areas which reinforce the individual identity of the station and which assist in relating the transit station to surrounding development. Avoid creating "dead" or unused open spaces.
8. Encourage the incorporation of small-scale plazas at the front of buildings.
9. Encourage provisions of rooftop plazas and gardens no higher than the third story level.


C. DESIGN CONCEPT AND GUIDELINES

1. CORRIDOR ROLE OF STATION










Wilshire/Vermont is planned as a regional commercial and specialty retail center, anchoring the east end of the Wilshire Center. The concept for the station area builds on the landmark Bullock's Wilshire and I. Magnin stores near the Metro Rail portals. This concept also includes new office space and development of new residential units close to the station.



**DESIGN CONCEPT**



**LEGEND**

-  RETAIL
-  OFFICE OVER RETAIL
-  PUBLIC
-  OPEN SPACE/ PLAZAS
-  OFFICE
-  RESIDENTIAL
-  PEDESTRIAN FRAMEWORK
-  PARKING
-  METRO RAIL PORTAL



**WILSHIRE / VERMONT**  
 LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING  
 METRO RAIL STATION AREA DEVELOPMENT PLAN



Existing uses in the area include retail, office, residential and other uses. The station blocks are underutilized at present. Several potentially developable sites surround the station, as noted on the Existing Land Use Map, Figure VII.04.

## 2. DESIGN CONCEPT

The design concept involves creating a sense of place by developing approximately 400,000 square feet of retail space in an enclosed multi-level retail mall on the north side of Wilshire Boulevard over the Metro Rail station. This mall and the street-oriented retail stores would connect Bullock's Wilshire and I. Magnin. Second level pedestrian walkways would be developed across Vermont and Wilshire to extend the Mall to adjacent blocks and to form a landmark gateway for the station area. Figures VII.01 (the Design Concept map) and VII.02 (the Site Plan) illustrate many of these features.

Approximately 1,000,000 square feet of office buildings would be developed over and adjacent to retail space, and residential units would be developed on adjacent blocks outside the immediate station area. Figures VII.09 and VII.10 illustrate various features of the design concept.

## 3. METRO RAIL PORTAL AND TRANSIT INTERFACES

Two Metro Rail portals are planned, one on either side of Vermont, as shown on Figure VII.03. Access is from the north side of each portal via landscaped plazas under second level retail spaces.

Bus bays are proposed in a mid-block location parallel to Wilshire, between the parking structure and the retail mall. The busway is located a few feet farther north than shown on the RTD Milestone to drawing, to make room for a walkway and waiting area along side the bus bays. However, bus access is immediate from the Metro Rail portal. Buses would also circulate on Sixth Street and Shatto Place.

**Guideline 3.1** A mid-block busway, with a wide passenger waiting area and streetscape treatment, should be provided along the north side of the retail center as illustrated in Figure VII.02. Access from this busway should be directly into the Metro Rail portal and the shopping mall.

**Guideline 3.2** Kiss-n-ride spaces would be incorporated into the parking structure, visible from and directly accessible to the busway.

## 4. LAND USE


Retail Stores: A regional center would be developed, building on the Bullock's Wilshire and I. Magnin stores. Retail space would be developed on three levels:

1. Street level, in an enclosed galleria that runs east-west, with major entrances on Vermont and Wilshire.

Retail space should also be developed on east side of Shatto Place, facing Wilshire Boulevard, and along Sixth Street.



**ILLUSTRATIVE SITE PLAN**



**LEGEND**

- 1-4 HIGHRISE OFFICE
- 5-7 PEDESTRIAN BRIDGES
- 8 ENCLOSED GALLERIA
- 9-13 PARKING STRUCTURE (3 to 5 levels)

 DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL EXAMPLE

 METRO RAIL PORTAL

0 200 1000




**WILSHIRE / VERMONT**  
 LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING  
 METRO RAIL STATION AREA DEVELOPMENT PLAN





**LOCATION OF KNOCKOUT PANELS**



● Knockout Panels





2. Upper level, in the second level of the Mall. At this level, shoppers can cross Vermont while shopping, and can cross Wilshire to reach more retail, office and additional parking.
3. Subway mezzanine level, developed in conjunction with the subway, and open to the street level and the Mall wherever possible.

**Guideline 4.1** Retail frontages along Wilshire should be continuous to the maximum extent possible, to provide a rich pedestrian experience.

**Guideline 4.2** Retail space on the north side of Wilshire Boulevard at the Mall should be arcaded, to widen the sidewalk and shelter shoppers.

**Guideline 4.3** Retail space should be developed on the ground floor of the parking structure along Sixth Street.

**Guideline 4.4** Retail space should be developed at the second level, including space on both sides of the pedestrian bridge over Vermont Avenue.

**Guideline 4.5** Retail space should be developed in conjunction with an underground pedestrian connection across Vermont Avenue.

Office Space: Major office buildings would be developed at the remaining three corners of Vermont and Wilshire, to add to the existing office tower on the southwest corner. Office building may also be developed at Sixth and Vermont and at Shatto Place on the north side of Wilshire Boulevard. These office buildings would be developed over retail space.

Smaller rehabilitation and infill office development may also take place along Sixth Street.

Residential Units: Residential units would be developed on New Hampshire and Berendo Streets, in a scale compatible with the existing development. Rehabilitation and infill of residential units is proposed on both sides of Shatto Place, south of Wilshire Boulevard, to reinforce this already residential block.


## 5. DEVELOPMENT SITES

Potential Development Sites: The sites identified in the previous chapter and Figure VI.01 accompanying that list numbered on the map have been identified by the Department of Planning as potential development sites.

Master Development Sites: To achieve the highest and best potential of the development concept at Vermont, master development of parcel assembly is encouraged. Incentives for assembling larger parcels may include higher FAR allowances or other bonuses. The map indicates master development sites recommended to implement this design concept.



EXISTING LAND USE








**WILSHIRE / VERMONT**  
 LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING  
 METRO RAIL STATION AREA DEVELOPMENT PLAN



FLOOR AREA RATIO ALLOWED BY SPECIFIC PLAN




FAR ALLOWED BY SPECIFIC PLAN\*


-  3:1
-  6:1
-  6:1 (R+2)
-  13:1



\* THESE ARE MAXIMUM FAR'S GIVEN BONUSES, TDR'S & DIRECT CONNECTIONS

LAND USE ALLOWED BY SPECIFIC PLAN

-  RESIDENTIAL
-  RESTRICTED COMMERCIAL MULTI-USE
-  COMMERCIAL MULTI-USE







Rehabilitation and Infill Areas: Some areas have been designated for potential rehabilitation and infill of development on a small scale, compatible with the existing development, such as on a strip commercial street.

Guideline 5.1 Sites indicated as master development sites on the map should be master planned and developed, rather than on a parcel by parcel basis.

## 6. BUILDING FORM AND APPEARANCE

Building Height and Bulk: Relative building heights are shown on Exhibit VII.07. The highest building would be at the corner of Vermont and Wilshire which decreases in scale as the distance from the intersection is greater.

Building form and mass should respect the scale and form of landmarks and other significant buildings, in terms of height, setbacks and articulation of the street walls.

Building Scale: Buildings form and mass should respect the scale and form of landmarks and other significant buildings, in terms of height, setbacks and articulation of the street walls.

Guideline 6.1 The office tower on Shatto Place, diagonally across from Bullock's Wilshire would have setbacks at upper levels, to reflect the scale of the Bullock's Wilshire tower.

Relative building massing is shown on the Site Plan, Figure VII.02. The highest buildings would be located at the corner of Vermont and Wilshire.


View Corridors: Views corridors are important for enhancing the setting of existing landmarks such as the Bullock's Wilshire building.

Guideline 6.2 A view corridor from the retail galleria to the Bullock's Wilshire Tower should be maintained, as a visual guide for pedestrians coming from the Metro Rail and the shopping mall.





Building Setbacks: Buildings at the corners of Vermont and Wilshire are to have a diagonal setback from the intersections of those streets. This serves to emphasize this importance of this intersection and to open up the portals to greater visibility and ease of pedestrian access from Wilshire Boulevard.


Guideline 6.3 Buildings located at the Vermont/Wilshire intersection should be set back from the corners of the streets.





LEGEND

-  HIGH (7 - 13 STORIES)
-  MEDIUM (4 - 6 STORIES)
-  LOW (1 - 3 STORIES)
-  SUBWAY PORTAL

  
**WILSHIRE / VERMONT**  
 LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING  
 METRO RAIL STATION AREA DEVELOPMENT PLAN



(This page is reserved for an Axonometric Map which illustrates a hypothetical build-out consistent with the Design Concept and Massing Maps. The Axonometric Map will be prepared and inserted prior to this document's publication as a Final Plan).



Building Appearance: New development should reflect, to the extent possible the area's identity in the architectural style of the built environment. Since parking structures will occupy a large part of the built environment near stations, special attention should be given to their design.

Guideline 6.4. Surrounding development and the pedestrian environment are to be buffered from parking structures by landscaping treatment of the facades and/or planting strips in front of the structures.

Guideline 6.5. Arcades are to be developed along the street level sidewalks of Wilshire Boulevard. Landscaped plazas on the north side of the Vermont/Wilshire corner, leading to the Metro Rail portals.

## 7. PEDESTRIAN FRAMEWORK

The pedestrian framework connects street, second level and subway mezzanine from Bullock's Wilshire along Wilshire and through the Mall to I. Magnin, in a rough triangular shape with the base on Wilshire.

Second level pedestrian connections are proposed across Vermont and Wilshire, extending the Mall across these streets. In addition, a subway mezzanine-level bridge is proposed across Vermont, connecting the two portals under the street. The Vermont crossings would have retail stores on either side of pedestrian crossing, similar to the Glendale Galleria.

Pedestrian Connections: Guideline 7.1 Pedestrian bridges should span Vermont Avenue and Wilshire Boulevard to connect retail development on both sides of these streets.

Guideline 7.2 A subterranean pedestrian crossing under Vermont Avenue should connect office and retail development with the subway station.

Streetscape improvements: Improvements are proposed to extend the pedestrian movement system north and south along Vermont Avenue and along Sixth Street, to reinforce the commercial district concept. Special paving, lighting and other amenities would reinforce these connections.

Guideline 7.3 Streetscape improvements on private property should extend and complement the treatment of the public right-of-way, to reinforce the pedestrian framework.

Plazas: Plaza areas are proposed at each Metro Rail portal, to provide a focus for the pedestrian activity, and a pleasant experience for the Metro Rail patrons.





Guideline 7.3 Pedestrian plazas should be developed in conjunction with the Metro Rail portals. These plazas should include active uses such as space for vendors, and should adequate space around the portals to accommodate the anticipated volumes of pedestrian traffic at the Metro Rail portals.

Guideline 7.4 Pedestrian arcades should be included along Wilshire Boulevard, in conjunction with the retail frontage. Arcades will provide shade and a widened sidewalk along Wilshire, and will help reinforce the pedestrian framework.

Guideline 7.5 All pedestrian space should be configured using principles of defensible space; i.e., surveillance of sidewalks and plazas should be possible from adjacent retail, office and other space, and the Metro Rail portals should be visible from Vermont Avenue. Pedestrian security should be carefully considered.

Guideline 7.6 Space for public art works should be provided in plazas and along pedestrian paths. Art should be sited for maximum visibility and enhancement of the pedestrian experience.

## 8. CIRCULATION

Parking and Vehicle Access: Parking structures would largely replace the surface lots in the area. They would be located adjacent to or under new retail or office development, and be connected to the retail Mall by pedestrian connections at street level and pedestrian bridges over Vermont and Wilshire. This parking concept is consistent with the following guidelines. Parking structures should be buffered from residential areas by landscaping and, where appropriate, stepping back the parking levels from the residential areas.


Guidelines 8.1 Access to parking structures should only be permitted on the streets indicated on the Circulation map, Figure VII.08. Actual driveway locations should be positioned to avoid or minimize impacts on pedestrian walkways and retail space.

Guideline 8.2 Access from parking to retail and office space should be as direct as possible, via pedestrian bridges and other direct connections. Connecting several retail and parking sites together will facilitate the development of a successful retail environment.

Developers are urged to incorporate parking management techniques such as off-site parking to reduce the number of on-site parking spaces.

Guideline 8.3 Residential uses should be buffered from parking structures by heavy landscaping and other means, and, where appropriate, stepping back the parking levels from the residential areas.






Galleria Opens View Corridor Toward Bullock's Tower

Bullock's Wilshire







Landscaped Pedestrian Streetscape Along Wilshire Boulevard

WILSHIRE /VERMONT  
View From Galleria Looking  
Toward Bullock's/Wilshire






LEGEND

-  BUS BAY AND DIRECTION OF TRAVEL
-  PARKING ACCESS
-  PARKING STRUCTURE
-  PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION
-  ACTIVITY CENTER
-  METRO RAIL PORTAL







Retail at street level of parking structure

Retail frontage facing Busway


Metro Rail Portal visible from Vermont and Wilshire

Galleria entrance visible from Metro Rail Portal

View Across Vermont at Midblock







WILSHIRE / VERMONT  
Site Section Looking East



VIII. IMPLEMENTATION



#### A. PURPOSE

The Implementation Section sets out administrative procedures to implement the economic and design policies of the Station Area Development Plan. Public agency roles and involvement in attracting, processing, expediting and monitoring joint development are described. The procedures a development project must undergo, and methods for streamlining those procedures, are also described. This Section is intended to implement an on-going joint development program in conjunction with the Station Area master planning process and value capture mechanisms.

#### B. POLICIES

1. Encourage the concentration of new development and investment into the Station Area by means of administrative mechanisms which implement economic incentives or otherwise attract private sector investment.
2. Ensure adequate administrative review of development proposals for general consistency with the economic and design policies and objectives of the Station Area Development Plan.
3. Coordinate with SCRTD, other public agencies and the private sector undertaking co-ventures.
4. Follow these guidelines in negotiating co-ventures between the City and the private sector:
  - (a) Give highest priority to a periodic cash flow to the City over a number of years as opposed to any one-time, lump-sum payment.
  - (b) Give highest priority to obtaining an equity participation for the City with the private development.
  - (c) Retain any public lands in public ownership and lease, rather than sell, any such lands.
5. Establish administrative procedures for "fast-tracking" development applications within the station area.
6. Identify City and SCRTD co-venture and benefit-sharing opportunities in the station area.
7. Initiate a proactive, project-packaging approach with regard to the leasing and development of City-owned vacant land in station areas, particularly through the use of RFP's and City financial assistance.



## C. PROCEDURES

### 1. Attracting Development

The fact that good joint development does not necessarily occur spontaneously around rail transit systems is a lesson learned from the experience of various other cities. Good joint development projects and the accompanying inherent benefits are achievements which can best be attained by effective planning, continual inter-agency support and cooperation and hard work. Fundamental to the Metro Rail Station Area Development Plan joint development program is the cooperation of the City and SCRTD working together as partners to create an environment which is inviting and attractive to qualified developers.

To attract development interest, a city must offer a clear statement of "Game Rules", reasonable and consistent processing procedures, economic and financial incentives, and above all, potentially valuable development sites. In Los Angeles, the implementation of the Metro Rail Transit System together with the Metro Rail Transit Corridor Specific Plan and the Station Area Development Plans address these requirements.

- a) Land Use Plans ("Game Rules") - the City Council adopted Metro Rail Transit Corridor Specific Plan provides the basic regulations in ordinance form for governing land uses within the transit corridor. The Station Area Development Plans serve as implementation guidelines working within the broader parameters of the Specific Plan.
- b) Processing Procedures - The City of Los Angeles has well developed building permit processing procedures which have evolved over the years to provide consistent and fair review and evaluation of all proposed development projects. These procedures have recently been improved by the implementation of the Construction Services Center. The Center physically consolidates building-related permit issuing agencies into one area to increase convenience and enhance efficiency and coordination for permit applicants. The procedures will be further augmented by the creation of a Development Area Review Team (DART) to take into account the special nature of joint development projects. DART is discussed in the next section under Project Processing.
- c) Financial and Economic Incentives - The Station Area Development Plans include an Economic Incentives Section describing City programs which function as financial and economic incentives to joint development which is consistent with this Plan.
- d) Potentially Valuable Development Sites - The implementation of the Metro Rail Transit System is expected to greatly enhance real estate values in the station areas. The City has identified several potential development sites in the Economic Incentives Section of the Station Area Development Plan for either master development, requiring some parcel assembly, or individual parcel development. The suggested site standards, design concept and design guidelines, accompanied by appropriate economic incentives, can be used to plan and create successful, valuable development projects.





## 2. Project Processing

The Development Area Review Team (DART) is a proposed inter-departmental committee to coordinate city review and approval of any proposed project requiring discretionary determination (especially density bonuses and financial incentives) within the Metro Rail Transit Corridor Specific Plan or redevelopment areas. The DART would function as a liaison between the project applicant and the City, interpreting City plans and regulations. This new Committee would be chaired by the Director of Planning and makes recommendations to the City Planning Commission and City Council on the aforementioned projects. The DART should be comprised of the following departments: City Planning, Transportation, Public Works (Bureau of Engineering), and Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA projects only). The DART chair would have the authority to call in other departments, including the City Economic Development Office (CEDO) and the Community Development Department as needed for specific cases.

The City intends to include SCRTD as a participant in DART meetings and City Planning Commission meetings when Metro Rail issues and land development in Metro Rail station areas are being discussed. The City also intends to place Metro Rail and related land use items on the DART agenda at SCRTD's request with tasks arising from these meetings to be completed by each agency.

Specific responsibilities of the DART might include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a) Receive and review development project applications.
- b) Establish preliminary City negotiating positions and recommendations.
- c) Coordinate with the City Economic Development Office (CEDO) and the Community Development Department (CDD) with respect to use of financial incentives and economic development programs.
- d) Recommend use of density bonuses and other incentives, where applicable, to the Planning Commission.
- e) Recommend related public improvements to the Planning Commission.
- f) Coordinate with OPERA, the SCRTD equivalent of DART, in processing development applications.

If a development application utilizes density bonuses, the DART would determine, based on the criteria specified herein, the degree of consistency of the project with the Station Area Development Plan. The DART would then determine the magnitude of bonuses to be granted based on this consistency review. Criteria to be considered would include, but would not be limited to, the criteria of the Urban Design component of this Development Plan and the following additional criteria:



- To what degree the bonusable feature will increase the potential ridership of Metro Rail;
- To what degree the bonusable feature provide a use which will fulfill the goals of the Centers Concept;
- Whether the bonusable feature will provide an amenity that is not now present in the Plan area;
- To what degree the bonusable feature will provide an amenity that services a demonstrated need;
- To what degree the bonusable feature will fulfill the intent of the Transit Corridor Specific Plan and other elements of the General Plan;
- The appropriateness of the bonusable feature to the site and/or as part of the overall project;
- The accessibility of the bonusable feature to the intended users;
- Other criteria which may be established by the DART.

To facilitate the joint development process, the Planning Department will establish a new Specific Plan Section. Specific Plan Section staff will specialize in interpreting provisions and monitoring applications for development in all specific plan areas in the City of Los Angeles. The Specific Plan Section will then provide information and analysis to the DART for further analysis and review.

The new Specific Plan Section will also be responsible for project-packaging co-ventures between the City and other agencies or private parties. These responsibilities may include, but are not limited to:

- a) Soliciting and reviewing development proposals for lease and development of City-owned parcels in the immediate station area, and presenting such proposals to DART.
- b) Soliciting and reviewing parcel assembly and master development proposals for development of land in the immediate station area, consistent with the Urban Design Concept of the Station Area Development Plan, and presenting such proposals to DART.
- c) Preparing and processing development agreements and cooperative agreements for consideration by DART.
- d) Providing development counseling for potential applicants, utilizing development potential spreadsheet software, financial pro forma spreadsheet software, computer-generated graphics, and various economic incentives provided in the Station Area Development Plan.



### 3. Project Expediting

Joint development opportunities can be made more attractive to potential developers if the permit process can be made as abbreviated as possible without sacrificing project quality. The City as well as the Planning Department have created or will create several new entities which serve to speed up the permit and discretionary review process some of which have been mentioned in previous sections of this component:

- a) City Construction Services Center - Quickens processing by reducing the number of offices to which an applicant is required to go and by facilitating interdepartmental communication and cooperation. Within the Construction Services Center applicants have access to the Land Development Counseling Center.
- b) Development Area Review Team (DART) - Chaired by the Planning Department, makes recommendations to the Planning Commission and City Council on proposed projects requiring discretionary determination within the Metro Rail Transit Corridor Specific Plan or redevelopment areas. Like the Construction Services Center, the DART facilitates interdepartmental cooperation and coordination.
- c) Specific Plan Section - Proposed by the Planning Department to groom staff as specific plan experts to facilitate faster and better plan interpretations, among other benefits.

Other possibility for further streamlining of City Planning Department discretionary application case processing include:

- Increased Casework Productivity - The Planning Department's Casework Operations Analysis Task Force has produced a report which analyzes City Planning Department casework productivity citywide and recommends actions for improvement. Findings were submitted to the City Council Planning and Environment Committee for further action.
- Environmental Clearance - An Environmental Impact Report has been written covering the Metro Rail Transit Corridor Specific Plan. This document may save time in analyzing and processing environmental clearance of subsequent individual projects within the Transit Corridor Specific Plan area.
- Zoning Code Variances - The Transit Corridor Specific Plan could be amended to contain a clause which broadens the definition of "slight modifications", Zoning Code Section 12.27-B-2 to include certain predetermined uses which would allow the approval of that use without a public hearing pending requisite findings to preserve the intent of the Specific Plan.

In addition, the current standard of allowing yard and area variances of up to 10 to 20 percent without a public hearing could be increased to 20 to 30 percent by the Transit Corridor Specific Plan.



- Exceptions to the Metro Rail Transit Corridor Specific Plan - Exceptions to specific plans usually involve the additional processing of a Zoning Code variance. The problem lies in the fact that exceptions to specific plans are processed by the Planning Commission and Zoning Code variances are processed by the Zoning Administrator, creating dual processing. Amending Zoning Code Section 11.5.7 could eliminate the dual processing by allowing the Zoning Administrator action is required. The elimination of this dual processing warrants further investigation. (It should be noted that Zoning Administrator approvals may be appealed to the City Council. Denials may not be appealed.)

#### 4. Monitoring Joint Development

A system to monitor all development projects, including the monitoring and recording of transferred development rights in the Regional Core must be implemented to ensure that necessary development data is available to the City and to the SCRTD. This monitoring effort will most likely be a function of the new Specific Plan Section.

The Specific Plan Section would also monitor Key economic indicators within the City in general and within the Transit Corridor in particular to better assist the DART in assessing the economic feasibility of a development project applying for bonuses or financial assistance. Those economic indicators include, but are not limited to:

- Vacancy rates for commercial and retail uses
- Rents for commercial and retail square footage
- Land costs
- Construction costs
- Comparisons of the above factors to Citywide figures
- Other factors as determined by the DART and City Planning Department

#### 5. Development Agreements

The development agreement is an important component of joint development implementation because it formalizes the terms under which a city and a developer have committed themselves to proceed on a given project. Development agreements for joint development projects should include language outlining the various components of the agreement including cash flows, benefit-sharing, public amenities and enforcement provisions.

Enforcement is an issue of particular importance, because without proper enforcement, a developer could, by receiving additional development rights or financial incentives without any reciprocity make a mockery of the joint development process. The development agreement is a legal contract and is enacted as an ordinance. Periodic review of bonus amenities and/or renewable certificates of occupancy should also be considered as techniques for enforcing a development agreement.

The League of California Cities has published a development agreement manual which addresses a number of these issues and should be referred to in the process of developing a City of Los Angeles Development Agreement. The following checklist from this manual covers the relevant components which should be considered in writing a development agreement.





CHECKLIST FOR DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS\*

1. Parties
  - a. Names
  - b. Capacities
2. Recitals (this refers to actions taken, e.g. (SS65867, 65867.5))
3. Definitions
4. List of exhibits
5. Reference to and/or incorporation of other documents, e.g., development plan, agency's approval of project, regulations establishing procedures and requirements for considering development agreements, etc.
  - a. Changes in development plan
6. Property description (S65868.5)
7. Interest of contracting party in real property (S65865)
8. Assignability
9. Relationship of parties
10. Duration of agreement (S65865.2)
  - a. Beginning and completion of construction (S65865.2)
  - b. Progress reports
  - c. Availability of documents to agency
11. Effect on agreement of inclusion of real property in another jurisdiction
12. Indemnification
13. Insurance
  - a. Amounts
  - b. Evidence

---

\*References are to the Government Code.



14. Restrictions on use of property (S65865.2) (or cross reference to exhibit)
  - a. Permitted uses
  - b. Density of uses
  - c. Intensity of uses
  - d. Maximum height of buildings
  - e. Maximum size of buildings
  - f. Reservations and dedication of land for public purposes
15. Subsequent discretionary actions by agency (S65865.2)
  - a. Include conditions, terms, restrictions and requirements for subsequent discretionary actions
16. Duty to obtain other approvals
17. Cooperation with other agencies
18. Effect of agreement in light of subsequently adopted land use regulations and design and construction standards.
19. Periodic review (65865.1)
  - a. Time
  - b. Duty of contracting party to provide evidence of good faith compliance
20. Contract security
21. Recordation of agreement and of action making amendment, cancellation, modification, suspension or termination (S65868.5)
22. Amendment or cancellation of agreement (S65868)
23. Grounds for termination or modification (S65865.1)
  - a. Additional events constituting event of default
24. Enforcement and remedies
  - a. Who may enforce (S65865.4)
  - b. Damages, liquidated or other
  - c. Other remedies
25. Attorney's fees and costs
26. Notices
27. Miscellaneous terms and conditions, rules of construction



IX. CREDITS



Tom Bradley, Mayor

CITY COUNCIL

Pat Russell, President  
Richard Alatorre  
Ernani Bernardi  
Hal Bernson  
Marvin Braude  
David Cunningham  
Robert Farrell  
John Ferraro  
Howard Finn  
Joan Milke Flores  
Gilbert W. Lindsay  
Joy Picus  
Michael Woo  
Joel Wachs  
Zev Yaroslavsky

James Hahn, City Attorney  
Rick Tuttle, Controller

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Daniel P. Garcia, President  
William G. Luddy, Vice President  
Robert J. Abernethy, Commissioner  
Sam Botwin, Commissioner  
Suzette Neiman, Commissioner

DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

Calvin S. Hamilton, Director of Planning  
Kei Uyeda, Deputy Director of Planning

GENERAL PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

Glenn F. Blossom, City Planning Officer  
Glenn O. Johnson, Principal City Planner, Citywide Planning Division  
Peter Broy, Senior City Planner, Metro Rail Project Manager\*  
Ed Johnson, Senior City Planner, Metro Rail Project Manager

PROJECT STAFF

Karin Hodin, City Planner, Project Manager  
Gary Booher, City Planner\*  
Susan L. Bok, City Planning Associate  
Helene Ly, City Planning Associate  
Phyllis Jaeger Nathanson, City Planning Associate\*  
Peggy Bosley, Student Architect III, Design Team Leader\*  
Florence Blecher, Student Architect III\*  
Candida Burke, Student Architect III\*  
Masso Chrysochou, Student Architect III\*  
Jacqueline Ignon, Student Architect III\*  
Jane Miho, Student Architect III\*  
Robert Ras, Student Architect III\*  
Amy E. Shopenn, Student Architect III\*  
Teresa Hart, Student Illustrator\*  
Greg Oatey, Student Intern\*

\*Former Staff





URBAN DESIGN AND COMPUTER GRAPHICS CONSULTANTS

Community Design Group:

Patric Dawe, AIA, Principal-in-Charge

Ben Rosenbloom, Project Manager

Julia Takahashi, Urban Designer

Lance Bird, AIA, Architect

GRAPHICS

Gene Wolf, Graphics Supervisor

Charlene Horner, Graphics Designer II\*

Joyce O'Dell, Cartographer

\*Former Staff

COM441/hb

